DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY 87-125 October 1987



A CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR LATTICE FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

WITH MASSLESS PROPAGATORS

by

T. Reisz

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

ISSN 0418-9833

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX , send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :



.

A Convergence Theorem for Lattice Feynman Integrals with Massless Propagators

THOMAS REISZ⁰

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

ABSTRACT. It is shown that for non-vanishing lattice spacing, conventional infrared power counting conditions are sufficient for convergence of lattice Feynman integrals with zero-mass propagators. If these conditions are supplemented by ultraviolet convergence conditions, the continuum limit of such a diagram exists and is universal.

1. Introduction

In a recent paper [1] we have proposed a convergence theorem, which states existence of the continuum limit for a wide class of Feynman integrals with a lattice cutoff if certain ultraviolet (UV) power counting conditions are satisfied. What is counted are lattice divergence degrees in Zimmermann subspaces, i.e. in affine subspaces of the integration momenta. To avoid infrared (IR) singularities, we had assumed all propagators to be massive. In the present article we extend the considerations to integrals containing zero-mass propagators. While the lattice provides a UV-cutoff, IR-singularities are expected to be quite the same as for continuum diagrams. As will be shown, IR-power counting conditions similar as for continuum diagrams [2-5] are sufficient to guarantee the convergence of lattice Feynman integrals, at least for non-vanishing lattice spacing. If these conditions are supplemented by the UV-power counting conditions of [1], the continuum limit of the Feynman integral exists and coincides with the formial limit, i.e. it is given by the integral resulting from the $a \rightarrow 0$ -limit in the integrand.

This article is organized as follows. At first, in Section 2, the notion of an IR-degree is introduced in a form which is similar to the definition of a UV-degree in [1]. The power counting theorem for Feynman integrals with zero-mass propagators is formulated in Section 3. As in the massive case, the denominator of a Feynman integrand can easily be treated, whereas the numerator must be estimated in such a way that UV- as well as IR-power counting conditions are taken into account (Section 5), and such that the corresponding estimates have a well defined cutoff behavior. This behavior can be determined using the auxiliary theorem stated in Section 4. Applying the auxiliary theorem and using the estimate of the numerator, the proof of the power counting theorem is given in Section 6. Finally, the last two sections are devoted to the proof of the auxiliary theorem.

2. IR-degrees on the lattice

Throughout this paper we will use the notations, definitions and statements of [1], especially the function classes C_m , C and C_m^c , C^c and \mathcal{F} . We shall use multi-indices to simplify the notation. Set $\mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. For $b \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$, $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$ define

$$b! = b_1! \cdots b_n!$$
$$u^b = u_1^{b_1} \cdots u_n^{b_n}$$
$$|b| = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i.$$

We now define an IR-degree for functions in C, depending on variables u ("internal" momenta), v, q ("external" momenta) and the lattice spacing a.

Definition 2.1. 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V(u, v, q; a) \in \mathcal{C}_m$ of the form

$$V(u, v, q; a) = \frac{1}{a^m} F(ua, va, qa).$$
(2-1)

⁰Address after September 1987: Max Planck Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, D-8000 München 40, Fed.Rep.Germany

For given q let s_u be the largest non-negative integer such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{c}}{\partial u^{c}}F(ua, va, qa)\right)_{u=0} \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } v \text{ and } a > 0, \qquad \text{for all } c \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{s}, |c| < s_{u}.$$

$$(2-2)$$

Then the IR-degree of V w.r.t. u is defined by

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V = s_u. \tag{2-3}$$

2. Let $V \in C$, $V = \sum_{i \in J} V_i$, $V_i \in C_{m_i}$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_i \neq m_k$ for $i \neq k$. Then we define

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V = \min_{i \in I} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V_i.$$
(2-4)

An equivalent definition is the following. For $V \in C$, $s_u = \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|_V} V$ if and only if

$$V(\lambda u, v, q; a) = B(u, v, q; a) \lambda^{s_u} + O(\lambda^{s_u+1}), \ \lambda \to 0,$$
(2-5)

where $B(u, v, q; a) \neq 0$ in u, v, a, for fixed q (B is a polynomial in u and C^{∞} in v).

It is important to note that this IR-degree may depend on the external momenta q. Following common use, we write all momentum variables which are not fixed as subscript in degr., e.g. u, v in (2-3). If $\partial^c F(ua, va, qa)/\partial u^c|_{u=0} \equiv 0$ in v and a for all $c \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$, we set $\underline{\deg r}_{\widehat{u}|v}V = +\infty$. If $V(u, v, q; a) \not\equiv 0$ in u, v, a and independent of u, then $\underline{\deg r}_{\widehat{u}|v}V = 0$.

From the definition of an IR-degree, we easily get

Lemma 2.1. Let $V_1, \ldots, V_p \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

1.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \sum_{i=1}^{p} V_i \ge \min_{i=1,\dots,p} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V_i$$
(2-6)

2.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \prod_{i=1}^{p} V_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{p} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V_i$$
(2-7)

3.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial u^{l}} V \ge \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V - |l|$$
(2-8)

4.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \frac{\partial^{*}}{\partial v^{l}} V \ge \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V$$
(2-9)

Next, we consider functions in the classes C_m^c and C^c , i.e. functions in C_m and C whose continuum limits exist. Every $V \in C_m^c$ has an expansion for small lattice spacing a of the form

$$V(u, v, q; a) = \frac{1}{a^m} F(ua, va, qa) = P(u, v, q) + R(u, v, q; a),$$

where the continuum limit P of V is a homogeneous polynomial in u, v, q and R vanishes for a = 0. In general,

$$\operatorname{degr}_{u|v} P \ge \operatorname{degr}_{\widehat{u}|v} V, \tag{2-10a}$$

where the IR-degree of a polynomial is defined in Appendix A. In particular, with respect to all momentum variables u, v and q

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{uvq} P = \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{uvq} V \quad \text{if } P(u, v, q) \neq 0.$$
(2-10b)

In Section 5 we will state a general estimate on the remainder R which respects the IR- and UV-properties of the function V and allows to determine the cutoff behavior of Feynman integrals having R as the numerator of the integrand, by application of an auxiliary theorem stated in Section 4, which is a generalization of the auxiliary power counting theorem in [1] to diagrams with massless propagators.

The integrand of a Feynman integral on the lattice belongs to the function class \mathcal{F} [1]. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$ an IR-degree is defined as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$F(u, v, q; \mu, a) = \frac{V(u, v, q; \mu, a)}{C(u, v, q; \mu, a)}.$$
(2-11)

Then the IR-degree of F with respect to u is defined by

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} F \equiv \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} C.$$
(2-12)

Recall that the denominator in (2-11) is of the form

$$C = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\eta_i(l_i a)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2 \right), \qquad \mu_i^2 \ge 0,$$
 (2-13a)

where the four-vectors $l_i \neq 0$ are given by

$$l_i(u, v, q) = \sum_{k=1}^d b_{ik} v_k + \sum_{k=1}^\tau c_{ik} u_k + \sum_{k=1}^w d_{ik} q_k.$$
(2-13b)

The IR-degree of the denominator is already determined by the IR-degree of its continuum limit:

$$\underline{\deg r}_{\widehat{u}|v}(\frac{\eta_i(l_ia)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2) = \underline{\deg r}_{\widehat{u}|v} \left(l_i^2 + \mu_i^2 \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu_i^2 > 0 \text{ or } (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{id}) \neq 0 \text{ or } \sum_{k=1}^w d_{ik} q_k \neq 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } \mu_i^2 = 0 \text{ and } (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{id}) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^w d_{ik} q_k = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2-14)

Note that

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\eta_{i}(l_{i}a)}{a^{2}} + \mu_{i}^{2} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \left(\frac{\eta_{i}(l_{i}a)}{a^{2}} + \mu_{i}^{2} \right),$$

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|v} \lim_{a \to 0} F(u, v, q; \mu, a) \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} F(u, v, q; \mu, a).$$
(2-15)

and for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Finally, as a corollary of Lemma 2.1, we state

Lemma 2.2. Let $F, F_1, \ldots, F_p \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

1.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \sum_{i=1}^{p} F_{i} \geq \min_{i=1,\dots,p} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} F_{i}$$
(2-16)

2.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \prod_{i=1}^{p} F_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{p} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} F_i$$
(2-17)

3.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial u^{l}} F \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} F - |l|$$
(2-18)

4.
$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial v^{l}} F \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} F$$
(2-19)

3. The power counting theorem for Feynman integrals with massless propagators.

We consider

$$\widehat{I}(q,\mu,a) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{a}}^{\frac{\pi}{a}} d^4k_1 \cdots d^4k_m \ F(k,q;\mu,a), \tag{3-1}$$

where

$$F(k,q;\mu,a) = V(k,q;\mu,a)/C(k,q;\mu,a) \in \mathcal{F},$$

$$C(k,q;\mu,a) = \prod_{i=1}^n [rac{\eta_i(l_i(k,q)a)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2], \quad \mu_i^2 \geq 0^{-1}$$

(vanishing masses are allowed). Furthermore, let \mathcal{L} be a natural set of four-momenta containing l_1, \ldots, l_n [1]. At first, we repeat the definition of UV-divergence degrees [1] and then define IR-divergence degrees.

3

1. Let

$$u_{1} = l_{i_{1}}, \dots, u_{d} = l_{i_{d}}$$

$$(3-2)$$

be an arbitrary basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k^{-1} , $1 \leq d \leq m$. By fixing v_1, \ldots, v_{m-d} , one defines a class H of affine subspaces of the space of integration momenta k. $(u) = (u_1, \ldots, u_d)$ is called the parametrization of H, and $(v) = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ are the complementary parameters of H. As in [1], we define for $F(k, q; \mu, a) \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{H}}\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) \equiv 4d + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}}F(k(u,v,q),q;\mu,a).$$
(3-3)

The set of all such H, for all bases (3-2), is denoted by \mathcal{H}^{UV} (this is the set \mathcal{H} of Zimmermann subspaces of [1]. Here we write \mathcal{H}^{UV} to distinguish this set of subspaces from the set \mathcal{H}^{IR} defined below).

2. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{l_i | \mu_i = 0 ; i = 1, ..., n\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. For every basis (3-2) such that

$$l_{i_1}, \dots, l_{i_d} \in \mathcal{M} \tag{3-4}$$

and $d \ge 1$, we define a subspace H as above. The set of all these H is denoted by \mathcal{H}^{IR} . Obviously, $\mathcal{H}^{IR} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{UV}$. For $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ we define the IR-divergence degree

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{H}}\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) \equiv 4d + \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v}F(k(u,v,q),q;\mu,a). \tag{3-5}$$

We now state the power counting theorem which applies to lattice Feynman integrals with massless propagators.

Theorem 1. Power Counting Theorem. Consider the integral

$$\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{a}}^{\frac{\pi}{a}} d^4k_1 \cdots d^4k_m \ F(k,q;\mu,a)$$
(3-1)

and suppose the integrand is of the form

$$F(k,q;\mu,a)=rac{V(k,q;\mu,a)}{C(k,q;\mu,a)}\in \mathcal{F}$$

where $V \in C^{c}$ is $(2\pi/a)$ -periodic in every component of k, and

$$C(k,q;\mu,a) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[rac{\eta_i(l_i(k,q)a)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2
ight], \quad \mu_i^2 \geq 0.$$

Suppose, furthermore, the line momenta l_i are contained in a natural set \mathcal{L} of momenta and assume that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{H}}\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) > 0. \tag{3-6}$$

Then the integral (3-1) is absolutely convergent for every a > 0. If, in addition, for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{H}}\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) < 0, \qquad (3-7)$$

the continuum limit of $\widehat{I}(q; \mu, a)$ exists absolutely and is given by

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \widehat{I}(q; \mu, a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4 k_1 \cdots d^4 k_m \; \frac{P(k, q, \mu)}{E(k, q, \mu)}, \tag{3-8}$$

A SHORE SHOWING

where

$$P(k, q, \mu) = \lim_{a \to 0} V(k, q; \mu, a),$$

$$E(k, q, \mu) = \lim_{a \to 0} C(k, q; \mu, a).$$

.

¹ cp. [1] or Section 4 below. $u_1, \ldots, u_d, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-d} \in \mathcal{L}$, and the Jacobian satisfies $det[\partial(u, v)/\partial k] \neq 0$. There is at least one basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k.

Note that vanishing masses are allowed, and that convergence is stated for given (fixed) external momenta q. Furthermore, the integrand is always assumed to be periodic. As in the massive case [1], if $P \neq 0$, the set $\mathcal{L}' = \{l_1, \ldots, l_n\}$ contains a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k (otherwise (3-8) would be UV-divergent). Hence, in this case it is sufficient to consider \mathcal{L}' instead of \mathcal{L} . Note that

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u} \lim_{n \to \infty} F(u, v, q; \mu, a) \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}} F(u, v, q; \mu, a)$$
(3-9)

and

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|v}\lim_{n\to\infty}F(u,v,q;\mu,a)\geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v}F(u,v,q;\mu,a). \tag{3-10}$$

Hence, by (3-6), (3-7) and the power counting theorem of Lowenstein and Zimmermann $[2,3]^2$, (3-8) is absolutely convergent.

