DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY



A MONTE CARLO PROCEDURE FOR HAMILTONIANS WITH

SMALL NONLOCAL CORRECTION TERMS

bÿ -

G. Mack and K. Pinn

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

ISSN 0418-9833

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX , send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :



ISSN 0418-9833

A Monte Carlo Procedure for Hamiltonians with

Small Nonlocal Correction Terms

G. Mack and K. Pinn*

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

<u>Abstract</u>: We consider lattice field theories whose Hamiltonians contain small nonlocal correction terms. We propose to do simulations for an auxiliarly polymer system with field dependent activities. If a nonlocal correction term to the Hamiltonian is small, it need to be evaluated only rarely.

Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

In this note we would like to describe a Monte Carlo procedure that can cope with complicated nonlocal * bosonic Hamiltonians for lattice field theories as are expected to result from exact analytical or accurate numerical renormalization group calculations. It can be used to advantage when most of the nonlocal correction terms are small. Let us initially suppose that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ is given, as a function of some fields $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{x}}\}$ (spin or gauge fields, for instance) on a finite set $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ of sites x (e.g. points or links of a lattice). Let us assume that \mathcal{X} can be split as

$$\mathcal{X}(\varphi) = \mathcal{X}_{\lambda}(\varphi) + \mathcal{X}_{\lambda}(\varphi) \tag{1}$$

where \mathcal{X}_{0} has good locality properties so that it is suitable for treatment by standard Monte Carlo simulation techniques, and \mathcal{X}_{1} is small in a suitable sense (cp. inequality (3) below). Expanding $e^{-\mathcal{X}_{1}}$ in a Mayer series (high temperature series) one may obtain a polymer representation of the Boltzmann factor of the form [1, 2]

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(\varphi)} = e^{-\mathcal{K}_{0}(\varphi)} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \phi}} TT A(\tau, \varphi) \right\}$$
(2)

Here $\boldsymbol{\mathscr{X}}$ are collections of mutually compatible nonempty subsets P of $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$, called polymers. For the moment, two polymers P₁ and P₂ are to be regarded as compatible if they do not overlap. We write P₁ ~ P₂ in this case. Later on we shall consider a generalization. The activities $A(P, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ will only depend on $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{CP}}$. If the fields take their values in a compact space, inessential $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ -independent terms can be added to the Hamiltonian so that the activities are nonnegative (s. below)

We will assume that this is true. Generalization to situations where the activities are negative with very small probability is possible. [One considers an auxiliary polymer system with activitites $|A(P, \phi)|$ and takes care of the signs when computing averages.] It is required that there exists $\zeta_{\phi} < \infty$ for every ϕ such that for all x

$$1 + \sum_{\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}} \dot{A}(\mathbf{P}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \neq \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$$
(3)

This is a basic stability condition for polymer systems [2].

- 1 -

In this note, nonlocal shall mean with a range of several (rather than ≤ 1) lattice spacings.

We propose to do simulations for an auxiliary statistical system whose states are pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$. The Monte Carlo procedure will generate a sequence of pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$. One sweeps through all sites x of Λ . For each x, updating "at x" may change $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})$ and \mathcal{X} . A change in \mathcal{X} may consist in adding or removing (or altering) a polymer P that contains x. Given x and \mathcal{X} , the new $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is computed with probability distribution

$$dprob(\varphi_{x}) = W_{x}^{-1} e^{-\frac{W_{0}(\varphi)}{X}} \pi A(P,\varphi) d\varphi_{x}$$
(4)

 \mathscr{N}_{χ} is a normalization factor, and the right hand side is to be considered as a function of (φ_{χ}) , for fixed $\{(\varphi_{\chi})\}_{\chi\neq\chi}$. Given φ , the collections χ are to be generated with probability

$$\operatorname{prob}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) = \mathscr{N}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{-1} \operatorname{T}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} \in \boldsymbol{\chi}}^{-1} \mathsf{A}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$$
(5)

The first 1 in $\{ \}$ in eq. (2) is to be understood as the contribution from the empty collection $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\phi}$, and eq. (5) must also hold for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\phi}$. (Empty products are read as 1). To achieve this one performs updating "at x" with transition probabilities $W_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}')$ that satisfy the condition of detailed balance [3].

$$\operatorname{prob}(\mathfrak{X}) W_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}') = \operatorname{prob}(\mathfrak{X}') W_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X}' \to \mathfrak{X})$$
⁽⁶⁾

A possible choice of transition probabilities $W_{\chi}(\chi \rightarrow \chi')$ for $\chi \neq \chi'$ is as follows

$$W_{X}(X \rightarrow X') = \begin{cases} \xi_{\varphi}^{-1} & \text{if } X' = X \sim \{P\} \text{ for some } P \ni X \\ \xi_{\varphi}^{-1} \land (P, \varphi) & \text{if } X' = X + P \text{ and } x \in P \end{cases}$$
(7)
O otherwise

The condition $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \{\mathbf{P}\}$ is to be understood as : $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} \cup \{\mathbf{P}\}$ and P is compatible with all polymers in $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is to be chosen so that iequality (3) holds (i.e. the total transition probability is \mathbf{z} 1). The computer remembers the state by storing for each x both $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and the polymers $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}$.

