DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON Ein Forschungszentrum der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft



DESY 19-197 arXiv:1911.04502 November 2019

Scalar Gauge Dynamics and Dark Matter

D. Buttazzo et al.

 $\mathrm{ISSN}\ 0418\text{-}9833$

NOTKESTRASSE 85 - 22607 HAMBURG

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

To be sure that your reports and preprints are promptly included in the HEP literature database send them to (if possible by air mail):

DESYEZentralbibliothekBNotkestraße 85F22607 Hamburg1GermanyG	DESY Bibliothek Platanenallee 6 15738 Zeuthen Germany
--	---

Scalar gauge dynamics and Dark Matter

Dario Buttazzo^{*a*}, Luca Di Luzio^{*a,b,c*}, Parsa Ghorbani^{*a,b*}, Christian Gross^{*a,b*}, Giacomo Landini^{*a,b*}, Alessandro Strumia^{*b*}, Daniele Teresi^{*a,b*}, Jin-Wei Wang^{*b,d,e*}

^a INFN, Sezione di Pisa, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa ^c DESY, Notkestraße 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany

^d Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

^e School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

We consider theories with one gauge group (SU, SO or Sp) and one scalar in a two-index representation. The renormalizable action often has accidental symmetries (such as global U(1) or unusual group parities) that lead to one or more stable states, providing Dark Matter candidates. We discuss the confined phase(s) of each theory and compute the two Higgs phases, finding no generic dualities among them. Discrete gauge symmetries can arise and accidental symmetries can be broken, possibly giving pseudo-Goldstone Dark Matter. Dark Matter candidates can have a complicated sub-structure characteristic of each group and can be accompanied by extra dark radiation.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	3					
2	Acc 2.1	idental symmetries Gauge symmetry breaking patterns	4 4					
	2.2	Accidental symmetries	5					
	2.3	Does scalar gauge dynamics break accidental symmetries?	8					
3	Mo	Models with unbroken dark $U(1)$						
	3.1	Dark radiation	10					
	3.2	Coulombian scattering among DM particles	11					
	3.3	Interactions of DM with the dark photon	12					
	3.4	Dark monopoles	12					
4	As	A symmetric of SU(N)						
	4.1	A symmetric of $SU(\mathcal{N})$: confined phase	13					
	4.2	A symmetric of $SU(\mathcal{N})$: dynamical symmetry breaking	14					
	4.3	A symmetric that breaks $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SU(\mathcal{N}-1)$	14					
	4.4	A symmetric that breaks $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SO(\mathcal{N})$	16					
	4.5	Dualities between the confined/Higgs phases?	19					
5	An	anti-symmetric of $SU(N)$	21					
	5.1	An anti-symmetric of $SU(N)$: confined phase	21					
	5.2	An anti-symmetric of $SU(\mathcal{N})$: dynamical symmetry breaking $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	21					
	5.3	An anti-symmetric that breaks $SU(N)$ to $Sp(\tilde{N})$	22					
	5.4	An anti-symmetric that breaks $SU(N) \to SU(N-2) \otimes SU(2) \dots \dots \dots \dots$	25					
6	A trace-less adjoint of $SU(N)$ 26							
	6.1	An adjoint of $SU(\mathcal{N})$: confined phase $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	27					
	6.2	An adjoint of $SU(\mathcal{N})$: dynamical symmetry breaking	28					
	6.3	An adjoint that breaks $SU(N) \to SU(N-1) \otimes U(1)$	28					
	6.4	An adjoint that breaks $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$	30					
7	A ti	race-less symmetric of $SO(N)$	31					
	7.1	A symmetric of $SO(\mathcal{N})$: confined phase $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	31					
	7.2	A symmetric of SO(\mathbb{N}): dynamical symmetry breaking $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	31					
	7.3	A symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \to SO(N-1)$	32					
	7.4	A symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \to SO(k) \otimes SO(N-k)$	33					

8	An	anti-symmetric adjoint of $SO(N)$	35
	8.1	An anti-symmetric of $SO(\mathcal{N})$: confined phase	35
	8.2	An anti-symmetric of $SO(\mathcal{N})$: dynamical symmetry breaking $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	35
	8.3	An anti-symmetric that breaks $SO(\mathcal{N}) \to SO(\mathcal{N}-2) \otimes U(1)$	36
	8.4	An anti-symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \to SU(k) \otimes U(1)$	38
9	ymmetric adjoint of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$	39	
	9.1	An adjoint of $Sp(\mathcal{N})$: confined phase	40
	9.2	An adjoint of $Sp(\mathcal{N})$: dynamical symmetry breaking	40
	9.3	An adjoint that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2) \otimes \operatorname{U}(1)$	40
	9.4	An adjoint that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{SU}(\mathcal{N}/2) \otimes \operatorname{U}(1)$	41
10	A t	race-less anti-symmetric of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$	42
	10.1	An anti-symmetric of $Sp(\mathcal{N})$: confined phase	43
	10.2	An anti-symmetric of $Sp(\mathcal{N})$: dynamical symmetry breaking $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	43
	10.3	An anti-symmetric that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_2)$	44
	10.4	An anti-symmetric that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2) \ldots \ldots \ldots$	45
11	Cor	aclusions	46

1 Introduction

We study the possibility that Dark Matter (DM) originates from elementary scalar/gauge dynamics. We consider one elementary scalar S that fills a representation under a gauge group \mathcal{G} with vectors \mathcal{G}^A_μ neutral under the SM gauge group. We write the most generic renormalizable action, and study possible accidental symmetries related to group theory that can lead to stable DM candidates. Gauge theories predict non-trivial dynamics, leading to DM candidates with non-minimal cosmological history and specific features that depend on the gauge group.

The dark group \mathcal{G} can become strongly interacting ('confined phase') and/or get spontaneously broken by vacuum expectation values of \mathcal{S} ('Higgsed phase' breaking \mathcal{G} to a subgroup \mathcal{H} that can confine at low energy). A surprising equivalence between the confined and Higgsed phases holds for scalars \mathcal{S} in the fundamental representation of the groups $\mathcal{G} =$ $\{\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}), \mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{N}), \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{N}), G_2\}$ [1]. In these models \mathcal{S} has a unique self-quartic, that leads to a unique symmetry breaking pattern where the only surviving scalar is a Higgs-like singlet. We here extend the analysis considering a scalar in those representations such that \mathcal{G} is asymptotically free for any \mathcal{N} : the symmetric, the anti-symmetric and the adjoint.¹

The possible patterns of symmetry breaking of SU and SO groups have been classified in [3] (see also [4]) assuming renormalizable potentials. We will extend these classical works by

¹Furthermore, these representations describe geometric configurations of \mathcal{N} self-intersecting *D*-branes [2].

considering Coleman-Weinberg potentials, where running quartics lead to dynamical symmetry breaking. Furthermore, we consider SU, SO and Sp groups, and symmetry breaking patterns that connect them.

In these theories the scalar S has a mixed quartic coupling λ_{HS} with the Higgs and two different quartic self-couplings. This leads to two different patterns of symmetry breaking and to extra scalars in the broken phases.²

In section 2 we discuss some common generic features: the breaking patterns; the possible accidental symmetries intrinsic of the SU, SO, Sp gauge groups; the possible equivalence between the Higgs and confined phases. Furthermore, dynamical symmetry breaking through scalars in adjoints leads to unbroken U(1) factors: in section 3 we summarize the phenomenology of DM charged under a dark U(1). Dark monopoles become possible DM candidates, as summarized in section 3.4.

We next discuss the concrete models: a symmetric of SU(N) in section 4, an anti-symmetric of SU(N) in section 5, an adjoint of SU(N) in section 6, a trace-less symmetric of SO(N) in section 7, an anti-symmetric adjoint of SO(N) in section 8, a symmetric adjoint of Sp(N) in section 9, a trace-less anti-symmetric of Sp(N) in section 10. These cases need to be discussed separately as each one has its specific features. In each case we discuss the accidental symmetries, the renormalization group equations (RGE), the confined phase, the Higgs phases (in particular we compute assuming dynamical symmetry breaking à la Coleman-Weinberg [7]), and the DM candidates in each phase. We summarize our results and the generic lessons in the conclusions, section 11.

2 Accidental symmetries

2.1 Gauge symmetry breaking patterns

Table 1 lists the breaking patterns produced by one scalar in one 1-index or 2-index representation of SU, SO, Sp groups, such that the gauge beta function is asymptotically free for any \mathcal{N} . That would not be the case, for instance, for a 3-index representation (whose contribution to the gauge beta function scales as \mathcal{N}^3) or for an SO spinor (scaling as $\sim 2^{\mathcal{N}/2}$). The SU and SO breaking patterns were classified in [3,4,8] that assumed a quartic renormalizable potential. The extension to Sp groups was recently considered in [2]. We also consider scale-invariant potentials, where running quartics lead to dynamical symmetry breaking à la Coleman-Weinberg.

²The possibility that DM is a composite state made of elementary fermions in QCD-like theories was explored in [5,6]. As elementary scalars interact with the Higgs through a scalar quartic, while elementary fermions can have Yukawa couplings, the phenomenology is different. In particular the elementary scalar can be neutral under the SM gauge group, such that collider experiments can probe the dark strong sector through precision Higgs measurements.

	Unbroken group and its dimension					
Representation	$SU(N), N^2 - 1$	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N}), \ \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}-1)/2$	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}), \ \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2$			
fundamental	SU(N-1)	SO(N-1)	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$			
symmetric	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathfrak{N}-k)\otimes\mathrm{SO}(k)$	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{N}-k)\otimes\mathrm{SO}(k)$	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2k)\otimes \operatorname{SU}(k)\otimes \operatorname{U}(1)$			
anti-symmetric	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathfrak{N}-2k)\otimes\mathrm{Sp}(2k)$	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{N}-2k)\otimes\mathrm{SU}(k)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)$	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{N}-2k)\otimes\operatorname{Sp}(2k)$			
adjoint	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathfrak{N}-k)\otimes\mathrm{SU}(k)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)$	see anti-symmetric	see symmetric			

Table 1: Breaking patterns with one irreducible scalar representation of small size, such that the gauge group confines for any N. The symmetric of SO(N) is trace-less, with a similar condition on the anti-symmetric of Sp(N). The values of k at the absolute minima of renormalizable potentials [2] and of Coleman-Weinberg potentials are either minimal (k = 1) or maximal (k = N or N - 1). We recall that SU(2) = SO(3) = Sp(2), SO(5) = Sp(4), SU(4) = SO(6), $SO(4) = SU(2)^2$.

In section 2.2 we discuss the possible group-theoretical accidental global symmetries that can lead to a stable DM candidate. According to unknown qualitative aspects of strong dynamics, some of these symmetries could be, in principle, broken by the formation of scalars condensates, as we discuss in section 2.3.

2.2 Accidental symmetries

First, we need to know which representations are real and which are complex. With this information, we can next write actions and identify their accidental symmetries.

Reality conditions

The generators T acting on real and pseudo-real representations satisfy $T^* = -V^{-1}TV$, where V is a symmetric matrix for real representations (e.g. V = 1 for the \mathbb{N} of $SO(\mathbb{N})$) and antisymmetric for pseudo-real representations (e.g. $V = \gamma_{\mathbb{N}} \equiv \text{diag}(\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon)$ for the \mathbb{N} of $Sp(\mathbb{N})$, with $\epsilon = i\sigma_2$ in terms of Pauli matrices). Then, a scalar in the fundamental representation S_I transforms in the same way as $(VS^*)_I$. A non-trivial reality condition on S_I , i.e. $S = VS^*$, can be then imposed only if $VV^* = 1$, i.e. for real groups but not for pseudo-real (or complex) ones. Instead, a reality condition can be imposed on a 2-index representation S_{IJ} (adjoint, symmetric, anti-symmetric or bi-fundamental), i.e. $S^* = -V^{-1}SV$, for both real and pseudo-real groups, since $VV^* = \pm 1$. To summarize, the elements of the fundamental of $SU(\mathbb{N})$ and $Sp(\mathbb{N})$ and the symmetric and the anti-symmetric of $SU(\mathbb{N})$ need to be complex numbers.

Global U(1)

The renormalizable action of a complex scalar can be invariant under an accidental global U(1) symmetry that acts as an overall rephasing of S. The lightest state charged under this U(1) is

a DM candidate (extra co-stable states are possible, depending on the spectrum). When a SU (Sp) gauge interaction confines, the global U(1) results into stable baryons (mesons).

Local U(1) and \mathbb{Z}_2

Some symmetry breaking patters $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ leave unbroken a gauged U(1) or \mathbb{Z}_2 sub-group of \mathcal{G} that can imply DM stability. In the simplest case, a \mathbb{Z}_2 arises when $\mathcal{G} = U(1)$ is broken by a scalar with charge 2. We will consider non-abelian groups with scalars in two-index representations finding more examples of such \mathbb{Z}_2 (see e.g. section 10.3). We loosely include such \mathbb{Z}_2 among the accidental symmetries, despite that they are gauge discrete symmetries.

Group parities \mathcal{P}

The elements of the adjoint of $SU(\mathcal{N})$ and all the 2-index representations of $SO(\mathcal{N})$ and $Sp(\mathcal{N})$ (including bi-fundamentals of two Sp groups) can be taken to be real, so that there is no global U(1). These theories can be accidentally invariant under an accidental "group parity" \mathbb{Z}_2 discrete symmetry. Unlike usual \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries, this symmetry acts on components of multiplets rather than on multiplets. It is analogous to the usual space-parity, except that it acts in group space rather than in space.

Group parity can be an accidental symmetry for $SU(\mathcal{N})$ and $SO(\mathcal{N})$ groups because it is broken only by terms involving the Levi-Civita ϵ anti-symmetric tensor with \mathcal{N} indices: for \mathcal{N} large enough, ϵ does not appear in the renormalizable action. On the other hand, for $Sp(\mathcal{N})$ groups, possibile group parities are broken by terms involving the $\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$ tensor (analogous of the δ tensor). As it has just two indices, the action contains terms odd under parity.

For SU(\mathbb{N}), the theory is accidentally invariant under a reflection, which we dub U-parity, of any of the \mathbb{N} equivalent directions in group space. U-parity is obtained by flipping the sign of any color, for example the 1st one. This flips the signs of those generators with an 1*I* entry, preserving the SU(\mathbb{N}) Lie algebra, such that U parity acts on components of vectors in the adjoint and of other multiplets as

$$\mathcal{G}_{I}^{J} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{U}} (-1)^{\delta_{1I} + \delta_{1J}} \mathcal{G}_{I}^{J}, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{I} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{U}} (-1)^{\delta_{1I}} \mathcal{S}_{I}, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{IJ} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{U}} (-1)^{\delta_{1I} + \delta_{1J}} \mathcal{S}_{IJ}$$
(1)

having written the SU vectors $\mathcal{G}_J^I = \mathcal{G}^A(T^A)_J^I$.

For SO(\mathbb{N}), the theory is accidentally invariant under a reflection under any of the \mathbb{N} equivalent directions in group space: the resulting accidental \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry is O-parity [9, 1]. Representing O-parity by flipping the direction 1, its action is analogous to eq. (1), dropping the distinction between indices in the fundamental and anti-fundamental:

$$\mathcal{G}_{IJ} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{Q}} (-1)^{\delta_{II} + \delta_{IJ}} \mathcal{G}_{IJ}, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{I} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{Q}} (-1)^{\delta_{II}} \mathcal{S}_{I}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{S}_{IJ} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{Q}} (-1)^{\delta_{II} + \delta_{IJ}} \mathcal{S}_{IJ}. \tag{2}$$

When a SU or SO gauge group confines, dark baryons built contracting constituents with one ϵ tensor are odd under group parity. The lightest odd state can be a stable DM candidate.

Group charge conjugations C

Theories with $SU(\mathcal{N})$ gauge groups can be invariant under a charge conjugation symmetry. Theories with $SO(\mathcal{N})$ or $Sp(\mathcal{N})$ gauge groups (with \mathcal{N} even) can be invariant under a charge conjugation symmetry that extends the one of their $U(\mathcal{N}/2)$ subgroup. For SO this has been studied in [1]: the only invariant tensor that can give rise to odd states is again ϵ , so that it does not give rise to new stable states.

A similar situation is found for $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$, as we now discuss (although the discussion is more complicated and will have no practical relevance). The symmetry, that we dub \mathcal{C}_{Sp} , acts on the 2-index representations considered in this paper as

$$\mathcal{G}_I^J \to (-1)^{I+J} \mathcal{G}_I^J, \qquad \mathcal{S}_I^J \to (-1)^{I+J} \mathcal{S}_I^J.$$
(3)

Let us now show that this is a symmetry of the action. That is trivially true for bilinears and quartics; cubics S^3 , when present, respect the symmetry³ because all indices are contracted, so they appear an even number of times. Then, one only needs to check the compatibility with the Lie algebra of Sp(\mathbb{N}). Let us denote by $T_{\text{sym}} = \{T_{\text{real}}, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{N}/2}/\sqrt{\mathbb{N}}\}$ the symmetric generators of U($\mathbb{N}/2$), and by $T_{\text{asym}} = T_{\text{imag}}$ the anti-symmetric ones. Among the Sp(\mathbb{N}) generators,⁴ those of the form

$$\frac{T_{\text{asym}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \frac{T_{\text{sym}} \otimes \sigma_3}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{5}$$

are even under \mathcal{C}_{Sp} (because the 2 × 2 blocks are diagonal), whereas

$$\frac{T_{\rm sym} \otimes \sigma_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \frac{T_{\rm sym} \otimes \sigma_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{6}$$

are odd (because the 2 × 2 blocks are off-diagonal). The Lie algebra is compatible with this symmetry: the product of two blocks in the set $\{\mathbb{1}_2, \sigma_3\}$ stays in the set, so that $[T_{\text{even}}, T_{\text{even}}]$ is even; the product of two blocks in the set $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ is in $\{\mathbb{1}_2, \sigma_3\}$, so that $[T_{\text{odd}}, T_{\text{odd}}]$ is even; the product of two blocks belonging to the two different sets is in $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, so that $[T_{\text{even}}, T_{\text{odd}}]$ is odd.

