



Universität Hamburg

DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

CENTER
FOR SUSTAINABLE
SOCIETY RESEARCH

Academic Air Travel

CSS WORKING PAPER SERIES
Working Paper No.3 – April 2021

A Literature Review

Max Braun and Simone Rödder

Universität Hamburg

Center for Sustainable Society Research

The **Center for Sustainable Society Research (CSS)** is an interdisciplinary research center of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences at Universität Hamburg. Currently, scientists at all career levels are carrying out research at the CSS in a variety of disciplines including sociology, political science, business, economics, law, and journalism and communication studies.

Research at CSS aims to increase the understanding of social and economic institutions and the processes of modern societies with respect to sustainability. The CSS is particularly involved with the reconfigurations induced by climate change, considered a central driver for sustainability transformations.

The CSS Working Paper Series publishes high quality research papers across the broad field of topics researched at CSS.

| | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Editorial board | Prof. Dr. Stefan Aykut, Prof. Dr. Frank Adloff, Prof. Dr. Kerstin Lopatta |
| Institution | Center for Sustainable Society Research Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences Universität Hamburg Welckerstraße 8 20354 Hamburg Germany |
| Email | css.wiso@uni-hamburg.de |
| Website | http://uhh.de/wiso-css |
| ISSN | 2699-8327 |
| DOI | https://doi.org/10.25592/css-wp-003 |
| Image Credits | Cover page: unsplash |

To cite this paper:

Braun, Max; Rödder, Simone (2021): Academic Air Travel – A Literature Review. CSS Working Paper Series No 3, Hamburg, <https://doi.org/10.25592/css-wp-003>.

Abstract

Academic air travel (AAT) is increasingly critiqued for its carbon emissions. Based on an initial interest in the relevance, persistence and change of climate-impacting practices like AAT as part of global academic interaction and collaboration, this paper presents a literature review to take stock of existing research on AAT. A two-step literature search was conducted, resulting in a range of relevant publications (N=220). The following areas of interest were identified: first, the relevance that academic travel has in the development of the research university and the international connectivity of modern science. Second, functions of meetingness and physical copresence in the context of academic communication, scientific exchange and networking appear as the main drivers of AAT, yet characteristics of the academic career system and labour market as well as tourism aspects play a role, too. Third, discourses around AAT focus on the perceived obligation to fly (“fly or die”), its politicisation with regard to the inequality of access, and justifications for upholding current (pre Covid-19) rates of AAT. Fourth, AAT is increasingly critically discussed in the context of climate change (climatisation). Fifth, alternatives to AAT are discussed, ranging from the use of virtual meetings and the re-organisation of academic conferences to more fundamental changes in the mode of research practices. The review was started before the Covid-19 pandemic brought AAT to an abrupt halt, a situation that now makes researching this social practice particularly timely. We thus conclude that AAT is an emerging and promising area for future research.

Keywords: Academic Air Travel, Scientific Conferences, Scientific Careers, Climatisation, Digital Transformation, Research Governance, Covid-19

Content

| | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1 | Methodology..... | 2 |
| 1.2 | Outline..... | 2 |
| 2 | Contexts..... | 3 |
| 2.1 | Historical roots..... | 3 |
| 2.2 | Globalisation..... | 3 |
| 2.3 | Estimate of extent..... | 4 |
| 3 | Functions..... | 4 |
| 3.1 | Copresence, meetingness..... | 4 |
| 3.2 | Knowledge production and circulation, networking..... | 5 |
| 3.3 | Labour markets, careers, academic work..... | 6 |
| 3.4 | Conferences and tourism..... | 6 |
| 4 | Discourses around AAT..... | 7 |
| 4.1 | Relevance for individual career and scientific reputation: “Fly or die”..... | 7 |
| 4.2 | Inequality of access..... | 7 |
| 4.2.1 | Geographical stratification..... | 8 |
| 4.2.2 | Gender inequality..... | 8 |
| 4.2.3 | Health concerns..... | 9 |
| 4.3 | Justification, legitimisation..... | 9 |
| 5 | Climatisation..... | 9 |
| 5.1 | Climatisation, AAT, and academia..... | 9 |
| 5.2 | Carbon emissions, footprint calculations..... | 10 |
| 5.3 | Credibility..... | 11 |
| 6 | Discussions of alternatives..... | 11 |
| 6.1 | Alternative conference organisation..... | 11 |
| 6.2 | <i>Slow Scholarship</i> | 12 |
| 7 | Limitations..... | 12 |
| 8 | The Elephant in the Sky: Conclusions and outlook..... | 13 |
| 9 | References..... | 14 |

1 Introduction¹

Academic travel has been defined as “short-term and work-related mobility practices in academia” (Storme, 2014: 147), or “physical journeys by academics for the purpose of research, lecturing, visiting appointments, consulting and other professional tasks” (Jöns, 2008: 339). As the mode of travel since the second half of the twentieth century has shifted decisively towards aviation, the literature sometimes refers to it as academic aeromobility, academic flying, or *academic air travel* (AAT). The latter emphasises the short-term aspect of the travel and includes the dominant mode of transportation, which is an important driver of recent interest in the subject. As AAT has become the (silently) agreed-upon term for the form of short-term travel we are interested in, this paper consistently refers to AAT.²

The first systematic exploration of AAT as a social practice is a book based on a doctoral dissertation by Tom Storme (2014) entitled *Exploring a Small World*, which invokes the novel *Small World: An Academic Romance* (Lodge, 2011 [1984]). The novel’s plot takes place in the highly connected and globalised world of academic conferences, and the time gap between literary and academic explorations of the subject suggests that, while AAT has been a known phenomenon for some time, scientific interest in it has only recently developed. Furthermore, AAT so far seems to be of interest mainly in the context of its consequences, namely aviation-related carbon emissions and unequal access to a globalised scientific world. This is reflected in the critical approach much of the literature takes in discussing AAT. Although there are exceptions, AAT is rarely a topic of research *sui generis* but rather part of a larger discourse, such as on climate change and sustainability. (The literature on Academic Train Travel, for instance, is likely to be considerably smaller.) Explicit justifications for the practice are notably rare, and engagement in AAT is often seen as a career obligation and described in terms of a dilemma.

Storme’s book already gives a noteworthy characterisation of AAT, pointing out the many similarities to the better-researched field of business travel and corporate mobility. These similarities include concerns connected to the economising of travel budgets and the impact of flying on family life, health, work-life-balance, and the environment. However, differences include less support through organisational channels with regard to arrangement of transport, accommodation, compensation, administration, and the scale of budgeting. Overall, for Storme, the higher degree of individualisation, more emphasis on self-management, the need to be proactive with regard to travel management, accompanied by a higher individual accountability for work and performance, set the academic world apart from the world of business. In the context of mobility, AAT forms distinct patterns of international connectivity. This literature review situates AAT as a phenomenon between academic mobility and career, science and higher education governance, and debates around climate-impacting practices; however, the vast literature on AAT’s contexts is beyond the scope of this review paper. As a result, bodies of research on mobility in academia and higher education, on academic careers and international collaboration in science, discussions on the utility of carbon footprint models, conference and tourism studies can only be touched upon. The aim of this paper is to give an overview of the state and breadth of the heterogeneous approaches to AAT as an emerging area of research, situated at the nexus of science and technology studies (STS), higher education studies, mobility studies and the sociology of sustainability.

¹ The authors would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions. They helped clarify some of the aspects of an earlier draft, specifically related to the methodology and some of the limitations (see section 7).

² It must be noted that the literature is not always consistent in its terminology. Sometimes educational travel or exchange programmes, as well as long-term mobility, are discussed under the label of AAT. As far as possible, this review includes only studies that discuss the phenomenon as defined above.

1.1 Methodology

The literature search used Google Scholar and the Web of Science with the search terms “academic travel”, “academic flying”, “academic aeromobility”, “conference travel” and meaningful combinations of, among others, the terms “academic”, “research”, “travel”, “conference”, “carbon”, “climate”, and “mobility”. Initially, the literature search aimed at including both English and German language publications. However, after a preliminary search for German language literature with relevant terms (combinations of “wissenschaftliches/akademisches Reisen”, “Fliegen”, “Flugreisen”, “Konferenzreisen” etc.) yielded only few results, we decided to focus on English language publications.³ Supplemented by a following-up of references in relevant publications, we identified 351 publications. In a second step, these publications were screened and only those with a focus on AAT were included. Excluded were items which, upon closer inspection, were concerned with AAT only very indirectly, primarily those concerning non-academic air travel. The remaining 220 publications form the original corpus of literature yielded by the initial literature search. In the course of the review, additional literature has been consulted, so that the reference section exceeds 220 items. Analytically, the review process was inductive, beginning with the summarising and classification of the literature, from which topics of interest were clustered to eventually structure the present review. We aimed at including as much literature as possible while keeping the review to a reasonable length, which means that while some studies may be relevant to multiple sections, they are, where possible, only discussed under one headline (some references between related sections are provided, bold and in parentheses).

1.2 Outline

This review discusses the contexts, extent and function of AAT and their changes, the politicisation of AAT, especially concerning its climatic impacts, its justification despite an ongoing digital transformation of the academic world, as well as alternatives to physical travel, complemented by key topics that emerged out of the literature. Sections 2 and 3 situate AAT in the context of academic travel more broadly. Our overview of AAT’s wider context (2) includes its long history (the development of the Western, modern research university and the role of travel, 2.1) and AAT’s short history (increase of travel since the 1990s; trends of de-, inter-, and re-nationalisation of science, 2.2). Subsequently, an estimate of the quantitative extent of AAT (pre-Covid-19) is attempted (2.3). We then give an overview of functions of AAT as discussed in the literature (3), namely the maintenance of copresence and meetingness (3.1); its role in scientific knowledge production, circulation and networking (3.2); the perspective of labour markets, mobility, and academic work (3.3); and the connection between AAT, conferences and tourism (3.4). The ways in which AAT is discussed (4) include its status of being an imperative for academics (4.1), its politicisation and – less often – moralisation (4.2), as well as justifications of the current rate of AAT (4.3). The most prominent context in which AAT is recently discussed is *climatisation*, which brings the practice’s carbon emissions to the fore (5). There is both programmatic work such as essays and editorials calling attention to the phenomenon (5.1) as well as empirical studies attempting to quantify the carbon footprints of various academic activities connected to AAT (5.2). Individual and collective credibility especially in climate sciences is discussed (5.3). Lastly, alternatives to AAT are described (6), largely focussed on other ways of conference organisation (6.1), as well as calls for a change in how research is conducted (*Slow Scholarship*, 6.2). Some limitations from the review’s methodology and scope are noted (7). In the conclusion, we identify starting points for further research on AAT (8).

³ A possible explanation for this lack of results is that the literature in German is fragmented and that no common term for the phenomenon has been established (yet), rather than that no German literature exists. A closer analysis of cultural factors in the emergence of AAT as a research topic would itself be an interesting avenue for further research (see e.g., Mkono, 2020).