The general procedure outlined so far starts from a polymer representation (2) of the Boltzmann factor. Given that, one does not actually need an expression for \mathbb{Z} itself (i.e. one avoids taking logarithms). This could be significant for the following reason. Rigorous analytical work on renormalization group transformations [4] suggests that series representations (like eq. (8) below) for effective Hamiltonians may be inappropriate (badly nonlocal and divergent) when $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is in the large field

region where $e^{-\mathcal{K}(\phi)}$ becomes very small, but that a well behaved polymer expansion (2) for the Boltzmann factor contuines to hold there.

- 3 -

In the following we will suppose, however, that $\mathcal{X}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ is given as a series such as

$$\mathcal{K}_{q}(\varphi) = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{\mathbf{Q}}(\varphi) \tag{8}$$

The label Q will specify a subset supp Q of Λ such that $\bigvee_{Q} \langle \phi \rangle$ depends on ϕ_{χ} only for $\chi \in$ supp Q. Consider for instance a lattice gauge theory with gauge group C and lattice gauge field U that specifies parallel transporters $U(C) \in G$. Q might have the form

$$Q = (C_{i}, \tau_{i}; C_{2}, \tau_{2}; ..., C_{n}, \tau_{n})$$
(9)

where \boldsymbol{C}_i are closed paths and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_i$ are characters of irreducible representations of G, and

$$V_{Q}(U) = \rho(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i}(U(C_{i})) + c.c. \qquad (10)$$

with complex coefficients $\rho(Q)$.

We will derive a special polymer representation of the Boltzmann factor which appears be particularly convenient for computation. It involves the Q's in eq. (8) as polymers. This amounts to a slight generalization of the notion of polymers introduced after eq. (2), because different polymers Q may now occupy the same subset P = supp Q of Λ . We will write $\times \in \mathbb{Q}$ in place of $\times \in$ supp Q. Two polymers Q will now be regarded as compatible if they are not identical.

If necessary we add a field-independent constants C_{Q} to $V_{Q}(\varphi)$ so that $V_{Q}(\varphi) \leq 0$. In the example (10), $C_{Q} = 2|\rho(Q)|\pi\tau_{i}(i)$ will do. We define activities $A(Q,\varphi) \geq 0$ by

$$e^{-\nabla_{\mathbf{Q}}(\varphi)} = 1 + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Q}, \varphi)$$

It follows that

$$e^{-\mathcal{U}_{4}(\varphi)+const} = \pi e^{-V_{Q}(\varphi)} = \pi [i+A(Q,\varphi)]$$
$$= \Sigma \pi A(Q,\varphi)$$
$$= \Sigma \pi A(Q,\varphi)$$

- 2 -

and polymer representation (2) holds except for an inessential overall constant factor. This polymer system can be simulated as described before.

- 4 -

If $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is small, then also $A(Q, \cdot)$ is small, and the probability of finding $\mathbf{Q} \ni \mathbf{x}$ in the state \mathbf{x} while at x is also small. Therefore $V_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{\varphi})$ for any particular such Q needs to be computed only rarely. In contrast it would need to be computed at every updating step at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q}$ in the standard Monte Carlo procedure.

• · ·

The authors would like to thank B. Berg for discussion. Financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

References:

- E. Seiler: Gauge theories as a problem in constructive quantum field theory and statistical mechanics. Lecture Notes in Physics <u>159</u>, Springer Heidelberg 1982
- C. Gruber, H. Kunz: General properties of polymer systems. Commun. Math. Phys. <u>22</u> (1971) 133
- K. Binder, in: Monte Carlo Methods in Statistical Physics, K. Binder (ed.), Springer Heidelberg 1979;
 - M. Creutz, L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi: Phys. Rep. <u>95</u> (1983) 201
- 4. K. Gawedzki, A. Kupiainen: Massless lattice $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}$ theory: Rigorous control of a renormalizable asymptotically free model. Commun. Math. Phys. <u>99</u> (1985) 197