As anticipated above, this symmetry is nothing but charge conjugation \mathcal{C} for the U(N/2) subgroup of Sp(N): since U(N/2) = SO(N) \cap Sp(N), the U(N/2) sub-algebra is given by the anti-symmetric generators, i.e. the first set in (5) and the second set in (6); the imaginary (real)

$$\frac{T_{\text{asym}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \frac{T_{\text{sym}} \otimes \sigma_k}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{4}$$

where k = 1, 2, 3. In the notation of Appendix A of [1], $T_{asym} = T^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $T_{sym} = \{T^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T^{(1)}_{\alpha\alpha}\}$, with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

³This crucially depends on the overall sign of the transformation of S chosen in (3). Notice that, as a consequence, one has $\hat{S}_{IJ} \equiv (S\gamma_N)_{IJ} \rightarrow -(-1)^{I+J}\hat{S}_{IJ}$.

⁴We remind the reader that the $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ generators can be written as

generators are even (odd) under charge conjugation of U(N/2), and the same happens under the symmetry of Sp(N).

The only invariant tensor that gives rise to odd states is γ_N . If S is complex, C_{Sp} implies stability of mesons which are already stable because of accidental U(1). If S is real and a two-index representation, C_{Sp} does not imply new stable bound states. C_{Sp} could give rise to new stable states for real 1-index S, such as the bi-fundamental arising in the Higgs phases in section 10. However, the C_{Sp} of the subgroups appearing there are broken in the full theory. To summarize, C_{Sp} never gives rise to new stable states in the cases discussed in this work, analogously to C_{SO} .

2.3 Does scalar gauge dynamics break accidental symmetries?

Ref. [1] discussed the possible theories of scalars with gauge interactions such that the confined phase is self-dual to the Higgs phase. In all those theories the scalar S is in the fundamental representation of the gauge group \mathcal{G} such that the breaking $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is univocally fixed by group theory. The conjectured duality is based on the matching between the spectra and accidental symmetries in the two phases: each particle in the Higgs phase, after condensation of the subgroup \mathcal{H} , is associated to a corresponding operator *invariant* under \mathcal{G} , so that one expects that the Higgs and confined phases are smoothly connected in the strong coupling limit $\mathfrak{g} \sim 4\pi$.

We here study theories with scalar content such that \mathcal{G} can be perturbatively broken to two different sub-groups, \mathcal{H}_1 or \mathcal{H}_2 .

At first sight, an apparently related result is the Fröhlich-Morchio-Strocchi (FMS) theorem [10]. Seeking a manifestly gauge covariant description of the Higgs phase, FMS prove that for each state in the Higgs phase there is a corresponding operator in the unbroken theory *covariant* under \mathcal{G} .⁵ In some theories the corresponding operators are invariant singlets, pointing to a relation between the confined and Higgs phases. But in general, the corresponding operators are only covariant: their existence does not imply a duality between the Higgs and confined phases. The formation of vacuum expectation values and/or condensates, even when described by means of singlets of the original group \mathcal{G} (without referring to the sub-group \mathcal{H}) is a dynamical phenomenon not controlled by the group-theoretical FMS theorem.

Therefore, whether the Higgs and confined phases are dual is a non-trivial question. In particular, dynamics could form complicated condensates that break the accidental symmetries of the theory and invalidate gauge/Higgs dualities. Let us consider, for example, a confined

⁵However, we find that in some situations the gauge-covariant description of the Higgs phase cannot be performed by means of finite polynomials of fields. Take for instance an SU(\mathbb{N}) theory with a scalar \mathbb{S} in the symmetric that breaks it to SU($\mathbb{N} - 1$) and a fermion ψ in the fundamental. In the Higgs phase, the fermion ψ splits into a singlet ψ_0 and a fundamental of SU($\mathbb{N} - 1$). According to the FMS theorem, the fermion singlet ψ_0 can be described by a singlet of SU(\mathbb{N}). However, for even \mathbb{N} , no finite polynomial field operators are fermionic and singlet under SU(\mathbb{N}).

phase where a baryon \mathcal{B} is stable because of an accidental U(1). If the condensate $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ forms in some region of the parameter space, it would violate the accidental symmetry, destabilising the DM candidate stable thanks to baryon number, and giving instead rise to a massless Goldstone boson. An analogous situation could arise in the Higgs phase, when non-abelian factors of the unbroken sub-group \mathcal{H} become strongly interacting and generate condensates. If U(1)-breaking condensates form only in one of the two phases (purely condensed, or Higgsed), their duality would be lost.

For a vector-like fermion-gauge theory, a Vafa-Witten (VW) theorem [11] guarantees that massless Goldstone bosons of vector-like symmetries are not present in the spectrum, and hence that baryon U(1)-violating condensates do not form. The VW theorem does not hold in the presence of a topological term $\theta G \tilde{G}$ (as it makes the Euclidean path integral not real) nor in the presence of non-gauge interactions, such as scalar self-interactions. A trivial counter-example is usual spontaneous symmetry breaking in the limit of vanishing gauge coupling. However, the dynamics behind the VW theorem suggests that strong gauge interactions tend not to break global vector-like symmetries (somehow analogously to how gauge interactions tend to maximise the unbroken symmetries in composite Higgs models).

While scalars have extra quartic interactions that can behave differently, we can heuristically expect that strong gauge interactions play the dominant role, provided that the numerical value of scalar quartics at confinement is not too large. One-loop RGE running tends to make quartics large and negative at lower energy, but in the Higgs phases scalars avoid large quartics, being heavier than the scale where gauge couplings run non-perturbative. If quartics do not alter significantly gauge dynamics, it seems plausible to assume that U(1)-violating condensates do not form at the condensation of the residual gauge group \mathcal{H} . The situation in the confined phase is more uncertain, because quartics of massless scalars typically run to large values when approaching confinement.

Furthermore, the VW theorem relies on showing the absence of Goldstone modes in the spectrum, so that it does not apply to discrete global symmetries such as those found in the previous section 2.2. Discrete symmetries might be broken even by pure gauge dynamics. More in general, several different confined phases could be present, corresponding to the formation of different sets of condensates.

For most of the discussion below we will assume that accidental symmetries (discrete or continuous) are not broken by the formation of condensates. The reader should keep in mind that this could be true only in part of the parameter space.

3 Models with unbroken dark U(1)

Some of the theories considered in the following leave an unbroken dark gauged U(1) under which (part of) DM is charged. Such physics is constrained by bounds on dark radiation (as summarised in section 3.1), by dark Coulombian scatterings (section 3.2), and by dark matter/dark radiation interactions (section 3.3), and still allowed in regions of the parameter space where DM is heavy enough.

Furthermore, whenever a dark U(1) factor remains in the unbroken gauge group, dark monopoles are stable and contribute to the DM relic density (see e.g. [12–14]; see also [15] for other possible topological states). This will be discussed in section 3.4.

3.1 Dark radiation

Cosmology provides information on the amount of energy density in relativistic species, usually reported as an effective number of extra neutrino species. The Planck satellite results imply [16]

$$\Delta N_{\rm eff} \le 0.30$$
 at 95 % C.L. (7)

at the CMB temperature. A dark photon with energy density $\rho_{\rm dark}$ contributes as

$$\Delta N_{\rm eff} = \frac{8}{7} \left(\frac{11}{4}\right)^{4/3} \frac{\rho_{\rm dark}}{\rho_{\gamma}}.$$
(8)

We will consider models (specified later) where a dark scalar S interacts with the SM as $\lambda_{HS}|H|^2|S|^2$. At a scale w the dark scalar breaks a dark gauge group \mathcal{G} to a U(1) times a non-abelian subgroup that confines at a scale Λ .

If the dark photon γ_{dark} decouples from the SM at a temperature $T_{\text{dec}} \lesssim \Lambda$ low enough that γ_{dark} is the only dark-sector particle, it contributes as $g_{*s}^{\text{dark}}(T_{\text{dec}}) = 2$ such that

$$\Delta N_{\rm eff} = \frac{8}{7} \left(\frac{43g_{*s}^{\rm dark}(T_{\rm dec})}{8g_{*s}^{\rm SM}(T_{\rm dec})} \right)^{4/3} = 0.058 \left(\frac{100}{g_{*s}^{\rm SM}(T_{\rm dec})} \right)^{4/3} \tag{9}$$

which is allowed for $T_{\rm dec}$ above the QCD or electroweak scale. We estimate $T_{\rm dec}$ as follows. The thermal interaction rate of dark photons is $\Gamma \approx \lambda_{HS}^2 T^5/M_s^4$ where M_s is the mass of the dark Higgs. Imposing $\Gamma \sim H \sim T^2/M_{\rm Pl}$ gives $T_{\rm dec} \sim M_s^{4/3}/M_{\rm Pl}^{1/3}\lambda_{HS}^{2/3}$. Our initial assumption $T_{\rm dec} \lesssim \Lambda$ is satisfied for

$$\frac{\Lambda}{M_s} \gtrsim \left(\frac{M_s}{\lambda_{HS}^2 M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{1/3} \,. \tag{10}$$

If instead dark photons decouple at $T_{dec} \gg \Lambda$ when the dark sector contains dark gluons \mathcal{A} as extra degrees of freedom, the bound of eq. (7) is violated (for any SU(2) or bigger confining group) if the extra energy ends up reheating dark photons rather than the SM. This conclusion can only be avoided if the dark glue-balls mostly decay out of equilibrium into SM particles rather than into dark photons.

Let us estimate the decay widths of dark glue-balls. Dark glue-balls can decay into the dark photons only through dimension-8 operators

$$C_1 (\mathcal{A}^a_{\mu\nu})^2 (\gamma^{\rho\sigma}_{\text{dark}})^2 + C_2 (\mathcal{A}^a_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\text{dark}})^2.$$
(11)

SU(3) with an adjoint scalar



Figure 1: We consider a typical model with DM charged under dark photons: one Higgs phase of gauged SU(3) with a scalar in the adjoint. The cosmological DM abundance is reproduced thermally around the boundary between the green (DM under-density) and red (DM over-density) regions. The regions in grey are excluded by the resulting dark radiation and Coloumbian scatterings among DM particles. The confined phase of this model (in white) features no DM candidate.

with $C_i \sim 1/(4\pi w^2)^2$. The glue-ball decay widths are estimated as (omitting factors of $\mathfrak{g} \sim 1$)

$$\Gamma_{\rm SM} \sim \frac{\lambda_{HS}^2 \Lambda^5}{(4\pi)^4 M_s^4}, \qquad \Gamma_{\rm dark} \sim \frac{\Lambda^9}{(4\pi)^4 w^8}$$
(12)

where w is the vacuum expectation value that breaks the dark gauge group G. Assuming that the dark-sector contribution to the Higgs squared mass is not unnaturally large, $\lambda_{HS} \lesssim v^2/w^2$, we estimate that glue-balls dominantly decay into SM particles if $\Lambda \lesssim v$.

3.2 Coulombian scattering among DM particles

The massless dark photon γ_{dark} mediates Coulombian scattering among two DM particles. We consider its phenomenology and bounds. The transfer cross section (cross section weighted by fractional longitudinal momentum transfer) is given by [17]

$$\sigma_{\rm tran} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}^4}{\pi M^2 v^4} \ell \tag{13}$$

where $\ell \sim \text{tens}$ is a logarithmic IR enhancement cut-offed by the small plasma mass acquired by massless vectors in a cosmological DM background. Various observations (the bullet cluster, black hole accretion, tri-axiality of galaxies, etc) demand $\sigma_{\text{tran}}/M \lesssim O(\text{cm}^2/\text{g})$ at $v \sim (30 - 1000) \text{ km/s}$ [18–20], implying

$$M \gtrsim (0.3 - 3) \,\mathrm{TeV} \times \mathfrak{g}^{4/3}. \tag{14}$$

When such bound is nearly saturated, self-interacting DM can marginally improve the potential core-vs-cusp and too-big-to-fail problems.

3.3 Interactions of DM with the dark photon

Cosmological data are reproduced assuming that DM freely streams during structure formation at $T \leq T_{eq} \approx 0.74 \,\text{eV}$. However, interactions in the dark sector can lead to a different fluid similar to the baryon/photon system. This effect is controlled by the dark Thomson cross section between DM and dark photons, $\sigma_T = \mathfrak{g}^4/6\pi M^2$. Dark photons decouple very early from DM, when $n_{\text{DM}}\sigma_T \leq H$. DM decouples from dark photons when $n_{\gamma_{\text{dark}}}\sigma_T(T/M) \leq H$ (where the T/M factor accounts for the needed energy transfer), at

$$T_{\rm fs} \sim \frac{M^{3/2}}{\mathfrak{g}^2 M_{\rm Pl}^{1/2}} \sim \frac{{\rm GeV}}{\mathfrak{g}^2} \left(\frac{M}{100 \,{\rm TeV}}\right)^{3/2}.$$
 (15)

Imposing $T_{\rm fs} > T_{\rm eq}$ gives

$$M \gtrsim \mathfrak{g}^{4/3} M_{\rm Pl}^{1/3} T_{\rm eq}^{2/3} \sim \mathfrak{g}^{4/3} \,{\rm GeV}$$
 (16)

which is weaker than eq. (14).⁶

3.4 Dark monopoles

When the dark gauge group is broken to a subgroup that contains an unbroken U(1) factor, dark magnetic monopoles exist with magnetic charge $\mathfrak{g}_{mag} = 4\pi/\mathfrak{g}$ and mass $M_{mag} \sim M_W/\alpha_{DC}$.

Their abundance is negligible (one per Hubble volume at $T \sim M_W$) if symmetry breaking occurs through a first order phase transition (as in the Coleman-Weinberg case). The monopole abundance can instead be significant

$$\Omega_{\rm mag}h^2 \approx 1.5 \times 10^9 \frac{M_{\rm mag}}{\rm TeV} \left(\frac{30 \ T_{\rm cr}}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{\frac{3\nu}{1+\nu}} \xrightarrow{\nu=1/2} 0.12 \frac{T_{\rm cr}}{3.2 \times 10^7 \ {\rm GeV}}$$
(17)

if a second order phase transition takes place with critical temperature $T_{\rm cr} \sim M_{\rm W}$ [12–14]. If the critical exponent has the 'classical' value $\nu = 1/2$ and the gauge group is strongly coupled, $\mathfrak{g} \sim 4\pi$ at $M \sim 100$ TeV, both \mathcal{W} and dark magnetic monopoles have abundances comparable to the DM cosmological abundances. Monopoles become unstable if the U(1) is spontaneously broken at low-energy.

4 A symmetric of SU(N)

We now consider a scalar S_{IJ} in the symmetric complex representation of SU(\mathbb{N}). The dimensionless Lagrangian for generic $\mathbb{N} \neq 2, 4$ accidentally conserves a U(1) dark baryon number and

 $^{^{6}}$ Our result differs even parametrically from the previous result in [21].

is

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\,\mu\nu} + \,\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\mathcal{S})^{\dagger} - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(18)

with

 $V_{\$} = M_{\$}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\$^{\dagger}\$) + \lambda_{\$} (\operatorname{Tr}\$\$^{\dagger})^{2} + \lambda_{\$}' \operatorname{Tr}(\$\$^{\dagger}\$\$^{\dagger}) - \lambda_{H\$} |H|^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\$\$^{\dagger}.$ (19)

The cases $\mathcal{N} = 2, 3, 4$ are special because det S (invariant for any \mathcal{N}) gives an extra renormalizable term that breaks the U(1) global symmetry. For $\mathcal{N} = 2$ it is a mass term and a reality condition can be imposed, reducing the components to 3, such that only a quartic exists and no U(1) symmetry arises. Indeed the symmetric of SU(2) is the adjoint (or fundamental of SO(3)). For $\mathcal{N} = 3$ the theory admits the extra complex cubic det S. For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ the theory admits the extra complex quartic

$$V_{\text{extra}} = \lambda_{\mathcal{S}}^{"} \det \mathcal{S} = \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}^{"}}{4!} \epsilon^{IJKL} \epsilon^{I^{'}J^{'}K^{'}L^{'}} \mathcal{S}_{II^{'}} \mathcal{S}_{JJ^{'}} \mathcal{S}_{KK^{'}} \mathcal{S}_{LL^{'}}.$$
 (20)

It breaks dark baryon number, and it is thereby not generated by RGE. Ignoring it, the RGE for $N \geq 3$ are

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = -\frac{21\mathcal{N}-2}{6}\mathfrak{g}^3 \tag{21a}$$

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda_8}{d\ln\mu} = \mathfrak{g}^4 \left(9 + \frac{24}{N^2}\right) - 12\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda_8 \frac{N^2 + N - 2}{N} + \frac{6\lambda_8'^2 + 8(N+1)\lambda_8\lambda_8' + 2\left(8 + N + N^2\right)\lambda_8^2}{N}$$
(21b)

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda'_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\ln\mu} = 3\mathfrak{g}^4 \left(\mathcal{N} - \frac{16}{\mathcal{N}} + 4\right) - 12\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda'_{\mathrm{S}}\frac{\mathcal{N}^2 + \mathcal{N} - 2}{\mathcal{N}} + 2\lambda'^2_{\mathrm{S}}(2\mathcal{N} + 5) + 24\lambda_{\mathrm{S}}\lambda'_{\mathrm{S}}.$$
 (21c)

4.1 A symmetric of SU(N): confined phase

We define dark baryon number such that S has charge $2/\mathcal{N}$. In the SU(\mathcal{N})-condensed phase the following hadrons charged under baryon number form:

• for $\mathcal{N} = 2n$ even the baryon

$$\mathcal{B} = \epsilon^{i_1 j_1 \cdots i_n j_n} (\mathcal{G}_{\mu_1 \nu_1})_{i_1}^{k_1} \mathcal{S}_{k_1 j_1} \cdots (\mathcal{G}_{\mu_n \nu_n})_{i_n}^{k_n} \mathcal{S}_{k_n j_n}$$
(22)

For SU(2) = SO(3) the symmetric S_{IJ} equals the fundamental of SO(3), and the baryon reduces to the odd-ball of [1]: $\epsilon^{IJ}(\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu})_I^K \mathcal{S}_{KJ} = \mathcal{S}^A \mathcal{G}^A_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{ABC} \mathcal{S}^A \mathcal{G}^{BC}_{\mu\nu}$.

• for any \mathcal{N} the di-baryon

$$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B} = \epsilon^{I_1 \cdots I_N} \epsilon^{J_1 \cdots J_N} \mathcal{S}_{I_1 J_1} \cdots \mathcal{S}_{I_N J_N}.$$
(23)

For odd \mathcal{N} the di-baryon is stable, as no baryon exists. For even \mathcal{N} it might be co-stable, depending on its binding energy.

