# **DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON**

DESY

DESY 85-001 January 1985





ISSN 0418-9833

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrachtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

> To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX send them to the following address L if possible by air mail 1 ;

> > DESY Bibliothek Notkettrasse 85 2. Hamburg 52 Gettiany

## ISSN 0418-9833

# January 1985 Search for Spinless Bosons in e+e- Annihilation TASSO COLLABORATION

DESY 85-001

M.ALTHOFF, W.BRAUNSCHWEIG, F.J.KIRSCHFINK, H.-U.MARTYN, R.ROSSKAMP, D.SCHMITZ, H.SIEBKE, W.WALLRAFF I. Physikalisches Institut der RWTH Aachen, 5100 Aachen, Germany<sup>13</sup>

J.EISENMANN, H.M.FISCHER, H.HARTMANN, A. JOCKSOH, G.KNOP, H.KOLANOSKI, H.KÜCK<sup>1</sup>, V.MERTENS, R.WEDEMEYER Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn, 5300 Bonn, Germany<sup>13</sup>

### B.FOSTER H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, UK 14

A.ESKREYS<sup>2</sup>, R.FOHRMANN, K.GATHER, H.HULTSOHIG, P.JOOS, B.KLIMA, U.KÖTZ, H.KOWALSKI,
 A.LADAGE, B.LÖHR, D.LÜKE, P.MÄTTIG, D.NOTZ, R.J.NOWAK<sup>3</sup>, J.PYRLIK, M.RUSHTON<sup>4</sup>,
 W.SCHÜTTE, D.TRINES, T.TYMIENECKA<sup>5</sup>, G.WOLF<sup>6</sup>, G.YEKUTIELI<sup>7</sup>, W. ZEUNER
 Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, DESY, 2000 Hamburg 52, Germany<sup>13</sup>

E.HILGER, T.KRACHT, H.L.KRASEMANN, P.LEU<sup>8</sup>, E.LOHRMANN, D.PANDOULAS, G.POELZ, K.U.PÖSNEOKER II.Institut für Experimentalphysik der Universität Hamburg, 2000 Hamburg, Germany<sup>13</sup>

R.BEUSELINCK, D.M.BINNIE, P.J.DORNAN, D.A.GARBUTT, C.JENKINS, T.D.JONES, W.G.JONES, J.MCCARDLE, J.K.SEDGBEER, J.THOMAS, W.A.T.WAN ABDULLAH<sup>9</sup> Department of Physics, Imperial College, London SWI 2AZ, UK<sup>14</sup>

M.G.BOWLER, P.BULL, R.J.CASHMORE, P.E.L.CLARKE, P.DAUNCEY, R.DEVENISH, P.GROSSMANN<sup>10</sup>, C.M.HAWKES, D.J.MELLOR, C.YOUNGMAN<sup>11</sup> Department of Nuclear Physics, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK<sup>14</sup>

> S.L.LLOYD Department of Physics, Queen Mary College, London E1 4NS, UK 14

K.W.BELL, G.E.FORDEN, J.C.HART, J.HARVEY, D.K.HASELL, D.H.SAXON Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK 14

F.BARREIRO, S.BRANDT, M.DITTMAR, M.HOLDER, G.KREUTZ, B.NEUMANN Fachbereich Physik der Universität-Gesamthochschule Siegen, 5900 Siegen, Germany<sup>13</sup>

E.DUCHOVNI, Y.EISENBERG, U.KARSHON, G.MIKENBERG, R.MIR, D.REVEL, E.RONAT, A.SHAPIRA Weismann Institute, Rehovot 78100, Israel <sup>15</sup>

 G. BARANKO, A. CALDWELL, M. CHERNEY, J.M. IZEN, M. MERMIKIDES, S.RITZ, G. RUDOLPH<sup>12</sup>,
 D. STROM, M. TAKASHIMA, H. VENKATARAMANIA, E. WICKLUND, SAU LAN WU, G. ZOBERNIG Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA<sup>16</sup>