2 Contexts

2.1 Historical roots

Historical and philosophical perspectives on modern science, as well as academic activity more generally, point to a tension between the aim for universal applicability and the necessity of always being done by individual scientists working in particular local contexts (Ophir & Shapin, 1991). Pietsch (2016: 22) characterises this as follows:

Academic travel sits at the heart of this tension between the local and the ‘universal’: students and scholars move between various centres, seeking the particular status and expertise of institutions and individuals renowned for their development of knowledge. But they do so constrained by history, conditioned by capacity, shaped by regulation, lured by money, and compelled by circumstances beyond their control.

Travelling has been a crucial aspect of scholarly life since its early days, deemed important as part of advancing both scientific knowledge and individual reputation and of gaining experience in academic-humanistic education. The proliferation of travel guides written especially for scholars since the early 16th century is evidence of this (Seidel, 2019). Pietsch (2016) suggests that a division developed between two relatively separate European university systems post-reformation: one Catholic and one Protestant, as well as a later diversification of inter-university affiliations based on religious, political and economic alliances during the 18th century and into the time of the formation of nation states. Academic travel since the 19th century was tied to institution building in the emerging nation states and to the conferring of legitimacy in the context of European empires (for Britain: Jöns, 2008, 2016; Pietsch, 2010, 2013; for France: Heffernan, 1994). Evidence for this are academics’ travel patterns in the British Empire between the core and its peripheral “settler” universities (Dean, 2005), which, according to Pietsch (2013), integrated the Empire’s colonies into the European knowledge economy and formed a distinct and closed network of British academia with few ties to other university systems (e.g., German higher education). This network was gradually opened up at the beginning of the 20th century when British university reforms oversaw the introduction of the Ph.D. system in 1917, which was modelled on German higher education, and later with the intake of continental refugee scholars fleeing Nazi Germany in the 1930s and 1940s. There is, however, a debate as to whether pre-WWII university systems have had more differences than commonalities, and it has been argued that, rather than competing systems, there has always existed a “republic of letters” transcending national differences (Ellis & Kirchberger, 2014). Below the level of national or imperial university systems, the importance of travel and exchange across national borders in the formation as well as the professionalisation of scientific disciplines and practices has been highlighted (Novella, 2016; Sörlin, 1993). While Pietsch has studied mainly the British Empire before the 1930s, others have looked at the formation of travelling networks between universities since the 20th century more broadly (3.2).

2.2 Globalisation

One of the factors in the growth in AAT is the parallel development of an increasing international connectivity in science and increased transnational academic mobility since the 1990s (Alemu, 2020). However, it has been pointed out that these processes have to be understood as complex entanglements of nationalisation and denationalisation (Altbach, 2004; Crawford et al., 1993), and that despite a clear trend towards increasing internationalisation or globalisation, national contexts remain important (Vincent-Lancrin, 2006). Vincent-Lancrin’s (2006: 190) diagnosis of academic trends predicted that the expansion of mobility, and therefore of AAT, will continue,

[u]nless a war, return to nationalism or international pandemy [sic] stop it.

Whereas this now seems near-prophetic, it is interesting to note that climate or environmental consideration did not play any role in his future scenarios. While Welch has argued (1997) that the increasing internationalisation of academia gives rise to a differentiation of academic staff into “indigenous”, i.e. those who stay in their home country or institution, and “peripatetic”, i.e. mobile and frequently travelling staff, the widely reported imperative for AAT seems to call this claim into question (4.1). Academics have long been theorised as “cosmopolitans” (Gouldner, 1957; Merton, 1957) who draw on social ties and maintain a reference group orientation based on their profession, not institutional membership. This rationale for (international) collaboration seems unabated, and the internationalisation of academia and increased academic mobility provide the context for discussions of AAT with regard to politicisation and climatisation, as well as discussed alternatives.

2.3 Estimate of extent

Quantitative estimates of the extent of AAT outside of individual universities are rare, mainly due to a lack of data (Mickelson, 2016), and would furthermore only be relevant to pre-Covid-19 times. Nevertheless, a rough outline is possible. According to one estimate, around 40,000 national and international academic meetings are held every year with overall nine million participants worldwide (Rowe, 2017). These numbers seem plausible, as the latest report of the International Association of Scientific, Technical and Medical Publishers (*STM Report*; Johnson et al., 2018) estimates that there are currently seven to eight million researchers in the world and that this number is growing. However, the STM Report notes strong national and disciplinary differences in academic mobility and collaboration. Given the geographical stratification of research and higher education activity (4) and the unequal distribution of higher education institutions worldwide, the inequality of access to academic travel has been discussed (e.g., Chen, 2017; Teichler, 2015, 2017; see also: UNESCO, 2015). Yet, in the context of AAT, a major question is to what percentage is academic travel air travel. This is very difficult to say. For a large international (pre-Covid-19) conference, it is suggested that over 90 percent of the participants arrive there by air (Klöwer et al., 2020). However, data from the University of Montreal (Arsenault et al., 2019) indicates that 35 percent of all travel for work and research purposes over a year is by aeroplane, with researchers travelling on average 8,500 km per year. This distance varies strongly with the position in the academic hierarchy (i.e. professors travelling up to four times more than that average, see also: Whitmarsh et al., 2020). Similarly, Ciers and colleagues (2019) found that researchers at a Swiss university, who participate in AAT, travel on average 10,000 km per year, with higher distances travelled by those in higher positions (5.2). Access to AAT thus is highly stratified, both at an international level and at the level of individual national institutions (4.2).

3 Functions

3.1 Copresence, meetingness

One of the main functions of AAT is what Boden and Molotch (1994), in their theoretical paper on meetings in the business sector and in reference to Goffman (1963), call *copresence*. They claim that face-to-face meetings allow for the highest possible amount of information exchange. This refers, besides the explicit content of conversations, to the “thickness” of information found in gestures, posture, facial expression, and micro-variations in tone of voice; in short, the processes analysed by conversation analysts, ethnomethodologists, and sociologists of face-to-face interaction (such as Simmel, Schegloff/Sachs/Jefferson, Goffman and Garfinkel). Mediated communications, from letter, phone call,

voice message, and email, to the then not yet readily available video call, all lose aspects of this thickness and serve at best in an auxiliary function to copresent interaction. This is especially the case where decisions are made, which Boden and Molotch call

[t]he indispensability of copresence among people in the highest circles (1994: 272).

For them, these circles also include academia (274). Urry has called the quality of personal social interaction connected to physical copresence *meetingness* (Urry, 2003) and, following Boden and Molotch, recognises this as a central factor that motivates people to travel in person (physical travel). A number of empirical studies have picked up Urry's call to investigate "why people travel" (2002, 2003). Many have focussed on the business community, where e.g., Asheim and colleagues (2007) see a twofold motivation for travel: the importance of face-to-face meetings as well as the "buzz" of conferences. Similarly, Strengers (2015) found that while companies are willing to replace some of their business travel with telecommunication, there is a base line of physical travel that is deemed necessary, an observation Wynes and colleagues (2019) have also made for AAT (3.3, 4.1).

3.2 Knowledge production and circulation, networking

One of the first publications to discuss academic travel from a theoretical perspective (Barnett & Phipps, 2005) stresses the essential role that travel plays in connecting the academic world and develops a very broad notion of travel. Barnett and Phipps distinguish three forms of academic travel: material (physical, as bodies through space), epistemological (concepts crossing disciplinary boundaries, experts reaching out to lay people, practical application of knowledge), and ontological (an academic's "personal journey of change", 2005: 6), all of which are intertwined. Academic travel can also be seen as the material aspect of its counterpart, academic hospitality, connected with "epistemological hospitality" (Phipps & Barnett, 2007). The authors' in part normatively loaded framing of the discussion can be seen as due to the essayistic tone of the paper (participants in epistemic travel, for example, are described as "brave souls who are willing to venture into new lands", Barnett & Phipps, 2005: 8). However, the connection of physical and epistemic travel they point to informs a research programme in the geography and sociology of science. Geographers have investigated the role of space, from the domestic-public to the urban-rural and the colonial metropolis-periphery distinction, as well as the importance of "national culture" both in the historical formation of modern science and in its continuing influence on the organisation of science today (e.g., Epstein et al., 2008; Heffernan, 1994; Livingstone, 1993, 1995, 2000, 2003; Meusbürger, 2015; Meusbürger et al., 2010; Raj, 2007; Taylor et al., 2008). In this context, Jöns (2006), building largely on Kuhnian philosophy of science as well as on STS concepts, has looked at how physical travel of academics has historically worked to create networks of knowledge production and circulation. Jöns (2008) takes up a theoretical cue from Latour (1987) who argues for the central role of movement and travel in the production and legitimisation of scientific knowledge. Using the example of early modern naval expeditions, Latour describes the ways in which the circular process of scientists' going away, meeting others, crossing paths, and coming back allows them to mobilise resources, test truth claims in different settings, and spread arguments in time and space (Latour, 1987: 210ff, 220ff). From this point of view, academic travel includes anything from "a few days" to "a couple of years" (Jöns, 2008: 339) – important is the entire cycle of this Latourian movement, including the return to their home institution (thus allowing for a definition of academic travel against the labour market context of mobility, 3.3). Through this lens, methodologically implemented largely by archival work and the analysis of data such as university requests for absence, the networks formed by linking material and epistemic travel can be seen as an important factor in many aspects of the academic world. They facilitate exchange across disciplinary boundaries (Jöns, 2007, 2018; Meusbürger, 2015), foster the formation of distinct disciplinary cultures of travel (Driver, 2001; Heffernan & Jöns, 2007, 2013), and enable the

production and reproduction of hierarchies of global knowledge geographies (Jöns, 2008, 2009, 2015; Jöns et al., 2017; Meusburger et al., 2010). While the latter aspect is sometimes used as a starting point for critique (4.2.1), other commentators hold a positive view of increased connectivity per se (Orazbayev, 2017; Sugimoto et al., 2017). There is also evidence that the increased international connectivity that conferences are said to provide does not always play out this way, but that their main function is rather the strengthening and maintenance of already existing networks (Stegbauer & Rausch, 2012).

3.3 Labour markets, careers, academic work

Williams and McNeil (2007) argue that a “career ladder model” of educational and business travel, which supposes that the advancement of one’s career by forming networks via travel is a crucial motivator for travelling, may be inadequate for understanding academic travel. They suggest that allocation of access to academic travel is also one of the university’s means to reward staff and sometimes students, as well as a way for academics to learn new skills, irrespective of the career and network aspects. However, in the context of academia as a labour market and research as work, AAT appears closer connected to more long-term mobility practices of establishing networks, showing a willingness to change locations, and gaining cultural and social capital (Bauder, 2015). One common factor in the literature is the stressing of large differences in the reception and promotion of mobility between countries as well as between scientific disciplines (Bauder, 2020; Kim, 2017). This has largely been addressed in the context of mobility and habitus formation, as well as the discussion of “brain circulation” (Ackers, 2013; Bauder, 2020; Chen, 2017; Hoffman, 2007; Leeman, 2010; Leung, 2013). However, there is not always a clear distinction drawn between academic travel and mobility (Uusimaki & Garvis, 2017). This may be partly due to complicated working arrangements such as the phenomenon of “flying faculty”, sitting at the edge between AAT and academic mobility (Whieldon, 2019). Often, academic travel is discussed in connection with the question of its link with academic (career) success, in particular to assess the claim whether researchers who travel more are also more successful (4.1). Aksnes and colleagues (2013), in an analysis of publication and citation histories of Norwegian researchers, find that mobile researchers do have slightly higher publication and citation rates. In addition, there is evidence that travel support for early-career researchers is beneficial for their careers (Majaneva et al., 2016). However, one of the first studies that asked the question not in terms of mobility but explicitly of AAT (Wynes et al., 2019) suggests that there is a “threshold” level of required travel that must be met in order to advance research careers. Wynes and colleagues found that the factors with the highest impact on an academic’s h-index are their faculty position, salary, and gender, while the overall connection with AAT appears weak; a finding that resonated in the scientific community (Richler, 2019).