4.2 A symmetric of SU(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

The most generic vacuum expectation value can be written as $\langle S \rangle = \text{diag}(w_1, \ldots, w_N)$ with $w_i \geq 0$. Dynamical symmetry breaking is induced by loop corrections, such that we must take into account the one-loop potential, given by

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2} \left[3 \operatorname{Tr} M_V^4 \ln \frac{M_V^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} + \operatorname{Tr} M_S^4 \ln \frac{M_S^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} \right]$$
(24)

in terms of generic scalar and vector mass matrices. If S is written as a vector with real components with gauge generators T^A , the vector mass matrix is $(M_V^2)^{AB} = \mathfrak{g}^2 \frac{1}{2} S^T \cdot \{T^A, T^B\} \cdot S$. In the limit of small quartics the one-loop potential is dominated by gauge corrections.

We only need to consider the one-loop correction along the flat direction V = 0 that arises at special values of the couplings. The tree-level quartic potential of S satisfies $V \ge 0$ when the quartic couplings satisfy $\lambda_{s} + \alpha \lambda'_{s} \ge 0$ for $\alpha = 1$ and for $\alpha = 1/\mathcal{N}$, which are the extremal values of $\alpha = \text{Tr}(SS^{\dagger}SS^{\dagger})/\text{Tr}(SS^{\dagger})^{2}$.⁷ Thereby the two possible breaking patterns are:

- $\langle S \rangle = \text{diag}(0, \dots, w)$ which breaks $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SU(\mathcal{N}-1)$. The symmetry breaking boundary is $\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda'_{\mathcal{S}} = 0$.
- $\langle S \rangle = \operatorname{diag}(w, \ldots, w)$, which breaks $\operatorname{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \operatorname{U}(1) \to \operatorname{SO}(\mathcal{N})$. The symmetry breaking boundary is $\lambda_{\mathbb{S}} + \lambda'_{\mathbb{S}}/\mathcal{N} = 0$.

Fig. 2 shows that RGE running can cross either boundaries, so that both symmetry breaking patterns can be realised dynamically. Along the special RGE trajectory where both quartics simultaneously cross 0 we find the breaking $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SO(\mathcal{N})$. Dynamical symmetry breaking with a scalar in the symmetric had been studied in [22, 23].

4.3 A symmetric that breaks $SU(N) \rightarrow SU(N-1)$

We here consider the range of potential parameters such that the scalar acquires vacuum expectation value w as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & \cdots & S_{1,N-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{S}_{1,N-1} & \cdots & \tilde{S}_{N-1,N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & w + s/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(25)

which breaks the gauge group $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SU(\mathcal{N}-1)$. This is the same breaking produced by a scalar in the fundamental, so it is useful to consider the differences with respect to the model studied in [1]. As in the model with S in the fundamental a global accidental symmetry remains

⁷Adding the Higgs *H*, the condition $V \ge 0$ implies the extra conditions $\lambda_H > 0$ and $4\lambda_H(\lambda_S + \alpha \lambda'_S) > \lambda^2_{HS}$.



Figure 2: Coleman-Weinberg symmetry-breaking patterns for a SU(N) gauge theory with a scalar in the symmetric (left) and anti-symmetric (right): the RGE flow towards low energy of its quartics λ_s and λ'_s can intersect the instability conditions in both their branches, leading to the two different breaking patterns discussed in the text.

unbroken, corresponding to the generator \mathcal{N} diag $(1, \ldots, 1, 0)/(\mathcal{N}-1)$. Writing the gauge bosons as

$$T^{a}\mathcal{G}^{a}_{\mu} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} & \mathcal{W}_{\mu}/\sqrt{2} \\ \hline \mathcal{W}^{*}_{\mu}/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{array}\right) - \mathcal{Z}_{\mu}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2\mathcal{N}}} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -\mathbb{1}/(\mathcal{N}-1) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}\right), \tag{26}$$

the perturbative spectrum is:

- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of $SU(\mathcal{N}-1)$;
- $(\mathcal{N}-1)$ complex vectors \mathcal{W} in the fundamental of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}-1)$ and with dark baryon number ± 1 that acquire tree-level mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2$ by 'eating' the corresponding Goldstones scalars with squared mass $m^2 = 2(\lambda_8 + \lambda'_8)w^2$ (massless at the symmetry breaking point);
- 1 vector \mathcal{Z} neutral under \mathcal{H} and under dark baryon number that acquires tree-level mass mass $M_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 = 4\mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 (1 - 1/\mathcal{N})$ by 'eating' the corresponding Goldstone scalar with squared mass $m^2 = 2(\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda'_{\mathcal{S}})w^2$ (massless at the symmetry breaking point);
- the scalon s with loop-level mass M_s (its tree-level squared mass $M_s^2 = 3m^2$ vanishes at the symmetry breaking point);
- the new particle (not present in models where S fills a fundamental) is $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2$ scalars with squared mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = 2w^2\lambda_s$ that fill a symmetric \tilde{S} under SU($\mathcal{N}-1$), with dark

baryon number 2 and gauge interactions

$$\operatorname{Tr}|\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{S}|^{2} = \operatorname{Tr}\left|\left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} - \frac{2i\mathfrak{g}}{\sqrt{2\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)}}\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}\right)\tilde{\mathcal{S}}\right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}s)^{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2}(\mathcal{N}-1)}{\mathcal{N}}\tilde{s}^{2}\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}^{2} + (27)$$

$$+\sqrt{2}\mathfrak{g}^{2}\tilde{s}\operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{S}_{ij}^{*}\mathcal{W}_{i\mu}\mathcal{W}_{j\mu}\right)+\frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{W}_{i\mu}^{*}\mathcal{W}_{i\mu}\tilde{s}^{2}+\mathfrak{g}^{2}\mathcal{W}_{i\mu}^{*}\mathcal{W}_{j\mu}\tilde{S}_{ik}\tilde{S}_{jk}^{*}$$
(28)

in terms of $\tilde{s} = s + \sqrt{2}w$ and of the \mathcal{H} -covariant $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ derivative.

At the symmetry breaking boundary $\lambda_{\$} + \lambda'_{\$} = 0$ the Goldstones and the scalon *s* become massless, and other scalars acquire positive squared masses. The tree-level potential equals $V = (\lambda_s + \lambda'_{\$})s^4/4$ along the scalon (its second derivative equals M_s^2); thereby at loop level the scalon acquires squared mass

$$M_s^2 = 2w^2(\beta_{\lambda_s} + \beta_{\lambda'_s}) = \frac{2w^2}{(4\pi)^2} \bigg[3\mathfrak{g}^4 \frac{8 - 16\mathcal{N} + 7\mathcal{N}^2 + \mathcal{N}^3}{\mathcal{N}^2} + \lambda_S^2(2\mathcal{N}^2 - 2\mathcal{N}) \bigg].$$
(29)

The same result is obtained using the one-loop effective potential [22]. M_Z^2/M_W^2 is twice higher than what obtained from a scalar in the fundamental [1]. Apart from the different masses, the new feature is the presence of \tilde{S} .

 \mathcal{W} is a stable DM candidate, and \tilde{S} is stable too if $M_{\tilde{S}} < 2M_{\mathcal{W}}$. The \mathcal{Z} decays at tree-level to $\tilde{S}\tilde{S}^*$ and thereby to SM particles.

For N > 2 condensation of SU(N - 1) forms dark baryons

$$\epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-1}, \qquad \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-3} \mathcal{A} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \qquad \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-5} (\mathcal{A} \tilde{\mathcal{S}})^2, \qquad \dots$$
 (30)

as well as dark di-baryons

$$\epsilon\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{N-1}, \quad \epsilon\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{N-2}\mathcal{W}^2, \quad \epsilon\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{N-3}\mathcal{W}^4, \quad \dots$$
(31)

The DM candidate(s) changes depending on $M_{\tilde{S}}/M_{W}$. At the constituent level, if $M_{\tilde{S}} > 2M_{W}$ the lightest baryon is W^{N-1} and the lightest di-baryon is $W^{2(N-1)}$: the di-baryon might be stable if its binding energy is large enough. If $M_{\tilde{S}} < 2M_{W}$, the lightest di-baryon is \tilde{S}^{N-1} ; for even N the lightest baryon is $W\tilde{S}^{N/2-1}$, heavier than half of the mass of \tilde{S}^{N-1} , so that they are both stable. Instead, for odd N the lightest baryon is $\tilde{S}^{(N-1)/2}$ and the stability of the di-baryon depends again on the binding energies. Two baryons can merge into one di-baryon: their relative abundance is usually given by thermal equilibrium at decoupling.

4.4 A symmetric that breaks $SU(N) \rightarrow SO(N)$

We consider again the model of eq. (18). An interesting feature is that dark baryon number is spontaneously broken, but some particles are kept stable by an accidental \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, that arises from charge conjugation as follows. To define a charge conjugation symmetry of the Lagrangian that acts on a scalar as $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}^*$ one needs to also transform the SU(\mathcal{N}) gaugecovariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + i \mathcal{G}_{\mu}^A T^A$ as $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^*$. In the usual Gell-Mann basis, some SU(\mathcal{N}) generators associated to some vectors \mathcal{G}_{real}^a are real and symmetric (for example, $\sigma_{1,3}$ for SU(2)) and the remaining generators associated to vectors \mathcal{G}_{imag}^b are purely imaginary and anti-symmetric (for example, σ_2 for SU(2)). The needed transformation thereby is

$$\mathcal{G}^a_{\text{real}} \to -\mathcal{G}^a_{\text{real}}, \qquad \mathcal{G}^b_{\text{imag}} \to \mathcal{G}^b_{\text{imag}}.$$
(32)

This is an automorphism of the gauge group⁸ (it is the outer automorphism of SU(\mathbb{N}) for $\mathbb{N} > 2$) and thus a symmetry of the full Lagrangian of eq. (18). This charge conjugation symmetry remains unbroken when the symmetric S acquires vacuum expectation value w as

$$\mathcal{S} = \left[\left(w + \frac{\mathfrak{s}}{\sqrt{2N}} \right) \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1) + (\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^b + i\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^b) T_{\mathrm{real}}^b \right] e^{i\mathfrak{a}/\sqrt{2N}w}$$
(33)

where $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{a}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^b, \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^b$ are canonically normalized fields.

After symmetry breaking, the SU(\mathbb{N}) vectors \mathcal{G} decompose into \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{W} as follows. The imaginary generators give rise to massless vectors in the adjoint (anti-symmetric) of SO(\mathbb{N}), $\mathcal{A}^{a} = \mathcal{G}^{a}_{imag}$. The real generators give rise to massive vectors (eating the $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{b}$) in the trace-less symmetric of SO(\mathbb{N}), $\mathcal{W}^{b} = \mathcal{G}^{b}_{real}$.

Even after symmetry breaking, the Lagrangian respects the charge conjugation \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, under which the massive vectors \mathcal{W} and the scalar \mathfrak{a} are odd, and all other fields are even:

 $\mathfrak{s} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{s}, \qquad \tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}, \qquad \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} -\mathfrak{a}, \qquad \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} -\mathcal{W}.$ (34)

At tree level the spectrum is:

- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2$ massless vectors \mathcal{A}^a in the adjoint of SO(\mathcal{N});
- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2 1$ vectors \mathcal{W}^b in the traceless symmetric of SO(\mathcal{N}) that acquire mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = 4\mathfrak{g}^2 w^2$ by "eating" the $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2 1$ scalar Goldstones $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^b$;
- the Goldstone of global accidental U(1), \mathfrak{a} , with squared mass $m^2 = 2(\mathcal{N}\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda'_{\mathcal{S}})w^2$;
- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2 1$ scalars $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^b$ in the traceless symmetric of SO(\mathcal{N}) with squared mass $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}^2 = 2(\mathcal{N}\lambda_{\mathfrak{s}} + 3\lambda_{\mathfrak{s}}')w^2$;
- a scalar scalon \mathfrak{s} with squared mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}^2 = 3m^2$.

At the symmetry breaking boundary $\lambda_{s} + \lambda'_{s}/\mathcal{N} = 0$ the Goldstones and the scalon \mathfrak{s} become massless, and other scalars have positive squared masses. The tree-level potential equals V =

⁸The SU(\mathbb{N}) structure constants vanish, $f_{abc} = 0$, when *a* corresponds to a real generator, and *b*, *c* to imaginary generators.

 $(\lambda_S + \lambda'_{\mathfrak{S}}/\mathcal{N})\mathfrak{s}^4/4$ along the scalon (its second derivative is M_s^2): thereby at loop level the scalon acquires squared mass

$$M_{\mathfrak{s}}^{2} = 2\mathcal{N}w^{2}(\beta_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{s}}} + \frac{\beta_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{s}}'}}{\mathcal{N}}) = 2\mathcal{N}w^{2} \bigg[12\mathfrak{g}^{4} \frac{\mathcal{N}^{2} + \mathcal{N} - 2}{\mathcal{N}^{2}} + \lambda_{S}^{2}(4\mathcal{N}^{2} + 4\mathcal{N} - 8) \bigg].$$
(35)

The \mathfrak{a} is a Goldstone of global accidental U(1): it remains massless if U(1) is an exact symmetry.⁹ Expanding the Lagrangian, it acquires the following schematic form

$$\mathscr{L} \sim (\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A})^{2} + (\mathcal{D}\mathcal{W})^{2} + (\mathcal{D}\tilde{\mathfrak{s}})^{2} + (\partial\mathfrak{s})^{2} + \frac{(\partial\mathfrak{a})^{2}}{w^{2}}[(w+\mathfrak{s})^{2} + \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{2}] + \mathfrak{g}^{2}\mathcal{W}^{2}(w+\mathfrak{s}+\tilde{\mathfrak{s}})^{2} + \mathfrak{g}^{2}\frac{\partial\mathfrak{a}}{w}(w+\mathfrak{s}+\tilde{\mathfrak{s}})\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}\mathcal{W} + (\lambda_{S}+\lambda_{S}')[(w+\mathfrak{s})^{2}+\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{2}]^{2} + \lambda_{S}'w\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{3}$$
(36)

where order one factors have been omitted (including them the mass term of \mathfrak{s} cancels out) and where $\mathcal{D} = \partial + i\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{A}$ is here the SO(N) covariant derivative. The lightest among the C-odd states \mathcal{W} and \mathfrak{a} is stable.

Let us assume that \mathfrak{a} is massless or very light. Then \mathfrak{s} decays into \mathfrak{aa} ; $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ into \mathcal{AA} (at loop level thanks to the $\lambda'_S w \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^3$ interaction); \mathcal{W} decays into \mathfrak{as} or \mathfrak{aAA} , depending on the mass hierarchies.¹⁰

At lower energy the pure gauge $SO(\mathcal{N})$ confines, without affecting the neutral state \mathfrak{a} . SO gauge dynamics respects O-parity [1] which, as discussed in section 2.2, extends to U-parity in the full $SU(\mathcal{N})$ theory. The vacuum expectation values of S_{ij} preserves U-parity. Thereby:

- For \mathbb{N} even the odd glue-ball $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{A}^{i_1 i_2} \cdots \mathcal{A}^{i_{\mathcal{N}-1} i_{\mathcal{N}}} \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_{\mathcal{N}}}$ is stable thanks to U-parity (\mathcal{B} is U-parity odd, so it cannot decay into \mathfrak{a} 's which are U-parity even) This DM candidate has power-suppressed interactions to SM particles and is accompanied by dark radiation \mathfrak{a} .
- For N odd one can form glue-balls AA, which can decay into SM particles as well as into aa, as they have the same C-parity and U-parity.

This just means that the heavier $SU(\mathcal{N})$ dynamics gives no qualitatively new effect beyond pure $SO(\mathcal{N})$ gauge dynamics apart from leaving the massless scalar \mathfrak{a} .

After confinement of SO(\mathcal{N}), for even \mathcal{N} the stable state are \mathfrak{a} , odd under \mathfrak{C} , and the U-ball $\epsilon \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{N}/2}$, odd under U-parity. Bound states made with \mathcal{W} , e.g. Tr(\mathcal{AWA}), decay into \mathfrak{a} and possibly the U-ball. For odd \mathcal{N} , no U-odd state exists, so that the only stable state is \mathfrak{a} .

⁹In the presence of extra massive fermions charged under the group \mathcal{G} and carrying a global U(1) charge anomalous under \mathcal{G} , \mathfrak{a} behaves as an axion in the dark sector. By introducing extra fermions also charged under QCD, one can arrange for a QCD axion with accidental Peccei-Quinn symmetry protected up to effective operators of canonical dimension \mathcal{N} [24], corresponding to det \mathcal{S} . For $w \approx 10^{10}$ GeV, this mechanism provides a solution of the so-called Peccei-Quinn quality problem [25–27] as long as $\mathcal{N} \gtrsim 10$.

¹⁰If $M_{\mathcal{W}} > M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}$ this happens at tree level via the vertex $\mathfrak{g}(\partial \mathfrak{a}/w)w\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}\mathcal{W}$. Otherwise, the decay proceeds at one-loop, e.g. $\mathcal{W} \to \mathfrak{a}(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \to \mathcal{A}^2)$, or via a kinetic mixing between $\partial \mathfrak{a}$ and \mathcal{W} due to the operator $\mathfrak{g}(\partial \mathfrak{a}/w)\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^2\mathcal{W}$.

The massless Goldstone \mathfrak{a} can become a massive pseudo-Goldstone DM candidate if extra interactions break the spontaneously broken accidental global U(1) symmetry while preserving the C-parity. An interesting possibility arises for $\mathcal{N} = 3, 4$: the potential admits an extra cubic or quartic coupling λ_s'' det \mathcal{S} that breaks U(1). The phase of \mathcal{S} can always be redefined such that the extra coupling is real, respecting the C-parity. The stable pseudo-Goldstone boson \mathfrak{a} acquires a squared mass $m_{\mathfrak{a}}^2 \sim \lambda_s'' w^{\mathcal{N}-2}$. The spin-independent cross section for direct detection of \mathfrak{a} dark matter is suppressed by its possibly small mass,¹¹

$$\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim \frac{m_N^4 m_{\mathfrak{a}}^2}{4\pi v^2 w^2} f^2 \sin^2(2\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M_1^2} - \frac{1}{M_2^2}\right)^2 \tag{37}$$

where $f \simeq 0.3$, γ is the mixing angle that diagonalises the Higgs-scalon mass matrix, M_i are the resulting mass eigenvalues. If \mathfrak{a} becomes very massive, DM is the C-odd state $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{AWA})$ if \mathcal{N} is odd, while for even \mathcal{N} both the state $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{AWA})$, odd under \mathcal{C} , and the U-ball $\epsilon \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{N}/2}$, odd under U-parity, are stable.