#### JANUARY 1985

TO BE SUBMITTED TO PHYSICS LETTERS

<sup>1</sup>Now at Fraunhofer Institut, Duisburg, Germany <sup>2</sup>On leave from Institute of Nuclear Physics, Oracow, Poland <sup>8</sup>Now at Warsaw University, Poland <sup>4</sup>Now at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, USA <sup>6</sup>On leave from Warsaw University, Poland <sup>6</sup>Now at SLAC, Stanford, CA, USA <sup>7</sup>On leave from Weismann Institute, Rehovot, Israel <sup>2</sup>Now at SCS, Hamburg, Germany <sup>9</sup>On leave from University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur <sup>10</sup>Now at GEC Research Laboratories, Wembley, UK <sup>11</sup>Now at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland <sup>12</sup>Now at Institut f. Experimentalphysik der Universität Innsbruck, Austria <sup>18</sup>Supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie <sup>14</sup>Supported by the UK Science and Engineering Research Council <sup>15</sup>Supported by the Minerva Gesellschaft für Forschung mbH 16 Supported by the US Department of Energy, contract DE-AC02-76ER00881

## ABSTRACT

We have measured the cross sections for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ ,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$  in an energy scan at center of mass energies between 39.79 and 46.72 GeV in 30 MeV steps. New spinless bosons, whose existence has been postulated as a possible means to explain the anomalously large radiative width of the Z<sup>0</sup> found at the CERN SPS pp collider, are ruled out in the scan region. The data are used to set limits on the couplings to lepton, photon and quark pairs of bosons with masses above 46.72 GeV.

ii

The observation of electroweak interference effects in  $e^+e^-$  reactions at PETRA and PEP energies |1| and the discovery at the CERN pp collider of the W and Z<sup>0</sup> bosons |2| constitute major triumphs of the Glashow-Weinberg-Salam theory of electroweak interactions |3|. However the indications of a large radiative width for  $Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$  |4|, were not expected within this framework.

Several attempts have been made within the "standard" theory |5| as well as in some "conventional" extensions of it |6| to explain the dynamical origin of these events. In models of composite quarks and leptons the Z<sup>0</sup> is not elementary and the existence of a lighter spin zero partner X is expected, so that radiative transitions Z<sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow$ X $\gamma$  could conceivably take place, followed by subsequent decays of X into lepton, quark or photon pairs (l<sup>+</sup>l<sup>-</sup>, qq,  $\gamma\gamma$ ).

The implications for  $e^+e^-$  reactions that would follow from such a scenario have been worked out in detail in Refs. [7] and [8]. They can be summarized as follows:

- 1. If the mass of the X boson is within the energy range attainable with PETRA, one should observe resonance excitations in the cross sections for  $e^+e^-\rightarrow l^+l^-$ ,  $q\bar{q}$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  as a function of the c.m. energy.
- 2. If the mass of the X-boson lies beyond the highest energies that PETRA can reach, the cross sections for the processes mentioned above and in particular for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  should exhibit deviations from the QED expectations in a pattern which can be well distinguished from the  $\gamma$ -Z<sup>0</sup> interference effects.

We describe here an experimental search for spin zero bosons with the properties described above. The data were obtained with the TASSO detector working at the DESY e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> storage ring PETRA. An energy scan was performed in steps of 30 MeV between c.m. energies W of 39.79 and 46.72 GeV, collecting at each step a luminosity of ~60 nb<sup>-1</sup>. Similar searches have been reported recently 19,101.

Hadronic events were selected using the information on charged particle momenta measured in the central detector. For the selection of lepton and photon pair events, additional information provided by the barrel liquid argon calorimeter and the muon chambers was used.

The luminosity was measured via small angle Bhabha scattering [11]. The total integrated luminosity was 13.4  $pb^{-1}$ , the systematic error being estimated to be 3.4%.

We analysed the following reactions:

1. e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>→hadrons

The data taking, analysis procedure and event selection have been described in detail in Ref. 1111. A total of 2377 events passed the acceptance criteria from which the total hadronic cross section was obtained as described in Ref. 1111. The ratio  $R=\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow hadrons)/\sigma_{pt}$ , of the total hadronic cross-section to the pointlike cross-section,  $\sigma_{pt}=4\pi\alpha^2/3s$ ,  $(s=W^2)$ , is shown in Fig. 1a. These values for R, as well as all other cross-sections shown below, were corrected for QED radiative effects [12]. The result is consistent with a constant R=4.15\pm0.09 over the scanned energy range.

2.  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ 

The analysis for  $\mu$  pair production has been described in Ref. [1g]. At least one track was required to be identified as a muon by the muon chambers or as a minimum ionizing particle by the liquid argon calorimeter. A total of 225 events passed the acceptance criteria. We determined the ratio of the corrected cross-section to the GWS prediction, for polar angles  $\Theta$  satisfying  $|\cos\Theta| < 0.8$ . The results are shown in Fig. 1b. The systematic uncertainty in the cross section determination is 4.5%, of which 3.0% stems from the overall detection efficiency and 3.4% from the luminosity measurement.