3.4 Conferences and tourism

It has been observed that conference travel not only serves the nominal purpose of conference attendance, but that academics also often combined it with tourism practices (Høyer & Næss, 2001; Oppermann & Chon, 1997). Lassen (2006) has pointed out the complex connection of air travel with work, tourism, and leisure, however not in the context of academia (on the interaction between the tourism industry and research, see also Slocum et al., 2015). For academic conferences, this connection has more recently come into focus (Ojong, 2013; Steyn, 2015; Tretyakevich & Maggi, 2012; Volden, 2019). Yoo and colleagues have drawn attention to the practice of academics’ partners accompanying them to conferences (Yoo et al., 2016; Yoo & Wilson, 2020). It is interesting to note that in the context of consumer behaviour studies, an analytical framework has been proposed to frame travel – and thus also AAT – as “consumption of distance” (Heisserer & Rau, 2017), a development in the framing of AAT in behavioural terms (5.1).

4 Discourses around AAT

4.1 Relevance for individual career and scientific reputation: “Fly or die”

In analogy to the academic publication system’s unofficial imperative to “publish or perish”, Strengers (2014) has characterised the obligation for AAT as “fly or die”. Gärdebo et al. (2017: 73) pick up this phrase and connect it to scientists’ “silent dilemma”, namely having to “balance flight miles versus scholarly output”. Implied is the negative environmental impact of flying, a context in which much of its relevance or rather obligation is criticised. Storme and colleagues (2017) point out that different *obligations of presence* exist, such as building networks and working at the “frontiers of academic knowledge”. Storme (2014) distinguishes three types of obligations for AAT: firstly, “hard” obligations, i.e. studying a specific geographically bound phenomenon such as archaeological sites and archives or funding agreements which have to be signed face-to-face; secondly, “role obligations” such as a president of a scientific society showing commitment to the role by attending a meeting in person; and thirdly, “soft” obligations including maintaining networks or creating a sense of belonging to a (reference) group. The third type is emphasised way beyond a “soft” imperative by work in the sociology of science, which places a focus on the relevance of disciplinary belongings for academic identities (Stichweh, 1993). This aspect of belonging, in addition to the relevance of being present at reputable conferences for individual scientific reputation, arguably firms up the status of the (bi-)annual conferences of national and international academic associations in the schedule of any academic.

A geographical factor in the production of flying obligations that is intensely discussed is “remoteness” and the supposed need to overcome it, particularly emphasised by researchers based in New Zealand and Australia (Glover et al., 2016, 2018, 2019; Higham et al., 2019; Hopkins et al., 2016, 2019). However, Glover et al. (2019) and Higham et al. (2019) point out that remoteness serves as a justification to academics who connect AAT with increased career benefits, and thus consider the imperative as in part discursively produced. Nursey-Bray and colleagues (2019) have termed this “the fear of not flying”. Hopkins et al. (2019) found in an interview study that AAT is an important part in academic subject identity formation through the construction of figures such as “the jet-setter”, “the successful academic”, and “the globally recognised scholar” (480). Storme et al. (2013) suggest that varying strategies exist to cope with the different *obligations of proximity*. In a study of academics with tenure track, the authors found that those who do not feel the need to expand their network capital aim to reduce their travel due to feelings of constraint and look for alternatives (Storme et al., 2017), an effect also observed among some senior staff in corporations (Julsrud et al., 2014; Lindeblad et al., 2016), and pointing towards the limits of the obligation of presence (6.1).

4.2 Inequality of access

Besides climatisation, the main point of politicising AAT is the inequality of access. Access to AAT as a part of doing science is hampered especially for researchers from outside the central networks of knowledge circulation, mostly from geographical locations in the Global South (4.2.1). In addition, exclusionary mechanisms that are at work in academia generally also apply to AAT (4.2.2). While discourses of valorisation of AAT and its role in forming an academic habitus are discussed critically (Wilson, 2006), this is occasionally put in moral terms. Parker and Weik (2014: 167) claim that:

The original notion of intellectual detachment and academic freedom has developed into a demand for social and moral detachment by the ever growing circuit of international ‘visibility’ as celebrated at international conferences.

For some time, the main destination of AAT, *academic conferences*, have come into view of research in studies of science and higher education (González-Santos & Dimond, 2015; Hansen, 2020; Hauss, 2020, Skelton, 1997; Söderqvist & Silverstein, 1994). Henderson has proposed a critical academic mobilities approach (CAMA), emphasising mobility practices in conference attendance with regard to young researchers' participation and exclusionary practices in conference organisation (Henderson, 2015, 2019; Henderson & Burford, 2020; Henderson & Moreau, 2019). It is noteworthy that the connection between *critical conference studies* and the AAT literature appears not fully established at this time (4.2.2, 7).

4.2.1 Geographical stratification

The processes outlined above (2.1, 3.2) have produced and are producing a network of knowledge circulation and exchange through travel, which is globally unevenly distributed. Its centres are in Western Europe and the US, as well as, historically, the European colonial apparatus (Jöns, 2015). Accompanied by the advent of affordable air travel since the 1950s (Beaty, 1979), these networks changed, yet some of their structuring factors remain. An example is expensive specialist laboratory or field equipment that can only be bought by wealthy universities or states, necessitating the travel of respective researchers to these centres, a phenomenon best observable today in Big Science facilities like the CERN (Jöns, 2008). The historically grown networks still visible today become politicised in the context of access to travel. Britz and Ponelis (2010) point towards the hurdles for African scholars, who often find themselves unable to participate in European or US conferences due to visa restrictions, and argue for reforms in the short-term visa application process (see also Roelofs, 2019). AAT is also politicised in the context of travel restrictions and sanctions, as Woodman (2019) discusses for the case of academic travel between the US and Cuba. Changes in the composition of knowledge production and exchange networks are a topic of research with respect to China, notably the increasing return of Chinese scholars from abroad (Chen, 2017; Leung, 2013). Evident here is the inequality of access not only in terms of formalised or bureaucratic hurdles scholars from outside the West face in participating in AAT, but in the more covert connection of restrictions of knowledge, staff, and student mobility, like language barriers and lack of inter-university connections (e.g., Gunther & Raghuram, 2017; 7).

4.2.2 Gender inequality

Gendered obstacles to conference participation are another area of politicisation of the ability to participate in AAT. There are a number of factors that systematically make conference participation, and thus participation in AAT, more difficult for young researchers, female researchers, and researchers with families, resulting in decreased chances of cultural and social capital accumulation and with adverse effects on career paths (Leeman, 2010). Jöns (2017) finds that women at Cambridge University in the first half of the 20th century were less integrated in the university knowledge circulation system, as their requests for leave, i.e. travel, were, more often than men's, explicitly for research purposes and less often for teaching, lecturing, and conference attendance, i.e. network-building. In Storme's (2014: 158ff) sample, women travelled less often than men; unlike expected, researchers who were in relationships travelled more often than those who were not, and when children were involved, the trend that men travelled more frequently than women persisted. Bos and colleagues (2019) have argued that among the factors preventing academics with family obligations from attending conferences is the lack of consideration given to childcare obligation by conference organisers. Besides more child-friendly conferences, strictly enforced codes of conduct and more women in conference organisation and speaker invitation committees, Sardelis and Drew (2016) have called for easier access to travel funds as a means for facilitating women's conference participation. While AAT is seen as a central part in the construction of academic subject identities (Hopkins et al., 2019; Leeman, 2010), Cohen and colleagues (2020) have found in their analysis of interview data that figures such as that of the "mobile" academic are often

gendered and associated with masculinity. Furthermore, the imperative to travel often comes into conflict with discourses around both motherhood and fatherhood (Cohen et al., 2020; see also: Yoo & Wilson, 2020; Yoo et al., 2016).

4.2.3 Health concerns

One literature review (Cohen & Gössling, 2015) lists among the “darker sides of hypermobility” many physiological, psychological, and social aspects, however, not with a focus on academics. Few studies explicitly address this group’s specific vulnerabilities, e.g., disturbed sleep-wake patterns in the context of international travel (Bergström, 2010; Takahashi et al., 2002). A reason for this lacuna might be the high variability of career paths and life styles, which make generalising conclusions difficult (Richardson & Zikic, 2007). As Carrozza and colleagues (2017: 57) have pointed out, the fact that researchers, besides brains, also have bodies is often overlooked. The reliance on air travel in academic short-term mobility also excludes researchers with disabilities or medical conditions that make flying difficult or impossible. This issue is only mentioned in passing (Glover et al., 2019: 468) in the literature (see 7).

4.3 Justification, legitimisation

Explicit justifications of the current rate of AAT are rare. Even authors who caution against hasty calls for a drastic reduction acknowledge that the current rate of AAT is not sustainable in ecological terms. In one debate in *Area*, Hall (2007) replied to Bonnett’s (2006) call for creating sustainable conferences with an appeal to the systemic “market inequalities” (Hall, 2007: 129) underlying the incentives for the current rate of AAT and the need for a broader conversation. Similarly, the *British Medical Journal* provided space for an exchange over the question “are international medical conferences an outdated luxury the planet can’t afford?” While Green (2008) answers this in the positive and argues that a combination of decentralised meeting hubs mediated by technology could serve as a viable alternative to AAT (6.1), Drife (2008) is more explicit in his defence than Hall (2007). He points towards the long tradition of cynical attitudes towards conferences in “posh places”, the UK’s relatively small share of international CO₂ output, and the allegedly indispensable aspects of meetingness such as inspiration and pathos, concluding that “for relating to people, video conferences are less effective than the mobile phone” (Drife, 2008: 1467). Some appeals to the long tradition of academics’ travelling can be read as ostensible legitimisation efforts of AAT (Barnett & Phipps, 2005; Gärdebo et al., 2017). For tourism academics, Witsel (2013) argues that travel is an essential and overall positive part of professional life. Wallinga (2002) praises academic travel in the context of the “hard” obligation of archival work. It appears that the main justification for AAT is the perceived obligation, and Le Quéré and colleagues (2015) speak of young researchers’ network building as a legitimising factor for AAT (6.1).