If the gauge group $SU(\mathcal{N})$ is extended to $U(\mathcal{N})$, as in *D*-brane models, the massless Goldstone \mathfrak{a} is 'eaten' by a massive \mathfrak{Z} vector.

4.5 Dualities between the confined/Higgs phases?

Some theories (among which $SU(\mathcal{N})$ with a scalar in the fundamental) exhibit a non-trivial feature [1]: the confined phase and the Higgs phase give rise to the same asymptotic states.

We now search for possible dualities between the purely confined phase and the two Higgs phases. We find that for \mathcal{N} even the confined phase could be dual to the $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SU(\mathcal{N}-1)$ Higgs phase, since there is a map between the spectra and the accidental symmetries in the two phases, while for \mathcal{N} odd such correspondence is not possible, and hence there should be a phase transition when going to strong coupling $\mathfrak{g} \sim 4\pi$. Analogously, we find a different spectrum in the $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SO(\mathcal{N})$ Higgs phase.

It should be noted that there could be in principle multiple, physically inequivalent, confined phases, where the various accidental symmetries are unbroken or not. Analogously, when the residual gauge group in the Higgs phases confines, several sub-phases are possible if condensates breaking the residual global symmetries form. Lattice studies could help in clarifying these issues. As discussed in section 2.3, we assume here that condensates that break the accidental symmetries do not form.

Let us now consider in turn the various cases:

¹¹The direct detection cross section for massive pseudo-Goldstone boson DM vanishes at tree level [28] for a quadratic U(1) breaking term. This does not happen for the case considered here.

$SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SU(\mathcal{N}-1)$ with \mathcal{N} even

Baryons in the confined phase are built with the building block $(\mathfrak{GS})_{IJ}$. After decomposing $I = \{i, \mathcal{N}\}$, with *i* spanning over SU($\mathcal{N}-1$), (\mathcal{GS})_{*IJ*} contains the two-index combinations (\mathcal{AS})_{*ij*}, $(\mathcal{ZS})_{ij}, (\mathcal{WW})_{ij}$ and the one-index combinations $(\mathcal{AW})_i, (\mathcal{ZW})_i, \mathcal{W}_i, (s\mathcal{W})_i$: within each set all operators have the same charge under the global U(1) symmetry, and the combination of two one-index operator has the same U(1) charge as the two-index ones. Therefore, after mapping the two accidental U(1)'s (in the broken and unbroken phase) into each other, the baryon of the confined phase maps into a single physical state with the same charge as in the Higgs phase. For instance, the baryon $\epsilon(\mathcal{GS})^{\mathcal{N}}$ corresponds to the operators $\epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-1}$, $\epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-3}(\mathcal{AS})$, etc., all with the same quantum numbers. To see this, notice that the N-dimensional ϵ forces exactly one index to be the last one $(I = \mathcal{N})$: $(\mathfrak{GS})_{\mathcal{N}J}$ maps into \mathcal{W}_i (or other one-index combinations); and $(\mathfrak{GS})_{i,I}$ map into the two-index combinations. All operators obtained in this way have the same quantum numbers. The same argument holds true for di-baryons, with building block S_{IJ} , that contains $w, s, \tilde{S}_{ij}, W_i$ and the charge matches again the number of indices. For \mathcal{N} even the map proceeds also in the opposite direction: when the vacuum expectation value gets smaller and smaller there is no distinction between the different operators interpolating the baryon; in particular the one that contains only one one-index operator, say $\epsilon_{i...} \mathcal{W}_i \cdots$, maps into $\epsilon_{Ni\cdots}(\mathfrak{GS})_{Ni}\cdots$.

$\mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}-1)$ with \mathbb{N} odd

For \mathbb{N} odd the map does not exist: in the Higgs phase there are baryons, but not in the confined phase¹². Therefore, the Higgs phase cannot be dual to the confined one.

$\mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N})$

For even \mathbb{N} , the baryons in the confined phase are built with the building-block $(\mathfrak{GS})_{IJ}$. In the Higgs phase $(\mathfrak{GS})_{IJ}$ contains, among other combinations, the unbroken gauge vectors \mathcal{A}_{ij} (when the $\langle \mathfrak{S} \rangle$ part of \mathfrak{S} is taken) and the combination $(\mathcal{AW})_{ij}$ (when the Goldstone part of \mathfrak{S} is taken). These two combinations have different quantum numbers under charge conjugation \mathbb{C} defined in eq. (34). So baryons with different \mathbb{C} -parity quantum numbers can be built in the Higgs phase, namely $\epsilon \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}/2}$ and $\epsilon(\mathcal{AW})\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}/2-1}$, respectively even and odd under \mathbb{C} . Thereby the baryon $\epsilon(\mathfrak{GS})^{\mathbb{N}/2}$ in the confined phase would correspond to two *distinct* baryons in the Higgs phase. For odd \mathbb{N} , the di-baryon $\epsilon \epsilon \mathfrak{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$ in the confined phase is stable (protected by the accidental U(1)), whereas the di-baryons in the Higgs phase ($\epsilon \epsilon \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}, \epsilon \epsilon \mathfrak{F}^{\mathbb{N}}, \ldots$) are not (since they are not protected by the residual U-parity, relic of the accidental U(1)), and the

¹²More precisely, it is possible to write a composite operator transforming as a *fundamental* of SU(N), therefore not corresponding to a physical state in the confined phase: in the Higgsed phase, when S takes a vev, one component of this operator transforms as a singlet of SU(N-1) and corresponds to the baryon.

two phases cannot be dual to each other.

5 An anti-symmetric of SU(N)

We now consider a scalar S_{IJ} in the anti-symmetric representation of SU(\mathcal{N}), that is generically complex. For $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$ the Lagrangian is analogous to eq. (18)

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{S}) (\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{S})^{\dagger} - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(38)

with V_8 as in eq. (19) respecting a global accidental U(1). For $\mathcal{N} = 2$ the anti-symmetric is equivalent to a singlet, and a reality condition can be imposed. For $\mathcal{N} = 3$ the anti-symmetric is equivalent to an anti-fundamental, reducing to the model with a single quartic already studied in [1]. The extra Pfaffian invariant Pf $\mathcal{S} = \sqrt{\det \mathcal{S}}$ is renormalizable for $\mathcal{N} = \{4, 6, 8\}$ and breaks the global U(1). For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ it is a mass term and one can impose a self-duality reality condition, obtaining a real 6 of SO(6) = SU(4) already studied in [1]. For $\mathcal{N} = 6$ it gives the extra cubic coupling $\epsilon^{I_1 J_1 I_2 J_2 I_3 J_3} \mathcal{S}_{I_1 J_1} \mathcal{S}_{I_2 J_2} \mathcal{S}_{I_3 J_3}$. For $\mathcal{N} = 8$ it gives the extra quartic coupling $\epsilon^{I_1 J_1 I_2 J_2 I_3 J_3 I_4 J_4} \mathcal{S}_{I_1 J_1} \mathcal{S}_{I_2 J_2} \mathcal{S}_{I_3 J_3} \mathcal{S}_{I_4 J_4}$.

5.1 An anti-symmetric of SU(N): confined phase

The discussion is the same as for the symmetric of $SU(\mathcal{N})$ (section 4.1): an accidental U(1) baryon number is conserved, and baryons must be formed using constituents with two indices. The only difference is that, with an anti-symmetric, extra gluons are not needed to avoid vanishing index contractions: the baryon is now

$$\mathcal{B} = \epsilon^{i_1 j_1 \cdots i_n j_n} \mathcal{S}_{i_1 j_1} \cdots \mathcal{S}_{i_n j_n} \tag{39}$$

for $\mathcal{N} = 2n$ even. For \mathcal{N} odd an extra derivative or gluon is needed to avoid the vanishing due to det $\mathcal{S} = 0$ for the di-baryons.

5.2 An anti-symmetric of SU(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

The most general vacuum expectation value of one anti-symmetric can be written in terms of ϵ (the 2 × 2 anti-symmetric tensor) as

$$\langle S \rangle = \begin{cases} \operatorname{diag}(w_1 \epsilon, \dots, w_k \epsilon) & \text{for } \mathcal{N} = 2n \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{diag}(w_1 \epsilon, \dots, w_k \epsilon, 0) & \text{for } \mathcal{N} = 2n + 1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$
(40)

with $w_i \ge 0$.

The most generic breaking patterns can be described as follows. If all w_i are non-vanishing and different the breaking pattern is $SU(\mathcal{N}) \to SU(2)^n$. If one w vanishes and \mathcal{N} is odd, the corresponding SU(2) extends to SU(3). If two w vanish, their SU(2)² extends to SU(4) for \mathbb{N} even (to SU(5) for \mathbb{N} is odd). If two w are equal their SU(2)² extends to Sp(4). If k of the w's are equal and the remaining w are vanishing, the unbroken gauge group is SU($\mathbb{N}-2k$) \otimes Sp(2k), as written in table 1.

We next describe the vacuum expectation values realised in renormalizable quantum field theories. A quartic potential, depending on the value of its couplings, has minima corresponding to [3]:

- 1) to minimal k = 1, namely only one non-vanishing $w \neq 0$. The unbroken gauge group is $SU(N-2) \otimes SU(2)$, with SU(2) = Sp(2).
- 2) to maximal k, namely all w are equal. The unbroken gauge group is $\operatorname{Sp}(\tilde{N})$ where $\tilde{N} = \mathcal{N}$ for \mathcal{N} even, and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N} 1$ for \mathcal{N} odd.

These same two possibilities are realised with Coleman-Weinberg symmetry breaking, because they are encountered at the positivity border of the tree-level quartic potential of S. The condition $V \ge 0$ implies $\lambda_{\rm S} + \alpha \lambda'_{\rm S} \ge 0$ for $\alpha = 1/2$ (a single *w* non-vanishing) and for $\alpha = 1/\tilde{N}$ (all *w* equal). Fig. 2b shows that both these conditions can be crossed by the RGE flow, given for $N \ge 5$ by

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{2+21\mathcal{N}}{6}\mathfrak{g}^3 \tag{41a}$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda_{s}}{d\ln\mu} = \mathfrak{g}^{4} \left(\frac{9}{16} + \frac{3}{2N^{2}}\right) - 12\mathfrak{g}^{2}\lambda_{s} \left(N - 1 - \frac{2}{N}\right) + 96\lambda_{s}^{\prime 2} + 128(N - 1)\lambda_{s}\lambda_{s}^{\prime} + 32\left(N^{2} - N + 8\right)\lambda_{s}^{2}$$
(41b)

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda'_{\text{S}}}{d\ln\mu} = \mathfrak{g}^4 \left(\frac{3N}{16} - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{N}\right) - 12\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda'_{\text{S}} \left(N - 1 - \frac{2}{N}\right) + 64\lambda'^2_{\text{S}}(N - \frac{5}{2}) + 384\lambda'_{\text{S}}\lambda_{\text{S}}.$$
(41c)

We next study the physics that occurs in the two possible breakings.

5.3 An anti-symmetric that breaks SU(N) to $Sp(\tilde{N})$

In section 5.3.1 we study even $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$; in section 5.3.2 we study odd $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} + 1$.

5.3.1 Even \mathcal{N} , $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$

Loosely speaking, SO is the real part of SU and Sp is its imaginary part. While the SO invariant tensor is the unit matrix (giving rise to simple expressions), Sp is the group of rotations $U = \exp(i\theta^A T^A)$ that leave $\gamma_N = \mathbb{1}_{N/2} \otimes \epsilon$ invariant, $U^T \gamma_N U = \gamma_N$. So the Sp Hermitian generators T^A satisfy $T^{A*} = -\gamma_N T^A \gamma_N^{-1}$. The Sp fundamental is pseudo-real with \mathcal{N} complex components: $\gamma_N \mathcal{N}^*$ transforms as the fundamental \mathcal{N} . The adjoint is the real symmetric with dimension $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2$. The anti-symmetric $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ has dimension $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2 - 1$, as it satisfies a reality condition

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^* = -\gamma_{\mathfrak{N}} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \cdot \gamma_{\mathfrak{N}} \tag{42}$$

and a 'trace-less' condition $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0$ with the Sp invariant tensor $\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$.

For even $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ the vectors \mathcal{G} of SU(\mathcal{N}) form a massless adjoint (symmetric) \mathcal{A}^b of Sp(\mathcal{N}) and a massive \mathcal{W}^a in the 'trace-less' anti-symmetric of Sp(\mathcal{N}). The complex anti-symmetric scalar \mathcal{S} of SU(\mathcal{N}) ($\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)$) real components) decomposes in two singlets \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{a} and two anti-symmetric $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ under Sp(\mathcal{N}) ($\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ gets eaten by the \mathcal{W} vectors). The embedding of Sp(\mathcal{N}) in SU(\mathcal{N}) is non-trivial and can be performed as follows. The SU(\mathcal{N}) generators T^A that are Sp(\mathcal{N}) generators T^a are those such that $\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}T^A$ is symmetric, while the broken generators are those such that $\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}T^A$ is anti-symmetric. Such traceless Hermitian matrices \tilde{T} satisfy the 'SU – Sp' condition

$$\widetilde{T}^* = -\gamma_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \widetilde{T} \cdot \gamma_{\mathcal{N}}.$$
(43)

One of such matrices is $\mathbb{1}_{N}$, the others satisfy the trace-lessness condition $\text{Tr}(\tilde{T}^{\tilde{a}}) = 0.^{13}$ The anti-symmetric S of SU(\mathbb{N}) is expanded in Sp(\mathbb{N}) multiplets as

$$S = \left[\left(w + \frac{\mathfrak{s}}{\sqrt{N/2}} \right) \gamma_{N} + 2 \sum_{\tilde{a}} (\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{\tilde{a}} + i\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\tilde{a}}) \widetilde{T}^{\tilde{a}} \cdot \gamma_{N} \right] e^{i\mathfrak{a}/\sqrt{N/2}w}$$
(45)

such that \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{a} are singlets and $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ form two different real anti-symmetric 'trace-less' irreducible representations of Sp(\mathfrak{N}) (as they independently satisfy the reality condition of eq. (42), by virtue of $\widetilde{T} \cdot \gamma_{\mathfrak{N}} = (\gamma_{\mathfrak{N}} \cdot \widetilde{T})^*$, the ordering is important).

The accidental global U(1) is broken by the vacuum expectation value and not present in the low energy Sp dynamics.

The perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is:

- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of $Sp(\mathcal{N})$.
- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2 1$ vectors \mathcal{W}^a in the real 'trace-less' anti-symmetric of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ that acquire mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2$ by 'eating' the $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ with Coleman-Weinberg squared mass $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}}^2 = 8w^2(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} + \lambda'_{\mathcal{S}})$ that vanishes at the symmetry-breaking boundary.
- The scalon \mathfrak{s} . With Coleman-Weinberg $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ breaking its tree-level squared mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}^2 = 3M_{\mathfrak{a}}^2$ vanishes at the breaking boundary.
- The massless Goldstone boson \mathfrak{a} (unless the global U(1) symmetry is explicitly broken, for example for $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ by the extra renormalizable term PfS).

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{\text{asym}} \otimes \sigma_k \right), \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T_{\text{sym}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \right), \tag{44}$$

where $T_{\text{asym}} = T_{\text{imag}}$ and $T_{\text{sym}} = \{T_{\text{real}}, \mathbb{1}_{N/2}/\sqrt{N}\}$ are respectively the antisymmetric and symmetric generators of U(N/2). The basis for N odd is obtained by including the matrices in eq. (44) restricted to the N-1 subspace plus those belonging to the SU(N)/SU(N-1) coset.

¹³For $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ even, an explicit orthonormal basis for the SU/Sp coset matrices $\widetilde{T}^{\tilde{a}}$ is given by

• $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2 - 1$ scalars \tilde{s}^a that fill a real 'trace-less' anti-symmetric of Sp(\mathcal{N}). Assuming Coleman-Weinberg breaking its tree-level squared mass equals $M_{\tilde{s}}^2 = 8w^2(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\lambda_8 + 3\lambda'_8)$.

The vacuum expectation value $\langle S \rangle$ respects the charge conjugation symmetry $S \to S^*$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^*$ of SU(\mathbb{N}). Its action in terms of the Sp-covariant fields is more transparent in terms of Hermitian matrix fields $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{\tilde{a}} \widetilde{T}^{\tilde{a}}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\tilde{a}} \widetilde{T}^{\tilde{a}}$ that transform as the gauge vectors under Sp(\mathbb{N}). Since charge conjugation is equivalent to $S\gamma_{\mathbb{N}} \to (S\gamma_{\mathbb{N}})^*$, one has

$$\mathfrak{s} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\to} \mathfrak{s}, \quad \mathfrak{a} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\to} -\mathfrak{a}, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\to} -\gamma_{\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\to} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\to} -\gamma_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \mathcal{W} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\to} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{W} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}}.$$
 (46)

The invariant tensor $\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$ appears because \mathcal{C} raises/lowers indices in U(\mathcal{N})-covariant notation.

Expanding the Lagrangian, it acquires the same schematic form as the Lagrangian obtained in the case of SU(\mathbb{N}) broken to SO(\mathbb{N}) by a symmetric, eq. (36), except that $\mathcal{D} = \partial + i\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{A}$ is now the Sp(\mathbb{N}) covariant derivative. Its terms indeed respect the C-parity of eq. (46).¹⁴ Thereby at the perturbative level (i.e. before considering confinement), the lightest among \mathcal{W} and \mathfrak{a} is stable, and other particles decay as in the SU \rightarrow SO case: if \mathfrak{a} is massless or very light \mathfrak{s} decays into \mathfrak{aa} , $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ into \mathcal{AA} , \mathcal{W} into \mathfrak{as} or \mathfrak{aAA} .

A big difference arises at non-perturbative level: while SO baryons are stable because odd under O-parity, Sp baryons decay into mesons because the ϵ tensor can be decomposed as $\epsilon^{i_1\cdots i_N} = \gamma_N^{i_1i_2}\cdots \gamma_N^{i_{N-1}i_N} + \text{permutations [9]}$. Mesons $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{WW})$ and glue-balls $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{AA})$, $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{AAA})$ are even under C-parity and decay. $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{WA})$ is identically zero. $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{WAA})$ and \mathfrak{a} are odd under C-parity: thereby the lighter state is a stable DM candidate.