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → γγ

The analysis for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  has been described in Ref.1131. A total of 282 events satisfied the selection criteria for polar angles  $|\cos \Theta| < 0.7$ . We determined for this angular range the ratio of the corrected cross-section to the cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  expected in lowest order QED. The results are shown in Fig. 1c. The systematic error was determined to be 5%. The differential cross section multiplied by s and averaged over the scanned energy range is shown in Fig. 2a.

4. e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>.→e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

Bhabha events were selected as described in Ref. [1g]. Basically two collinear tracks were demanded. A total of 8965 events satisfied the acceptance criteria. We estimated the contamination from  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  pairs to be 4.2% and 0.6% respectively. These contributions were subtracted on a statistical basis. We determined for polar angles satisfying  $|\cos 0| < 0.8$  the ratio of the corrected cross-section to the Bhabha cross-section calculated in the GWS theory. The results are shown in

Search for spinless bosons

Search for spinless bosons

1

2

Fig. 1d. The systematic error was estimated to be 4.9%. The differential cross-section multiplied by s and averaged over the scanned energy region is presented in Fig. 2b.

None of the cross-section ratios presented in Fig. 1 shows evidence for a significant narrow enhancement.

We briefly discuss the cross section expressions for production of a spin zero boson. The contribution of a spinless boson to the reaction  $e^+e^-$  hadrons can be written as [7,8]

$$\frac{d\sigma(X \rightarrow had)}{d\Omega} = \frac{s}{m_X^2} \frac{\Gamma_{Xee} \Gamma_{Xhad}}{(s - m_X^2)^2 + (m_X \Gamma_X)^2}$$
(5)

where  $\Gamma_{Xee}$  and  $\Gamma_{Xhad}$  are the partial widths for the decay of X into  $e^+e^-$  and hadrons respectively and  $\Gamma_X$  is the total width of the X-boson with mass  $m_X$ . For a narrow resonance the integration over the c.m. energy yields

$$\int \sigma(X \to had) \ dW = \frac{2\pi^2}{m_X^2} \frac{\Gamma_{Xee} \Gamma_{Xhad}}{\Gamma_X}$$
(6)

The contribution to the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  mediated by X-exchange is given by Eqs. (5-6) after replacing  $\Gamma_{Xhad}$  by  $\Gamma_{X\mu\mu}$ , while that to the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma(X \to \gamma\gamma)}{d\Omega} = 2 \frac{s}{m_X^2} \frac{\Gamma_{Xee} \Gamma_{Xhad}}{(s - m_X^2)^2 + (m_X \Gamma_X)^2}$$
(7)

which in the limit of small  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}}$  can be integrated to yield a result identical to Eq. (6) with  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{h}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{d}}$  replaced by  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\gamma}\mathbf{\gamma}}$ .

The implications for Bhabha scattering are more complicated. Neglecting the electron mass and  $2^0$  exchange contributions we can write

$$\frac{d\sigma(X \to ee)}{d\Omega} = \frac{s}{m_X^2} \frac{\Gamma_{Xee}^2}{(s - m_X^2)^2 + (m_X \Gamma_X)^2} + \frac{\alpha \Gamma_{Xee}}{m_X} \frac{s}{t} \frac{s - m_X^2}{(s - m_X^2)^2 + (m_X \Gamma_X)^2} + \frac{t^2}{sm_X^2} \frac{\tau_{Xee}^2}{(t - m_X^2)^2 + (m_X \Gamma_X)^2} + \frac{\alpha \Gamma_{Xee}}{s^2 m_X^2} \frac{t^2}{t - m_X^2} + \frac{s - m_X^2}{m_X^2} \frac{t}{t - m_X^2} \frac{\Gamma_{Xee}^2}{(s - m_X^2)^2 + (m_X \Gamma_X)^2}$$
(8)

Search for spinless bosons

3

\_ \_ \_ \_

where  $\sigma(X \rightarrow e^+e^-)$  is the contribution of X to the cross section, including interference terms.