The idea of proof is quite similar to that of the power counting theorem for Feynman integrals with massive propagators [1]. Again, it will be sufficient to consider

$$\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{a}}^{\frac{\pi}{a}} d^4k_1 \cdots d^4k_m \frac{V(k,q;a)}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\eta_i(l;a)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2\right)},$$
(3-12)

where $V \in \mathcal{C}_{m_0}^c$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality we also assume \mathcal{L} to be of the form $\{l_1, \ldots, l_N\}$ for some $N \geq n$, and that k_1, \ldots, k_m belong to \mathcal{L} .

In the first step of the proof, the integration domain of (3-12) is partitioned in a way depending on the configuration of the line momenta l_i . It is distinguished between l_i in neighborhoods of the poles of propagators and outside of them. A propagator can be estimated by its continuum limit or some power of the lattice spacing a, respectively. Again, the numerator causes some technical problems, and we need an estimation which respects UV- as well as IR-degrees. In the next section we state an auxiliary theorem which describes the cutoff dependence of generalized continuum Feynman integrals with zero-mass propagators. Then, in Section 5 it is shown that the numerator of (3-12) admits an estimate such that this auxiliary theorem applies to the integrals resulting from the partition of (3-12) explained above.

4. A power counting theorem for generalized continuum Feynman integrals with zero-mass propagators.

To formulate the auxiliary theorem, we will use the notations of the auxiliary power counting theorem of [1]. For completeness, they will be repeated here.

Let $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_m)$ (loop momenta) and $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_M)$ (external momenta), $k_i, q_j \in \mathbb{R}^4$, and let L denote the space of linear mappings $l : \mathbb{R}^{4m} \times \mathbb{R}^{4M} \to \mathbb{R}^4$ of the form

$$l(k,q) = K(k) + Q(q)$$
 (4-1)

$$K(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i k_i; \qquad a_i \in \mathbf{R}, i = 1, \dots, m$$
(4-2)

$$Q(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} b_j q_j;$$
 $b_j \in \mathbf{R}, j = 1, ..., M.$ (4-3)

Elements l_1, \ldots, l_s are called linearly independent w.r.t. k if their homogeneous parts in k are linearly independent. dent. $\{l_1, \ldots, l_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subset L$ is called a basis of \mathcal{M} w.r.t. k if every $l \in \mathcal{M}$ has a unique representation

$$l(k,q) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i l_i(k,q) + Q(q), \qquad (4-4)$$

where $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$; i = 1, ..., s and Q is linear. We define $rank_k \mathcal{M} = s$.

²Or by the auxiliary theorem below.

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset L$ be a finite subset

$$\mathcal{L} = \{l_i(k,q) = \sum_{j=1}^m C_{ij}k_j + Q_i(q) \mid i = 1, ..., N\},$$
(4-5a)

where

$$\operatorname{rank}(C_{ij}) = m$$

$$(C_{i1}, \dots, C_{im}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, N \quad , \qquad (4-5b)$$

$$l_i^2 \neq l_j^2 \quad \text{if } i \neq j$$

so that $rank_k \mathcal{L} = m$. Let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. We consider the behavior of the integral

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) = \int^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}} d^4 k_1 \cdots d^4 k_m \; \frac{Z(\lambda,k,q)}{E(k,q,\mu)} \tag{4-6}$$

for large λ . Here

$$E(k,q,\mu) = \prod_{\mathcal{N}} (l_i^2(k,q) + \mu_i^2)^{n_i}, \quad \mu_i^2 \ge 0, \quad n_i \in \mathbf{N} = \{1,2,\ldots\},$$
(4-7)

and $\prod_{\mathcal{N}}$ means the product over all $l_i \in \mathcal{N}$. Note that vanishing masses are allowed. The integration runs over all $k \in \mathbb{R}^{4m}$ constrained by $l_i^2(k,q) \leq \lambda^2$, i = 1, ..., N. The numerator is of the form

$$Z(\lambda, k, q) = \min_{i \in I} \left(\min_{j \in J_i} |M_{ij}(k, q)| \cdot \min_{l \in K_i} \lambda^{-p_{il}} |C_{il}(k, q)| \right),$$

$$(4-8)$$

where I, J_i, K_i are finite sets, $p_{il} \in \mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, and M_{ij}, C_{il} are polynomials. I subscripts the set of all $S \subseteq S_0$ (including $S = \emptyset$), where

$$S_0 = \{l_i \in \mathcal{N} \mid \mu_i = 0\}.$$
(4-9)

In the following, a function which is of the form (4-8) will be called a nominator function.

Next, we define the sets \mathcal{H}^{UV} and \mathcal{H}^{IR} as in Section 3, but instead of (3-4) we now let $l_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_d} \in S_0$ for defining \mathcal{H}^{IR} , and \mathcal{L} is given by (4-5). Note that we do not assume \mathcal{L} to be natural here.

We now refine the notion of ordered sequences of Zimmermann subspaces as defined in [1], Section 5.

Definition 4.1. Let

$$\frac{u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}}{v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(m-r)}}$$
(4-10)

be an arbitrary basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k. A sequence H_1, \ldots, H_t of subspaces in \mathcal{H}^{UV} is called ordered in u w.r.t. the basis (4-10) if

- 1. H_1, \ldots, H_t is ordered w.r.t. the basis (4-10), and
- 2. The parameters of every H_i are contained in $(u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(\tau)})$. (4-11)

This notion will be very useful below when we define an "admissible" numerator $Z(\lambda, k, q)$. To this end, we first introduce sets U_1 and U_2 defined as follows.

1. \mathcal{U}_1 is the set of all pairs (H, \mathcal{S}) such that

a.
$$H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$$
, $S \subseteq S_0$.
b. The complementary parameters of H contain a basis of S w.r.t. k .
(4-12)

2. \mathcal{U}_2 is the set of pairs (H, S) such that

A set of two maps

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{L} \\ (H, \mathcal{S}) \to \delta(H, \mathcal{S}) \end{array} \tag{4-14a}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}_2 \to \mathbf{Z} \\ (H, \mathcal{S}) \to \rho(H, \mathcal{S}) \end{array} \tag{4-14b}$$

is called a degree set. In connection with the following definiton it generalizes the notion of a UV-set as defined in [1].

We want to state the cutoff dependence of the integral (4-6). We assume that the numerator $Z(\lambda, k, q)$ is admissible w.r.t. a given degree set:

Definition 4.2. Suppose $\delta(H, S)$, $\rho(H, S)$ is a degree set. A nominator function $Z(\lambda, k, q)$ is then called admissible w.r.t. the degree set, if for every $S \subseteq S_0$ there is an $i \in I$, so that for every basis (4-10) of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k, where $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}$ is a basis of S w.r.t. k, the following conditions hold.

1. $M_{ij}(k,q) \equiv M_{ij}(u,q)$ for every $j \in J_i$, i.e. the polynomials M_{ij} depend only on the basis of S and on the external momenta q^3 .

2. For every sequence H_1, \ldots, H_t of subspaces of \mathcal{H}^{IR} which is ordered in u w.r.t. the basis (4-10), there exists $j \in J_i$, so that ⁴

$$\operatorname{degr}_{x_g|w_g} M_{ij} \ge \rho(H_g, \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, t.$$

$$(4-15)$$

Here (x_g) denotes the parameters of H_g and $(x_g, w_g) = (u, v)$.

3. For every sequence K_1, \ldots, K_s of subspaces of \mathcal{H}^{UV} which is ordered in v w.r.t. the basis (4-10), there is a $l \in K_i$, so that

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{y_{g|z_{g}}} C_{il} - p_{il} \le \delta(H_{g}, \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, s,$$

$$(4-16)$$

where (y_g) denotes the parameters of H_g and $(y_g, z_g) = (u, v)$.

The notion of an admissible numerator w.r.t. a given degree set generalizes the idea of an ultraviolet set of [1]. It enables us to control the ultraviolet as well as the infrared behavior of the integral (4-6).

We now define IR- and UV-divergence degrees for integrals of the form (4-6) with a numerator $Z(\lambda, k, q)$ which is amissible w.r.t. a given degree set (4-14). For $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$, parametrized by $(v) = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$, a UV-divergence degree is defined by

$$\omega(H) \equiv \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{H} \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda} = 4d + \delta(H) - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v} E(k, q, \mu), \tag{4-17}$$

$$\delta(H) = \max \delta(H, S). \tag{4-18}$$

The maximum is over all S with $(H,S) \in U_1$. Furthermore, for a basis

$$u_{1} = l_{i_{1}}, \dots, u_{r} = l_{i_{r}}$$

$$w_{1} = l_{j_{1}}, \dots, w_{m-\tau} = l_{j_{m-r}}$$

$$(4-19)$$

we define for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$, parametrized by $(u) = (u_1, \ldots, u_r)$, an IR-divergence degree by

$$r(H) \equiv \deg_{H} \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda} = 4r + \rho(H) - \deg_{u|w} E(k(u, w, q), q, \mu), \qquad (4-20)$$

$$\rho(H) = \min \rho(H, S). \tag{4-21}$$

The minimum is over all S with $(H, S) \in U_2$.

The following theorem states the cutoff dependence of integrals (4-6) if a degree set is given w.r.t. which the numerator $Z(\lambda, k, q)$ is admissible.

³If this holds for one basis of S, it holds for any other basis of S also.

⁴For the definition of degr_u see Appendix A.

Theorem 2. Auxiliary Theorem. Suppose the nominator function $Z(\lambda, k, q)$ of (4-6) is admissible w.r.t. a given degree set. Denote the corresponding divergence degrees by $\omega(H)$, $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$, and by r(H), $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$. Suppose that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$

$$r(H) > 0.$$
 (4-22)

Then the integral $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu)$ exists for every finite λ . Furthermore, there exist constants $K(\mu,q) > 0$ and $c(\mu,q) > 0$, so that for $\lambda > K(\mu,q)$

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) \leq c(\mu,q) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \\ \lambda^{-1} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \text{ and all } p_{il} \geq 1 \\ \lambda^{\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \{\omega(H)\}} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$
(4-23)

The proof of the auxiliary theorem is postponed to Sections 7 and 8.

We now state a corollary to the auxiliary theorem which will also be needed later on. Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) = \int^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}} d^4k_1 \cdots d^4k_m \; \frac{|P(k,q)|}{E(k,q,\mu)},\tag{4-24}$$

where P(k,q) is a polynomial and $E(k,q,\mu)$ is given by (4-7). For such an integral divergence degrees are defined as follows. Let \mathcal{H}^{UV} and \mathcal{H}^{IR} as above. For $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$, parametrized by $(v) = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ and with complementary parameters $(z) = (z_1, \ldots, z_{m-d})$, so that k = k(v, z, q), we define a UV-divergence degree by

$$\omega(H) \equiv \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{H} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda} \equiv 4d + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v|z} P(k,q) - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v|z} E(k,q,\mu).$$
(4-25)

An IR-divergence degree for $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$, parametrized by $(u) = (u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ and with complementary parameters $(w) = (w_1, \ldots, w_{m-r})$, so that k = k(u, w, q), is defined by

$$r(H) \equiv \underline{\deg}_{H} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda} \equiv 4r + \underline{\deg}_{u|w} P(k,q) - \underline{\deg}_{u|w} E(k,q,\mu).$$
(4-26)

Corollary. Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) = \int^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}} d^4k_1 \cdots d^4k_m \; \frac{|P(k,q)|}{E(k,q,\mu)}. \tag{4-24}$$

Suppose that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$

$$r(H) > 0. \tag{4-27}$$

Then $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu)$ converges for every finite λ , and there exist constants $K(\mu,q) > 0$ and $c(\mu,q) > 0$, so that for $\lambda > K(\mu,q)$

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) \leq c(\mu,q) \quad \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0\\ \lambda^{\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} [\omega(H)]} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$
(4-28)

This is a direct consequence of the auxiliary theorem and is proved in Appendix B. Both the auxiliary theorem and its corollary will be used below to determine the cutoff dependence of the integrals into which the lattice Feynman integrals (3-12) are partitioned, as described at the end of Section 3.

5. Bounds on the numerator of a lattice Feynman integrand.

We now state an estimate for the numerator of a Feynman integrand (3-12) which allows an application of the auxiliary theorem of Section 4. To this end, let \mathcal{L} again denote a natural set of line momenta and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

Define a degree set \mathcal{D} as the set of the following two maps.

1. For every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ and every $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, set $\delta(H, \mathcal{S}) = \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{v}} V$, where (v) is the parametrization of H.

2. For every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ and every $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, set $\rho(H, S) = \underline{\deg_{i}}_{u|v} V$, where (u) are the parameters and (v) the complementary parameters of H.