If \mathfrak{a} acquires a small mass, it can be pseudo-Goldstone DM with dominant derivative interactions. Similarly to the SU \rightarrow SO case, for $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ the potential admits an extra cubic or quartic coupling, Pf S (with real coefficient) that breaks U(1) giving mass to \mathfrak{a} while respecting C-parity. If \mathfrak{a} becomes very massive, DM is the C-odd meson containing one \mathcal{W} . If the gauge group SU(\mathcal{N}) is extended to U(\mathcal{N}), as in D-brane models, the massless Goldstone \mathfrak{a} becomes a massive \mathcal{Z} vector.

5.3.2 Odd \mathbb{N} , $\mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{N}-1)$

For odd $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} + 1$ the perturbative spectrum in the broken phase¹⁵ is as in section 5.3.1 plus

- One singlet vector \mathcal{Z} with mass $M_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 / \mathcal{N}$. It acquires mass by 'eating' the massless Goldstone \mathfrak{a} .
- $2\tilde{N}$ vectors \mathcal{X} in the fundamental of $\operatorname{Sp}(\tilde{N})$ with mass $M_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2/4$. They acquire mass by 'eating' $2\tilde{N}$ scalars \mathfrak{x} in the fundamental of $\operatorname{Sp}(\tilde{N})$ with tree-level Coleman-Weinberg mass $M_{\mathfrak{x}}^2 = 8w^2(2\lambda_{\mathfrak{S}} + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}')$ that vanishes at the symmetry breaking point.

¹⁴The cubic $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^3$ vanishes for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ but this is a special case: $SU(4) \simeq SO(6)$ broken by a 6 to $Sp(4) \simeq SO(5)$.

 $^{{}^{15}\}mathcal{N} = 5$ is potentially special because Sp(4) = SO(5). However the SU(5) \rightarrow Sp(4) discussed here corresponds to a different embedding (such that $24 = 10 \oplus 5 \oplus 2 \times 4 \oplus 1$) than the SU(5) \rightarrow SO(5) (such that $24 = 10 \oplus 14$) discussed in section 4.4.

The charge conjugation symmetry is again unbroken by the vacuum, with the extra states transforming as

$$\mathfrak{x} \to -\mathfrak{x}^*, \quad \mathfrak{X} \to -\mathfrak{X}^*, \quad \mathfrak{Z} \to -\mathfrak{Z}.$$
 (47)

Then, as long as binding energies are not so big as to compensate the difference in constituents mass, the \mathcal{C} -odd meson $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{WAA})$ can decay into \mathcal{Z} , which is a stable DM candidate. The massless Goldstone has become a massive \mathcal{Z} .

In addition, there is also an unbroken global U(1) symmetry, with generator diag(0,...,0,1). At the perturbative level, the only charged state is \mathfrak{X} . Then, after confinement of Sp($\mathcal{N} - 1$), the meson $\mathfrak{X}^T \gamma_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \mathfrak{X}$ is stable too.

5.4 An anti-symmetric that breaks $SU(N) \rightarrow SU(N-2) \otimes SU(2)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar anti-symmetric can be expanded in components as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon(w+\mathfrak{s}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{S}_{12} & \cdots & \tilde{S}_{1,N-2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{S}_{12} & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{S}_{2,N-2} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\tilde{S}_{1,N-2} & \cdots & -\tilde{S}_{2,N-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & w+\mathfrak{s} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -w-\mathfrak{s} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(48)

such that the \tilde{S}_{ij} and \mathfrak{s} are canonically normalized. Writing the gauge bosons as

$$T^{a}\mathcal{G}^{a}_{\mu} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} & \mathcal{W}_{\mu}/\sqrt{2} \\ \hline \mathcal{W}^{*}_{\mu}/\sqrt{2} & \mathcal{A}'_{\mu} \end{array} \right) - \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-2)}} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -2\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}-2} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & (\mathcal{N}-2)\mathbb{1}_{2} \end{array} \right), \tag{49}$$

the perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is:

- $(\mathcal{N}-1)(\mathcal{N}-3)$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of SU $(\mathcal{N}-2)$;
- 3 massless vectors \mathcal{A}' in the adjoint of SU(2);
- 4(N-2) massive vectors W in bi-fundamental $(N-2,2) \oplus (\overline{N-2},\overline{2})$ of $SU(N-2) \otimes SU(2)$ with mass $M_W^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2/4$;
- 1 massive vector \mathcal{Z} with mass $M_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 (\mathcal{N} 2) / \mathcal{N}$ corresponding to the generator $\operatorname{diag}(2, \ldots, 2, -(\mathcal{N} 2), -(\mathcal{N} 2)) / 2 \sqrt{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} 2)}$.
- The scalon **s**.
- $(\mathcal{N}-2)(\mathcal{N}-3)$ scalars that fill an anti-symmetric $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ with mass $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}^2 = 16w^2\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$.

There is an unbroken conserved global U(1) with generator proportional to diag $(1, \ldots, 1, 0, 0)$. under which W has charge 1, \tilde{S} has charge 2 and all other fields are neutral. So W and \tilde{S} are possible DM candidates. Gauge interactions give rise to $WW \leftrightarrow \tilde{S}$ processes:

$$\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} |\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{S}|^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left| \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} - \frac{2i\mathfrak{g}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-2)}} \mathcal{Z}_{\mu} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \mathfrak{s})^{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2} (\mathcal{N}-2)}{2\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{2} \mathcal{Z}_{\mu}^{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2}}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ij}^{*} \mathcal{W}_{i\mu}^{\alpha} \mathcal{W}_{j\mu}^{\beta} \right) + \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2}}{4} \mathcal{W}_{i\mu}^{*\alpha} \mathcal{W}_{i\mu}^{\alpha} \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}^{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2}}{4} \mathcal{W}_{i\mu}^{*\alpha} \mathcal{W}_{j\mu}^{\alpha} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{ik} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{jk}^{*} \tag{50}$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathfrak{s} + w$; $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is the SU(N - 2)-covariant derivative; $i, j = 1, \ldots, N - 2$ are SU(N - 2) indices; $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ are SU(2) indices.

Assuming that massive particles have masses comparable to M_{W} , both unbroken groups SU(N-2) and SU(2) confine at $\Lambda_{SU(N-2)} > \Lambda_{SU(2)}$, where

$$\Lambda_i \approx M_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(-\frac{2\pi}{b_i \alpha_{\rm DC}}), \qquad b_{\rm SU(N-2)} = \frac{11}{3}(N-2), \qquad b_{\rm SU(2)} = \frac{22}{3}.$$
 (51)

SU(2) interactions respect a custodial symmetry, which is however broken by SU(N-2) interactions. After the double confinement the non-perturbative spectrum contains:

- Glue-balls of SU(N-2) and of SU(2) that decay to SM particles.
- The unstable \mathcal{Z} and \mathfrak{s} .
- Baryons made of \mathcal{W} only:
 - If \mathcal{N} is odd, $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ confinement cannot form $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ singlets. Confinements give rise to bi-baryons made of $\mathcal{W}^{2(\mathcal{N}-2)}$ and to their anti-bi-baryons made of $\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{2(\mathcal{N}-2)}$. Extra bi-baryons made of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-2}\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{N}-2}$ decay to glue-balls through $\mathcal{W}\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ annihilations.
 - If \mathcal{N} is even, $SU(\mathcal{N}-2)$ confinement can form SU(2) singlets. Confinements give rise to baryons made of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-2}$ and to their anti-baryons made of $\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{N}-2}$.
- Baryons with a $\mathcal{W}_i \mathcal{W}_j$ pair replaced by one $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ij}$. The mass difference is $2M_W M_s + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{SU(2)})$.

6 A trace-less adjoint of SU(N)

Being a real representation, an adjoint S^A carries no dark baryon number. Writing it as an Hermitian matrix $S_I^J = S^A (T^A)_I^J$ using the generators in the fundamental, such that $\text{Tr}S^2 = (S^A)^2/2$, the Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\mu\nu} + \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{S}) - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(52)



Figure 3: Coleman-Weinberg symmetry-breaking patterns for a SU(N) gauge theory with a scalar in the adjoint: the RGE flow towards low energy of its quartics λ_8 and λ'_8 can intersect the instability conditions in both their branches, leading to the two different breaking patterns discussed in the text.

with

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}} = M_{\mathfrak{S}}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^{2}) + A \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^{3}) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^{2})^{2} + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}' \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^{4}) - \lambda_{H\mathfrak{S}} |H|^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^{2}.$$
(53)

for $N \geq 4$, while λ'_{S} is redundant for N = 3. Because of the cubic, the theory is not accidentally invariant under the $S \rightarrow -S$ symmetry, so that there are no stable DM candidates in the perturbative spectrum. One can consider a dimension-less theory where masses arise dynamically as a fermion condensate in a different sector (e.g. section 5.1 of [29]) such that the renormalizable Lagrangian has an accidental $S \rightarrow -S$ symmetry and S only acquires a mass term through gravitational loops.

6.1 An adjoint of SU(N): confined phase

In the presence of the S^3 cubic term there is no stable state. One S decays into two gluons via a loop of S (the $S^a \to \mathcal{G}^b \mathcal{G}^c$ amplitude is proportional to the non-vanishing group-theory factor $(d_{aij} + if_{aij})f_{ikb}f_{jkc}$). In addition, bound states cannot be stabilized by U-parity (or some other analogous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry acting non-trivially on the different components), because singlets made with \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{S} necessarily involve indices appearing in pairs: there are no baryons.

If cubic terms are absent, the state $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{SG})$ becomes stable, with phenomenology similar to the 1-ball of SO(\mathcal{N}) [1]. The SU(2) case was computed on the lattice [30].

6.2 An adjoint of SU(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

The RGE for the dimension-less couplings for $\mathcal{N}\geq 3$ are

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = -\frac{7N}{2}\mathfrak{g}^3 \tag{54a}$$

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda_8}{d\ln\mu} = 9\mathfrak{g}^4 - 12\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda_8 + \left(6 + \frac{18}{\mathfrak{N}^2}\right)\lambda_S'^2 + 8\left(\mathfrak{N} - \frac{3}{2\mathfrak{N}}\right)\lambda_8\lambda_8' + 2\left(7 + \mathfrak{N}^2\right)\lambda_8^2 \quad (54b)$$

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda'_{\mathcal{S}}}{d\ln\mu} = 3\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^4 - 12\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda'_{\mathcal{S}} + 4\lambda'^2_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\mathcal{N} - \frac{9}{\mathcal{N}}\right) + 24\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\lambda'_{\mathcal{S}}.$$
(54c)

The RGE for λ_{s} for $\mathcal{N} = 3$ is obtained replacing 9 with 27/2 and setting $\lambda'_{s} = 0$.

The tree-level quartic potential of S satisfies $V \ge 0$ when the quartic couplings satisfy $\lambda_{S} + \alpha \lambda'_{S} \ge 0$, where α are the extremal values of $\alpha = \text{Tr}(SS^{\dagger}SS^{\dagger})/\text{Tr}(SS^{\dagger})^{2}$. The maximal value is $\alpha = (N^{2} - 3N + 3)/N(N - 1)$ corresponding to $\langle S \rangle \propto \text{diag}(w, \dots, w, -(N - 1)w)$, which breaks $SU(N) \to SU(N - 1) \otimes U(1)$. For N = 2k even the minimal value is $\alpha = 1/N$ corresponding to $\langle S \rangle \propto \text{diag}(w, \dots, w, -w, \dots, -w)$, which breaks $SU(N) \to SU(k) \otimes SU(k) \otimes U(1)$. For N = 2k + 1 odd the minimal value is $\alpha = (N^{2} + 3)/N(N^{2} - 1)$ corresponding to $\langle S \rangle \propto \text{diag}(kw, \dots, kw, kw, -(k + 1)w, \dots, -(k + 1)w)$, which breaks $SU(N) \to SU(k + 1) \otimes SU(k) \otimes U(1)$. Thereby the possible unbroken gauge groups selected by the Coleman-Weinberg mechanism up to e.g. N = 6 are¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{SU}(2) \to \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \\ &\mathrm{SU}(3) \to \mathrm{SU}(2) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \\ &\mathrm{SU}(4) \to \mathrm{SU}(3) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \, \, \mathrm{SU}(2) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \\ &\mathrm{SU}(5) \to \mathrm{SU}(4) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \, \, \mathrm{SU}(3) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \\ &\mathrm{SU}(6) \to \mathrm{SU}(5) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \, \, \mathrm{SU}(3) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(3) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (55) = (5) + (5$$

and so on for $\mathcal{N} > 6$. In general $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$ contains a U(1) factor, such that the lightest charged state is a stable DM candidate; dark photons γ_{dark} are massless; as discussed in section 3.4 dark magnetic monopoles exist with magnetic charge $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{mag}} = 4\pi/\mathfrak{g}$ and mass $M_{\mathrm{mag}} \sim M_W/\alpha_{\mathrm{DC}}$.

6.3 An adjoint that breaks $SU(N) \rightarrow SU(N-1) \otimes U(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar adjoint can be expanded in block form as

$$\mathfrak{S} = (w + \mathfrak{s})T^{\mathcal{N}^2 - 1} + \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \mid 0}{0 \mid 0}\right) \tag{56}$$

¹⁶The case $\mathcal{N} = 3$ is special since $\alpha = 1/2$ identically. Therefore the quartic scalar potential contains a unique invariant $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{S}^2)^2$, such that there is an O(8) accidental global symmetry, spontaneously broken to O(7). This yields 7 Goldstone bosons, of which 4 are eaten by the massive vectors of the local SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \otimes U(1) breaking and 3 remains in the physical spectrum. At tree level they are massless, but gauge interactions, which do not respect the accidental O(8) symmetry of the scalar potential, will lift them to $M_{\text{GB}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^2 w/4\pi$.

where $T^{N^2-1} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 1-N)/\sqrt{2N(N-1)}$. Writing the gauge bosons as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{W}^+ \\ \mathcal{W}^- & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma_{\text{dark}} T^{\mathcal{N}^2 - 1}, \tag{57}$$

the perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is:

- $(\mathcal{N}-1)^2 1$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}-1)$.
- 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1), with generator proportional to $\langle S \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{N} 1$ complex massive vector \mathcal{W}^{\pm} in the fundamental of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N} 1)$ with mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q^2$ and with charge $Q = \pm \sqrt{\mathcal{N}/2(\mathcal{N} 1)}$ under the unbroken U(1) gauge group.
- The scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$.
- $(\mathcal{N}-1)^2 1$ scalars \tilde{S} that fill an adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}-1)$ with squared mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = w^2(\lambda_{\tilde{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\tilde{S}}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)))$ and neutral under the U(1) gauge group.

At perturbative level W^{\pm} is a stable DM candidate, while \tilde{S} decays into AA through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}WW^*$ gauge coupling. At non-perturbative level, condensation of SU(N-1)gives stable dark baryons ϵW^{N-1} charged under U(1).

Glueball decays

The glueballs can decay into dark photons through the dimension-8 interactions of eq. (11) where the coefficients $C_{1,2} \approx (\mathcal{N}-2)/(4\pi w^2)^2$, yielding a decay width

$$\Gamma_{\rm DG \to \gamma_{\rm dark} \gamma_{\rm dark}} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\rm dark}^4 (\mathcal{N} - 2)^2}{8\pi} \frac{f_{\rm DG}^2 M_{\rm DG}^3}{(M_{\rm W})^8},\tag{58}$$

where $f_{\rm DG} \approx M_{\rm DG}^3$ is a form factor. This has to be compared with the decay into SM particles, which proceeds through the Higgs-scalon portal. From

$$\mathscr{L}_{s\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{dark}}}{8\pi} b_{\mathcal{W}} (\mathcal{A}^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \frac{\mathfrak{s}}{w},\tag{59}$$

where $b_{\mathcal{W}} = -7/2$ is the contribution of a loop of \mathcal{W} 's to the SU($\mathcal{N} - 1$) beta function, one has

$$\Gamma_{\rm DG\to SM} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\rm dark}^2 f_{\rm DG}^2 b_{\rm W}^2}{512\pi^3} \frac{\lambda_{\rm HS}^2}{M_{\rm DG} M_{\mathfrak{s}}^4}.$$
(60)

Dark glueball decay predominantly into SM particles if

$$\left(\frac{M_{\rm DG}}{M_{\rm W}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\beta_{\lambda_{\rm S}} + \alpha \beta_{\lambda_{\rm S}'}}{\alpha b_{\rm W} \lambda_{\rm HS}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{8(\mathcal{N}-2)(\mathcal{N}-1)}{\mathcal{N}}\right)^2 \lesssim 1, \text{ with } \alpha = \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 - 3\mathcal{N} + 3}{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)}.$$
(61)

These results have been used in figure 1.

6.4 An adjoint that breaks $SU(N) \to SU(N_1) \otimes SU(N_2) \otimes U(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar adjoint can be expanded in block form as

$$S = \frac{w+s}{\sqrt{2N_1N_2N}} \begin{pmatrix} N_2 \mathbb{1}_{N_1} & 0\\ 0 & -N_1 \mathbb{1}_{N_2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S}_1 & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{S}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(62)

and the gauge vectors as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{W}^+ \\ \mathcal{W}^- & \mathcal{A}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\gamma_{\text{dark}}}{\sqrt{2\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_2\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{N}_1\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(63)

The perturbative spectrum is:

- 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1).
- $\mathcal{N}_1^2 1$ massless vectors \mathcal{A}_1 in the adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_1)$, and $\mathcal{N}_2^2 1$ massless vectors \mathcal{A}_2 in the adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2)$.
- $\mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2$ complex massive vectors \mathcal{W}^{\pm} in the bi-fundamental of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ with squared mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q^2$ and with charge $Q = \pm \sqrt{\mathcal{N}/2\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2}$ under the unbroken U(1) gauge group.
- The scalon \mathfrak{s} , singlet under the unbroken gauge group.
- $\mathcal{N}_1^2 1$ neutral real scalars $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$ in the adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_1)$ with squared mass $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1}^2 = w^2(\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{N}_2/\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N})$, and $\mathcal{N}_2^2 1$ neutral real scalars $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2$ in the adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ with squared mass $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2}^2 = w^2(\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{N}_1/\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N})$.

The dark scalars $\tilde{S}_{1,2}$ in adjoints of $SU(\mathcal{N}_{1,2})$ decay into their gauge bosons in view of their $\tilde{S}_{1,2}^3$ cubic couplings. The massive vectors in the bi-fundamental $\mathcal{W}_{i_1i_2}^{\pm}$ are stable, for example because they are the lightest state with U(1) charge.