The two dominant contributions are those due to the s-channel  $\cong$  xchange of the X-boson and that coming from its interference with the  $\vdash$ -channel photon exchange. In the limit of a small width  $\Gamma_X$  these can be integrated to give

$$\int \sigma(X \to ee) dW = \frac{\pi^2}{m_X^2} \frac{\Gamma_{Xee}^2}{\Gamma_X} (\cos \Theta_f - \cos \Theta_b)$$
(9)

where  $\Theta_f$  and  $\Theta_b$  are the forward and backward limits of the  $\infty s \Theta$  integration, introduced to avoid the divergence originating from the second term in the r.h.s of Eq.(8).

We made maximum likelihood fits to the data shown in Fig. 1 using a constant term plus a Gaussian centered at a given c.m. energy which was increased in steps of 2 MeV. Its r.m.s. width was given by the c.m. energy spread which is proportional to s and is estimated to be 40 MeV at 42.5 GeV. Radiative effects were taken into account following Ref. 1141. Using a similar procedure as for the search for narrow toponium states 1111 the following upper limits at the 95% confidence level were obtained

$T_{\rm Xee}\Gamma_{\rm Xhad}/T_{\rm X} < 7.5 \ {\rm keV}^{-2}$	(1	ιο)	)
---	----	-----	---

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}ee}\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}\mu\mu}/\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}} < 6.0 \text{ keV}$$
(11)

$$\Gamma_{\rm Xee}\Gamma_{\rm X\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{\rm X}$$
 < 10.5 keV (12)

$$\Gamma_{\rm Xee^2} / \Gamma_{\rm X} < 23.7 \ \rm keV \tag{13}$$

We find that the limit (12) is incompatible with the relation given in Ref. [7], Eq. (17):

$$\frac{\Gamma}{Xee} \frac{\Gamma}{X\gamma\gamma} = 6\sin^2 \Theta_{W} \left\{ \frac{m^2}{m_X} - \frac{m}{m_Z} \right\}^{-3} \Gamma(Z^0 \to e^+ e^- \gamma) / \rho = 0.213 \ \Gamma(Z^0 \to e^+ e^- \gamma) / \rho$$
(14)

Search for spinless bosons

<sup>\*)</sup> The data presented here yields for the leptonic width times branching ratio of a narrow toponium resonance an upper limit of  $\Gamma_{ee}B_h < 2.5$  keV with 95% confidence level.

where  $\Theta_W$  is the weak mixing angle,  $m_Z$  the  $Z^0$  mass,  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)$  the radiative width of the  $Z^0$  which is estimated to be around 20 MeV |4| and  $\rho$  a model dependent factor characterizing the relative strength of the couplings XZy and Xyy. It is uncertain within the range 1 to 4, Refs. [7,8].

For the numerical estimates on the r.h.s. of Eq. (14) we have taken  $\sin^2\theta_{W}=0.23$ ,  $m_Z=93.5$  GeV as an average of the UA1 |2a| and UA2 |2b| values, and  $m_X=40.67$  GeV from our fits to the data in Fig. 1c. Taking the UA1 and UA2 results at face value i.e.  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)=20$  MeV and using  $\rho=4$  one obtains from Eq. (14)  $\Gamma_{Xee}\Gamma_{X\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_X=1.06$  MeV, in disagreement with the upper limit given in (12).

Under the assumption that  $q\bar{q}$  pairs,  $\bar{II}$  pairs and  $\gamma\gamma$  pairs are the only open decay channels of the X-boson, and taking  $\Gamma_{X\tau\tau}=\Gamma_{X\mu\mu}=\Gamma_{X\nu\nu}$ , i.e.  $\Gamma_{X}=\Gamma_{Xhad}+\Gamma_{Xee}+5\Gamma_{X\mu\mu}+\Gamma_{X\gamma\gamma}$ , we find  $\Gamma_{Xee}<71.7$  keV. This value is incompatible with the relation given in Ref. [7], Eq. (18b):

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{Xee}} > 6 \sin^2 \Theta_{\mathbf{W}} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{Z}}}{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}}} - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}}}{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \right\}^{-3} \Gamma(\mathbf{Z}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^+ \mathbf{e}^- \gamma) / \rho = 0.376 \Gamma(\mathbf{Z}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^+ \mathbf{e}^- \gamma) / \rho$$
(15)

For the numerical estimates on the r.h.s. of Eq. (15) we have taken  $m_z$  and  $\sin^2 \Theta_W$  as above and  $m_X=45.97$  GeV which is where the maximum hypothetical signal for  $\Gamma_{Xee}$  is found. Taking again  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma) \sim 20$  MeV |4| and  $\rho=4$ , one obtains from Eq. (15) the lower limit  $\Gamma_{Xee} > 1.88$  MeV which is more than an order of magnitude larger than our upper limit. In conclusion we can exclude the existence of a narrow spinless boson with the expected properties and a mass between 39.79 and 46.72 GeV.