Theorem 3. Let $V(k,q;a) \in \mathbf{C}_{m_0}^c$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and (ka,qa) be bounded. Then V can be estimated by

$$|V(k,q;a) - P(k,q)| \le a^p \sum_{b \in B} Z_b(k,q) , \qquad (5-1)$$

where B is a finite set and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $b \in B$, $a^p Z_b(k,q)$ is a nominator function which is admissible w.r.t. the degree set \mathcal{D} . Furthermore, $P(k,q) = \lim_{a \to 0} V(k,q;a)$. For every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$, the inequality

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|v} P \ge \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|v} V \tag{5-2}$$

holds, and for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$, we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|v} P \le \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}} V, \tag{5-3}$$

where (u) denotes the parameters of H and (v) the complementary parameters.

Every function $a^p Z_b$ is of the form (4-8) with λ replaced by a^{-1} , where all powers of a are equal to p. If $P(k,q) \neq 0$, p can be chosen to be 1. If $P(k,q) \equiv 0$, p is the largest natural number such that $\lim_{a\to 0} V(k,q;a)/a^p \neq 0$ exists. Note that all the δ and ρ of the degree set \mathcal{D} are independent of subsets $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ (cp. (3-4) and (4-12)f). The δ are independent also of the external momenta q. However, the IR-degrees are not so. The theorem looks like Theorem 3 in [1], the only difference being that we are now able to control also the IR-behavior.

The proof of Theorem 3 is postponed to Appendix C. We now start to prove the power counting theorem using this estimation and the auxiliary theorem.

6. Proof of the Power Counting Theorem.

At first, the integration domain of (3-12) will be partitioned as indicated at the end of Section 3.

$$\widehat{I}(q;\mu,a) = \sum_{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{z} \widehat{I}_{Jz}(q;\mu,a),$$
(6-1)

where for every J the sum over z is finite, and for every sector $J, z = (z_i \in \mathbb{Z}^4 | i \in J)$

$$\widehat{I}_{J_{z}}(q;\mu,a) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{a}}^{\frac{\pi}{a}} d^{4}k_{1}\cdots d^{4}k_{m} \frac{V(k,q;\mu,a)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\eta_{i}(l;a)}{a^{2}} + \mu_{i}^{2}\right)} \cdot \left(\prod_{i\in J} \Theta(\frac{\pi}{a}\epsilon - ||l_{i} - \frac{2\pi}{a}z_{i}||)\right) \prod_{i\notin J} \Theta_{\epsilon}(l_{i}).$$
(6-2)

Here, Θ is the Heaviside step function, $\Theta(x) = 1$ for $x \ge 0$ and $\Theta(x) = 0$ for x < 0, and

$$\Theta_{\epsilon}(l) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } ||l - \frac{2\pi}{a}z|| < \frac{\pi}{a}\epsilon \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(6-3)

and ϵ is a positive constant. If $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, for every J, z one can find a translation $k_j \rightarrow k_j + (2\pi/a)\delta_j$, j = 1, ..., m, so that

$$l_i \rightarrow l_i + rac{2\pi}{a} z_i$$
 for all $i \in J$. (6-4)

This is a direct consequence of the naturalness of line momenta ([1], Appendix D). Hence

$$\widehat{I}_{Jz}(q;\mu,a) = \int_{\sigma_J} d^4 k_1 \cdots d^4 k_m \; \frac{V(k,q;a)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\eta_i(l,a)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2\right)} \; \left(\prod_{i \in J} \Theta(\frac{\pi}{a}\epsilon - ||l_i||)\right) \cdot \prod_{i \notin J} \Theta_\epsilon(l_i), \tag{6-5}$$

where

$$\sigma_J = \{(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbf{R}^{4m} | -\frac{\pi}{a} - \frac{2\pi}{a} (\delta_j)_i \le (k_j)_i \le \frac{\pi}{a} - \frac{2\pi}{a} (\delta_j)_i, \ j = 1, \ldots, m; \ i = 1, \ldots, 4\}.$$
(6-6)

Using Theorem 3, we write V(k,q;a) = P(k,q) + R(k,q;a) and $\widehat{I}_{Jz} = \widehat{I}_{Jz}^0 + \widehat{I}_{Jz}^R$, where

$$\widehat{I}_{J_{z}}^{0} = \int_{\sigma_{J}} d^{4}k_{1} \cdots d^{4}k_{m} \frac{P(k,q)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\eta_{i}(l_{i}a)}{a^{2}} + \mu_{i}^{2}\right)} \left(\prod_{i \in J} \Theta\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\epsilon - ||l_{i}||\right)\right) \cdot \prod_{i \notin J} \Theta_{\epsilon}(l_{i})$$

$$(6-7)$$

and

$$\widehat{I}_{J_{z}}^{R} = \int_{\sigma_{J}} d^{4}k_{1} \cdots d^{4}k_{m} \frac{R(k,q;a)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\eta_{i}(l_{i}a)}{a^{2}} + \mu_{i}^{2}\right)} \left(\prod_{i \in J} \Theta(\frac{\pi}{a}\epsilon - ||l_{i}||)\right) \cdot \prod_{i \notin J} \Theta_{\epsilon}(l_{i}).$$

$$(6-8)$$

R(k,q;a) admits an estimate of the form

$$|R(k,q;a)| \le a^{p} \sum_{b \in B} Z_{b}(k,q), \qquad (6-9)$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, B is a finite set, and for every $b \in B$ the function $a^p Z_b(k,q)$ is a nominator function which is admissible w.r.t. the degree set \mathcal{D} , defined at the beginning of Section 5.

As an elementary property of the propagators, for small enough ϵ there are constants α and γ , so that

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\gamma_i(l_ia)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2} \le \frac{\alpha}{l_i^2 + \mu_i^2}$$
(6-10)

for all $||l_i|| < (\pi/a)\epsilon$, and

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\eta_i(l,a)}{a^2} + \mu_i^2} \le \gamma a^2 \tag{6-11}$$

whenever $||l_i - (2\pi/a)z|| \ge (\pi/a)\epsilon$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Let h be the number of elements of J. Using the bounds (6-10), (6-11), we get the estimates

$$|\widehat{I}_{J_{z}}^{0}(q;\mu,a)| \leq \overline{I}_{J_{z}}^{0}(q,\mu,a) = \alpha^{h}(\gamma a^{2})^{n-h} \int_{\kappa_{J}} d^{4}k_{1} \cdots d^{4}k_{m} \frac{|P(k,q)|}{\prod_{i \in J} (l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2})}, \tag{6-12}$$

and

$$|\widehat{I}_{Jz}^{R}(q;\mu,a)| \leq \sum_{b \in B} \overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a), \qquad (6-13a)$$

where

$$\overline{I}_{J_{z}}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a) = \alpha^{h} (\gamma a^{2})^{n-h} \int_{\kappa_{J}} d^{4}k_{1} \cdots d^{4}k_{m} \ \frac{a^{p} Z_{b}(k,q)}{\prod_{i \in J} (l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2})}, \tag{6-13b}$$

$$\kappa_J = \{(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbf{R}^{4m} \mid ||l_j|| \le \frac{\delta}{a} \text{ for all } l_j \in \mathcal{L}_J\},$$
(6-14)

$$\mathcal{L}_J = \{l_j | j \in J\} \cup \{k_1, \dots, k_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}, \tag{6-15}$$

$$\delta = \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \left(\pi \epsilon , \ 4\pi \left(1 + \frac{||\delta_i||}{2} \right) \right). \tag{6-16}$$

To every integral in (6-12) or (6-13) we now apply the auxiliary theorem or its corollary, respectively. All the integrals are of the form needed, λ being replaced by δ/a and \mathcal{L} by \mathcal{L}_J . The corresponding sets of subspaces \mathcal{H}_J^{UV} and \mathcal{H}_J^{IR} are defined by basis of \mathcal{L}_J w.r.t. k. By (6-15), $\mathcal{H}_J^{UV} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ and $\mathcal{H}_J^{IR} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{IR}$.

We first consider the integrals $\overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}$. Every integral in (6-13) satisfies the conditions to apply the auxiliary theorem with the degree set

$$\delta(H, S) = \overline{\deg}_{\widehat{v}} V \text{ and } \rho(H, S) = \underline{\deg}_{\widehat{u}|w} V, \qquad (6-17)$$

for $H \in \mathcal{H}_J^{IR}$ with parametrization $(u) = (u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)})$ w.r.t. a basis (u, w) of \mathcal{L}_J and for $H \in \mathcal{H}_J^{UV}$ with parametrization (v), respectively. Remember that the δ and ρ are independent of the subsets $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. In the notation (4-20), for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_J^{IR}$, parametrized by $(u) = (u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)})$ and with complementary parameters (w),

$$\frac{\operatorname{degr}}{\operatorname{H}} \operatorname{H} \overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a) \equiv 4r + \rho(H) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \prod_{i \in J} \left(l_i^2 + \mu_i^2 \right) \\
= \left[4r + \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|w} V - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|w} C \right] + \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \prod_{i \notin J} \left(l_i^2 + \mu_i^2 \right) > 0, \quad (6-18)$$

where we have used (3-6). Hence, using the auxiliary theorem, all integrals in (6-13) are convergent, for every finite lattice spacing a. Furthermore, for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_J^{UV}$, with parametrization $(v) = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{H}\overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a) = 4d + \delta(H) - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v} \prod_{i \in J} \left(l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2} \right)$$

$$= \left[4d + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{v}} V - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{v}} C \right] + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v} \prod_{i \notin J} \left(l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2} \right)$$

$$< 2(n-h), \qquad (6-19)$$

where we have used (3-7), i.e.

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{H}\overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a) \leq 2(n-h) - 1 \quad \text{for all } H \in \mathcal{H}_{J}^{UV}.$$
(6-20)

Using the auxiliary theorem, there exist positive K and c, so that for all $a < K^{-1}$

a. if
$$n-h > 0$$
, $\overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a) \le c(a^2)^{n-h} a^{-[2(n-h)-1]} \log^m a = c a \log^m a.$ (6-21)

b. if
$$n-h=0$$
, $\overline{I}_{Jz}^{(b)}(q,\mu,a)\leq c\ a\ \log^m a$ (because of $p\geq 1$). (6-22)

This means that the remainder $\widehat{I}_{J_z}^R$ does not contribute in the continuum limit. If $P(k,q) \equiv 0$, all $\widehat{I}_{J_z}^0$ vanish, and the proof of the power counting theorem is complete.

Thus, let us assume that $P(k,q) \not\equiv 0$. For every $H \in \mathcal{H}_J^{IR}$ with parametrization $(u) = (u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ (and complementary variables $(w) = (w_1, \ldots, w_{m-r})$ w.r.t. a basis (u, w) of \mathcal{L}_J)

$$\operatorname{degr}_{u|w} P \ge \operatorname{degr}_{\widehat{u}|w} V. \tag{6-23}$$

Hence, in the notation of (4-26), using (3-6)

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{H} \overline{I}_{J_{z}}^{0}(q,\mu,a) \equiv 4r + \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} P(k,q) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \prod_{i \in J} \left(l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2} \right)$$

$$\geq \left[4r + \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|w} V - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{u}|w} C \right] + \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \prod_{i \notin J} \left(l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2} \right) > 0.$$
(6-24)

Hence, by the corollary to the auxiliary theorem, $\overline{I}_{Jz}^{0}(q,\mu,a)$ is absolutely convergent for every finite a > 0. If in addition (3-7) holds, then for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_{J}^{UV}$ with parametrization $(v) = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$, (z) being the complementary parameters of H,

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{H}\overline{I}_{Jz}^{0}(q,\mu,a) \equiv 4d + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v|z}P(k,q) - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v|z}\prod_{i\in J}\left(l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \left[4d + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{v}}V - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{v}}C\right] + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v}\prod_{i\notin J}\left(l_{i}^{2} + \mu_{i}^{2}\right) < 2(n-h), \quad (6-25)$$

hence

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_H \overline{I}_{J_z}^0(q,\mu,a) \le 2(n-h) - 1 \tag{6-26}$$

for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_J^{UV}$. Again applying the corollary to the auxiliary theorem, there are constants K and c, so that for all a < 1/K

a. if
$$n-h > 0$$
, $\overline{I}_{J_2}^0(q,\mu,a) \le c(a^2)^{n-h} \cdot a^{-[2(n-h)-1]} \log^m a = c \ a \, \log^m a$ (6-27)

b. if
$$n - h = 0$$
, $\overline{I}_{r_{*}}^{0}(q, \mu, a) < c$. (6-28)

We thus see that the continuum limit of $\widehat{I}(q; \mu, a)$ exists. As in the massive case, by the naturalness of line momenta, there is only one sector which contributes in this limit, given by $J = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and z = 0. Using the dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue, we get

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \widehat{I}(q; \mu, a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4 k_1 \cdots d^4 k_m \; \frac{P(k, q)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (l_i^2 + \mu_i^2)}.$$
 (6-29)

where the first of the second s

This completely proves the power counting theorem for Feynman integrals with massless propagators.