The group with larger $\mathcal{N}_1 > \mathcal{N}_2$ confines earlier, forming dark baryons $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}_1}$ in various representations of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ with \mathcal{N}_2 -ality $\mathcal{N}_1 - \mathcal{N}_2$. The smallest representation containing the lightest states can be a singlet if $\mathcal{N}_1 = k\mathcal{N}_2$ with integer k, a fundamental if they differ by 1, an anti-symmetric if they differ by 2, etc. At lower energy $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ confines forming baryons of baryons. The lightest DM candidate is charged under U(1), with charge equal to the number of constituents in this matryoshka. The abundance of dark photons can be estimated as in the previous section.

7 A trace-less symmetric of SO(N)

We now consider a scalar S_{IJ} in the trace-less symmetric real representation of SO(N). The Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{S}) - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(64)

with

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}} = \frac{M_{\mathfrak{S}}^2}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^2) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^2)^2 + A \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^3) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}' \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^4) - \lambda_{H\mathfrak{S}}|H|^2 \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^2).$$
(65)

The only special case is $\mathcal{N} = 3$: the λ'_{s} quartic can be removed using $\operatorname{Tr}(S^{4}) = \operatorname{Tr}(S^{2})^{2}/2$; the possible extra cubic det S equals $\operatorname{Tr}(S^{3})/3$. For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ the possible extra quartic coupling det S can be rewritten in terms of λ_{s} and λ'_{s} as det $S = \operatorname{Tr}(S^{4})/4 - \operatorname{Tr}(S^{2})^{2}/8$. The action is invariant under O-parity, that can be written as¹⁷

$$\mathfrak{G}_{IJ} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{Q}}} (-1)^{\delta_{1I} + \delta_{1j}} \mathfrak{G}_{IJ} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{S}_{IJ} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{Q}}} (-1)^{\delta_{1I} + \delta_{1J}} \mathfrak{S}_{IJ}. \tag{66}$$

7.1 A symmetric of SO(N): confined phase

We consider the phase where SO(N) confines. Baryons are odd under O-parity, so that the lightest baryon is a stable DM candidate.

For even \mathcal{N} baryons exist, made with the constituents \mathcal{G}_{IJ} and $(\mathcal{GS})_{IJ}$. As long as $M_{\mathcal{S}}$ is non negligible compared to the confinement scale, the lightest baryon is the 0-ball $\epsilon \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{N}/2}$, odd under O-parity. The detailed structure of \mathcal{S} (a symmetric or a fundamental) does not impact DM phenomenology.

For odd \mathcal{N} no baryon exists. Differently from the analogous SU(\mathcal{N}) model, di-baryons $\epsilon\epsilon \mathcal{S}$ are unstable, because even under O-parity. Indeed, for SO(\mathcal{N}) the product of two ϵ 's can be expressed as the sum of products of \mathcal{N} Kronecker δ 's [9]. So there is no DM candidate.

7.2 A symmetric of SO(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

The tree-level quartic potential of S satisfies $V \ge 0$ when the quartic couplings satisfy $\lambda_{\rm S} + \alpha \lambda'_{\rm S} \ge 0$ where α are the minimal and maximal values of $\alpha = {\rm Tr}({\mathbb S}^4)/{\rm Tr}({\mathbb S}^2)^2$. The maximal value is $\alpha = 1 - 3/\mathbb{N} - 1/(\mathbb{N} - 1)$ corresponding to $\mathbb{S} \propto {\rm diag}(1, \ldots, 1, \mathbb{N} - 1)$, which breaks ${\rm SO}(\mathbb{N}) \to {\rm SO}(\mathbb{N} - 1)$. For $\mathbb{N} = 2k$ even the minimal value is $\alpha = 1/\mathbb{N}$ corresponding to $\mathbb{S} \propto {\rm diag}(1, \ldots, 1, -1)$, which breaks ${\rm SO}(\mathbb{N}) \to {\rm SO}(\mathbb{N} - 1)$. For $\mathbb{N} = 2k$ even the minimal value is $\alpha = 1/\mathbb{N}$ corresponding to $\mathbb{S} \propto {\rm diag}(1, \ldots, 1, -1, \ldots, -1)$, which breaks ${\rm SO}(\mathbb{N}) \to {\rm SO}(k)^2$. For $\mathbb{N} = 2k + 1$ odd the minimal value is $\alpha = (3 + \mathbb{N}^2)/(\mathbb{N}^3 - \mathbb{N})$ which corresponds to the ${\rm SO}(\mathbb{N}) \to {\rm SO}(k+1) \otimes {\rm SO}(k)$ breaking. For $\mathbb{N} = 3$ the minimal and maximal values of α coincide, corresponding to the unique breaking ${\rm SO}(3) \to {\rm SO}(2)$.

¹⁷The cubic term TrS³ respects O-parity because each S has two indices. This differs from the model where S if a fundamental where O-parity was a symmetry because a cubic term was forbidden by gauge invariance.



Figure 4: Coleman-Weinberg symmetry-breaking patterns for a SO(N) gauge theory. The RGE flow towards low energy of its quartics λ_s and λ'_s can enter both instability conditions, leading to the two different breaking patterns discussed in the text.

The RGE for $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ are

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = -\frac{21N - 46}{3}\mathfrak{g}^3$$
(67a)

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}}{d\ln\mu} = 18\mathfrak{g}^4 - 24\mathfrak{g}^2\mathfrak{N}\lambda_{\mathfrak{S}} + \tag{67b}$$

$$+12\left(1+\frac{6}{N^{2}}\right)\lambda_{S}^{\prime2}+8\frac{2N^{2}+3N-6}{N}\lambda_{S}\lambda_{S}^{\prime}+4\left(14+N+N^{2}\right)\lambda_{S}^{2}$$
$$(4\pi)^{2}\frac{d\lambda_{S}^{\prime}}{d\ln\mu} = 6\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^{4}-24\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^{2}\lambda_{S}^{\prime}+4\frac{2\mathcal{N}^{2}+9\mathcal{N}-36}{\mathcal{N}}\lambda_{S}^{\prime2}+96\mathcal{N}\lambda_{S}\lambda_{S}^{\prime}.$$
(67c)

Fig. 4a shows that, again, the RGE flow can cross both stability conditions depending on the values of the couplings.

7.3 A symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \rightarrow SO(N-1)$

The trace-less symmetric scalar can be expanded as

$$S = \frac{w+s}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}-1} & 0\\ 0 & -(\mathcal{N}-1) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(68)

and the gauge vectors as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{W} \\ -\mathcal{W}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{69}$$

O-parity is preserved in this vacuum, since it can be realised as a reflection along any of the unbroken $\mathcal{N} - 1$ directions [1]. The perturbative spectrum is:

- The scalon \mathfrak{s} , singlet under SO($\mathcal{N} 1$) and O-parity even.
- (N-1)(N-2)/2 massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of SO(N-1).
- $\mathcal{N} 1$ vectors \mathcal{W} with squared mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = 2\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^2 w^2/(\mathcal{N} 1)$ in the fundamental of $SO(\mathcal{N} 1)$.
- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2 1$ scalars in the trace-less symmetric $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ of SO($\mathcal{N}-1$) with squared mass $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}}^2 = 4w^2(\lambda_{\mathfrak{S}} + 3\lambda_{\mathfrak{S}'}/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)).$

 \tilde{S} and \mathfrak{s} decay into $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}WW$ and $w\mathfrak{s}WW$ gauge couplings. The W is stable: being the only field with one index it is the only field odd under a diag $(-1, \ldots, -1, 1)$ O(\mathbb{N}) reflection, respected by the vacuum expectation values.

DM phenomenology remains as in the model where $SO(\mathcal{N})$ is broken to $SO(\mathcal{N}-1)$ by a scalar in the fundamental [1], because \tilde{S} is the only extra state. $SO(\mathcal{N}-1)$ confines at a scale Λ forming baryons odd under O-parity and other states. The lightest baryon is a stable DM candidate. The baryon constituents are \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{W}_i . For \mathcal{N} even the lightest baryon is the 1-ball $\mathcal{WA}^{(\mathcal{N}-2)/2}$. For \mathcal{N} odd the lightest baryon is the 0-ball $\mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{N}-1)/2}$.

7.4 A symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \rightarrow SO(k) \otimes SO(N-k)$

The trace-less symmetric scalar can be expanded as

$$\mathfrak{S} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{N}-k}{\mathfrak{N}k}} (w+s) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_k & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{N}-k}k/(\mathfrak{N}-k) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_1 & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(70)

and the gauge vectors as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{W} \\ -\mathcal{W}^T & \mathcal{A}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(71)

Symmetry breaking selects k = N/2 for N even and k = (N - 1)/2 for N odd.

O-parity splits into two independent O-parities O_1 and O_2 . This is because reflections acting in the two subspaces are not equivalent under the unbroken gauge group. For even \mathcal{N} there is also a twin symmetry that exchanges the two $SO(\mathcal{N}/2)$.

The perturbative spectrum is:

• The scalon \mathfrak{s} , singlet under the unbroken gauge group and even under O-parities.

- k(k-1)/2 massless vectors \mathcal{A}_1 in the adjoint of SO(k).
- $(\mathcal{N}-k)(\mathcal{N}-k-1)/2$ massless vectors \mathcal{A}_2 in the adjoint of $SO(\mathcal{N}-k)$.
- $k(\mathcal{N}-k)$ vectors \mathcal{W} in the bi-fundamental of $SO(k) \otimes SO(\mathcal{N}-k)$ with squared mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = 2\mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 \mathcal{N}/k(\mathcal{N}-k).$
- k(k+1)/2 1 real scalars \tilde{S}_1 in the trace-less symmetric of SO(k) with squared mass $M_{\tilde{S}_1}^2 = 4w^2(\lambda_{\tilde{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\tilde{S}}(N-k)/kN).$
- $(\mathcal{N}-k)(\mathcal{N}-k+1)/2-1$ real scalars $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2$ in the trace-less symmetric of $\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{N}-k)$ with squared mass $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_2}^2 = 4w^2(\lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}+3\lambda'_{\mathfrak{S}}k/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-k)).$

 $\tilde{S}_{1,2}$ decay into $\mathcal{A}_{1,2}\mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}_{1,2}WW$ gauge couplings. The W is stable being the only field odd under the $O(\mathcal{N})$ reflection diag $(-\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}-k})$, which is an unbroken symmetry of the action.

When the two SO groups confine they can form baryons odd under the two independent O-parities. We need to distinguish 3 cases:

- 1. \mathbb{N} even, $\mathbb{N}/2$ even, for example SO(8) \to SO(4)². In this case the two independently stable 0-balls $\mathcal{B}_1 \sim \epsilon \mathcal{A}_1^{k/2}$, $\mathcal{B}_2 \sim \epsilon \mathcal{A}_2^{k/2}$ are DM candidates. They are degenerate because the entries of their 2×2 mass matrix is related by the permutation symmetry, and because its off-diagonal elements vanish. The two O-parities are independent: in the full theory the unbroken SO(\mathbb{N}) O-parity forbids operators of the form $\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_2$ and as a consequence there are no $\mathcal{B}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ oscillations.
- 2. N even, k = N/2 odd, for example SO(6) \rightarrow SO(3)². Baryons do not exists. However, the bi-baryon $\epsilon \epsilon \mathcal{WA}_1^{(k-1)/2} \mathcal{A}_2^{(k-1)/2}$ is stable since it is odd under both O-parities and no separately odd states exist.
- 3. \mathbb{N} odd, for example SO(7) \rightarrow SO(4) \otimes SO(3). Without loss of generality, we take $k = (\mathbb{N} \pm 1)/2$ even, $\mathbb{N} k$ odd. The 0-ball $\epsilon \mathcal{A}_1^{k/2}$ exists and is stable, being odd under O₁-parity. No O₂-parity odd baryons exist (even states decay) and neither bi-baryons, odd under both O-parities. While the DM phenomenology is analogous to a SO(k) model, its cosmological abundance can be affected by the extra states.

The case $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 5$ are special.

For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ the breaking pattern is $\mathrm{SO}(4) \to \mathrm{SO}(2)_1 \otimes \mathrm{SO}(2)_2$. The particles are a massive \mathcal{W} charged under both the two $\mathrm{SO}(2) = \mathrm{U}(1)$, the unstable scalon \mathfrak{s} , degenerate massive scalars $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i$ with charge $Q_i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i) = 2Q_i(\mathcal{W})$ under $\mathrm{U}(1)_i$. The DM candidate is \mathcal{W} , accompanied by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i$ if $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1,2}} < 2M_{\mathcal{W}}$. As all interactions are perturbative, the DM abundance is reproduced for DM masses that can conflict with the bounds of section 3.2.

For $\mathcal{N} = 5$ the breaking pattern is $\mathrm{SO}(5) \to \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \mathrm{SO}(2)$. The perturbative spectrum contains the following massive particles: the scalon \mathfrak{s} , vectors \mathcal{W}^{\pm} in the 3 of SO(3) charged under U(1), a neutral scalar $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ in the symmetric traceless of SO(3) a singlet $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\pm\pm}$ with U(1) charge $Q(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\pm\pm}) = 2Q(\mathcal{W}^{\pm})$. Even assuming that its decay $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\pm\pm} \to \mathcal{W}^{\pm}\mathcal{W}^{\pm}$ is not kinematically forbidden, confinement of SO(3) gives various potentially (co)stable DM candidates, thanks to conservation of dark U(1) charge and of O-parity: the baryons $\mathcal{B}^{\pm} = \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm\pm} = \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{W}^{\pm}$, the meson $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm} = \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{W}^{\pm}$.

8 An anti-symmetric adjoint of SO(N)

We consider a scalar S_{IJ} in the anti-symmetric representation of SO(N), with N(N-1)/2 real components. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\,\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{S}) - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(72)

with

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}} = -\frac{M_{\mathfrak{S}}^2}{4}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^2) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^2)^2 + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}'\operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^4) - \lambda_{H\mathfrak{S}}|H|^2\operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^2).$$
(73)

The cubic $\operatorname{Tr}(S^3)$ identically vanishes, and det S vanishes for N odd. For N = 2 SO(2) = U(1) and the anti-symmetric of SO(2) is the neutral adjoint of U(1). For N = 3 SO(3) = SU(2) and the anti-symmetric of SO(3) is the adjoint of SU(2); furthermore $\operatorname{Tr}(S^4) = \operatorname{Tr}(S^2)^2/2$ so that the potential contains only one independent quartic. For N = 4 SO(4) = SU(2)² and the anti-symmetric splits into two irreducible representations $S_{IJ} = \pm \epsilon_{IJKL} S_{KL}/2$ which are the adjoints of SU(2)². For N = 6 SO(6) = SU(4) and the anti-symmetric of SO(6) is the adjoint of SU(4): its extra cubic invariant is written in SO language as Pf S. For N = 8 there is an extra real quartic invariant λ''_{S} Pf S. The Pfaffian is proportional to the square root of the determinant of an anti-symmetric matrix with even dimension. So for $N \neq 6$ the theory has an accidental $S \to -S$ symmetry.

8.1 An anti-symmetric of SO(N): confined phase

The discussion is the same as for the symmetric (section 7.1), with in this case baryon constituents \mathcal{G}_{IJ} and \mathcal{S}_{IJ} .

8.2 An anti-symmetric of SO(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

As the anti-symmetric is the adjoint, the unbroken group contains a U(1) factor. In order to understand the unbroken group, we consider the most generic vacuum expectation value of the form of eq. (40) with generic non-vanishing $w_i = w$. As explained below, we can assume that $k w_i$ are equal and that the remaining ones vanish. The breaking pattern is $SO(\mathcal{N}) \to SO(\mathcal{N} - 2k) \otimes SU(k) \otimes U(1)$. Indeed, blocks with vanishing vacuum expectation value leave an $SO(\mathcal{N} - 2k)$ factor unbroken. Blocks with equal $w = w_i$ form a $\mathcal{S}_{2k} = \mathbb{1}_k \otimes \epsilon$, giving rise to a $SU(k) \times U(1)$ as follows. Let us consider generators of the form $S^a \otimes \epsilon$ and $iA^b \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$, where S^a and A^b are, respectively, $k \times k$ symmetric and anti-symmetric matrices. They commute with the vacuum expectation value \mathcal{S}_{2k} ; are generators of $SO(2k) \subset SO(\mathcal{N})$; close the $SU(k) \times U(1)$ algebra.

Minima of renormalizable potentials [3] and of Coleman-Weinberg potentials (discussed below) give rise to vacuum expectation values of the form considered above with special values of k = 1 or k = [N/2], depending on the numerical values of the quartics.

The tree-level quartic potential of S satisfies $V \ge 0$ when the quartic couplings satisfy $\lambda_{\$} + \alpha \lambda'_{\$} \ge 0$ where α are the minimal and maximal values of $\alpha = \text{Tr}(\$^4)/\text{Tr}(\$^2)^2$. The maximal value is $\alpha = 1/2$ corresponding to $\$ \propto \text{diag}(0, \ldots, 0, \epsilon)$, which breaks $\text{SO}(\aleph) \to \text{SO}(\aleph - 2) \otimes \text{U}(1)$. For $\aleph = 2k$ even the minimal value is $\alpha = 1/\aleph$ corresponding to $\$ \propto \text{diag}(\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon) = \mathbb{1}_k \otimes \epsilon$, which breaks $\text{SO}(\aleph) \to \text{SU}(k) \otimes \text{U}(1)$. For $\aleph = 2k + 1$ odd the minimal value is $\alpha = 1/(\aleph - 1)$ corresponding to $\$ \propto \text{diag}(\epsilon, \ldots, \epsilon, 0)$ which corresponds to the $\text{SO}(\aleph) \to \text{SU}(k) \otimes \text{U}(1)$ breaking. For $\aleph = 3$ the minimal and maximal values of α coincide, corresponding to the unique breaking $\text{SO}(\Im) \to \text{U}(1)$.