5 Climatisation

5.1 Climatisation, AAT, and academia

Aviation has been pointed out as a large contributing factor to climate change due to its high carbon emissions (Lee et al., 2009; Bows-Larkin et al., 2010). Consequently, AAT has been increasingly discussed in the context of climate change and thus can be seen as an instance of the *climatisation* (Aykut et al., 2017) of a social practice, by which Aykut and colleagues mean a discursive link of an issue to climate change, often associated with a critical and moralising stance. While Vaeng & Øksnevad (2013) suggest that most of their academic interviewees were unaware of the AAT-climate connection, Storme’s (2014) larger sample mostly demonstrated awareness. Participation in AAT is often seen as a dilemma by

commentators since its perceived relevance has been increasingly viewed as conflicting with appeals to cut or decrease flying (Gärdebo et al., 2017). This dilemmatic aspect of AAT is particularly highlighted in the context of environmental and climate science, as the scientific basis for appeals for a reduction of aviation comes from these disciplines (Grémillet, 2008). Drawing on Nixon (2011), Nevins (2014: 306) calls participation in AAT a privilege, which should be used for “laying the groundwork of overcoming the system of privilege and disadvantage”, referring to the systematic inequalities mediating differences in how areas are affected by the consequences of climate change. There is a long-standing argument that something must be done about reducing AAT (Lester, 2007; Pedelty, 2008). While it is sometimes acknowledged that the actual contributions to carbon emissions from academia in general and individual disciplines in particular are overall low in relative terms, many commentators stress that science, and especially climate science, “must take its responsibility and lead by example” when it comes to reducing AAT (Caset et al., 2018: 3; Anderson & Nevins, 2016; Anderson, 2013; Anglaret et al., 2019; Hamant et al., 2019). Within academia, Higham and Font (2020) have called for tourism studies to take the lead in applying their knowledge more and to lead research into possibilities of emission reduction.

A number of authors discuss flying as a behavioural choice at the individual level, drawing on psychological concepts such as the theory of planned behaviour (Greaves et al., 2013; Yuriev et al., 2018). This has also been applied to AAT, for example, by Lassen (2010), who found that there is rarely a connection between environmental attitudes and environmental behaviour. Schrems and Upham (2020) apply a cognitive dissonance framework to sustainability academics’ attitudes towards AAT (see also Petzold, 2017; Vincent, 2019); however, the limits of cognitive theories have in this context been pointed out, too (Moloney & Strengers, 2014). Eriksson and colleagues’ recent study (2020) of computer scientists’ views of AAT highlights the lack of consensus among the participants with regard to their participation in AAT as well as methodological difficulties. The discussion of the “flyer’s dilemma” sometimes takes place within individual disciplines, such as ethnomusicology (Grant, 2018), medicine (Storz, 2019; Young, 2009), cultural anthropology (Nevins, 2018), or religion studies (Zoloth, 2014), and particularly in those connected to climate and environmental sciences (Fox et al., 2009; Grémillet, 2008; Michaelowa & Lehmkühl, 2004; Waring et al., 2014). These calls are at other times also addressed to the scientific community as a whole (Anonymous, 2019; Jean & Wymant, 2019; Nathans & Sterling, 2016; Peeters, 2020; Reay, 2004; Smythe, 2010; Thompson, 2011). Here, the *Academic Flying Blog* acts as an additional forum for discussion (Wilde, 2019a). Anthropologist Baer (2018, 2019) has called AAT “the elephant in the sky”, and pointed out that small scale individual solutions such as reduction of short-term trips and less attendance of faraway conferences do not go far enough, since the reasons for climate change go deeper, ultimately only resolvable in an alternative world system (see also Burian, 2018; Dwyer, 2013). The case to reduce or even stop AAT, connected with an individualising framework of action is laid out in contributions to Watson’s (2014; e.g., Krumdieck, 2014) collection of personal essays about stopping to fly as well as in Smith’s (2019) report for an aviation de-growth NGO. In addition, disciplinary organisations are called upon to support individual members’ reduction of AAT (Cobb et al., 2018; petition by Wilde, 2019b). AAT is also discussed in the contexts of university policy such as many institutions’ plans for sustainability. Following exploratory work by Hopkins and colleagues (2016), Glover et al. (2017; 2018) have found that while many of the Australian universities they reviewed had a plan for becoming sustainable in the future, more than half ignored AAT in their sustainability statements.

5.2 Carbon emissions, footprint calculations

Apart from alternative forms of conference organisation or a larger change in the mode of academic work (6.1, 6.2), carbon-offsetting has been discussed and is partly practiced as a means for mitigating emission (Broderick, 2009; Burke, 2010; Tyers, 2016). This approach, however, is controversial, if not

widely disregarded (Anderson, 2012; Le Quéré et al., 2015; Moura-Costas & Stuart, 1998). Similarly, technological solutions such as alternative, hypothetically less carbon-intensive modes of aviation are often rejected as not sufficiently tackling the underlying problem of the extent of flying (Baer, 2019; Caset et al., 2018). The discussion around emissions from AAT takes place largely in terms of ecological footprints (EF), and a genre of studies calculates the EF of different conferences (Astudillo & AzariJafari, 2018; Becken, 2002; Borggren et al., 2013; Desiere, 2016; Fehr et al., 2019; Hirschier & Hilty, 2002; Jäckle, 2019; Klöwer et al., 2020; Neugebauer et al., 2020; Ponette-González & Byrnes, 2011), universities with students (Arsenault et al., 2019; Hale & Vogelaar, 2015; Mendoza-Flores et al., 2019) and without (Ciers et al., 2019; Wynes & Donner, 2018), and compares academic disciplines (Balmford et al., 2017; Waring et al., 2014), research units (Stohl, 2008), a PhD project (Achten et al., 2013), and the production of a conference paper (Spinellis & Louridas, 2013).

5.3 Credibility

The status of AAT as relevant and obligatory, on the one hand, and as an activity which is suggested to be undesirable, on the other, becomes particularly tense for climate scientists and their roles in public and policy contexts. Rapley and De Meyer (2014: 745) have argued that a much-expected new contract of science with society, connected to “additional commitments in the area of public discourse and policy”, as proposed by Lubchenco (1998), did not materialise, partly because the global reorganisation of science and its increasing competitiveness do not leave scientists any spare time. With climate change becoming more salient in news media coverage (Schmidt et al., 2013), environmental and climate scientists gain prominence in the public sphere, where the role of “honest broker” (Pielke, 2007) is not easily played and where activities such as AAT can be perceived as evidence of a double standard (Cox, 2013; Gauchat et al., 2017; Knudsen & De Bolsée, 2019). There is evidence that the credibility of climate scientists is influenced by their public perception (Attari et al., 2016; Nordhagen et al., 2014). The complex relationship of “environmental behaviour” and its connection with credibility among environmental and climate scientists, especially those who have a high public profile, is highlighted by findings from Sparkman and Attari (2020). They provide evidence that communicators in the context of climate science need not be seen to partake in non-environmental behaviour, it is also detrimental to their credibility when they hold, or are seen to hold, themselves to standards the majority of the public finds unattainable.

6 Discussions of alternatives

6.1 Alternative conference organisation

Urry (2003: 171f) suggests that different “requirements of travel and copresence” exist: besides the need for corporeal copresence, there is also the need for response presence (as developed by Knorr-Cetina & Bruegger, 2002 in the context of financial markets), which needs less “thick” information and could be supplemented if not replaced by what Urry (2002) calls “virtual travel”, or *virtual meetings (VM)*. In the context of organisation studies, it has been shown that companies that use VM do not decrease their physical travel, but that instead both physical and virtual travel increase at the same time (Haynes, 2010). VM in the form of video conferences are central when alternatives to AAT are discussed, and what is identified as most important is the tradition of academic conferences (Bossdorf et al., 2010; Henderson, 2015; Lassen et al., 2006; Sarabipour et al., 2020). This is often discussed in the context of climatisation, such as by Coroama and colleagues (2012), who affirm that substituting AAT by VM does indeed reduce greenhouse gas emission. Le Quéré and colleagues (2015) propose a change in the current carbon-intensive research culture by means of applying a formula to calculate whether an instance of

flying is justified, based on the extent of travel, duration and emission, weighed against justifying factors, such as career stage and reason for flying. Mainly, the shift from centralised conferences to hybrid forms of continental or national hubs in different constellations, supplemented by virtual attendance is seen as a realistic alternative (Aguilera et al., 2012; Fraser et al., 2017; Gäredbo et al., 2013; Klöwer et al., 2020; Le Qéré et al., 2015; Orsi, 2012; Ponette-González & Byrnes, 2011; Stroud & Feeley, 2015). Ekstrom et al. (2020) highlight the need for widely available free video conferencing platforms in order for virtual conferences to be accepted, but privacy concerns remain an issue (Parncutt & Seither-Preisler, 2019). Not surprisingly, in some universities' sustainability programmes, reducing AAT is set in the context of IT development (Glover et al., 2017; 2018). Neustaedter and colleagues (2018) report on trials of using robots as a means of telepresence at conferences, with results ranging from mixed at best to discouraging. Some highlight the benefits of virtual conferences besides emission reduction, such as less time spent travelling (Ørngreen et al., 2019), while others report on some negative experiences, such as the challenge of giving presentations alone in front of an unresponsive computer (Pacchioni, 2020). Alternative forms of travel are mostly mentioned as a side note. Caset and colleagues (2018) reject the hope for technological solutions, innovations in aviation such as electric aircrafts or biofuel propulsion, likening them to Cold War era pipe dreams of nuclear powered planes. Train travel is sometimes discussed, albeit often in essayistic and slightly romanticising form (Bissell & Overend, 2015; Quinton, 2020).

6.2 *Slow Scholarship*

Since the mid-2010s, there are efforts to review the imperative to participate in AAT in the light of broader developments in how academic life is conducted and regulated. Against trends of a neoliberalisation of the academy, New Public Management practices, and increasing pressure on university staff, a call for *Slow Scholarship* as a qualitative change in research culture (6.1) is made, including a reduction of conference attendance as well as more time for individual research and better teaching (Mountz et al., 2015). Connected often to feminist perspectives in the humanities as well as educational theory, there is a multiplicity of calls for embracing *Slow Scholarship*, although emphases vary (Bergland, 2018; Berkowitz & Delacour, 2020; Glover et al., 2017; Hartman & Darab, 2012; Stengers & Muecke, 2018). Mendick (2014), however, criticises the *Slow Scholarship* movement for emanating from a perspective that is itself very close to a neoliberal logic of optimisation, suggesting that *Slow Scholarship* suffers from a narrow view of science – namely research that is being conducted at humanities departments of high prestige universities – without much potential for application in other areas of science.

7 Limitations

This literature review presents AAT as an emerging topic of scholarly interest. However, with a view to covering the range of contexts in which AAT has become relevant as well as the heterogeneity of the literature under review, it must be acknowledged that some parts of the discussion remain cursory. The narrowing of the search focus on explicit mentions of AAT necessarily occludes some aspects, notably, relevant aspects of academic travel and air travel more generally. The literature on mobility, migration, and tourism studies, as well as the closely related field of conference studies could thus not be given the space they deserve. A number of topics relevant to AAT did not appear in the reviewed literature for two possible reasons: one can be the above mentioned limitation of the literature search; another reason can be a lack of research on these issues as has been discussed, e.g., in the context of health concerns (4.2.3). In the context of geographical stratification, the issue of time is, if at all, only covered in passing: one

factor that makes AAT appealing in many contexts is its fastness; substitution is not possible everywhere, e.g., in Europe many universities are in closer proximity than in e.g., the US, Australia, or India, thus making AAT more difficult to substitute (see also 4.1 on “remoteness”). Similarly, copresence is by far not the only functional aspect in international academic mobility – inequalities between national contexts play a role in both long and short-term academic mobility practices, too (2.2, 4.2). Interestingly, the role of scientific reputation appears only as a secondary concern in the literature (3.3), and has as of yet not been theorised with a focus on the role of conferences and AAT.