We now describe the search for a broad spinless boson. We made fits to the data shown in Figs. 1a-c using a constant term plus a Breit-Wigner contribution given by Eqs. (5) or (7). Its mass was centered at a given c.m. energy and increased in 2 MeV steps. The width was varied between 100 MeV and 3.5 GeV. For  $\Gamma_{\rm X}$ =100 MeV we obtain upper limits comparable to those given in (10-12) for narrow resonances. With increasing  $\Gamma_{\rm X}$  the corresponding upper limits increase steadily until reaching a plateau for  $\Gamma_{\rm X}$  values larger than ~1GeV. At the 95% CL they amount to

$\Gamma_{Xee}\Gamma_{Xhad}/\Gamma_X < 21.1 \text{ keV}$	(16)
$\Gamma_{\rm Xee}\Gamma_{\rm X\mu\mu}/\Gamma_{\rm X}$ < 12.3 keV	(17)
$\Gamma_{\rm Xee}\Gamma_{\rm X\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{\rm X}<25.5~{\rm keV}$	(18)

The upper limit given in (18) is more than an order of magnitude smaller than the expectation derived from Eq. (14), thus excluding the existence of broad spinless resonances with the properties discussed before and a mass within the limits of the energy scan.

We now describe the search for a spinless boson with mass outside of the range covered by the scan. For definitness we consider X to be a pseudoscalar. Such an object would lead to deviations from the electroweak predictions for the angular distributions and for the integrated cross sections for Bhabha scattering and photon pair production.

In order to extract upper limits on  $\Gamma_{Xee}$  and  $\Gamma_{Xee}\Gamma_{Xyy}$  the differential and the integrated cross-sections for the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and  $\gamma\gamma$  final states were fitted to the corresponding electroweak predictions plus additional X-boson contributions discussed above.

From these fits we derived 95% C.L. upper limits for  $\Gamma_{Xee}\Gamma_{X\gamma\gamma}$  and  $\Gamma_{Xee}$  which correspond to deviations from the electroweak predictions of  $\delta = (\sigma_{measured}/\sigma_{GWS})-1$ , namely

$$\delta_{\gamma\gamma}$$
 ( lcos@l < 0.7 ) < 0.07 at  $\bar{W}$ =43.1 GeV. (19)

 $\delta_{ee}$  (-0.8< cos $\theta$  < 0.0 ) < 0.07 at  $\overline{W}$ =43.1 GeV. (20)

These limits are within a wide range independent of the values for the mass and width of the X-boson used in the fits. Following the spirit of Refs. |7| and |8| we now assume a universal coupling constant of the X-boson to fermions given by

$$\alpha_{h} = 2\Gamma_{xff}/m_{x} , \quad f = q, l$$
(21)

so that in Eq. (14)  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}}$  can be replaced by  $21\Gamma_{\mathbf{Xff}}+\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}\gamma\gamma}$ . For a given radiative Z<sup>0</sup> width  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)$ , Eq. (14) yields a relation between  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Xff}}$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}\gamma\gamma}$  so that the latter width can be eliminated. As proposed in Ref. [7] contour plots can be constructed in the  $(\alpha_{\mathbf{h}},\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}})$  plane for a given ratio  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)/\rho$  if a limit  $\delta_i$ , (i=e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, $\gamma\gamma$ ), is known at a given c.m. energy W. A combined contour plot for the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow e^+e^-$  and e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  channels is shown in Fig. 3.

In summary, we exclude the existence of spinless bosons with masses in the region 39.79 - 46.72 GeV both for narrow and broad resonances. Our limit for  $\delta_{\gamma\gamma}$  rules out  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)/\rho > 10$  MeV for all  $m_x$  values below the  $Z^0$ 

Search for spinless bosons

6

mass. The limit on  $\delta_{ee}$  excludes the existence of a pseudoscalar boson to the left of the dashed line in fig. 3 provided  $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)/\rho > 5$  MeV.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank Prof. H. D. Dahmen for advice and many useful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the DESY directorate, the PETRA machine group and the DESY computer center. Those of us from outside DESY wish to thank the DESY directorate for the hospitality extended to us while working at DESY.