7. A lemma about IR-behavior.

To prove the auxiliary theorem we need a statement about the IR-behavior of generalized continuum Feynman integrals. The integrals considered in this section are of the general form

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \int_{l_i^2 \le 1 \text{ in } \mathcal{W}} d^4 u_1 \cdots d^4 u_r \frac{\min_{i \in I} |M_i(u)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{V}} (l_j(u)^2)^{n_j}},\tag{7-1}$$

where $u_1, \ldots, u_r \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $\mathcal{W} = \{l_j(u) | j = 1, \ldots, w\}$, and the l_j 's are linear combinations of u_1, \ldots, u_r in such a way that $rank_u \mathcal{W} = r$. Without loss of generality we assume the l_j^2 to be mutually different. \mathcal{V} is a subset of \mathcal{W} , and for $l_j \in \mathcal{V}$ we assume $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$. I is a finite set, and all M_i are polynomials in the components of u_1, \ldots, u_r . The integration domain consists of those u satisfying $l_i^2(u) \leq 1$ for all $l_i \in \mathcal{W}$.

A set \mathcal{H}^{IR} of equivalence classes of affine subspaces of (u_1, \ldots, u_r) is defined as in Section 3 (with \mathcal{W} for \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{V} for \mathcal{M} and u instead of k). To make a statement about the convergence of (7-1), we introduce the notion of an IR-set for the family of polynomials M_i^5 .

Definition 7.1. The set $\{\rho(H)|H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}\}$ is called an infrared-set (IR-set), if

1.
$$\rho(H) \in \mathbf{Z}$$
 for all $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$.

2. For any basis (x_1, \ldots, x_r) of \mathcal{W} w.r.t. u and any sequence H_1, \ldots, H_t of subspaces in \mathcal{H}^{IR} which is ordered w.r.t this basis, there is an $i \in I$ so that

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z_j|w_j} M_i \ge \rho(H_j) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, t,$$
(7-2)

where (z_j) are the parameters of H_j and $(z_j, w_j) = (x_1, \ldots, x_r), j = 1, \ldots, t$.

The integral (7-1) does not depend on external momenta. In (7-2), no momenta are fixed (in the sense of Appendix A). For this reason we will omit the complementary variables throughout this section (and only here) once a basis is given, i.e. we write

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z_j} M_i \equiv \operatorname{degr}_{z_j | w_i} M_i.$$

Depending on an IR-set, we define divergence degrees of (7-1) for arbitrary $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ as follows. Let

$$z_{1} = l_{i_{1}}, \dots, z_{s} = l_{i_{s}}$$

$$w_{1} = l_{i_{1}}, \dots, w_{r-s} = l_{i_{s-1}}$$
(7-3)

be a basis of \mathcal{W} w.r.t. u, where $l_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_s} \in \mathcal{V}$, then for $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$, parametrized by $(z) = (z_1, \ldots, z_s)$, we define an IR-degree of (7-1) by

$$r(H) = 4s + \rho(H) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z} \prod_{\mathcal{V}} \left(l_{j}^{2}(u(z,w)) \right)^{n_{j}}.$$

$$(7-4)$$

Lemma 7.1. IR-Lemma. Assume that a degree set is given. Let $\{r(H)|H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}\}$ be the corresponding set of IR-divergence degrees. Suppose that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$

$$r(H) > 0. \tag{7-5}$$

and a second second

Then the integral

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \int_{l_i^2 \le 1 \text{ in } \mathcal{W}} d^4 u_1 \cdots d^4 u_\tau \; \frac{\min_{i \in I} |M_i(u)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{V}} (l_j(u)^2)^{n_j}} \tag{7-1}$$

is convergent.

We now prove the lemma by induction on the number r of four-dimensional integrations. The idea of proof is similar to that of the auxiliary power counting theorem of [1]. The integration domain is decomposed into

⁵**cp.** the notion of a UV-set in [1].

various parts and the resulting section integrals are split appropriately with the aim to do one integration in an elementary way and to apply the induction hypothesis to the other integrations.

The case r = 0 is trivial. Hence let $r \ge 1$. For every $\xi = 1, \ldots, w$ we define a sector $X_{\xi} \subset \mathbf{R}^{4r}$ as the set of those *u* satisfying l_i^2

$$(u) \le l_{\xi}^2(u) \le 1$$
 for all $i = 1, ..., w$. (7-6)

Next, we make a linear non-singular transformation

$$t_i = \sum_{j=1}^r (A_{\xi})_{ij} \ u_j; \qquad (A_{\xi})_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}; \ i, j = 1, \dots, r$$
(7-7a)

so that

$$t_1 = l_{\xi}(u)$$
 and $det(A_{\xi}) = 1.$ (7-7b)

Define $\mathcal{W}_{\xi} = \mathcal{W} \setminus \{l_{\xi}\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\xi} = \mathcal{V} \setminus \{l_{\xi}\}$. For every ξ and $S \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\xi}$ we choose a basis

$$z_1, \ldots, z_s, v_1, \ldots, v_{r-s-1} \tag{7-8a}$$

of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}}$ w.r.t. (t_2, \ldots, t_{τ}) such that z_1, \ldots, z_s is a basis of \mathcal{S} w.r.t. (t_2, \ldots, t_{τ}) . Then $v_1, \ldots, v_{\tau-s-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ and

$$u = u(z, v, t_1) \equiv f_{\xi \mathcal{S}}(z, v, t_1) \tag{7-8b}$$

is a linear function. Every $l_i \in S$ has a $(\xi, S$ -dependent) representation

$$l_i(z, t_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_{ij} \, z_j + d_i \, t_1.$$
(7-9)

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the set of all $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ which are parametrized by a basis of \mathcal{V} w.r.t. (u_1, \ldots, u_r) . Set

$$\Delta = \min_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{V}}} \rho(H). \tag{7-10}$$

For every $\xi, S \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\xi}$, let H_1, \ldots, H_t be an arbitrary, ordered sequence of spaces of \mathcal{H}^{IR} , so that

a. for
$$j = 1, ..., t - 1$$
, H_j is parametrized by $(k_j) \subseteq S$.
b. H_i is parametrized by a basis (k_i) of $\mathcal{V} (\supset S)$ w.r.t. $(u_1, ..., u_r)$. (7-11)

By assumption, for every such sequence there is an $i \in I$, so that

$$\deg_{k_i} M_i \ge \rho(H_j) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, t. \tag{7-12}$$

The set of all these $i \in I$ is denoted by $I(\xi, S)$.

We now give an appropriate estimation for the integral $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ (Lemma 7.2) which allows us to apply the hypothesis of induction (Lemma 7.3).

Lemma 7.2. For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, the integral (7-1) admits an estimate

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}} \leq \sum_{\xi=1}^{w} \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\xi}} \sum_{y \in Y(\xi, \mathcal{S})} \int_{t_1^2 \leq 1} d^4 t_1 ||t_1||^{\eta} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi, \mathcal{S}, y}^{int}(t_1),$$

$$(7-13)$$

A CONTRACTOR DE MANAGEMENTE DE LE MANAGEMENTE DE LA CONTRACTÓ DE LA CONTRACTÓ DE LA CONTRACTÓ DE LA CONTRACTÓ D

where $Y(\xi, S)$ are finite sets, $\eta > -4$ and

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi,\mathcal{S},y}^{int}(t_{1}) = \int_{l_{i}^{2}(z',t_{1}') \leq \epsilon^{2} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^{4}z_{1}' \cdots d^{4}z_{s}' \frac{1}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}}(l_{j}^{2}(z',t_{1}'))^{n_{j}}} \cdot \\ \cdot \int_{\Omega'(\xi,\mathcal{S})} d^{4}v_{1}' \cdots d^{4}v_{\tau-s-1}' \frac{\min_{i \in I(\xi,\mathcal{S})} |P_{iy}(v',t_{1}') \cdot T_{iy}(z')|}{\prod_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{S}}(l_{j}^{2}(u(z',v',t_{1}')))^{n_{j}}},$$
(7-14)

where $t'_1 = t_1 / ||t_1||$,

$$\Omega'(\xi, \mathcal{S}) = \left\{ (v'_1, \dots, v'_{r-s-1}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} 1 \ge l_i^2(u(z', v', t'_1)) \ge \epsilon^2 \quad \text{if} \quad l_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \setminus \mathcal{S} \\ 1 \ge l_i^2(u(z', v', t'_1)) \quad \text{if} \quad l_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \end{array} \right\},$$
(7-15)

and for every ξ , S, $u(z', v', t'_1) \equiv f_{\xi S}(z', v', t'_1)$, where $f_{\xi S}$ is defined in (7-8b). For every $i \in I(\xi, S)$ and every $y \in Y(\xi, S)$, P_{iy} and T_{iy} are polynomials, and for any affine subspace of the (z')-variables, parametrized by (\tilde{z}) say, we have

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\overline{z}} \overline{z}_{iy}(z') \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\overline{z}} M_i(u(z', v', t'_1)).$$
(7-16)

PROOF: Applying the transformations (7-7) and (7-8) to $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ for every ξ and S and noticing that

$$\cup_{\boldsymbol{\xi}=1}^{\boldsymbol{w}} X_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \{(u_1,\ldots,u_{\tau}) \in \mathbf{R}^{4\tau} | l_j^2(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq 1 \text{ for all } l_j \in \mathcal{W}\},\$$

we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{w} \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\ell \mathcal{S}}, \tag{7-17}$$

where

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi,S} = \int_{t_1^2 \le 1} d^4 t_1 \int_{l_1^2 \le \epsilon^2 t_1^2 \text{ in } S} d^4 z_1 \cdots d^4 z_s \frac{1}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}} (l_j^2)^{n_j}} \\ \cdot \int_{\Omega(\xi,S)} d^4 v_1 \cdots d^4 v_{r-s-1} \frac{\min_{i \in I} |M_i(u(z,v,t_1))|}{\prod_{\mathcal{V} \setminus S} (l_j^2)^{n_j}},$$
(7-18)

and

.

$$\Omega(\xi,\mathcal{S}) = \left\{ (v_1,\ldots,v_{\tau-s-1}) \middle| egin{array}{c} t_1^2 \geq l_i^2(u(z,v,t_1)) \geq \epsilon^2 t_1^2 \ ext{if} \ l_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \setminus \mathcal{S} \ t_1^2 \geq l_i^2(u(z,v,t_1)) & ext{if} \ l_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\xi} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \end{array}
ight\}.$$

Here we have used (7-9) for every $l_i \in S$. We now decompose the polynomials in the numerator into linearly independent homogeneous polynomials $M_{i\alpha}$ of the order α in u

$$M_i(u) = \sum_{\alpha \ge 0} M_{i\alpha}(u), \qquad (7-19a)$$

and furthermore, every $M_{i\alpha}$ is decomposed into linearly independent homogeneous polynomials $T_{\beta}(z)$ in z,

$$M_{i\alpha}(u) = \sum_{\beta} P_{i\alpha\beta}(v, t_1) T_{\beta}(z) \quad , \ P_{i\alpha\beta}(v, t_1) \neq 0$$
(7-19b)

in such a way that the polynomials $P_{i\alpha\beta}$ for fixed i, α are linearly independent. Lemma A.1 in the Appendix states that this is always possible. Hence

$$M_i(u) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} P_{i\alpha\beta}(v, t_1) T_{\beta}(z). \qquad (7-19c)$$

Using the linear independence of the $M_{i\alpha}$ for fixed *i* and Lemma A.1 again, for any affine subspace of the (z)-variables, parametrized by (\tilde{z}) say, we get

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\overline{z}}T_{\beta}(z) \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\overline{z}}M_{i}(u(z,v,t_{1})), \qquad (7-20)$$

for every T_{β} in (7-19c). Hence, for every ξ, S

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi,\mathcal{S}} \leq \sum_{(\alpha_{i},\beta_{i}) \text{ for all } i \in J} \int_{t_{1}^{2} \leq 1} d^{4}t_{1} \int_{l_{i}^{2} \leq \epsilon^{2} t_{1}^{2} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^{4}z_{1} \cdots d^{4}z_{s} \frac{1}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}} (l_{j}^{2}(z,t_{1}))^{n_{j}}} \cdot \int_{\Omega(\xi,\mathcal{S})} d^{4}v_{1} \cdots d^{4}v_{r-s-1} \frac{\min_{i \in I(\xi,\mathcal{S})} |P_{i\alpha_{i}\beta_{i}}(v,t_{1}) T_{\beta_{i}}(z)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{S}} (l_{j}^{2}(u(z,v,t_{1}))^{n_{j}}},$$

$$(7-21)$$

where the minimum has been restricted to $I(\xi, S)$. Let $r_i = \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_u M_i(u)$ for every $i \in I(\xi, S)$. By definition (7-10) of Δ ,

$$r_i - \Delta \ge 0. \tag{7-22}$$

Substituting

$$(z_1, \dots, z_s) = (z'_1, \dots, z'_s) \cdot ||t_1||$$

$$v_1, \dots, v_{r-s-1}) = (v'_1, \dots, v'_{r-s-1}) \cdot ||t_1||$$

(7-23)

and writing $t'_1 = t_1/||t_1||$, we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi,\mathcal{S}} \leq \sum_{y \in Y(\xi,\mathcal{S})} \int_{t_1^2 \leq 1} d^4 t_1 \, \|t_1\|^{\eta} \, \int_{l_i^2 \leq \epsilon^2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^4 z_1' \cdots d^4 z_s' \, \frac{1}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}} (l_j^2(z', t_1'))^{n_j}} \\
\cdot \int_{\Omega'(\xi,\mathcal{S})} d^4 v_1' \cdots d^4 v_{r-s-1}' \, \frac{\min_{i \in I(\xi,\mathcal{S})} \|t_1\|^{r_i - \Delta} \cdot |P_{iy}(v', t_1') \, T_{iy}(z')|}{\prod_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{S}} (l_j^2(u(z', v', t_1')))^{n_j}},$$
(7-24)

where we have collected indices, and $\eta = 4(r-1) - \underline{\deg}_u \prod_{\mathcal{V}} (l_j^2(u))^{n_j} + \Delta$. Choose any $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{V}}$, parametrized by $(w) = (w_1, \ldots, w_b)$ say, such that $\rho(H) = \Delta$. Then

$$\eta = 4(r-1) - \underline{\deg r}_{u} \prod_{\mathcal{V}} (l_{j}^{2}(u))^{n_{j}} + \Delta \ge 4(b-1) - \underline{\deg r}_{w} \prod_{\mathcal{V}} (l_{j}^{2})^{n_{j}} + \rho(H) > -4.$$
(7-25)

Because of (7-22), Lemma 7.2 is completely proved.