8 The Elephant in the Sky: Conclusions and outlook

Academic travel has long been an integral part of the development of the research university and the international connectivity of modern science; the scholarly interest in the phenomenon, however, has as of yet hardly kept pace with the growth and extent of the practice itself. Based on a literature review, we here take stock of the status quo of research on AAT. The key functions of meetingness and physical copresence in academic communication and scientific exchange, as well as the special characteristics of the academic labour market, appear as the main drivers of AAT. Yet, functions for individual scientific reputation and academic belonging, as well as tourism aspects, play relevant and, as of yet, underexplored roles, too. Furthermore, AAT has become the subject of politicisation for the inequality of access to it and the environmental costs of aviation. Its relevance for individual academic careers versus its environmental impacts has been carved out as AAT’s central tension. Most discussions of the critique as well as the legitimisation of AAT, however, are implicit, and it needs to be further investigated how academics justify their current rates of air travel (pre-Covid 19). We suggest that one has to expect both ambivalences and ambivalence management strategies especially in early career researchers, which should be investigated empirically and preferably across disciplines.

Storme already asked in 2014 for the current function of historically grown travel patterns and networks in the context of legitimising institutions, such as in a university hierarchy. What role do conferences still play in connecting researchers, including the cases of new research projects, research agendas, and new specialties growing out of copresent meetings? Is learning about new approaches, ideas, people and fields in face-to-face interaction still a relevant function of conferences in a time of an increasingly digital academia? Another research gap concerns the role of scientists in changing patterns of air travel. Is there a (climate-related) moralisation and politicisation of air travel, and is there evidence of changes in scientists’ social role, or their “virtues” (Shapin, 2008)? How are the ambivalent roles of scientists in “leading by example” and aiming for personal credibility, and the individualisation of (symbolic) responsibility in the context of framing of air travel as “consumption of distance” negotiated? To study both, the implications for individuals as well as for institutions, it would be interesting to take a look at higher education institutions’ (changing) travel policies, the involvement of individual scientists in campaigns such as Scientists for Future’s *unter 1000* (Scientists for Future, 2021) as well as the activism specifically in Climate Science (No Fly Climate Sci, 2021).

The review at hand was started when the Covid-19 pandemic was only beginning to show its effects on the academic world, and there is a possibility that what we describe is a status quo ante, the return to which might be undesirable if not impossible. At this point, scholarly contributions on the pandemic’s impact on AAT and the scientific world at large abound (see e.g., Bidmon et al., 2020; Gerhards, 2021; Glausiusz, 2021; Palm, 2021; Shelley-Egan, 2020). Given the disruptive power of the pandemic also on the academic world, AAT appears as an emerging and particularly timely area of research. To fly or not to fly – this is a practical, political and scientific matter. Further research on the impact of Covid-19 on patterns and extent of AAT as well as an exploration of the emerging ubiquity of virtual meetings will be needed.

9 References

- Achten, W.M.J., Almeida, J., & Muys, B. (2013). Carbon footprint of science: More than flying. *Ecological Indicators*, 34, 352–355.
- Ackers, L. (2013). Internet mobility, co-presence and purpose: Contextualising internationalisation in research careers. *Sociología y tecnociencia/Sociology and Technoscience*, 3(3), 117-141.
- Aguilera, A., Guillot, C., & Rallet, A. (2012). Mobile ICTs and physical mobility: Review and research agenda. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 46(4), 664-672.
- Aksnes, D.W., Rørstad, K., Piro, F.N., & Sivertsen, G. (2013). Are mobile researchers more productive and cited than non-mobile researchers? A large-scale study of Norwegian scientists. *Research Evaluation*, 22(4), 215-223.
- Alemu, S.K. (2020). Transnational Mobility of Academics: Some Academic Impacts. *Center for Educational Policy Studies Journal*, 10(2), 77-100.
- Altbach, P.G. (2004). Globalisation and the university: Myths and realities in an unequal world. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 10, 3-25.
- Anderson, K. (2012). The inconvenient truth of carbon offsets. *Nature*, 484, 5 April, 7.
- Anderson, K. (2013). *Hypocrites in the air: Should climate change academics lead by example?* Accessed 15.04.2019. <http://kevinanderson.info/blog/hypocrites-in-the-air-should-climatechange-academics-lead-by-example>.
- Anderson, K., & Nevins, J. (2016). Planting Seeds So Something Bigger Might Emerge: The Paris Agreement and the Fight Against Climate Change. *Socialism and Democracy*, 30(2), 209-218.
- Anglaret, X., Wymant, C., & Jean, K. (2019). Researchers, set an example: fly less. *The Conversation*. Accessed 24.04.2020. <https://theconversation.com/researchers-set-an-example-fly-less-111046>.
- Anonymous (2019). We need greener conferences. *Nature Microbiology*, 4, 1425.
- Arsenault, J., Talbot, J., Boustani, L., Gonzalès, R., & Manaugh, K. (2019). The environmental footprint of academic and student mobility in a large research-oriented university. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(9), 095001.
- Asheim, B., Coenen, L., & Vang, J. (2007). Face-to-face, buzz, and knowledge bases: sociospatial implications for learning, innovation, and innovation policy. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 25, 655-670.
- Astudillo, M.F., & AzariJafari, H. (2018). Estimating the global warming emission of the LCAXVII conference: connecting flights matter. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 23(7), 1512-1516.
- Attari, S.Z., Krantz, D.H., & Weber, E. (2016). Statements about climate researchers' carbon footprints affect their credibility and the impact of their advice. *Climatic Change*, 138, 325-338.
- Aykut, S.C., Foyer, J., & Morena, E. (eds.) (2017). *Globalising the climate. COP21 and the climatisation of global debates*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Baer, H.A. (2018). Grappling with flying as a driver to climate change: Strategies for critical scholars seeking to contribute to a socio-ecological revolution. *The Australian Journal of Anthropology*, 29(3), 298-315.
- Baer, H.A. (2019). The elephant in the sky: On how to grapple with our academic flying in the age of climate change. *Anthropology Today*, 35(4), 21-24.
- Balmford, A., Cole, L., Sandbrook, C., & Fisher, B. (2017). The environmental footprints of conservationists, economists and medics compared. *Biological Conservation*, 214, 260-269.
- Barnett, R., & Phipps, A. (2005). Academic Travel: Modes and Directions. *The Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies*, 27(1), 3-16.
- Bauder, H. (2015). The International Mobility of Academics: A labour market perspective. *International Migration*, 53(1), 83-96.
- Bauder, H. (2020). International Mobility and Social Capital in the Academic Field. *Minerva*, 58, 367-387.
- Beaty, D. (1979). *The Water Jump: The Story of Transatlantic Flight*. London: Secker & Warburg.
- Becken, S. (2002). The energy costs of the Ecotourism Summit in Quebec. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 10(5), 454–56.
- Bergland, B. (2018). The Incompatibility of Neoliberal University Structures and Interdisciplinary Knowledge: A Feminist Slow Scholarship Critique. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 58(11), 1031-1036.

- Bergström, G. (2010). Consequences of overnight work travel for personal social relations: problems, promises, and further repercussions. *Mobilities*, 5(3), 369-386.
- Berkowitz, H. & Delacour, H. (2020). Sustainable Academia: Open, Engaged, and Slow Science. *M@n@gement*, 23(1), 1-3.
- Bidmon, C., Meath, C., & Bohnsack, R. (2020). Organizing a virtual conference changed the way we think about academic exchange. *Nature*, 24 June 2020.
- Bissell, L., & Overend, D. (2015). Reflections on a mobile train conference from Helsinki to Rovaniemi. *Cultural Geographies*, 22(4), 731-735.
- Boden, D., & Molotch, H.L. (1994). The Compulsion of Proximity. In R. Friedland & D. Boden (eds.), *NowHere. Space, Time and Modernity*, pp. 257-286. Berkley, CA: University of California Press.
- Bonnett, A. (2006). The need for sustainable conferences. *Area*, 38(3), 229-30.
- Borggren, C., Moberg, Å., Räsänen, M., & Finnveden, G. (2013). Business meetings at a distance – decreasing greenhouse gas emissions and cumulative energy demand? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 41, 126-139.
- Bos, A.L., Sweet-Cushman, J., & Schneider, M.C. (2019). Family-friendly academic conferences: a missing link to fix the “leaky pipeline”? *Politics, Groups, and Identities*, 7(3), 748-758.
- Bossdorf, O., Parepa, M., & Fischer, M. (2010). Climate-neutral ecology conferences: just do it!. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 25(2), 61.
- Bows-Larkin, A., Mander, S.L., Traut, M.B., Anderson, K.L., & Wood, F.R. (2010). Aviation and climate change—the continuing challenge. *Encyclopedia of aerospace engineering*, 1-11.
- Britz, J.J., & Ponelis, S.R. (2010). It’s not what you know it’s where you’re from: a case for social justice in the international flow of knowledge with specific reference to African scholars. *Proceedings of the ETHICOMP 2010*, 58-69.
- Broderick, J. (2009). Voluntary carbon offsetting for air travel. In S. Gössling & P. Upham (eds.), *Climate change and aviation: Issues, challenges and solutions*, pp. 329-346. London: Earthscan.
- Burian, I. (2018). *It is up in the air: Academic flying of Swedish sustainability academics and a pathway to organizational change*. Lund University: MA thesis.
- Burke, I.C. (2010). Travel trade-offs for scientists. *Science*, 330, 10 December 2010, 1476.
- Carrozza, C., Giorgi, A., & Raffini, L. (2017). Brains and bodies on the move. A research agenda on precarious researchers’ mobility. In T. Franca & B. Padilla (eds.), *Transnational scientific mobility: Perspectives from the North and South*, pp. 57-90. Lisbon: Universidade Nova de Lisboa.
- Caset, F., Boussauw, K., & Storme, T. (2018). Meet & fly: Sustainable transport academics and the elephant in the room. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 70, 64-67.
- Chen, Q. (2017). *Globalization and Transnational Academic Mobility*. Singapore: Springer.
- Ciers, J., Mandic, A., Toth, L.D., & Op’t Veld, G. (2019). Carbon Footprint of Academic Air Travel: A Case Study in Switzerland. *Sustainability*, 11(1), 1-8.
- Cobb, K.M., Kalmus, P., & Romps, D.M. (2018). AGU Should Support Its Members Who Fly Less. *EOS*, 7.12.2018. Accessed 24.04.2020. <https://eos.org/opinions/agu-should-support-its-members-who-fly-less>.
- Cohen, S., Hanna, P., Higham, J., Hopkins, D., & Orchiston, C. (2020). Gender discourses in academic mobility. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 27(2), 149-165.
- Cohen, S.A., & Gössling, S. (2015). A darker side of hypermobility. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 47(8), 1661-1679.
- Coroama, V.C., Hilty, L.M., & Birtel, M. (2012). Effects of internet-based multiple-site conferences on greenhouse gas emissions. *Telematics and Informatics*, 29(4), 362-374.
- Cox, J.R. (2013). *Environmental Communication and the public sphere*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Crawford, E., Shinn, T., & Sörlin, S. (1993). The nationalization and denationalization of the sciences: an introductory essay. In: E. Crawford, T. Shinn, & S. Sörlin (eds.), *Denationalizing Science: The Contexts of International Scientific Practice*, pp. 1-42. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Dean, K.J. (2005). *Settler Physics in Australia and Cambridge, 1850-1950*. University of Cambridge: PhD thesis.
- Desiere, S. (2016). The Carbon Footprint of Academic Conferences: Evidence from the 14th EAAE Congress in Slovenia. *EuroChoices*, 15(2), 56-61.
- Drife, J.O. (2008). Are international medical conferences an outdated luxury the planet can’t afford? No. *BMJ*, 336(7659), 1467.
- Driver, F. (2001). *Geography Militant: Cultures of Exploration and Empire*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