The RGE for $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ are

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = 7(2-\mathfrak{N})\mathfrak{g}^3 \tag{74a}$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda_{s}}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{9}{2} \mathfrak{g}^{4} - 24\mathfrak{g}^{2}(\mathcal{N}-2)\lambda_{s} + (74b) + 48\lambda_{s}^{\prime 2} + 32(2\mathcal{N}-1)\lambda_{s}\lambda_{s}^{\prime} + 16(16 - \mathcal{N}+\mathcal{N}^{2})\lambda_{s}^{2}$$

$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda_{s}^{\prime}}{d\ln\mu} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{N}-12\right)\mathfrak{g}^{4} - 24(\mathcal{N}-2)\mathfrak{g}^{2}\lambda_{s}^{\prime} + 16(2\mathcal{N}-1)\lambda_{s}^{\prime 2} + 384\mathcal{N}\lambda_{s}\lambda_{s}^{\prime}.$$
(74c)

Fig. 4b shows that, again, the RGE flow can cross both stability conditions depending on the values of the couplings.

8.3 An anti-symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \rightarrow SO(N-2) \otimes U(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar anti-symmetric can be expanded in components as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon(w+\mathfrak{s}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{S}_{12} & \cdots & \tilde{S}_{1,N-2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{S}_{12} & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{S}_{2,N-2} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\tilde{S}_{1,N-2} & \cdots & -\tilde{S}_{2,N-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & w+\mathfrak{s} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -w-\mathfrak{s} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(75)

such that the \tilde{S}_{ij} and s are canonically normalized. Writing the gauge bosons as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{W} \\ -\mathcal{W}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma_{\text{dark}} T^{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2}, \tag{76}$$

the perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is:

- The scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass M_s .
- (N-2)(N-3)/2 massless vectors \mathcal{A}_{μ} in the adjoint of SO($\mathcal{N}-2$).
- 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1) generator $T^{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)/2}$ that performs rotations of the two latter directions.
- $(\mathcal{N}-2)$ complex vectors \mathcal{W}^i_{μ} with squared mass $M_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q^2$ in the real fundamental of SO($\mathcal{N}-2$) and with charge $Q = \pm 1$ under the U(1) gauge group.
- $(\mathcal{N}-2)(\mathcal{N}-3)/2$ real scalars that fill an anti-symmetric \tilde{S} of SO($\mathcal{N}-2$) with mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = 16w^2\lambda_8$ neutral under U(1).

The W is stable because charged under unbroken gauge U(1), while \tilde{S} decays into AA through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}WW^*$ gauge coupling.

We expect that confinement of SO(N-2) at Λ does not break the U(1). Indeed in the limit $M_W \gg \Lambda$ the heavy W form condensates suppressed by their mass (in analogy with heavy quarks, see e.g. [31]). Strong interactions do not discriminate between the neutral condensate $W_i^+ W_i^-$ and the charged condensates $W_i^\pm W_i^\pm$; weak U(1) interactions favour the neutral condensate $W^+ W^-$ such that U(1) remains unbroken. Then U(1) as well as O-parity can lead to stable bound states. The non-perturbative spectrum contains

- Unstable states, such as glue-balls and mesons neutral under the U(1), $\mathcal{M}^0 = \mathcal{W}_i^+ \mathcal{W}_i^-$.
- Mesons possibly stable because charged under the U(1), $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm} = \mathcal{W}_i^{\pm} \mathcal{W}_i^{\pm}$.
- Baryons odd under O-parity of SO(N-2) (not broken by the vacuum expectation value) and with different U(1) charges:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}^{0} \equiv \epsilon \mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{N}-2)/2}, & \mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm} \equiv \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{N}-4)/2}, \dots & \text{for } \mathcal{N} \text{ even} \\
\mathcal{B}^{\pm} \equiv \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{N}-3)/2}, & \mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm\pm} \equiv \epsilon \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{N}-5)/2}, \dots & \text{for } \mathcal{N} \text{ odd} \\
\end{array}$$
(77)

The stable states are as follows:

- For even \mathcal{N} , the lightest baryon \mathcal{B}^0 and the lightest charged state, $\mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm}$ or $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm}$ (they are co-stable if both their decay channels $\mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm} \to \mathcal{B}^0 \mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm} \to \mathcal{B}^0 \mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm}$ are kinematically closed; higher-charge baryons could also be co-stable).
- For odd \mathcal{N} , the lightest baryon \mathcal{B}^{\pm} . Likely, $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm}$ is co-stable and $\mathcal{B}^{\pm\pm\pm}$ decays into $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm}$.

8.4 An anti-symmetric that breaks $SO(N) \to SU(k) \otimes U(1)$

8.4.1 For even $\mathbb{N}, k = \mathbb{N}/2, \operatorname{SO}(\mathbb{N}) \to \operatorname{SU}(\mathbb{N}/2) \otimes \operatorname{U}(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar in the anti-symmetric adjoint can be expanded in block form as

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} \left(w + s \right) \mathbb{1}_k \otimes i\sigma_2 + i\tilde{S}^a T^a_{\rm SU} \tag{78}$$

where $a = 1, \dots, k^2 - 1$ and T_{SU}^a are the SU(k) generators explicitly given by

$$\sqrt{2}(T_{\rm SU})_{\rm asym} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2, \qquad \sqrt{2}(T_{\rm SU})_{\rm sym} \otimes \sigma_2.$$
 (79)

The perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is:

- 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1) with generator $(\mathbb{1}_k \otimes \sigma_2)/\sqrt{k}$ proportional to $\langle S \rangle$.
- $k^2 1$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of SU(k) corresponding to the generators of eq. (79).
- k(k-1)/2 complex massive vectors $\mathcal{W}_{ij}^{\pm\pm}$ with mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q_{\mathcal{W}}^2$ that fill an antisymmetric of SU(k) and with charge $Q_{\mathcal{W}} = \pm 2/\sqrt{k}$ under the U(1) gauge group. They correspond to the combinations $\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm} \sim (T_{SU})_{asym} \otimes (\sigma_3 \pm i\sigma_1)$.
- the scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass M_s .
- $k^2 1$ scalars \tilde{S} neutral under U(1) that fill an adjoint of SU(k) with mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = 8w^2(2\lambda_{\tilde{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\tilde{S}}/k)$.

Analogously to section 8.3, the \mathcal{W} is stable because charged under unbroken gauge U(1), while \tilde{S} decays into $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^*$ gauge coupling.

At non-perturbative level SU confinement acts as in section 5.1, forming charged baryons $\epsilon W^{N/4}$ for N/2 even, or di-baryons for N/2 odd.

8.4.2 For odd \mathbb{N} , $k = (\mathbb{N} - 1)/2$, $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathrm{SU}((\mathbb{N} - 1)/2) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar in the anti-symmetric adjoint can be expanded in block form as

$$S' = \operatorname{diag}\left(S,0\right) \tag{80}$$

where S is given by eq. (78). The perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is as in the previous section, with an extra state:

• 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1).

- $k^2 1$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of SU(k).
- k(k-1)/2 complex vectors $\mathcal{W}_{ij}^{\pm\pm}$ with squared mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q_{\mathcal{W}}^2$ that fill an antisymmetric of SU(k) and with charge $Q_{\mathcal{W}} = \pm 2/\sqrt{k}$ under the U(1).
- the scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass M_s .
- $k^2 1$ scalars \tilde{S} that fill an adjoint of SU(k) neutral under the U(1) with mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = 8w^2(2\lambda_{\tilde{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\tilde{S}}/k)$.
- k extra complex massive vectors χ_i^{\pm} with mass $M_{\chi}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q_{\chi}^2$ that fill a fundamental of $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ and with charge $Q_{\chi} = \pm 1/\sqrt{k}$ under the U(1).

The \mathfrak{X}^{\pm} is stable, being the lightest state charged under unbroken gauge U(1). In view of its mass, $\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm}$ decays into $\mathfrak{X}^{\pm}\mathfrak{X}^{\pm}$; \tilde{S} decays into $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}^*$ gauge coupling.

At non-perturbative level, confinement of SU(k) leads to stable dark-charged baryons $\mathcal{B} \sim \epsilon \chi^k$.

9 A symmetric adjoint of Sp(N)

We now consider a scalar S in the symmetric adjoint representation of $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$, which is a real representation. Since the symmetric is the adjoint, it can be written in terms of components $S^A (A = 1, ..., \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)/2)$ as $S_I^J = (S^A T^A)_I^J$ that transforms as $S \to USU^{\dagger}$ and obeys $S = S^{\dagger}$. S also satisfies the reality condition $S^* = \gamma_N S \gamma_N$, analogous to eq. (42), such that it decomposes into quaternionic 2 × 2 blocks [2]. However S is not a symmetric matrix, because the Sp generators T^A are not symmetric.

An equivalent description that makes the symmetry explicit is obtained lowering one index obtaining $\hat{S}_{IJ} \equiv (\hat{S}\gamma_N)_{IJ}$ which transforms as $\hat{S} \to U\hat{S}U^T$. \hat{S} is symmetric (because $T^A\gamma_N$ is symmetric) and obeys the reality condition $\hat{S}^* = \gamma_N \hat{S}\gamma_N$. However \hat{S} is not hermitian, $\hat{S} \neq \hat{S}^{\dagger}$. We use the S representation.

The Lagrangian for an Sp adjoint is analogous to the SU adjoint, eq. (52):

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\,\mu\nu} + \,\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\mathcal{S}) - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(81)

except that the cubic $\operatorname{Tr}(S^3)$ identically vanishes, as well as other odd powers (as clear using the \hat{S} representation, such that one γ_N is needed to contract indices) such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}} = M_{\mathfrak{S}}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^{2}) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}} (\operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^{2})^{2} + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}' \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^{4}) - \lambda_{H\mathfrak{S}} |H|^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^{2}.$$
(82)

For $\mathcal{N} = 2 \operatorname{Sp}(2) = \operatorname{SU}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(S^4) = \operatorname{Tr}(S^2)^2/2$ and there is only one quartic. The renormalizable action is invariant under $S \to -S$, that can be explicitly broken by non-renormalizable operators, and spontaneously broken by a vacuum expectation value of S.

9.1 An adjoint of Sp(N): confined phase

In view of the $S \to -S$ symmetry, the lightest bound state containing an odd number of S is a stable DM candidate. Presumably this is the state Tr(SG). Sp baryons decay into mesons, because the ϵ tensor can be expanded as combinations of γ_N .

9.2 An adjoint of Sp(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

As the symmetric is the adjoint, the unbroken group contains a U(1) factor.

The tree-level quartic potential of S satisfies $V \geq 0$ when the quartic couplings satisfy $\lambda_{\rm S} + \alpha \lambda'_{\rm S} \geq 0$ where α are the minimal and maximal values of $\alpha = {\rm Tr}(S^4)/{\rm Tr}(S^2)^2$. The maximal value is $\alpha = 1/2$ corresponding to $S \propto {\rm diag}(0, \ldots, 0, 1, -1)$, which breaks ${\rm Sp}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow {\rm Sp}(\mathbb{N}-2) \otimes {\rm U}(1)$. The minimal value is $\alpha = 1/\mathbb{N}$ corresponding to $S \propto {\rm diag}(1, -1, \ldots, 1, -1)$, which breaks ${\rm Sp}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow {\rm SU}(\mathbb{N}/2) \otimes {\rm U}(1)$.

The RGE for $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ are

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = -\frac{7}{4}(\mathfrak{N}+2)\mathfrak{g}^3$$
(83a)

$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda_{s}}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{9}{2} \mathfrak{g}^{4} - 6\mathfrak{g}^{2}(\mathfrak{N}+2)\lambda_{s} +$$
(83b)

$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda'_{s}}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\mathcal{N}+8\right) \mathfrak{g}^{4} - 6 \left(\mathcal{N}+2\right) \mathfrak{g}^{2} \lambda'_{s} + \left(2\mathcal{N}+1\right) \lambda'^{2}_{s} + 24\lambda_{s} \lambda'_{s}.$$
(83c)

Fig. 5 shows that, again, the RGE flow can cross both stability conditions depending on the values of the couplings.

9.3 An adjoint that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2) \otimes \operatorname{U}(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar adjoint can be expanded in block form as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (w+\mathfrak{s})/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(w+\mathfrak{s})/2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(84)

and the gauge bosons as

$$\mathcal{G} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & | -\gamma_{\mathcal{N}-2} \mathcal{X}^{-} & \mathcal{X}^{+} \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}^{+T} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}-2}} & \gamma_{\mathrm{dark}} & \mathcal{W}^{++} \\ \mathcal{X}^{-T} & \mathcal{W}^{--} & -\gamma_{\mathrm{dark}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (85)

The perturbative spectrum in the broken phase is:

• $(\mathcal{N}-1)(\mathcal{N}-2)/2$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$.

- 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1), with generator proportional to $\langle S \rangle$.
- 1 complex massive vector $\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm}$ singlet of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ with mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q_{\mathcal{W}}^2$ and with charge $Q_{\mathcal{W}} = \pm 1$ under the unbroken U(1) gauge group.
- $\mathcal{N} 2$ complex massive vectors χ^{\pm} in the fundamental of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N} 2)$ with mass $M_{\chi}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q_{\chi}^2$ and with charge $Q_{\chi} = \pm 1/2$ under the unbroken U(1) gauge group.
- The scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$.
- $(\mathcal{N}-1)(\mathcal{N}-2)/2$ scalars \tilde{S} that fill a symmetric (adjoint) of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ with squared mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = w^2 \lambda_{\tilde{S}}$ and neutral under the U(1) gauge group.

At perturbative level \mathfrak{X}^{\pm} is a stable DM candidate, $\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm}$ could be co-stable or decay to $\mathfrak{X}^{\pm}\mathfrak{X}^{\pm}$ (at tree level $M_{\mathcal{W}} = 2M_{\mathfrak{X}}$, so that loop corrections are needed to establish if the decay is kinematically allowed); \tilde{S} decays into $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{X}^*$ gauge coupling.

We expect that confinement of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ leaves U(1) unbroken. The reason is analogous to section 8.3: the possible condensates involve an even number of charged \mathcal{X} , so that a neutral condensate is possible and energetically favoured by the weak gauging. After confinement of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ the lightest charged states are $\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm}$ and the charged mesons $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm} \equiv \mathcal{X}^{\pm T} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}-2} \mathcal{X}^{\pm}$. At tree level they have the same constituent mass, but the meson becomes slightly heavier than the \mathcal{W} so that they could be co-stable. They co-annihilate such that the non-perturbative annihilation cross-section of the meson depletes their common abundance. If the mass splitting is $\Delta M \sim \text{keV}$ one gets the direct-detection phenomenology known as 'inelastic DM'.

9.4 An adjoint that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(N) \to \operatorname{SU}(N/2) \otimes \operatorname{U}(1)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar adjoint can be expanded in block form as

$$\mathcal{S} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}}} \left(w + \mathfrak{s} \right) \operatorname{diag}(1, -1, \dots, 1, -1) + \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{a} T_{\mathrm{SU}}^{a}$$
(86)

where $a = 1, \dots, (N/2)^2 - 1$ and T_{SU}^a are the SU(N/2) generators explicitly given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_{\rm SU})_{\rm asym} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2, \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_{\rm SU})_{\rm sym} \otimes \sigma_3.$$
(87)

The perturbative spectrum is:

- $(N/2)^2 1$ massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of SU(N/2), corresponding to the generators of eq. (87).
- 1 massless vector γ_{dark} corresponding to the unbroken U(1), with generator $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_3)/\sqrt{2N}$ proportional to $\langle S \rangle$.

- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+2)/8$ complex massive vectors \mathcal{W}^{\pm} that fill a symmetric of SU($\mathcal{N}/2$) with squared mass $M_{\mathcal{W}}^2 = \mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 Q_{\mathcal{W}}^2$ and with charge $Q_{\mathcal{W}} = \pm \sqrt{2/\mathcal{N}}$ under the unbroken U(1). They correspond to $\mathcal{W}^{\pm} \sim T_{\text{sym}} \otimes \sigma_{\pm}$, where $T_{\text{sym}} = \{T_{\text{real}}, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}/2}/\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}\}$ are the symmetric generators of U($\mathcal{N}/2$).
- The scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$.
- $(\mathcal{N}/2)^2 1$ scalars that fill a adjoint representation \tilde{S} of SU($\mathcal{N}/2$) with squared mass $M_{\tilde{S}}^2 = w^2(\lambda_{\tilde{S}} + 3\lambda'_{\tilde{S}}/\mathcal{N})$ and neutral under the U(1) gauge group.

The W^{\pm} are stable DM candidates, while the \tilde{S} decay into $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ through the $w\tilde{S}W^+W^-$ gauge interaction.

When SU(N/2) confines, we expect that gauge U(1) remains unbroken.¹⁸ Then the lightest charged bound state is stable. SU condensation proceeds as described in section 4.1, with the replacements $N \to N/2$, $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$: the stable states are baryons and/or di-baryons, according to the parity of N/2.

10 A trace-less anti-symmetric of Sp(N)

We now consider a scalar S in the anti-symmetric representation of $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$. It can be expanded in components S^a as

$$S_I^J = (S^a \tilde{T}^a)_I^J, \quad \text{with} \quad a = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1)/2 - 1.$$
 (88)

where the generators \tilde{T}^a are the sub-set of the Sp generators in the fundamental that satisfy the 'SU – Sp' condition (see eq. (43) and footnote 13). The matrix S transforms as $S \to USU^{\dagger}$ and obeys $S = S^{\dagger}$, the reality condition $S^* = -\gamma_N \cdot S \cdot \gamma_N$, and the traceless condition $\text{Tr}(S\gamma_N) = 0$, but it is not an anti-symmetric matrix.

An equivalent description that makes anti-symmetry manifest is obtained lowering one index obtaining $\hat{S}_{IJ} \equiv (\hat{S}\gamma_N)_{IJ}$ ($\tilde{T}^a\gamma_N$ is anti-symmetric) that transforms as $\hat{S} \to U\hat{S}U^T$. However \hat{S} is not hermitian, $\hat{S} \neq \hat{S}^{\dagger}$. We use the S representation.

The Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{A\,\mu\nu} + \,\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\mathcal{S}) - V_{\mathcal{S}}$$
(89)

¹⁸As argued in section 2.3, based on Vafa-Witten-like considerations we expect that no charged det(W) condensate forms. This is surely true for N/2 even because, even if det(W) acquires a condensate, it is energetically favoured to stay in its neutral component (while for N/2 odd all components of det(W) are charged). If the gauge U(1) gets broken, its massive vector is a stable DM candidate with exponentially suppressed mass proportional to the det(W) condensate and tiny kinetic mixing $\epsilon \sim \lambda_{HS} e \mathfrak{g}/(4\pi)^4$ with the photon (achieving such small values naturally is usually difficult). Similar considerations can be done whenever there is an unbroken gauged U(1), e.g. in section 6.