(1

All what remains to show is the following

Lemma 7.3. There is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the following statement holds: For all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, for all $\xi, S \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\xi}$ and all $y \in Y(\xi, S)$ there exists a constant $c_{\xi,S,y}$, so that

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi,\mathcal{S},y}^{int} \leq c_{\xi,\mathcal{S},y}.$$
(7-26)

Combining this statement with Lemma 7.2, the IR-lemma follows directly.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7.3: At first, note that

$$\min_{\xi I(\xi,S)} |P_{iy}(v',t_1') T_{iy}(z')| \le \min_{i \in I(\xi,S)} |T_{iy}(z')| \cdot \max_{y \in Y(\xi,S), i \in I(\xi,S)} |P_{iy}(v',t_1')|.$$
(7-27)

By $||t_1'|| = 1$, $||v_i'|| \le 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r - s - 1$, the inner integrals in (7-14) can be estimated by

$$\frac{\text{const}}{\epsilon^{\mathbf{L}}} \cdot \min_{i \in I(\xi, \mathcal{S})} |T_{iy}(z')|,$$

where L is a non-negative integer. Consequently, for an appropriate constant $c_{\xi,S}$

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\xi,\mathcal{S},y}^{int}(t_1) \le c_{\xi,\mathcal{S}} \cdot \int_{l_i^2(z',t_1') \le \epsilon^2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^4 z_1' \cdots d^4 z_j' \, \frac{\min_{i \in I(\xi,\mathcal{S})} |T_{iy}(z')|}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}} (l_j^2(z',t_1'))^{n_j}}.$$
(7-28)

It can easily be seen that for small enough $\epsilon > 0$, the integral (7-28) vanishes whenever one can find $l_i \in S$ so that $d_i \neq 0$ in the representation

$$l_i(z', t'_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} c_{ij} \, z'_j \, + \, d_i \, t'_1. \tag{7-29}$$

This follows from $z'_1, \ldots, z'_s \in S$. For, the set of z' satisfying ${z'_j}^2 \leq \epsilon^2$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, s$ and $l_i^2(z', t'_1) \leq \epsilon^2$ for some $l_i \in S$ is empty if $d_i \neq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough. More precisely, let (x'_1, \ldots, x'_s) be a point of the integration domain of (7-28). Then, for every $l_i \in S$

$$|d_i| = ||d_i t_1'|| \le ||l_i(x', t_1')|| + \sum_{j=1}^{s} |c_{ij}| \cdot ||x_j'|| \le \epsilon \ (1+s \ |c|), \tag{7-30}$$

where $|c| = \max_{i,j} |c_{ij}|$, hence

$$\epsilon \ge \frac{|d_i|}{1+s|c|}$$
 for all $l_i \in S$. (7-31)

If there is $l_i \in S$ with $d_i \neq 0$, set

$$0<\epsilon\leq \frac{1}{2}\;\frac{|d_i|}{1+s|c|}.$$

Then the integration domain is empty. Cosequently it is sufficient to discuss only those S such that $d_i = 0$ for all $l_i \in S$, i.e. $l_i = l_i(z')$ for all $l_i \in S$. In particular, all integrals (7-28) are constant.

Finally we show that all conditions to apply the hypothesis of induction are satisfied by (7-28). Let

$$z^{(1)} = l_{i_1}, \dots, z^{(s)} = l_{i_s} \tag{7-32}$$

be a basis of S w.r.t. $(z') = (z'_1, \ldots, z'_s)$ and let H_1, \ldots, H_t be a sequence of classes of affine subspaces of (z') which is ordered w.r.t. this basis, i.e.

a.
$$H_j$$
 is parametrized by $(k_j) \subseteq \{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(s)}\} \subseteq S$ for $j = 1, \ldots, t$.
b. The (\tilde{k}_j) contain the (\tilde{k}_h) for $j > h$. (7-33)

Every basis (7-32) can be completed with $w = l_{\xi}$ and v_1, \ldots, v_{r-s-1} of (7-8a) to a basis

 $l_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_s}, w, v_1, \ldots, v_{r-s-1}$

of W w.r.t. $(u) = (u_1, \ldots, u_r)$, $u = f_{\xi S}(z', v, w)$ (cp. (7-8b)). To every H_j in (7-33) we associate in this way an affine subspace of (u) which is parametrized by (\tilde{k}_j) , and we associate a corresponding $\rho(H_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$. By construction of $I(\xi, S)$ and by

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widetilde{k}_j}T_{iy}(z')\geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widetilde{k}_j}M_i(u(z',v,w)) \ ; \ \ \text{ for all } j=1,\ldots,t \ \text{and all } i\in I$$

(Lemma 7.2), there is $i \in I(\xi, S)$, so that

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widetilde{k}_j} T_{iy}(z') \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widetilde{k}_j} M_i(u) \geq \rho(H_j) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, t.$$

$$(7-34)$$

Hence the given IR-set, restricted to subspaces H of the above form, is also one w.r.t. the numerator of (7-28).

Let

be an arbitrary basis of S w.r.t. (z'_1, \ldots, z'_s) , so that z' = z'(x, y). Let H be the affine subspace of (z'_1, \ldots, z'_s) which is parametrized by x_1, \ldots, x_p , and y_1, \ldots, y_{s-p} are hold fixed. Then

$$4p + \rho(H) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{x} \prod_{S} (l_{j}^{2}(z'(x, y))^{n_{j}})$$

$$\geq 4p + \rho(H) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{x} \prod_{V} (l_{j}^{2}(u(z', v, w)))^{n_{j}}$$

$$> 0$$

$$(7-36)$$

by assumption. Hence the hypothesis of induction applies to the integrals (7-28), and consequently they are convergent. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.3 and of the IR-lemma.

8. Proof of the auxiliary theorem.

The idea of proof is rather simple. The integral (4-6) is divided into a sum of integrations over appropriate sections. In every sector the numerator is estimated by one argument of the outer minimum of (4-8). The

resulting integrals are of a form which allows application of Lemma 7.1 and the auxiliary power counting theorem of [1], giving the desired cutoff dependence.

At first, $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda}$ is written as

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{0}} \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda \mathcal{S}}, \tag{8-1}$$

where the sum goes over all subsets $S \subseteq S_0 = \{l_i \in \mathcal{N} | \mu_i = 0\}$, and

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda S}(q,\mu) = \int_{\substack{l_i^2 \le \epsilon^2 \text{ in } S \\ l_i^2 \ge \epsilon^2 \text{ in } \mathcal{I}}}^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}} d^4 k_1 \cdots d^4 k_m \frac{Z(\lambda,k,q)}{\prod_{\mathcal{N}} (l_j^2 + \mu_j^2)^{n_j}}, \qquad (8-2)$$

where we have written $\mathcal{T} = S_0 \setminus S$, and $\epsilon > 0$ is a constant. The integration domain is restricted to those k satisfying $l_i^2(k,q) \leq \epsilon^2$ for all $l_i \in S$ and $l_i^2(k,q) \geq \epsilon^2$ for all $l_i \in \mathcal{T}$. For every S choose a basis

$$u_1 = l_{i_1}, \dots, u_r = l_{i_r}$$
 (8-3a)

of S w.r.t. k and complete it by

$$v_1 = l_{j_1}, \dots, v_{m-r} = l_{j_{m-r}}$$
 (8-3b)

to a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k. We write $l_i = l_i(u, v, q)$. Every $l_i \in S$ has a representation

$$l_i = U_i + \overline{Q}_i(q), \quad U_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \widehat{C}_{ij} u_j.$$
(8-4)

Without loss of generality let the Jacobian for $k \to u, v$ be equal to one. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda\mathcal{S}}(q,\mu) \leq \int_{l_i^2 \leq \epsilon^2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^4 u_1 \cdots d^4 u_r \int_{l_i^2 \geq \epsilon^2 \text{ in } \mathcal{I}}^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}\setminus\mathcal{S}} d^4 v_1 \cdots d^4 v_{m-r} \frac{Z(\lambda,k(u,v,q),q)}{\prod_{\mathcal{N}}(l_j^2 + \mu_j^2)^{n_j}}.$$
(8-5)

As in Section 7 it can easily be seen that there is $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\overline{Q}, \widehat{C}, r) > 0$, so that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda S}(q, \mu) = 0$ if $\overline{Q}_j \neq 0$ for some $l_j \in S$. In the following we assume that $\overline{Q}_j = 0$ whenever $l_j \in S$, so that $l_j = l_j(u)$.

By assumption, the numerator $Z(\lambda, k, q)$ is admissible w.r.t. the given degree set. For any S we take the $i \in I$ of (4-8) which corresponds to S by Definition 4.2. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda\mathcal{S}}(q,\mu) \leq \int_{l_{i}^{2} \leq \epsilon^{2} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^{4}u_{1} \cdots d^{4}u_{r} \frac{\min_{j \in J_{i}} |M_{ij}(u,q)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}} (l_{j}^{2}(u))^{n_{j}}} \cdot \int_{l_{i}^{2} \geq \epsilon^{2} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}} d^{4}v_{1} \cdots d^{4}v_{m-r} \frac{\min_{l \in K_{i}} \lambda^{-p_{il}} |C_{il}(k(u,v,q),q)|}{\left(\prod_{\mathcal{T}} (l_{j}^{2}(u,v,q))^{n_{j}}\right) \left(\prod_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{0}} (l_{j}^{2}(u,v,q) + \mu_{j}^{2})^{n_{j}}\right)}.$$
(8-6)

To the inner integral we now apply the auxiliary power counting theorem of [1], while the outer integral will be estimated by the IR-lemma.

Lemma 8.1. Set

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda\mathcal{S}}^{e}(q,u,\mu) = \int_{l_{i}^{2} \geq \epsilon^{2} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}}^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}\setminus\mathcal{S}} d^{4}v_{1}\cdots d^{4}v_{m-r} \frac{\min_{l \in K_{i}} \lambda^{-p_{il}} |C_{il}(k(u,v,q),q)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{T}} \left(l_{j}^{2}(u,v,q)\right)^{n_{j}} \cdot \prod_{\mathcal{N}\setminus\mathcal{S}_{0}} \left(l_{j}^{2}(u,v,q) + \mu_{j}^{2}\right)^{n_{j}}}.$$
(8-7)

There exist $K_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu,q) > 0$ and $c_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu,q) > 0$, so that for all $(u_1,\ldots,u_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{4r}$, satisfying $l_i^2(u) \leq \epsilon^2$ for all $l_i \in S$, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda S}^{\epsilon}(q, u, \mu) \leq c_{S}(\mu, q) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0\\ \lambda^{-1} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \text{ and if all } p_{il} \geq 1\\ \lambda^{\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}}[\omega(H)]} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$
(8-8)

for all $\lambda > K_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu, q)$, where the ultraviolet divergence degrees $\omega(H)$ are given by (4-17).