- Dwyer, J. (2013). Flying to ethics conferences: climate change and moral responsiveness. *International Journal of Feminist Approaches to Bioethics*, 6(1), 1–18.
- Ekstrom, M., Lewis, S.C., Waldenström, A., & Westlund, O. (2020). Commentary: Digitization, climate change, and the potential for online workshops. *New Media & Society*, 22(2), 378-383.
- Ellis, H., & Kirchberger, U. (eds.) (2014). *Anglo-German Scholarly Networks in the Long Nineteenth Century*. Leiden: Brill.
- Epstein, D., Boden, R., Deem, R., Rizvi, F., & Wright, S. (eds.) (2008). *Geographies of Knowledge, Geometries of Power: Future of Higher Education*. New York & London: Routledge.
- Eriksson, E., Pargman, D., Robèrt, M., & Laaksojahti, J. (2020). On the Necessity of Flying and of not Flying: Exploring how Computer Scientists Reason about Academic Travel. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on ICT for Sustainability*, 18-26.
- Fehr, R., Paget, D.Z., Mekel, O.C.L., & Bos, N. (2019). Towards quantifying CO2 emissions from EPH conference travel. *European Journal of Public Health*, 29(4), 180.
- Fox, H.E., Kareiva, P., Silliman, B., Hitt, J., Lytle, D.A., Halpern, B.S., Hawkes, C.V., Lawler, J., Neel, M., Olden, J.D., Schlaepfer, M.A., Smith, K., & Tallis, H. (2009). Why do we fly? Ecologists' sins of emission. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(6), 294–296.
- Fraser, H., Soanas, K., Jones, S.A., Jones, C.S., & Malishev, M. (2017). The value of virtual conferencing for ecology and conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 31(3), 540-546.
- Gärdebo, J., Nilsson, D., & Soldal, K. (2017). The Travelling Scientist: Reflections on Aviated Knowledge Production in the Anthropocene. *Resilience: A Journal of the Environmental Humanities*, 5(1), 71-99.
- Gauchat, G., O'Brien, T., & Miroso, O. (2017). The legitimacy of environmental scientists in the public sphere. *Climatic Change*, 143(3-4), 297-306.
- Gerhards, J. (2021). Geschäftsreisen: Am Boden bleiben! *Die Zeit*, 10, 03 March 2021. Accessed 18.03.2021. <https://www.zeit.de/2021/10/geschaeftsreisen-vielflieger-akademiker-corona-digitalisierung>.
- Glausiusz, J. (2021). Rethinking travel in a post-pandemic world. *Nature*, 587(7840), 155-157.
- Glover, A., Lewis, T., & Strengers, Y. (2019). Overcoming remoteness: the necessity of air travel in Australian universities. *Australian Geographer*, 50(4), 453-471.
- Glover, A., Strengers, Y., & Lewis, T. (2016). *Academic aeromobility in Australian universities*. Paper prepared for DEMAND Centre Conference, Lancaster, 13-15 April 2016.
- Glover, A., Strengers, Y., & Lewis, T. (2017). The unsustainability of academic aeromobility in Australian universities. *Sustainability: Science, Practice, and Policy*, 13(1), 1-12.
- Glover, A., Strengers, Y., & Lewis, T. (2018). Sustainability and academic air travel in Australian universities. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 19(4), 756-772.
- Goffman, E. (1963). *Behavior in Public Places*. New York: The Free Press.
- González-Santos, S., & Dimond, R. (2015). Medical and Scientific Conferences as Sites of Sociological Interest: A Review of the Field. *Sociology Compass*, 9(3), 235-245.
- Gouldner, A. (1957). Cosmopolitans and Locals: Towards an Analysis of Latent Social Roles. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 2(3), 281-306.
- Grant, C. (2018). Academic flying, climate change, and ethnomusicology: personal reflections on a professional problem. *Ethnomusicology Forum*, 27(2), 123-135.
- Greaves, M., Zibarras, L.D., & Stride, C. (2013). Using the theory of planned behavior to explore environmental behavioral intentions in the workplace. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 34, 109-120.
- Green, M. (2008). Are international medical conferences an outdated luxury the planet can't afford? Yes. *BMJ*, 336(7659), 1466.
- Grémillet, D. (2008). Paradox of flying to meetings to protect the environment. *Nature*, 455, 30 October, 1175.
- Gunter, A., & Raghuram, P. (2017). International study in the global south: linking institutional, staff, student and knowledge mobilities. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 16(2), 192-207.
- Hale, B.W., & Vogelaar, A. (2015). The Road Less (Sustainably) Traveled: A Case Study of Academic Travel at Franklin University Switzerland. In: W. Leal Filho, U. Azeiteiro, S. Caeiro & F. Alves (eds.), *Integrating Sustainability Thinking in Science and Engineering Curricula*, pp. 183-195. Cham: Springer.
- Hall, E. (2007). Alternative futures for academic conferences: A response to Bonnett. *Area*, 39(1), 125–29.
- Hamant, O., Saunders, T., & Viasnoff, V. (2019). Celebrate sustainable travel at conferences. *Nature*, 573, 19 September, 451-452.

- Hansen, T.T. (2020). *The Impact of Academic Events. Cycles of Credibility as an Analytical Framework*. Aalborg Universitet: PhD thesis.
- Hartman, Y., & Darab, S. (2012). A Call for Slow Scholarship: A Case Study on the Intensification of Academic Life and its Implications for Pedagogy. *Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies*, 34(1–2), 49–60.
- Hauss, K. (2020). What are the social and scientific benefits of participating at academic conferences? Insights from a survey among doctoral students and postdocs in Germany. *Research Evaluation*, rvaa018.
- Haynes, P. (2010). Information and communication technology and international business travel: mobility allies?. *Mobilities*, 5(4), 547-564.
- Heffernan, M.J. (1994). A state scholarship: the political geography of French international science during the 19th century. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 19, 21–45.
- Heffernan M.J., & Jöns, H. (2007). Degrees of influence: the politics of honorary degrees in the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge, 1900–2000. *Minerva*, 45, 389–416.
- Heffernan, M.J., & Jöns, H. (2013). Research travel and disciplinary identities in the University of Cambridge, 1885-1955. *The British Journal for the History of Science*, 46(2), 255-286.
- Heisserer, B., & Rau, H. (2017). Capturing the consumption of distance? A practice-theoretical investigation of everyday travel. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 17(3), 579-599.
- Henderson, E.F. (2015). Academic conferences: representative and resistant sites for higher education research. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 34(5), 914-925.
- Henderson, E.F. (2019). A PhD in motion: Advancing a critical academic mobilities approach (CAMA) to researching short-term mobility schemes for doctoral students. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 24(5), 768-693.
- Henderson, E.F., & Burford, J. (2020). Thoughtful gatherings: gendering conferences as spaces of learning, knowledge production and community. *Gender and Education*, 32(1), 1-10.
- Henderson, E.F., & Moreau, M.-P. (2020). Carefree conferences? Academics with caring responsibilities performing mobile academic subjectivities. *Gender & Education*, 32(1), 70-85.
- Higham, J. & Font, X. (2020). Decarbonising academia: confronting our climate hypocrisy. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 28(1), 1-9.
- Higham, J.E.S., Hopkins, D., & Orchiston, C. (2019). The work-sociology of academic aeromobility at remote institutions. *Mobilities*, 14(5), 612-631.
- Hischier, R., & Hilty, L. (2002). Environmental impacts of an international conference. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 22, 543–557.
- Hoffman, D. M. (2007). The career potential of migrant scholars: A multiple case study of long-term academic mobility in Finnish universities. *Higher Education in Europe*, 32(4), 317-331.
- Hopkins, D., Higham, J., Orchiston, C., & Duncan, T. (2019). Practicing academic mobilities: Bodies, networks and institutional rhythms. *The Geographical Journal*, 185(4), 472-484.
- Hopkins, D., Higham, J., Tapp, S., & Duncan, T. (2016). Academic mobility in the Anthropocene era: a comparative study of university policy at three New Zealand institutions. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 24(3), 376-397.
- Høyer, K.G., & Næss, P. (2001). Conference tourism: A problem for the environment, as well as for Research? *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 9, 451–470.
- Jäckle, S. (2019). WE have to change! The carbon footprint of ECPR general conferences and ways to reduce it. *European Political Science*, 18(4), 630-650.
- Jean, K., & Wymant, C. (2019). Airborne in the era of climate change. *Science*, 363(6424), 240.
- Johnson, R., Watkinson, A., & Mabe, M. (2018). *The STM report. An overview of scientific and scholarly publishing, 5th Edition*. The Hague: STM Publishers.
- Jöns, H. (2006). Grenzenlos mobil? Anmerkungen zur Bedeutung und Strukturierung zirkulärer Mobilität in den Wissenschaften. In: K. Kempter & P. Meusburger (eds.), *Bildung und Wissensgesellschaft*, pp. 362–377. Berlin: Springer.
- Jöns, H. (2007). Transnational mobility and the spaces of knowledge production: a comparison of global patterns, motivations and collaborations in different academic fields. *Social Geography*, 2(2), 97-114.
- Jöns, H. (2008). Academic travel from Cambridge University and the formation of centres of knowledge, 1885-1954. *Journal of Historical Geography*, 34(2), 338-362.