# 1.0 REFERENCES

- 1.
- a. CELLO Coll., H.J. Behrend et al., Z. Phys. C14 (1982) 283
- b. JADE Coll., W. Bartel et al., Phys. Lett. 108B (1982) 140
- c. MAC Coll., E. Fernandez et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 1238
- d. MARK II Coll., M.E. Levi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 1941
- MARK-J Coll., B. Adeva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1701 and Phys. Rep. 108 (1984) 131
- f. PLUTO Coll., Ch. Berger et al., Z. Phys. C21 (1983) 53
- g. TASSO Coll., R. Brandelik et al., Phys. Lett. 110B (1982) 173 and M. Althoff et al., Z. Phys. C 22 (1984) 13
- 2.
- a. UA1 Coll., G. Arnison et al., Phys. Lett. 126B (1983) 398 and Phys. Lett. 122B (1983) 103
- b. UA2 Coll., P. Bagnaia et al., Phys. Lett. 129B (1983) 130 and G. Banner et al., Phys. Lett. 122B (1983) 476
- 3.
- a. S.L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22 (1961) 579
- b. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264
- c. A. Salam, Elementary Particle Theory, ed. N. Svartholm, Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm 1968, p. 367.
- 4.
- a. UA1 Coll., G. Arnison et al., Phys. Lett. 135B (1984) 250
- b. UA2 Coll., P. Bagnaia et al., Phys. Lett. 129B (1983) 130
- 5. M.J. Duncan and M. Veltman, Phys. Lett. 139B (1984) 310

References

6.

- a. H. Fritzsch, Max Planck Institute report, MPI-PAE/Pth 76/83, (1983)
- b. U. Baur, H. Fritzsch and H. Faissner, Phys. Lett. 135B (1984) 313
- c. R.D. Peccei, Phys. Lett. 136B (1984) 121
- d. A. deRújula, L. Maiani and R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. 140B (1984) 253
- 7. F.Bopp, S. Brandt, H. Dahmen, D. Schiller and D. Wähner, Z. Phys. C 24 (1984) 367
- 8. W. Hollik, F. Schrempp and B. Schrempp, Phys. Lett. 140B (1984) 424
- 9. MARK-J Coll., B. Adeva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 134
- 10. CELLO Coll., H.J. Behrend et al., Phys. Lett. 140B (1984) 130
- 11. TASSO Coll., R. Brandelik et al., Phys. Lett. 113B (1982) 499 and M. Althoff et al., Phys. Lett. 138B (1984) 441
- 12.
- a. F. A. Berends, R. Kleiss and S. Jadach, Nucl. Phys. B202 (1982) 63
- b. F. A. Berends and R. Gastmans, Nucl. Phys. B61 (1973) 414
- c. F. A. Berends, K. J. F. Gaemers and R. Gastmans, Nucl. Phys. B63 (1973)381 and ibid. B68(1974) 541
- d. F. A. Berends and G. J. Komen, Phys. Lett 63B (1976) 432
- e. F. A. Berends and R. Kleiss, Instituut Lorentz preprint, Leiden, Leiden University, July 1983.
- 13. J. Pyrlik, thesis, University Hamburg unpublished, and TASSO Collaboration, DESY 84-71, to be published
- 14. J. D. Jackson and D. L. Scharre, N.I.M. 128 (1975) 13

## 2.0 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1a: The values of R as a function of c.m. energy.

Fig. 1b: The cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  integrated in the polar region  $|\cos\Theta| < 0.8$  and normalized to the GWS prediction as a function of c.m. energy

Fig. 1c: The cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  integrated in the polar region 0.0<cos $\otimes$ <0.7 and normalized to the QED prediction as a function of c.m. energy

Fig. 1d: The cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  integrated in the polar region  $|\cos \Theta| < 0.8$  and normalized to the GWS prediction as a function of c.m. energy

Fig. 2a: The differential cross section for  $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma$  at a mean c.m. energy of 43.1 GeV. The solid curve represents the QED prediction.

Fig. 2b: The differential cross section for Bhabha scattering at a mean c.m. energy of 43.1 GeV. The solid curve represents the GWS prediction.

Fig. 3 : Allowed regions in the ( $\alpha_{h}, m_{x}$ ) plane for various values of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}\gamma)/\rho$ .



ŧ

,1

1



Fig. 2a