PROOF: Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all $l_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus S$ which depend only on u and q. Then

$$\frac{1}{[l_j^2(u,q)]^{n_j}} \le \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2n_j}} \quad \text{for } l_j \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{R}$$
(8-9)

and

$$\frac{1}{[l_j^2(u,q) + \mu_j^2]^{n_j}} \le \frac{1}{(\mu_j^2)^{n_j}} \quad \text{for } l_j \in (\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0) \cap \mathcal{R} \quad (\mu_j^2 > 0!).$$
(8-10)

Hence without loss of generality we assume $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. For $l_j \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\frac{l_j^2 + \eta^2}{l_j^2} \le 1 + \frac{\eta^2}{\epsilon^2}$$
(8-11)

for any $\eta^2 > 0$. Consequently

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda\mathcal{S}}^{e}(q, u, \mu) \leq c \int_{l_{1}^{2} \geq \epsilon^{2} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}}^{\lambda, \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{S}} d^{4}v_{1} \cdots d^{4}v_{m-r} \frac{\min_{l \in K_{i}} \lambda^{-p_{il}} |C_{il}(k(u, v, q), q)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{T}} (l_{j}^{2} + \eta^{2})^{n_{j}} \cdot \prod_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{0}} (l_{j}^{2} + \mu_{j}^{2})^{n_{j}}} \\
\leq c \int^{\lambda, \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{S}} d^{4}v_{1} \cdots d^{4}v_{m-r} \frac{\min_{l \in K_{i}} \lambda^{-p_{il}} |C_{il}(k(u, v, q), q)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{T}} (l_{i}^{2}(u, v, q) + \eta^{2})^{n_{j}} \cdot \prod_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{i}} (l_{i}^{2}(u, v, q) + \mu_{i}^{2})^{n_{j}}}, \quad (8-12)$$

where $c(\epsilon)$ is a constant. Now let

$$w_1 = l_{i_1}, \dots, w_d = l_{i_d}$$

$$z_1 = l_{i_{d+1}}, \dots, z_{m-r-d} = l_{i_{m-r}}.$$
(8-13)

 \square

be an arbitrary basis of $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ w.r.t. (v_1, \ldots, v_{m-r}) . Variable w and constant z define a class H of affine subspaces of (v_1, \ldots, v_{m-r}) . The set of all such H, for all bases (8-13), is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}$. Every basis (8-13) of $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ can be completed to a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k by adding u_1, \ldots, u_r of (8-3a). In this way, every $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}$ is considered as a subspace of (k), where (z) and (u) are hold fixed. This means $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{UV}$. To every $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}$ we associate the corresponding $\delta(H, \mathcal{S})$ of the given degree set.

Every sequence $H_1, \ldots, H_s \in \mathcal{H}_S^{UV}$ which is ordered w.r.t. the basis (8-13) of $\mathcal{L} \setminus S$ is a sequence of subspaces which is ordered in (w, z) w.r.t. the basis (w, z, u) of \mathcal{L} . Hence, by assumption, for every such sequence there exists $l \in K_i$ so that

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{g}|\boldsymbol{y}_{g}}C_{il} - p_{il} \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{g}|\boldsymbol{y}_{g}\boldsymbol{u}, \dots \boldsymbol{u}_{r}}C_{il} - p_{il} \leq \delta(H_{g}, \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, s,$$

$$(8-14)$$

where (x_g) are the parameters and (y_g) are the complementary parameters of H_g w.r.t. (8-13), i.e. $(x_g, y_g) = (w, z)$. This means that the set $\{\delta(H, S) | H \in \mathcal{H}_S^{UV}\}$ is a UV-set for the numerator of (8-12) in the sense of [1] which is independent of u. Furthermore, for any $H \in \mathcal{H}_S^{UV}$, parametrized by $(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_e)$, we have

$$\omega_{\mathcal{S}}(H) \equiv 4e + \delta(H, \mathcal{S}) - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{x} \prod_{\mathcal{T}} (l_{j}^{2} + \mu_{j}^{2})^{n_{j}} - \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{x} \prod_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{0}} (l_{j}^{2} + \mu_{j}^{2})^{n_{j}}$$

$$\leq \omega(H)$$
(8-15)

because of $\delta(H, S) \leq \delta(H)$, where $\omega(H)$ and $\delta(H)$ are given by (4-17) and (4-18), respectively. Thus, all the conditions are met to apply the power counting theorem of [1] to (8-12). Hence, there exist $K_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu, q) > 0$ and $c_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu, q) > 0^{-6}$, so that for all $\lambda > K_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu, q)$

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda\mathcal{S}}^{e}(q, u, \mu) \leq c_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu, q) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \\ \lambda^{-1} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \text{ and if all } p_{il} \geq 1 \\ \lambda^{\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}} [\omega(H)]} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}} \omega(H) \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ \leq c_{\mathcal{S}}(\mu, q) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}^{UV}} \omega(H) \geq 0 \\ \lambda^{-1} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \\ \lambda^{\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} [\omega(H)]} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \text{ and if all } p_{il} \geq 1 \end{cases} (8-17) \end{cases}$$

Having determined the cutoff dependence of the inner integrals, we now turn to the remaining integrations. $\overline{\delta u}$ is bounded and $\{\delta(H,S)\}$ is independent of u, hence K_S and c_S can be chosen to be independent of u.

Lemma 8.2. The integral

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{S}}(q) = \int_{l_i^2 \leq \epsilon^2 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}} d^4 u_1 \cdots d^4 u_r \ \frac{\min_{j \in J_i} |M_{ij}(u, q)|}{\prod_{\mathcal{S}} (l_j^2(u))^{n_j}}$$
(8-18)

is convergent for every S.

Combined with Lemma 8.1 this means that there are $K(\mu,q) > 0$ and $c(\mu,q) > 0$, so that

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) \leq c(\mu,q) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0\\ \lambda^{-1} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) < 0 \text{ and all } p_{il} \geq 1\\ \lambda^{\max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} [\omega(H)]} \log^{m} \lambda & \text{if } \max_{H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}} \omega(H) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$
(8-19)

for all $\lambda > K(\mu, q)$, which completes the proof of the auxiliary theorem.

PROOF OF LEMMA 8.2: We use the IR-lemma of Section 7. (8-18) is of the form (7-1), where S stand for $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_S$ for $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$.

Let

$$z_1 = l_{i_1}, \dots, z_s = l_{i_s}$$

$$w_1 = l_{i_1}, \dots, w_{r-s} = l_{i_s}.$$
(8-20)

be a basis of S w.r.t. (u_1, \ldots, u_r) . We define \mathcal{H}_S^{IR} as the set of all classes H of affine subspaces of (u_1, \ldots, u_r) which are given by constant w_1, \ldots, w_{r-s} , for arbitrary bases (8-20). Every such basis of S can be completed with $v_1, \ldots, v_{m-r} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus S$ of (8-3b) to a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k. In this way, every $H \in \mathcal{H}_S^{IR}$ can be identified with a subspace of (k) and we can associate to H the corresponding $\rho(H, S)$.

Every sequence $H_1, \ldots, H_t \in \mathcal{H}_S^{IR}$ which is ordered w.r.t. the basis (8-20) of S is a sequence of subspaces which is ordered in (z, w) w.r.t. the basis (z, w, v) of \mathcal{L} . By assumption, there is a $j \in J_i$, so that

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{x_g|y_g} M_{ij} \ge \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{x_g|y_gv_1\cdots v_{m-r}} M_{ij} \ge \rho(H_g, S) \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, t,$$

$$(8-21)$$

where (x_g) are the parameters and (y_g) are the complementary parameters of H_g . Hence, $\{\rho(H, S) | H \in \mathcal{H}_S^{IR}\}$ is an IR-set for the numerator of (8-18) in the sense of Definition 7.1. For every $H \in \mathcal{H}_S^{IR}$, parametrized by $(z) = (z_1, \ldots, z_s)$,

$$s(H) \equiv 4s + \rho(H, S) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z} \prod_{S} [l_{j}^{2}(u(z, v))]^{n_{j}}$$

$$\geq 4s + \rho(H) - \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z} \prod_{N} (l_{j}^{2})^{n_{j}}$$

$$> 0$$

by assumption of the auxiliary theorem, where $\rho(H)$ is given by (4-21). Consequently, all conditions to apply the IR-lemma are satisfied, and Lemma 8.2 is proved.

Conclusions

We have generalized the convergence theorem for Feynman integrals with a lattice cutoff of [1] to lattice field theories with massless fields. Infrared power counting conditions are sufficient for the convergence of diagrams with finite lattice cutoff. If these conditions are supplemented by the ultraviolet power counting conditions of [1], the continuum limit of a lattice Feynman integral exists and is equal to the formal limit, i.e. the integral over the continuum limit of the integrand. Apart from the possibility of zero-mass propagators, the general assumptions on the structure of the lattice integrand are the same as in the massive case [1]. It should be periodic with the Brillouin zone in every loop momentum, the propagators should have only one pole in the Brillouin zone, and the line momenta should be natural. While the last condition can always be satisfied by an appropriate choice of the loop momenta, the pole condition is a genuine restriction. In particular, the power counting theorem does not apply to fermions with propagators having poles on the boundary of the Brillouin zone. Such propagators would require stronger assumptions to be made on the structure of Feynman integrands on the lattice, in addition to the periodicity. In a forthcoming paper, following the ideas of Lowenstein and Zimmermann, the power counting theorem will be used to construct a renormalization scheme for a wide class of lattice field theories containing massless fields [6].

Acknowledgement.

The author wishes to thank M.Lüscher for many discussions.

Appendix A. UV- and IR-degrees for polynomials.

Let P be a polynomial in variables u, w and q. P can be written as

$$P(u, w, q) = \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}(w, q) \cdot M_{\alpha}(u), \qquad (A-1)$$

where M_{α} are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials and

$$Q_{\alpha}(w,q) \not\equiv 0$$
 in w (q fixed!).

The UV-degree of P w.r.t. u is defined by

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} P \equiv \max_{\alpha} \operatorname{degr} M_{\alpha}, \tag{A-2a}$$

and the IR-degree is defined by

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} P \equiv \min_{\alpha} \operatorname{degr} M_{\alpha}, \qquad (A-2b)$$

where $\deg r M_{\alpha}$ is the homogeneity degree of M_{α} . Note that the degrees defined in this way depend on the external momenta q. Sometimes for the UV-degree we will use the shorthand notation

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u}P(u,w,q)\equiv \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|wq}P(u,w,q).$$

In general,

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} P(u, w, q) \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|wq} P(u, w, q)$$

and

$$\operatorname{degr}_{u|w} P(u, w, q) \geq \operatorname{degr}_{u|wq} P(u, w, q).$$

For "exceptional" momenta q, the latter is a strict inequality. If P is the denominator of a momentum space Feynman integrand, these momenta destroy the convergence of the Feynman integral, hence they must be excluded.

We list the most important properties of degr and degr. Let F, F_1, \ldots, F_r be polynomials in u, w, q. Then

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{n|m} F^n = n \, \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{n|m} F \tag{A-3}$$

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} F^n = n \, \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} F \tag{A-4}$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \prod_{j=1}^{r} F_j = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} F_j$$
(A-5)

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \prod_{j=1}^{r} F_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} F_{j}$$
(A-6)

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \sum_{j=1}^{r} F_j \leq \max_{j=1,\dots,r} \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} F_j$$
(A-7)

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} F_j \ge \min_{j=1,\dots,\tau} \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u|w} F_j.$$
(A-8)

At two stages of this paper we need the following

The second state of the se

Lemma A.1. Let P be a polynomial in variables u, v. P can be written as

$$P(u,v) = \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}(u) Q_{\alpha}(v) , \quad Q_{\alpha} \neq 0, \qquad (A-9)$$

where R_{α} are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials, so that all polynomials Q_{α} for α with the same degr R_{α} are linearly independent.

Let $u = f(\tilde{u}, \hat{u})$ be linear and homogeneous. Then

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widetilde{u}\mid\widetilde{u}}R_{\alpha}(u) \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widetilde{u}\mid\widetilde{u}v}P(u,v) \quad \text{for all } \alpha.$$
(A-10)

PROOF: P can always be decomposed into linearly independent homogeneous polynnomials $M_{\alpha}(u)$:

$$P(u,v) = \sum_{lpha} M_{lpha}(u) \, Q_{lpha}(v) \, , \quad Q_{lpha}(v)
ot\equiv 0.$$

For any β let

$$\beta = degr M_{\alpha_1} = \cdots = degr M_{\alpha_n}$$

with n maximal and

$$Q_{\alpha_1},\ldots,Q_{\alpha_t},\quad t\leq n$$

be linearly independent with t maximal, so that

$$Q_{lpha_i} = \sum_{j=1}^t c_{ij} Q_{lpha_j} \quad ext{for all } i ext{ with } t < i \leq n.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n M_{\alpha_j}(u) Q_{\alpha_j}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^t R_{\alpha_i}(u) Q_{\alpha_i}(v),$$

where

$$R_{\alpha_i}(u) = M_{\alpha_i}(u) + \sum_{j=t+1}^n c_{ji} M_{\alpha_j}.$$

The R_{α_i} , i = 1, ..., t are linearly independent and homogeneous of degree β . Doing so for all β , the first part of the lemma follows.

Let $u = f(\tilde{u}, \hat{u})$ be linear and homogeneous. Write every R_{α} in a partition (A-9) as

$$R_{lpha}(u) = \sum_{eta} S_{eta}(\widetilde{u}) \, V_{lphaeta}(\widehat{u}) \ , \quad V_{lphaeta}(\widehat{u})
ot\equiv 0,$$

where S_{β} are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials in \tilde{u} . Every $V_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{u})$ is homogeneous in \hat{u} of degree $\deg r R_{\alpha} - \deg r S_{\beta}$. Inserting this in (A-9) yields

$$P(u,v) = \sum_{eta} S_{eta}(\widetilde{u}) \sum_{eta} Q_{eta}(v) V_{etaeta}(\widehat{u}).$$

The first sum is over all β for which α exists with $V_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{u}) \not\equiv 0$. For every β

$$\sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}(v) V_{\alpha\beta}(\widehat{u}) \not\equiv 0,$$

because of the linear independence of the Q_{α} for α having the same degr R_{α} . Hence

$$\mathrm{degr}_{\widetilde{u}\mid \widehat{u}}R_{lpha}(u)\geq \mathrm{degr}_{\widetilde{u}\mid \widehat{u}v}P(u,v) \quad ext{for all } lpha.$$

Appendix B. Proof of the corollary to the auxiliary theorem.