- Jöns, H. (2009). 'Brain circulation' and transnational knowledge networks: studying long-term effects of academic mobility to Germany, 1954-2000. *Global networks*, 9(3), 325-338.
- Jöns, H. (2015). Talent Mobility and the Shifting Geographies of Latourian Knowledge Hubs. *Population, Space and Place*, 21(4), 372-389.
- Jöns, H. (2016). The University of Cambridge, academic expertise and the British empire, 1885-1962. *Environment and Planning A*, 48(1), 94-114.
- Jöns, H. (2017). Feminizing the University: The Mobilities, Careers, and Contributions of Early Female Academics in the University of Cambridge, 1926-1955. *The Professional Geographer*, 69(4), 670-682.
- Jöns, H. (2018). Boundary-crossing academic mobilities in glocal knowledge economies: new research agendas based on triadic thought. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 16(2), 151-161.
- Jöns, H., Meusburger, P., & Heffernan, M. (eds.) (2017). *Mobilities of knowledge*. Cham: Springer Nature.
- Julsrud, T.E., Denstadli, J.M., & Hjorthol, R.J. (2014). Business Networking, Travel Tiredness, and the Emergent Use of Video Conferences. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 8(4), 262-280.
- Kim, T. (2017). Academic mobility, transnational identity capital, and stratification under conditions of academic capitalism. *Higher Education*, 73(6), 981-997.
- Klöwer, M., Hopkins, D., Allen, M., & Higham, J. (2020). An analysis of ways to decarbonize conference travel after COVID-19. *Nature*, 583, 16 July, 356-359.
- Knorr-Cetina, K., & Bruegger, U. (2002). Global Microstructures: The Virtual Societies of Financial Markets. *American Journal of Sociology*, 107(4), 905-950.
- Knudsen, E.M., & De Bolsée, O.J. (2019). Communicating climate change in a "post-factual" society: lessons learned from the Pole to Paris campaign. *Geoscience Communications*, 2, 83-93.
- Krumdieck, S. (2014). The no-flying conference: Signs of change. In: C. Watson (ed.), *Beyond Flying: Rethinking Air Travel in a Globally Connected World*, pp. 114-123. Cambridge, UK: Green Books.
- Lassen, C. (2006). Aeromobility and work. *Environment and Planning A*, 38, 301-312.
- Lassen, C. (2010). Environmentalist in business class: An analysis of air travel and environmental attitude. *Transport Reviews*, 30(6), 733-51.
- Lassen, C., Laugen, B.T., & Næss, P (2006). Virtual mobility and organizational reality – a note on the mobility needs in knowledge organisations. *Transportation Research Part D: Transportation and Environment*, 11(6), 459-463.
- Latour, B. (1987). *Science in action: How to follow scientists and engineers through society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Le Quéré, C., Capstick, S., Corner, A., Cutting, D., Johnson, M., Minns, A., Schroeder, H., Walker-Springett, K., Whitmarsh, L., & Wood, R. (2015). Towards a culture of low-carbon research for the 21st Century. *Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, Working Paper 161*.
- Lee, D.S., Fahey, D.W., Forster, P.M., Newton, P.J., Wit, R.C.N., Lim, L.L., & Sausen, R. (2009). Aviation and global climate change in the 21st century. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 3520-3537.
- Leemann, R.J. (2010). Gender inequalities in transnational academic mobility and the ideal type of academic entrepreneur. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 31(5), 605-625.
- Lester, B. (2007). Greening the meeting. *Science*, 318, 5 October, 36-38.
- Leung, M.W.H. (2013). 'Read ten thousand books, walk ten thousand miles': geographical mobilities and capital accumulation among Chinese scholars. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 38(2), 311-324.
- Lindeblad, P.A., Voytenko, Y., Mont, O., & Arnfalk, P. (2016). Organizational effects of virtual meetings. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 123, 113-123.
- Livingstone, D. (1993). *The Geographical Tradition*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Livingstone, D. (1995). The spaces of knowledge: contributions towards a historical geography of science. *Environment and planning D: society and space*, 13(1), 5-34.
- Livingstone, D. (2000). Making space for science. *Erdkunde*, 54, 285-296.
- Livingstone, D. (2003). *Putting Science in Its Place: Geographies of Scientific Knowledge*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lodge, D. (2011)[1984]. *Small World: An Academic Romance*. London: Vintage Classics.
- Lubchenco, J. (1998). Entering the Century of the Environment: A New Social Contract for Science. *Science*, 279(5350), 491-497.

- Majaneva, S., Hamon, G., Fugmann, G., Lisowska, M., & Baeseman, J. (2016). Where are they now? – A case study of the impact of international travel support for early career arctic researchers. *Polar Science*, 10(3), 382-394.
- Mendick, H. (2014). Social Class, Gender and the Pace of Academic Life: What Kind of Solution is Slow? *Forum: Qualitative Social Research/Qualitative Sozialforschung*, 15(3), A7.
- Mendoza-Flores, R., Quintero-Ramírez, R., & Ortiz, I. (2019). The carbon footprint of a public university campus in Mexico City. *Carbon Management*, 10(5), 501-511.
- Merton, R.K. (1957). Patterns of influence: Local and cosmopolitan influentials. In: R.K. Merton, *Social theory and social structure*, pp. 387–420. New York: The Free Press.
- Meusburger, P. (2015). Knowledge environments in universities. *Hungarian Geographical Bulletin*, 64(4), 265-279.
- Meusburger, P., Livingstone, D., & Jöns, H. (eds.) (2010). *Geographies of Science*. Dordrecht: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Michaelowa, A., & Lehmkuhl, D. (2004). Greenhouse gas emissions caused by the international climate negotiations. *Climate Policy*, 4(3), 337-340.
- Mickelson, B. (2016). *University of Washington Air Travel: A Sustainable Path Forward*. University of Washington: PhD thesis.
- Mkono, M. (2020). Eco-anxiety and the flight shaming movement: implications for tourism. *Journal of Tourism Futures*, 6(3), 223-226.
- Moloney, S., & Strengers, Y. (2014). 'Going Green'?: The Limitations of Behaviour Change Programmes as a Policy Response to Escalating Resource Consumption. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 24(2), 94-107.
- Mountz, A., Bonds, A., Mansfield, B., Loyd, J., Hyndman, J., Walton-Roberts, M., Basu, R., Whitson, R., Hawkins, R., Hamilton, T., & Curran, W. (2015). For slow scholarship: A feminist politics of resistance through collective action in the neoliberal university. *ACME: An International Journal for Critical Geographies*, 14(4), 1235-1259.
- Moura-Costa, P., & Stuart, M.D. (1998). Forestry-based Greenhouse Gas Mitigation: a short story of market evolution. *Commonwealth Forestry Review*, 77(3), 191-202.
- Nathans, J., & Sterling, P. (2016). Point of View: How scientists can reduce their carbon footprint. *eLife*, 5, e15928.
- Neugebauer, S., Bolz, M., Mankaa, R., & Traverso, M. (2020). How sustainable are sustainable conferences? – Comprehensive life cycle assessment of an international conference series in Europe. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 242, 1-14.
- Neustaedter, C., Singhal, S., Pan, R., Heshmat, Y., Forghani, A., & Tang, J. (2018). From being there to watching: Shared and dedicated telepresence robot usage at academic conferences. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interactions (TOCHI)*, 25(6), Art. 33.
- Nevins, J. (2014). Academic Jet-Setting in a Time of Climate Destabilization: Ecological Privilege and Professional Geographic Travel. *The Professional Geographer*, 66(2), 298-310.
- Nevins, J. (2018). Cultural Anthropologists are helping to build the low-carbon path. Accessed 16.04.2020. <https://jscholarship.library.jhu.edu/bitstream/handle/1774.2/62346/Cultural%20Anthropologist%20Are%20cultural-anthropologists-are-helping-to-build-the-low-carbon-path.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
- Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- No Fly Climate Sci (2021). Accessed 18 March 2021. <https://noflyclimatesci.org/>
- Nordhagen, S., Calverley, D., Foulds, C., O'Keefe, L., & Wang, X. (2014). Climate change research and credibility: balancing tensions across professional, personal, and public domains. *Climatic Change*, 125, 149- 162.
- Novella, E.J. (2016). Travel and professional networks in the origin of Spanish psychiatry. *História, Ciências, Saúde-Manguinhos*, 23(4), 1-17.
- Nurse-Bray, M., Palmer, R., Meyer-Mclean, B., Wanner, T., & Birzer, C. (2019). The Fear of Not Flying: Achieving Sustainable Academic Plane Travel in Higher Education Based on Insights from South Australia. *Sustainability*, 11(9), 1-22.
- Ojong, V.B. (2013). Academic Travel: Travelling for Work. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 43(1), 83-91.
- Ophir, A., & Shapin, S. (1991). The Place of Knowledge a Methodological Survey. *Science in Context*, 4, 3-22.

- Oppermann, M., & Chon, K.S. (1997). Convention participation decision-making process. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 24(1), 178-191.
- Orazbayev, S. (2017). International knowledge flows and the administrative barriers to mobility. *Research Policy*, 46(9), 1655-1665.
- Ørngreen, R., Gnaur, D., & Henningsen, B. (2019). Meeting Online to Reduce Carbon Emissions and to Emphasise Values in Life and at Work. In: R. Ørngreen, B. Meyer & M. Buhl (eds.), *ECEL 2019, 18th European Conference on e-Learning*, pp. 453-460. Reading: ACPI.
- Orsi, F. (2012). Cutting the carbon emission of international conferences: is decentralization an option?. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 24, 462–466.
- Pacchioni, G. (2020). Virtual conferences get real. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 5(3), 167-168.
- Palm, T. (2021). Wenn die Avatare tagen. *Die Zeit*, 4, 20 January 2021. Accessed 18 March 2021. <https://www.zeit.de/2021/04/virtuelle-konferenzen-vorteile-physikertagung-klima-kontakt>
- Parker, M., & Weik, A. (2014). Free spirits? The academic on the aeroplane. *Management Learning*, 45(2), 167-181.
- Parncutt, R., & Seither-Preisler, A. (2019). Live streaming at international academic conferences: Ethical considerations. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*, 7(1), 1-13.
- Pedelty, M. (2008). Academic Travel Causes Global Warming. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 22. Accessed 16.04.2020. <https://www.chronicle.com/article/Academic-Travel-Causes-Global/45937>.
- Peeters, M. (2020). *Academic air travel emissions: in search of climate friendly behaviour by both staff and students*. Accessed 16.04.2020. <https://www.maastrichtuniversity.nl/blog/2020/02/academic-air-travel-emissions-search-climate-friendly-behaviour-both-staff-and-students>.
- Petzold, K. (2017). Cosmopolitanism through mobility: physical-corporeal or virtual-imagined?. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 68(2), 167-193.
- Phipps, A., & Barnett, R. (2007). Academic Hospitality. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, 6(3), 237-254.
- Pielke, R. (2007). *The Honest Broker*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Pietsch, T. (2010). Wandering scholars? Academic mobility and the British World, 1850-1940. *Journal of Historical Geography*, 36(4), 377-387.
- Pietsch, T. (2013). *Empire of scholars. Universities, networks and the British academic world 1850-1939*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Pietsch, T. (2016). Between the local and the universal: academic worlds and the long history of the university. In: C. Meng-Hsuan, I. Kamola & T. Pietsch (eds.), *The Transnational Politics of Higher Education: Contesting the Global/Transforming the Local*, pp. 21-42. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Ponette-González, A.G., & Byrnes, J.E. (2011). Sustainable Science? Reducing the carbon impact of scientific mega-meetings. *Ethnobiology Letters*, 2, 65-71.
- Quinton, J.N. (2020). Cutting the carbon cost of academic travel. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1(1), 13.
- Raj, K. (2007). *Relocating Modern Science: Circulation and the Construction of Knowledge in South Asia and Europe, 1650-1900*. Basingstoke & New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Rapley, C., & De Meyer, K. (2014). Climate science reconsidered. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(9), 745-746.
- Reay, D.S. (2004). New Directions: Flying in the face of the climate change convention. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(5), 793-794.
- Richardson, J., & Zikic, J. (2007). The darker side of an international academic career. *Career Development International*, 12(2), 164-186.
- Richler, J. (2019). Academic air travel. *Nature Climate Change*, 9(6), 434.
- Roelofs, P. (2019). Flying in the univer-topia: white people on planes, #RhodesMustFall and climate emergency. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 31(3), 267-270.
- Rowe, N. (2017). The Value, Scope and Cost of Conferences: looking beyond the Events Industry. *Annual Meeting for the Society for Research into Higher Education-Annual Research Conference C*, 1, 6-8.
- Sarabipour, S., Schwessinger, B., Munoki, F.N., Mwakilili, A.D., Khan, A., Debat, H.J., Sáez, P.J., Seah, S., & Mestrovic, T. (2020). Evaluating features of scientific conferences: A call for improvements. *BioRxiv*, preprint.
- Sardelis, S., & Drew, J.A. (2016). Not “pulling up the ladder”: Women who organize conference symposia provide greater opportunities for women to speak at conservation conferences. *PLOS One*, 11(7), 1-20.