To prove the corollary of Section 4, the integral $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}$, (4-24), will be estimated by a finite sum of integrals of the form (4-6) to which the auxiliary theorem applies, and such that the numerators of the integrands are admissible w.r.t. the degree set \mathcal{E} , consisting of all $\delta(H, \mathcal{S}) = \underline{\deg r}_{v|z} P$, $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$, and of all $\rho(H, \mathcal{S}) = \underline{\deg r}_{u|w} P$, $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ (cp. (4-24)f). Note that the ρ and δ are independent of $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_0$.

We first mention the following fact. Let P(u, v, q) be a polynomial and

$$P(u, v, q) = \sum_{g} R_g(u) Q_g(v, q) \quad ; \quad Q_g(v, q) \not\equiv 0 \text{ in } v, \tag{B-1}$$

a decomposition of P into linearly independent homogeneous polynomials R_g in u. Let

$$u' = Du + Eq$$

$$v' = Av + Bu + Cq$$
(B-2)

be an arbitrary linear transformation, where A and D are invertible matrices. Then, for every partition $(v') = (v^{(1)}, v^{(2)})$, we get

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}Q_g \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}P \quad \text{for all } g. \tag{B-3}$$

Using the linear independence of the R_g , this follows directly from

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}Q_g(v,q) = \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}R_g(u) Q_g(v,q)$$
$$\leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}P(u,v,q).$$

To prove the corollary, let first $S \subseteq S_0$ be an arbitrary subset (cf. (4-9)). Let

$$\frac{u_1,\ldots,u_r}{v_1,\ldots,v_{m-r}} \tag{B-4}$$

be a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k such that u_1, \ldots, u_r is a basis of S w.r.t. k. Then there exists a decomposition of the numerator P of (4-24) into linearly independent homogeneous polynomials R_g ,

$$P(k(u, v, q), q) = \sum_{g} R_{g}(u)Q_{g}(v, q) \quad \text{with} \quad Q_{g}(v, q) \neq 0 \text{ in } v, \tag{B-5}$$

so that for every partition $(u) = (u^{(1)}, u^{(2)})$

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}} R_g \ge \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}v} P \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$
(B-6)

This is proved in Appendix A. A decomposition (B-5) is possible for every basis (B-4) of \mathcal{L} w.r.t k, for fixed $S \subseteq S_0$. Hence, with an appropriate set J,

$$|P(k,q)| \leq \min_{j \in J} \sum_{g \in G_j} |R_g(k,q)| \cdot |Q_g(k,q)|.$$

Writing $G = \bigotimes_{j \in J} G_j^{-7}$ and setting for $(g_j)_{j \in J} \in G$: $R_{jg} = R_{g_j}, Q_{jg} = Q_{g_j}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |P(k,q)| &\leq \sum_{g \in G} \min_{j \in J} \left(|R_{jg}(k,q)| \cdot |Q_{jg}(k,q)| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{g \in G} \left(\min_{j \in J} |R_{jg}(k,q)| \right) \sum_{j \in J} |Q_{jg}(k,q)| \\ &\leq \sum_{l \in X} \left(\min_{j \in J} |M_{jl}(k,q)| \right) \cdot |C_l(k,q)|, \end{aligned}$$
(B-7)

⁷This notation is explained in Appendix B of refs. [1].

the second se

where $X = G \otimes J$ and for $l = (g, h) \in X$ we have written $M_{jl} = R_{jg}$ and $C_l = Q_{hg}$.

 \mathbf{Let}

$$u'_1,\ldots,u'_r$$

 v'_1,\ldots,v'_{m-r}

be another basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k such that $u'_1 \dots u'_r$ is a basis of \mathcal{S} . Such a basis is related to (B-4) by a transformation of the form (B-2). Writing $(v') = (v^{(1)}, v^{(2)})$ and using (B-3), we have for all C_l of (B-7)

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}C_l \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{v^{(1)}|v^{(2)}u'}P \quad \text{for all } l \in X.$$

Furthermore, by construction, all M_{jl} of (B-7) depend on u' and q only, and one can always find a $j \in J$, so that for each partition $(u') = (u^{(1)}, u^{(2)})$

 $\operatorname{degr}_{u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}v} M_{jl} \geq \operatorname{degr}_{u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}v} P \quad \text{for all } l \in X.$

Until now, S is hold fixed. Taking the minimum of (B-7) over all $S \subseteq S_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |P(k,q)| &\leq \min_{i \in I} \sum_{l \in X_i} \left(\min_{j \in J_i} |M_{jl}(k,q)| \right) \cdot |C_l(k,q)| \\ &\leq \sum_{l \in Y} Z_l(k,q), \end{aligned} \tag{B-8}$$

where

$$Z_l(k,q) = \min_{i \in I} \left(|C_{il}(k,q)| \cdot \min_{j \in J} |M_{ijl}(k,q)| \right). \tag{B-9}$$

I is an appropriate finite set, $Y = \bigotimes_{i \in I} X_i$, and for $(l_i)_{i \in I} \in Y$, we have written $M_{ijl}(k,q) \equiv M_{jl_i}(k,q)$, $C_{il}(k,q) \equiv C_{l_i}(k,q)$. Every Z_l is a nominator function which is admissible w.r.t. the degree set \mathcal{E} , consisting of all $\delta(H,S) = \operatorname{degr}_{v|z} P$, $H \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ ((v) being the parametrization of H and (z) are the complementary parameters) and of all $\rho(H,S) = \operatorname{degr}_{u|w} P$, $H \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ (parametrized by (u) with complementary parameters (w)). Note that all $\delta(H,S)$ and $\rho(H,S)$ are independent of $S \subseteq S_0$.

Using (B-8), we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}(q,\mu) \leq \sum_{l \in Y} \int^{\lambda,\mathcal{L}} d^4k_1 \cdots d^4k_m \ \frac{Z_l(k,q)}{E(k,q,\mu)}. \tag{B-10}$$

The divergence degrees (4-17) and (4-20) are given by (4-25) and (4-26), respectively. Thus, all the conditions are met for the auxiliary theorem to apply to every integral on the right hand side of (B-10). This proves the corollary.

Appendix C. Proof of the numerator bounds.

In this appendix, Theorem 3 of Section 5 is proved. The proof is similar to that of the corresponding statement of [1], and below two lemmas are taken over literally. However, we have to consider IR- and UV-degrees simultaneously. Consequently, the proof is more tedious here.

$$\text{For } \delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n, \, d \in \mathbf{N}, \, d \leq n-1 \, \text{ and multi-indices } b_i \text{ for } i=1, \ldots, n \text{ let}$$

$$g_{\delta|d}(b_{d+1},\ldots,b_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |b_i| + \cdots + |b_n| = \delta_i, \quad i = d+1,\ldots,n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_{\delta|d}(b_1,\ldots,b_d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |b_1| + \cdots + |b_d| = \delta_1 - \delta_{d+1} \text{ and} \\ |b_1| + \cdots + |b_i| \le \delta_1 - \delta_{i+1}, \quad i = 1,\ldots,d-1 \end{cases}$$

We state the preliminary

Lemma C.1. Let $F \in C^{\infty}$ be of the form $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$, and $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ such that $\delta_i \geq \delta_k$ if i < k, for all $i, k = 1, \ldots, n$. Suppose

$$F(x_1,\ldots,x_{j-1},\lambda x_j,\ldots,\lambda x_n)=O(\lambda^{\delta_j}), \ \lambda\to 0; \ j=1,\ldots,n.$$
(C-1)

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \leq n-1$. Then there exist C^{∞} -functions $F_{b_1 \cdots b_n}$, so that

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = = \sum_{b_1, \cdots, b_n} h_{\delta|d}(b_1, \ldots, b_d) g_{\delta|d}(b_{d+1}, \ldots, b_n) x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_{d-1}^{b_{d-1}} y_d^{b_d} y_{d+1}^{b_{d+1}} \cdots y_n^{b_n} F_{b_1 \cdots b_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$
(C-2)

where

$$(y_n) = (x_n)$$

 $(y_{n-1}) = (x_{n-1}, x_n)$
:
 $(y_d) = (x_d, \dots, x_n).$

PROOF: By successive application of Lemma 6.1 of [1] to F, we get

$$F(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{b_{d+1}\cdots b_n} g_{\delta|d}(b_{d+1},\ldots,b_n) y_{d+1}^{b_{d+1}}\cdots y_n^{b_n} F_{b_{d+1}\cdots b_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n),$$
(C-3)

where $F_{b_{d+1}\cdots b_n} \in C^{\infty}$ and

$$F_{b_{d+1}\cdots b_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_{j-1},\lambda x_j,\ldots,\lambda x_n)=O(\lambda^{b_j-b_{d+1}}),\ \lambda\to 0;\ 1\leq j\leq d.$$

Applying Lemma 6.2 of [1] to $F_{b_{d+1}\cdots b_n}$ yields

$$F_{b_{d+1}\cdots b_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{b_1\cdots b_d} h_{\delta|d}(b_1,\ldots,b_d) \ x_1^{b_1}\cdots x_{d-1}^{b_{d-1}} y_d^{b_d} \ F_{b_1\cdots b_d b_{d+1}\cdots b_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n),$$
(C-4)

where $F_{b_1\cdots b_n} \in C^{\infty}$. Inserting this into (C-3), the assertion follows.

From Lemma C.1, we derive a bound on a function $V \in \mathcal{C}_{m_0}^c$ if ordered sequences of subspaces in \mathcal{H}^{IR} and \mathcal{H}^{UV} w.r.t. a natural set \mathcal{L} of line momenta are given.

Lemma C.2. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and

$$u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}$$

 $v^{(1)}$ $v^{(m-r)}$ (C-5)

be a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k such that $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}$ is a basis of \mathcal{S} w.r.t. k. Let H_1, \ldots, H_t be a sequence of subspaces of \mathcal{H}^{IR} which is ordered in u w.r.t. the basis (C-5). Denote the parameters of H_i by (z_i) and the complementary parameters by (\underline{z}_i) . Furthermore, let K_1, \ldots, K_s be a sequence of classes of affine subspaces of \mathcal{H}^{UV} which is ordered in v w.r.t. (C-5). Denote the parameters of K_i by (w_i) and the complementary parameters by (\underline{w}_i) .

Consider a function $V(k,q;a) \in \mathcal{C}_{m_0}^c$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and assume that (ka,qa) are bounded. Then V admits an estimate of the form

$$|V(k,q;a) - P(k,q)| \le a^p \sum_{b_1 \cdots b_t} f(b_1, \dots, b_t) |z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_t^{b_t}| \cdot \sum_{l \in X} |Q_{lb_1 \cdots b_t}(k,q)|,$$
(C-6)

where

$$f(b_1,\ldots,b_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |b_1| + \cdots + |b_i| = \underline{\deg}_{\widehat{z}_i|\underline{z}_i} V, & \text{for all } i = 1,\ldots,t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(C-7)

X is a finite set and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is independent of the sequences and the basis. $Q_{1b_1...b_i}$ are homogeneous polynomials, and $P(k,q) = \lim_{a \to 0} V(k,q;a)$, satisfying

$$\frac{\operatorname{degr}_{z_{g}|\underline{z}_{g}}P \ge \operatorname{degr}_{\widehat{z}_{g}|\underline{z}_{g}}V \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, t,$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}_{w_{g}|w_{g}}}P \le \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{w}_{g}}V \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, s,$$
(C-8)

and

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{w_g|\underline{w}_g} Q_{lb_1\cdots b_i} \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{w}_g} V + p, \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, s.$$
(C-9)

Note that

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z_g|\underline{z}_g} f(b_1,\ldots,b_t) \, z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_t^{b_t} = \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{z}_g|\underline{z}_g} V, \quad g = 1,\ldots,t. \tag{C-10}$$

The polynomials $f(b_1, \ldots, b_t) z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_t^{b_t}$ depend only on the basis of S, i.e., they are the same for all bases (C-5) with the same collection $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}$ and arbitrary $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m-r)}$. The integer p can be chosen to be 1 if $P(k,q) \equiv 0$. If $P(k,q) \equiv 0$, p is the largest natural number so that $\lim_{a\to 0} V(k,q;a)/a^p \not\equiv 0$ exists.

PROOF: 1. Write $V(k,q;a) = F(ka,qa)/a^{m_0}$ and $F'(x) = F(k(u,v,\tau \cdot q),\tau \cdot q)$ for fixed q and variable τ^{-8} . We define variables $(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{s+t+1})$ as follows

$$(w_{1}) = (x_{1})$$

$$(w_{2}) = (x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(w_{s}) = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{s})$$

$$(v, \tau) = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{s+1})$$

$$(z_{t}) = (x_{s+2}, \dots, x_{s+t+1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(z_{2}) = (x_{s+t}, x_{s+t+1})$$

$$(z_{1}) = x_{s+t+1}.$$
(C-11)

For H_j , $j = 1, \ldots, t$ set $(z_j) = (x_{s+t+2-j}, \ldots, x_{s+t+1})$ ("internal" momenta of H_j) and $(\underline{z}_j) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{s+t+1-j})$ ("external" momenta), so that $(z_j, \underline{z}_j) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{s+t+1})$. Define $r_{s+t+1-j} = \underline{\deg}_{\widehat{x}_j|\underline{z}_j} V$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, t$. Similarly, for K_j , $j = 1, \ldots, s$, set $(w_j) = (x_1, \ldots, x_j)$ and $(\underline{w}_j) = (x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{s+t+1})$, so that $(w_j, \underline{w}_j) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{s+t+1})$. Define $r_j = m_0 - \overline{\deg}_{\widehat{w}_j} V$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, s$. Then, by definition of the IR- and UV-degrees, $r_1 \ge r_2 \ge \cdots \ge r_{s+t}$, and

$$F'(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_j,\lambda\boldsymbol{x}_{j+1},\ldots,\lambda\boldsymbol{x}_{s+t+1}) = O(\lambda^{r_j}), \ \lambda \to 0; \quad j=1,\ldots,s+t.$$
(C-12)

2. As in [1], define for $\delta \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$P'_{\delta}(x_1, \dots, x_{s+t+1}) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{F'(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_{s+t+1})}{\lambda^{\delta}},$$

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_{s+t+1}) = F'(x_1, \dots, x_{s+t+1}) - P'_{m_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{s+t+1}).$$
(C-13)

Let $r_0 \in \mathbf{N}_0$ be the largest integer such that $P'_{r_0}(x_1, \ldots, x_{s+t+1}) \not\equiv 0$ exists. Then

$$G(x_1,\ldots,x_j,\lambda x_{j+1},\ldots,\lambda x_{s+t+1})=O(\lambda^{\widehat{r}_j});\ \lambda\to 0,\quad 0\leq j\leq s+t,$$

where $\widehat{r}_j = r_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, s + t + 1$ and

$$\widehat{r}_0 = \begin{cases} r_0 & \text{if } m_0 < r_0 \\ r_0 + 1 & \text{if } m_0 = r_0, \end{cases}$$

⁸We write τ instead of a to avoid misunderstandings.

and hence $\hat{r}_0 \geq \hat{r}_1 \geq \cdots \geq \hat{r}_{s+t}$. We now apply Lemma C.1 to G with d = s+1, n = s+t+1, $(y_i) = (x_i, \ldots, x_{s+t+1})$, $i = s+1, \ldots, s+t+1$:

$$G(x_{1},\ldots,x_{s+t+1}) = \sum_{\substack{b_{s+2}\cdots b_{s+t+1}\\ \\ b_{s+2}\cdots b_{s+t+1}}} \widetilde{f}(b_{s+2},\ldots,b_{s+t+1}) y_{s+2}^{b_{s+2}}\cdots y_{s+t+1}^{b_{s+t+1}} \\ \cdot \sum_{\substack{b_{1}\cdots b_{s+1}\\ \\ b_{1}\cdots b_{s+1}}} \widetilde{h}(b_{1},\ldots,b_{s+1}) x_{1}^{b_{1}}\cdots x_{s}^{b_{s}} y_{s+1}^{b_{s+1}} F_{b_{1}\cdots b_{s+t+1}}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{s+t+1}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f}(b_{s+2},\ldots,b_{s+t+1}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |b_i| + \cdots + |b_{s+t+1}| = \widehat{r}_{i-1}, \quad i = s+2,\ldots,s+t+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \widetilde{h}(b_1,\ldots,b_{s+1}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |b_1| + \cdots + |b_{s+1}| = \widehat{r}_0 - \widehat{r}_{s+1} \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } |b_1| + \cdots + |b_i| \le \widehat{r}_0 - \widehat{r}_i \text{ for all } i = 1,\ldots,s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

and $F_{b_1\cdots b_{s+t+1}} \in C^{\infty}$. For bounded (ka, qa) and $\tau = 1$, using $(y_i) = (z_{s+t+2-i})$ for $i = s+2, \ldots, s+t+1$, we get

$$|G(x_1a,\ldots,x_{s+t+1}a)|_{\tau=1} \leq a^{\widehat{\tau}_0} \sum_{b_1\cdots b_t} f(b_1,\ldots,b_t) |z_1^{b_1}\cdots z_t^{b_t}|$$
$$\sum_{l\in \mathcal{X}} |Q_{lb_1\cdots b_t}(k,q)|,$$

where $f(b_1, \ldots, b_t)$ is defined in (C-9) and X is a finite set. $Q_{lb_1\cdots b_t}$ are polynomials, satisfying

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{w_g|\underline{w}_g} Q_{lb_1\cdots b_t} \leq \widehat{r}_0 - \widehat{r}_g = (\widehat{r}_0 - m_0) + \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{w}_g} V, \quad g = 1, \dots, s.$$
(C-14)

Finally, note that

$$P(k,q) \equiv \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{a^{m_0}} V(k,q;a) \equiv P'_{m_0}(x_1,\ldots,x_{s+t+1}) \big|_{\tau=1}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\operatorname{degr}_{z_g|z_g} P \ge \widehat{r}_{s+t+1-g} = \operatorname{degr}_{\widehat{z}_g|z_g} V, \quad g = 1, \dots, t$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}_{w_g|\underline{w}_g}} P \le m_0 - \widehat{r}_g = \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{w}_g} V, \quad g = 1, \dots, s,$$
(C-15)

and

$$|V(k,q;a) - P(k,q)| = \frac{1}{a^{m_0}} |G(x_1a,\ldots,x_{s+t+1}a)|_{\tau=1}$$

$$\leq a^p \sum_{b_1\cdots b_t} f(b_1,\ldots,b_t) |z_1^{b_1}\cdots z_t^{b_t}| \sum_{l \in X} |Q_{lb_1\cdots b_t}(k,q)|,$$

where $p = \hat{r}_0 - m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3:

Using Lemma C.2, the proof is straightforward. We have to show the validity of an estimate

$$|V(k,q;a) - P(k,q)| \le a^p \sum_{b \in B} Z_b(k,q), \qquad (C-16)$$

where every $a^p Z_b(k,q)$ is a nominator function which is admissible w.r.t. the degree set \mathcal{D} , defined at the beginning of Section 5.

At first, let $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ (cp. (3-4)) be a given subset and

an anna a shaaraa ay ahaa

$$u^{(1)}, \dots u^{(r)}$$

 $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(m-r)}$ (C-17)

Distance support

The State American

a basis of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. k so that $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}$ is a basis of \mathcal{S} w.r.t. k. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_t \in \mathcal{H}^{1R}$ be a sequence which is ordered in u w.r.t. the basis (C-17), and $K_1, \ldots, K_s \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ a sequence which is ordered in v w.r.t. (C-17). Using Lemma C.2, $V \in \mathcal{C}_{m_0}^c$ can be estimated by

$$|V(k,q;a) - P(k,q)| \le a^p \sum_{j \in J} |M_j(u)| \sum_{l \in X} |Q_{jl}(k,q)|,$$
(C-18)

where $P(k,q) = \lim_{a\to 0} V(k,q;a)$, $p \in \mathbf{N}$ is determined by the function V, and J, X are finite sets. M_j and Q_{jl} are homogeneous polynomials satisfying

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z_g|\underline{z}_g} M_j \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{z}_g|\underline{z}_g} V \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, t \text{ and for all } j \in J,$$

where (z_g) are the parameters of H_g and $(z_g, \underline{z}_g) = (u, v)$, and

$$\overline{\deg}_{w_{\sigma}|w_{\sigma}}Q_{jl} \leq \overline{\deg}_{\widehat{w}_{\sigma}} + p \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, s \text{ and for all } j \in J, \ l \in X,$$

 (w_g) being the parameters of K_g and $(w_g, \underline{w}_g) = (u, v)$.

We now make an estimate of the form (C-18)

a. For all sequences of subspaces of \mathcal{H}^{UV} which are ordered in v.

b. For all bases (C-17) of \mathcal{L} with fixed $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(\tau)}$, i.e. for given (u) we consider all possible choices of (v) such that (C-17) is a basis of \mathcal{L} . Note that by such changes of the basis the IR-degrees $\underline{\deg}_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_g|_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_g}} V$ do not change⁹.

We get

$$|V(k,q;a)-P(k,q)|\leq a^p\sum_{l\in Y}|M_l(u)|\;\;\min_{i\in K}|\widetilde{Q}_{il}(k,q)|,$$

where Y, K are finite sets. For every basis (u, v) of \mathcal{L} with given (u) and every sequence $K_1, \ldots, K_s \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ which is ordered in v w.r.t. this basis, there is an $i \in K$, so that the polynomials \tilde{Q}_{il} satisfy

$$\overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{w_s|\underline{w}_s} \widetilde{Q}_{il} \leq \overline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{w}_s} V + p \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \dots, s \text{ and for all } l \in Y.$$

 (w_q) are the parameters of K_g and (\underline{w}_q) the complementary parameters.

Next, we consider

a. All sequences of subspaces of \mathcal{H}^{IR} which are ordered in u,

b. All bases (C-17) such that $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(\tau)}$ is an arbitrary basis of S. By the corresponding changes of a basis, the UV-degrees $\overline{\deg}_{\widehat{w}_{\tau}} V$ do not change¹⁰.

We get

$$|V(k,q;a) - P(k,q)| \le a^p \sum_{b \in B} \min_{j \in J} |M_{jb}(u,q)| \cdot \min_{l \in K_b} |C_{lb}(k,q)|, \tag{C-19}$$

(r)

$$\frac{u^{(1)},\ldots,u^{(r)}}{v^{(1)},\ldots,v^{(m-r)}} \xrightarrow{u^{(1)},\ldots,u^{(m-r)}} \frac{u^{(n)},\ldots,u^{(m-r)}}{v^{(m-r)}}$$

(1)

(r)

is given by

$$u' = u$$
$$v' = Au + Bv + Cq,$$

where B is an invertible matrix. ¹⁰ We have

$$u' = Du + Eq$$
$$v' = v,$$

where D is invertible. The change of the basis of S depends on the external momenta q, hence the polynomials M_l are dependent on u and q.

where B, J, K_b are finite sets and M_{jb}, C_{lb} are polynomials. For every basis (C-17) of \mathcal{L} such that $u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}$ is a basis of S, the polynomials M_{jb} depend only on u and the external momenta q. Furthermore, for every sequence $H_1, \ldots, H_t \in \mathcal{H}^{IR}$ which is ordered in u w.r.t. (C-17) there exists $j \in J$, so that

$$\underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{z_{g}|z_{g}}M_{jb} \geq \underline{\operatorname{degr}}_{\widehat{z}_{g}|z_{g}}V \quad \text{for all } g = 1, \ldots, t \text{ and for all } b \in B,$$

where (z_g) are the parameters of H_g and $(z_g, \underline{z}_g) = (u, v)$. For every sequence $K_1, \ldots, K_s \in \mathcal{H}^{UV}$ which is ordered in v w.r.t. (C-17) and for every $b \in B$ there is $l \in K_b$, so that

$$\overline{\deg}r_{w_{g}|\underline{w}_{g}}C_{lb}\leq\overline{\deg}r_{\widehat{w}_{g}}V+p \quad ext{for all }g=1,\ldots,s.$$

 (w_g) are the parameters of K_g and $(w_g, \underline{w}_g) = (u, v)$. This means that, taking on the right hand side of (C-19) the minimum over all $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, we get an estimate of the form (C-16), where all functions $a^p Z_b(k, q)$ are nominator functions which are admissible w.r.t. the degree set \mathcal{D} . This proves Theorem 3.

References

1. T.Reisz, A Power Counting Theorem for Feynman Integrals on the Lattice, Commun. math. Phys., to be published.

- 2. J.H.Lowenstein, W.Zimmermann, The Power Counting Theorem for Feynmanintegrals with Massless Propagators, Commun. math. Phys. 44 (1975) 73 - 86.
- 3. G.Bandelloni, C.Becchi, A.Blasi, R.Collina, Renormalization of Models with Radiative Mass Generation, Commun. math. Phys. 67 (1978) 147 178.
- 4. J.H.Lowenstein, W.Zimmermann, On the Formulation of Theories with Zero-Mass Propagators, Nucl. Phys. B86 (1975) 77.
- 5. J.H.Lowenstein, Convergence Theorems for Renormalized Feynman Integrals with Zero-Mass Propagators, Commun. math. Phys. 47 (1976) 53-68.

6. T.Reisz, Renormalization of Lattice Feynman Integrals with Massless Propagators, Commun. math. Phys., to be published.