- Schmidt, A., Ivanova, A., & Schäfer, M.S. (2013). Media attention for climate change around the world: A comparative analysis of newspaper coverage in 27 countries. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(5), 1233-1248.
- Schrems, I., & Upham, P. (2020). Cognitive Dissonance in Sustainability Scientists regarding Air Travel for Academic Purposes: A Qualitative Study. *Sustainability*, 12, 1-14.
- Scientists for Future (2021). *Voluntary commitment to refrain from short-term short-haul business flights "I won't do it under 1,000 km"*. Accessed 18 March 2021. <https://unter1000.scientists4future.org/>
- Seidel, A. (2019). Debating the Use of Academic Travel: Early Modern Disputations De arte peregrinandi. In: K.A.E. Enenkel & J. de Jong (eds.), *Artes Apodemicae and Early Modern Travel Culture, 1550-1700*, pp. 114-147. Leiden: Brill.
- Shapin, S. (2008). *The Scientific Life: A Moral History of a Late Modern Vocation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Shelley-Egan, C. (2020). Testing the Obligation of Presence in Academia in the COVID-19 Era. *Sustainability*, 12, 6350.
- Skelton, A. (1997). Conferences, conferences, conferences? *Teaching in Higher Education*, 2(1), 69-72.
- Slocum, S., Kline, C., & Holden, A. (eds.) (2015). *Scientific Tourism: Researchers as Travelers*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Smith, T. (ed.) (2019). *Degrowth of Aviation: Reducing Air Travel in a Just Way*. Accessed 16.04.2020. https://stay-grounded.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Degrowth-Of-Aviation_2019.pdf.
- Smythe, K.R. (2010). Air Travel and Climate Change: Should Faculty Members and Students Be Grounded? *Sustainability*, 3(5), 257-258.
- Söderqvist, T., & Silverstein, A.M. (1994). Participation in Scientific Meetings: A New Prosopographical Approach to the Disciplinary History of Science – The Case of Immunology 1951-72. *Social Studies of Science*, 24, 513-548.
- Sörlin, S. (1993). National and international aspects of cross-boundary science: scientific travel in the 18th century. In: E. Crawford, T. Shinn & S. Sörlin (eds.), *Denationalizing Science: The Contexts of International Scientific Practice*, pp. 43-72. Dordrecht: Springer
- Sparkman, G., & Attari, S.Z. (2020). Credibility, communication, and climate change: How lifestyle inconsistency and do-gooder derogation impact decarbonization advocacy. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 59, 101290.
- Spinellis, D., & Louridas, P. (2013). The carbon footprint of conference papers. *PLOS One*, 8(6), 1-8.
- Stegbauer, C., & Rausch, A. (2012). How International Are International Congresses? *Connections*, 32(1), 1-11.
- Stengers, I., & Muecke, S. (2018). *Another Science is Possible: A Manifesto for Slow Science*. Cambridge, UK: Polity.
- Steyn, R. (2015). Academic tourism from an equity theory perspective. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 4(2), 1-10.
- Stichweh, R. (1993). Wissenschaftliche Disziplinen. Bedingungen ihrer Stabilität im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert. In: J. Schriewar, E. Keiner & C. Charle (eds.), *Sozialer Raum und akademische Kulturen*, pp. 235-250. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Stohl, A. (2008). The travel-related carbon dioxide emissions of atmospheric researchers. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 8(21), 6499-6504.
- Storme, T. (2014). *Exploring a Small World. Motivations and obligations for academic travel in a Flemish university*. Ghent University: PhD thesis.
- Storme, T., Beaverstock, J.V., Derudder, B., Faulconbridge, J.R., & Witlox, F. (2013). How to cope with mobility expectations in academia: Individual travel strategies of tenured academics at Ghent University, Flanders. *Research in Transportation Business and Management*, 9, 12-20.
- Storme, T., Faulconbridge, J.R., Beaverstock, J.V., Derudder, B., & Witlox, F. (2017). Mobility and Professional Networks in Academia: An Exploration of the Obligations of Presence. *Mobilities*, 12, 405-424.
- Storz, M.A. (2019). Medical Conferences and Climate Change Mitigation Challenges, Opportunities, and Omissions. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 61(10), 434-437.
- Strengers, Y. (2014). *Fly or die: Air travel and the internationalisation of academic careers*. Accessed 24.04.2020. <http://www.demand.ac.uk/04/06/2014/fly-or-die-air-travel-and-the-internationalisation-of-academic-careers/>
- Strengers, Y. (2015). Meeting in the global workplace: Air travel, telepresence and the body. *Mobilities*, 10, 592-608.

- Stroud, J.T., & Feeley, K.J. (2015). Responsible academia? Optimizing conference locations to minimize greenhouse gas emissions. *Ecography*, 38(4), 402-404.
- Sugimoto, C.R., Robinson-García, N., Murray, D.S., Yegros-Yegros, A., Costas, R., & Larivière, V. (2017). Scientists have most impact when they're free to move. *Nature News*, 550(7674), 2.
- Takahashi, M., Nakata, A., & Arito, H. (2002). Disturbed sleep-wake patterns during and after short-term international travel among academics attending conferences. *International Archive of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 75, 435-440.
- Taylor, P.J., Hoyler, M., & Evans, D.M. (2008). A Geohistorical Study of 'The Rise of Modern Science': Mapping Scientific Practice Through Urban Networks, 1500–1900. *Minerva*, 46, 391–410.
- Teichler, U. (2015). Academic mobility and migration: What we know and what we don't know. *European Review*, 23(1), 6-37.
- Teichler, U. (2017). Internationally mobile academics: concepts and findings from Europe. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 7(1), 15-28.
- Thompson, E. (2011). Making our actions consistent with our scientific predictions. *Weather*, 66(7), 195.
- Tretyakevich, M., & Maggi, R. (2012). Not just for business: some evidence on leisure motivations of conference attendance. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 15(4), 391-395.
- Tyers, R. (2016). "Nudging the jetset to offset": Voluntary carbon offsetting for air travel. University of Southampton: PhD thesis.
- UNESCO (2015). *UNESCO Science Report: Towards 2030*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Urry, J. (2002). Mobility and Proximity. *Sociology*, 36, 255–274.
- Urry, J. (2003). Social networks, travel and talk. *British Journal of Sociology*, 54, 155–175.
- Uusimäki, L., & Garvis, S. (2017). Travelling academics: The lived experience of academics moving across countries. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 36(1), 187–200.
- Vaeng, K.A., & Øksnevad, M. (2013). *What do YOU know? 'unaware academics'*. University of Stavanger: MA thesis.
- Vincent, L. (2019). *The Attitude-Behaviour Gap of Academia: Exploring the Paradox of Hypermobility and Environmental Concerns*. International Institute of Social Science, The Hague: MA thesis.
- Vincent-Lancrin, S. (2006). What is Changing in Academic Research? Trends and Future Scenarios. *European Journal of Education*, 41(2), 169-202.
- Volden, J.R. (2019). *Flying through a perfect storm: How do Norwegian environmentalists negotiate their aeromobility practices?* University of Oslo: MA thesis.
- Wallinga, T. (2002). Small World – on the pleasure and benefits of academic travel. *Fundamina: A Journal of Legal History*, 8, 254-264.
- Waring, T., Teisl, M., Manandhar, E., & Anderson, M. (2014). On the Travel Emissions of Sustainability Science Research. *Sustainability*, 6, 2718-2735.
- Watson, C. (ed.) (2014). *Beyond Flying*. Cambridge, UK: Green Books.
- Welch, A.R. (1997). The peripatetic professor: The internationalisation of the academic profession. *Higher Education*, 34(3), 323-345.
- Whieldon, J.A. (2019). 'Are they ready to fly?' 'Flying faculty preparedness and professional learning – an exploratory study of transnational education staff perspectives'. University of Wolverhampton: PhD thesis.
- Whitmarsh, L., Capstick, S., Moore, I., Köhler, J., & Le Quéré, C. (2020). Use of aviation by climate change researchers: Structural influences, personal attitudes, and information provision. *Global Environmental Change*, 65, 102184.
- Wilde, P. (2019a). *Academic Flying Blog FAQ*. Accessed 24.04.2020. <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1URRRh4zMSpvtZY08F9-Rkx0qkNNmfzIzqOlqZWkxkE/edit#>.
- Wilde, P. (2019b). Calling upon universities and professional associations to greatly reduce flying. Accessed 23.09.2020. <https://www.change.org/p/universities-and-professional-associations-call-on-universities-and-professional-associations-to-greatly-reduce-flying>.
- Williams, J.A., & McNeil K.R. (2007). A modified travel career ladder model for understanding academic travel behaviors. *Journal of Behavioral Studies in Business*, 4, 1-10.
- Wilson, J.L. (2006). Working-Class Values and Life in Academe: Examining the Dissonance. In: C.V. Samarco & S.L. Muzzatti (eds.), *Reflections from the wrong side of the tracks: class, identity, and the working class experience in academe*, pp. 159-170. Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield.

- Witsel, M. (2013). Walking the talk: positive effects of work-related travel on tourism academics. In: S. Filep & P. Pierce (eds.), *Tourist Experience and Fulfillment – Insights from Positive Psychology*, pp. 37-53. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Woodman, T.C. (2019). Embargoed Exchanges: A Critical Analysis of Emerging Market Dynamics in U.S. and Cuban Academic Exchange. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 27(98), 1-26.
- Wynes, S., & Donner, S. D. (2018). *Addressing greenhouse gas emissions from business-related air travel at public institutions: a case study of the University of British Columbia*. Pacific Institute for Climate Solutions.
- Wynes, S., Donner, S.D., Tannason, S., & Nabors, N. (2019). Academic air travel has limited influence on professional success. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 226, 959-967.
- Yoo, H., & Wilson, E. (2019). 'More than a travel companion': accompanying partners' experiences of conference attendance. *Gender and Education*, 32(1), 43-55.
- Yoo, H., McIntosh, A., & Cockburn-Wootten, C. (2016). Time for me and time for us: conference travel as alternative family leisure. *Annals of Leisure Research*, 19(4), 444-460.
- Young, S.N. (2009). Rethinking scientific meetings: an imperative in an era of climate change. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*, 34, 341–342.
- Yuriev, A., Boiral, O., Francoeur, V., & Paillé, P. (2018). Overcoming the barriers to pro-environmental behaviors in the workplace: a systematic review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 182, 379-394.
- Zoloth, L. (2014). *Interrupting Your Life: An Ethics for the Coming Storm*. Presidential Address, American Academy of Religion Annual Meeting (San Diego), November 23, 2014. Accessed 24.04.2020. <https://www.aarweb.org/node/2155>.