Figure 5: Coleman-Weinberg symmetry-breaking patterns for a Sp(\mathbb{N}) gauge theory. The RGE flow towards low energy of its quartics λ_{S} and λ'_{S} can enter both instability conditions, leading to the two different breaking patterns discussed in the text.

with

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}} = M_{\mathfrak{S}}^2 \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^2) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}} (\operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^2)^2 + A \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^3) + \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}' \operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{S}^4) - \lambda_{H\mathfrak{S}} |H|^2 \operatorname{Tr}\mathfrak{S}^2.$$
(90)

For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 6$ there is only one quartic in view of $\operatorname{Tr}(S^4) = \operatorname{Tr}(S^2)^2/4$. For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ the cubic vanishes because the anti-symmetric of Sp(4) is the fundamental of SO(5). Again, cubics are allowed for fields that satisfy a trace-less condition. The action is invariant under the \mathcal{C}_{Sp} accidental symmetry, which will not lead to stable DM states.

10.1 An anti-symmetric of Sp(N): confined phase

Charge conjugation C_{Sp} does not lead to stable states. As in section 6.1, if cubic terms are absent, the $S \to -S$ symmetry implies that the lightest bound states made by an odd number of S is stable. As Tr(GS)vanishes by anti-symmetry, the lightest state presumably is SSS, corresponding to the operator Tr(S³).

10.2 An anti-symmetric of Sp(N): dynamical symmetry breaking

Even in the Sp case, the most generic vacuum expectation value of an anti-symmetric representation has the form of eq. (40) [2].

The tree-level quartic potential of S satisfies $V \ge 0$ when the quartic couplings satisfy $\lambda_{\rm S} + \alpha \lambda'_{\rm S} \ge 0$ where α are the minimal and maximal values of $\alpha = \text{Tr}(S^4)/\text{Tr}(S^2)^2$. The

maximal value is $\alpha = (\mathcal{N}^2 - 6\mathcal{N} + 12)/(2\mathcal{N}^2 - 4\mathcal{N})$ corresponding to

$$\langle S \rangle \propto \operatorname{diag}(-2, \dots, -2, \mathcal{N} - 2, \mathcal{N} - 2)$$
 (91)

which breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N}-2) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)$. For $\mathbb{N} = 4k$ the minimal value is $\alpha = 1/\mathbb{N}$ corresponding to $\langle S \rangle \propto (-\mathbb{1}_{2k}, \mathbb{1}_{2k})$, which breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(4k) \to \operatorname{Sp}(2k)^2$. For $\mathbb{N} = 4k + 2$ the minimal value is $\alpha = (\mathbb{N}^2 + 12)/\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}^2 - 4)$ corresponding to $\langle S \rangle \propto \operatorname{diag}(-k\mathbb{1}_{2k+2}, (k+1)\mathbb{1}_{2k})$, which breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(4k+2) \to \operatorname{Sp}(2k+2) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2k)$. For $\mathbb{N} = 4, 6$ the minimal and maximal values of α coincide, corresponding to the unique breakings $\operatorname{Sp}(4) \to \operatorname{Sp}(2) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(6) \to$ $\operatorname{Sp}(4) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)$.

The RGE for $\mathcal{N} \geq 8$ are

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\mathfrak{g}}{d\ln\mu} = -\left(\frac{7}{4}\mathfrak{N} + \frac{23}{6}\right)\mathfrak{g}^3 \tag{92a}$$

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda_8}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{9}{2}\mathfrak{g}^4 - 6\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda_8 + \left(\mathfrak{N}^2 - \mathfrak{N} + 14\right)\lambda_S^2 + \tag{92b}$$

$$+\frac{4\mathcal{N}^2 - 6\mathcal{N} - 12}{N}\lambda_8\lambda'_8 + \left(3 + \frac{18}{\mathcal{N}^2}\right)\lambda'^2_8$$
$$(4\pi)^2\frac{d\lambda'_8}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^4 - 6\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^2\lambda_8 + 24\lambda_8\lambda'_8 + \frac{2\mathcal{N}^2 - 9\mathcal{N} - 36}{N}\lambda'^2_8. \tag{92c}$$

Fig. 5b shows that, again, the RGE flow can cross both stability conditions depending on the values of the couplings.

10.3 An anti-symmetric that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_2)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the trace-less anti-symmetric scalar S can be expanded as:

$$\mathfrak{S} = \frac{w + \mathfrak{s}}{\sqrt{2NN_1N_2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{N}_2 \mathbb{1}_{N_1} & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{N}_1 \mathbb{1}_{N_2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_1 & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(93)

with $\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_1$. For $\mathcal{N} = 4k$ one has $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}/2$ e.g. $\mathrm{Sp}(8) \to \mathrm{Sp}(4)^2$. For $\mathcal{N} = 4k + 2$ one has $\mathcal{N}_1 = (\mathcal{N} + 2)/2$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}_1 - 2$, e.g. $\mathrm{Sp}(6) \to \mathrm{Sp}(4) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(2)$. Writing the gauge bosons as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{W} \\ \mathcal{W}^T & \mathcal{A}_2 \end{pmatrix},\tag{94}$$

the perturbative spectrum is:

- $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_1+1)/2$ massless gauge bosons \mathcal{A}_1 in the adjoint of $Sp(\mathcal{N}_1)$.
- $\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_2+1)/2$ massless gauge bosons \mathcal{A}_2 in the adjoint of $Sp(\mathcal{N}_2)$.

- $\mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2$ real massive bosons $\mathcal{W}_{ii'}$ in the bi-fundamental of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ with mass $M_W^2 = \mathcal{N}\mathfrak{g}^2 w^2 / 2\mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2$.
- the scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$.
- $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_1 1)/2 1$ real scalars $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$ in the trace-less anti-symmetric of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_1)$ with mass $w^2[\lambda_s + 3\lambda'_s\mathcal{N}_2/\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}_1].$
- $\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_2 1)/2 1$ real scalars $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2$ in the trace-less anti-symmetric of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ with mass $w^2[\lambda_8 + 3\lambda'_8\mathcal{N}_1/\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}_2].$

For $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}/2$ the dynamics is invariant under a permutation symmetry that exchanges the two $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}/2)$ groups. For any \mathcal{N} the $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2)$ decays into $\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{A}_2)$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2)\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}$ gauge coupling. The \mathcal{W} is stable because the vacuum and the action of eq. (89) leave unbroken a gauge discrete \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, corresponding to the Sp transformation $\mathcal{G} \to U\mathcal{G}U^{\dagger}, \, \mathcal{S} \to U\mathcal{S}U^{\dagger}$ with $U = (-\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}_1}, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}_2})$. This \mathbb{Z}_2 acts on the fields as

$$\mathfrak{s} \to \mathfrak{s}, \qquad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_1 \to \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_1, \qquad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_2 \to \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_2, \qquad \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_1, \qquad \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_2, \qquad \mathcal{W} \to -\mathcal{W}.$$
 (95)

 \mathcal{W} would be a stable DM candidates. But, when the two $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ factors in the unbroken sub-group \mathcal{H} confine, \mathcal{W} form bi-mesons $\mathcal{M} = \gamma_{\mathcal{N}_1}^{i_1 j_1} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}_2}^{i_2 j_2} \mathcal{W}_{i_1 i_2} \mathcal{W}_{j_1 j_2}$. \mathcal{M} would be stable if the two Sp-arities of the two factors were symmetries of the full theory. However the full theory respects a unique $\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{Sp}}$ and \mathcal{M} decays in view of $\mathcal{WWA}_1\mathcal{A}_2$ interactions. This theory predicts no DM candidate (unless one considers small enough coupling \mathfrak{g} that confinements happen on super-horizon scales).

10.4 An anti-symmetric that breaks $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(2)$

After dropping the scalars 'eaten' by massive vectors, the scalar S in the anti-symmetric representation can be expanded in block form as

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{w + \mathfrak{s}}{\sqrt{4\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 2)}} \begin{pmatrix} -2\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N} - 2} & 0\\ 0 & (\mathcal{N} - 2)\mathbb{1}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{S}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(96)

Writing the gauge bosons as

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{W} \\ \mathcal{W}^T & \mathcal{A}' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{97}$$

the perturbative spectrum is:

- (N-2)(N-1)/2 massless vectors \mathcal{A} in the adjoint of $\operatorname{Sp}(N-2)$.
- 3 massless vector \mathcal{A}' corresponding in the adjoint of unbroken Sp(2).

Stable DM candidates in the confined phase					
Representation	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ even	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ odd	$SO(\mathcal{N})$ even	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{N})$ odd	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ even
fundamental	ϵS^N	ϵS^N	$\epsilon \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{N}/2}$	$\epsilon SG^{(N-1)/2}$	$S^T \gamma S$
symmetric	$\epsilon(\mathfrak{GS})^{\mathfrak{N}/2}, \epsilon\epsilon\mathfrak{S}^{\mathfrak{N}}$	$\epsilon\epsilon\delta^{\mathcal{N}}$	$\epsilon \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{N}/2}$		$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathfrak{SG})$
anti-symmetric	$\epsilon S^{N/2}, \epsilon \epsilon S^N$	$\epsilon\epsilon\delta^{\mathcal{N}}$	$\epsilon \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{N}/2}$		
adjoint			see anti-symmetric		see symmetric

Table 2: Stable DM candidates in the confined phase. A — denotes no accidental DM candidate, either because the action contains S^3 cubics (SU and Sp theories) or because di-baryons are unstable (SO theories).

- 2(N-2) massive vectors $W_{ii'}$ that fill a bi-fundamental representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(N-2)\otimes\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ with squared mass $M_W^2 = N\mathfrak{g}^2 w^2/4(N-2)$. It satisfies a non trivial reality condition.
- The scalon \mathfrak{s} with loop-level mass $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$.
- $(\mathcal{N}-2)(\mathcal{N}-3)/2 1$ scalars that fill an anti-symmetric representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$ with squared mass $M_{\tilde{s}}^2 = w^2 [\lambda_{\tilde{s}} + 6\lambda'_{\tilde{s}}/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-2)]$. The analogous field for the second $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ is absent.

 \tilde{S} decays into $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ through loops involving the $w\tilde{S}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}$ gauge coupling. The \mathcal{W} is stable but forms unstable $\mathcal{M} = \gamma_2^{ij} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}-2}^{i'j'} \mathcal{W}_{ii'} \mathcal{W}_{jj'}$ in the confined phase, as already discussed in section 10.3.

11 Conclusions

We considered Quantum Field Theory models with one gauge group \mathcal{G} and one scalar \mathcal{S} , exploring the possible groups and two-index representations. These renormalizable theories often have accidental symmetries implying one or more stable particles that are possible Dark Matter candidates. The accidental symmetries can be classified as:

- Global U(1) can arise accidentally when S lies in a complex (or pseudo-real) representation of \mathcal{G} , see section 2.
- Group parities, namely reflections in group space analogous to the usual parity, act on components of vectors and scalars S, rather than on full multiplets. Theories with G = SU(N) or SO(N) often accidentally respect a group parity, unlike Sp(N) theories.
- Group charge conjugations, that do not give extra stable states.

Table 3: Summary of the broken phases. For simplicity we loosely include gauge discrete symmetries among accidental global symmetries: \mathcal{P} denotes a group parity; \mathcal{C} a group charge conjugation. Possibly stable particles and unbroken local U(1) are in green. \mathcal{B} denotes a dark baryon, \mathcal{BB} a di-baryon, \mathcal{M} a dark meson. Theories with low \mathcal{N} can be special.

	Unbroken ph	ase	Broken phase: perturbative			Broken condensed	
gauge	scalar	accidental	unbroken	accidental massive massive		massive	Dark
9	rep S	global	$gauge \ {\cal H}$	global $\mathcal H$	vectors	scalars	Matter
	fundamental	U(1)	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N}-1)$	U(1)	\mathcal{W},\mathcal{Z}	s	$\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-1}$
	symmetric	U(1)	${ m SU}({ m {\cal N}}-1)$	U(1)	\mathcal{W},\mathcal{Z}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathcal{S}}$	$\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-1}, \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \text{ can be co-stable}$
			$\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{N})$	$\mathfrak{P}_U, \mathfrak{C}$	W	$\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathfrak{s}}$	$\mathfrak{a} + 0$ -ball if \mathfrak{N} even
$SU(\mathcal{N})$			$\mathrm{SU}(\mathfrak{N}-2)\otimes\mathrm{SU}(2)$	U(1)	\mathcal{W},\mathcal{Z}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathcal{S}}$	$\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}-2}$ if \mathcal{N} even; $\mathcal{W}^{2(\mathcal{N}-2)}$ if odd
50(51)	antisymm	U(1)	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$	C	W	$\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathfrak{s}}$	a
			$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-1)$	$\mathcal{C}, U(1)$	$\mathcal{W},\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{X}$	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathfrak{s}}$	$\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{M} \sim \mathcal{X}^T \gamma_{\mathcal{N}-1} \mathcal{X}$
	adiaint	_	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}_1)\otimes\mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}_2)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)$	—	\mathcal{W}^{\pm}	$\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1,\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_2$	charged double \mathcal{B} , depends on $\mathcal{N}_{1,2}$
	aujoint	—	$\mathrm{SU}(\mathfrak{N}-1)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)$	—	\mathcal{W}^{\pm}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{S}$	charged $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{W}^{N-1}$
	fundamental	\mathcal{P}_{O}	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{N}-1)$	\mathcal{P}_{O}	\mathcal{W}	s	$0(1)$ -ball for \mathcal{N} odd (even)
	symmetric traceless	Po	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{N}-1)$	\mathcal{P}_{O}	\mathcal{W}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathcal{S}}$	$0(1)$ -ball for \mathcal{N} odd (even)
			$\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N}/2)\otimes\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N}/2),\mathbb{N}/2$ even	$\mathcal{P}_{O_1}, \mathcal{P}_{O_2}$	\mathcal{W}	$\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1,\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_2$	0-ball
			$\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N}/2)\otimes\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{N}/2),\mathbb{N}/2$ odd	$\mathcal{P}_{O_1}, \mathcal{P}_{O_2}$	\mathcal{W}	$\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1,\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_2$	1-ball bi-baryon
$\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{N})$			$SO((N+1)/2) \otimes SO((N-1)/2)$	$\mathcal{P}_{O_1}, \mathcal{P}_{O_2}$	W	$\mathfrak{s}, \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1, \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_2$	0-ball
	antisymm adjoint		$SO(N-2) \otimes U(1), N$ even	\mathcal{P}_{O}	\mathcal{W}^{\pm}	$\mathfrak{s}, \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$	neutral 0-ball + charged 2-ball or $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm}$
		$\min_{int} \mathcal{P}_{O}, \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{N}-2)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1),\mathfrak{N} ext{ odd}$	\mathcal{P}_{O}	\mathcal{W}^{\pm}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathbb{S}}$	charged 1-ball \mathcal{B}^{\pm} + possibly $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm}$
			$\mathrm{SU}(\mathbb{N}/2)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1),\mathbb{N}$ even	_	$\mathcal{W}_{ij}^{\pm\pm}$	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathfrak{S}}$	charged baryon $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}/4}$ or dibaryon
			$\mathrm{SU}((\mathfrak{N}-1)/2)\otimes \mathrm{U}(1),\mathfrak{N} \ \mathrm{odd}$	—	$\left \mathcal{W}_{ij}^{\pm\pm}, \mathfrak{X}_{i}^{\pm} \right $	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{S}$	charged baryon $\chi^{(N-1)/2}$
	fundamental	U(1)	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N}-2)$	U(1)	$\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{Z}$	s	$\mathcal{W} \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \sim \mathcal{X}^T \gamma_{\mathcal{N}-2} \mathcal{X}$
	symmetric adjoint	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\operatorname{Sp}(\operatorname{\mathfrak{N}}-2)\otimes\operatorname{U}(1)$	_	$\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm}, \mathfrak{X}_i^{\pm}$	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathbb{S}}$	$\mathcal{W}^{\pm\pm}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\pm\pm} \sim \mathcal{X}^{\pm T} \gamma_{\mathcal{N}-2} \mathcal{X}^{\pm}$
$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$			$\mathrm{SU}(\mathfrak{N}/2)\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)$	_	\mathcal{W}_{ij}^{\pm}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathfrak{S}}$	charged baryon $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}/4}$ or dibaryon
	antisymm traceless	e	$\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{N}_1)\otimes\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{N}_2)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{C}_{Sp}$	$\mathcal{W}_{i_1i_2}$	$\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1,\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_2$	DM exists only in special cases
		c_{Sp}	$\operatorname{Sp}(\operatorname{N}-2)\otimes\operatorname{Sp}(2)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathfrak{C}_{Sp}$	\mathcal{W}	$\mathfrak{s}, ilde{\mathbb{S}}$	DM exists only in special cases

47

Furthermore, extra symmetries (and DM candidates) arise when S acquires vacuum expectation values such that \mathcal{G} gets broken to a sub-group that contains a gauge \mathbb{Z}_2 discrete symmetry or a gauge U(1).

In the latter case, the theory contains massless (or exponentially light) dark photons γ_{dark} , and some DM candidates are charged under the gauge U(1), so that DM interacts with γ_{dark} . This gives rise to a specific DM phenomenology, summarized in section 3: dark radiation in addition to dark matter; DM particles elastically scatter with each other and with dark photons; the possibility of dark monopoles.

Choosing a given \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{S} , each theory is very predictive, and can have different phases with different vacuum expectation values $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ and/or condensates, that can break or preserve its accidental symmetries. In [1] we considered scalars in fundamental representations of SU, SO, Sp and G_2 groups, so that there is a unique Higgs phase that shows a surprising duality with the confined phase. We here considered scalars in two-index representations of SU, SO, Sp groups, so that there are two Higgs phases, and qualitatively new patterns of symmetry breaking. In principle, there could be multiple confined phases, where different operators acquire condensates. We found that no duality holds in general, as in some models the possible breaking patterns of the accidental symmetry differ from the Higgs and the condensed phases (giving rise to different or no DM candidates). Based on the physics behind a Vafa-Witten theorem that applies to gauge interactions of fermions, we assumed scalar condensations that minimally break the symmetries of the theory.¹⁹

For each choice of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{S} we wrote the most generic renormalizable action and found its symmetries, as summarized in table 3. We then wrote its RGEs finding that both Higgs phases can always be realised, not only with a generic renormalizable potential, but also dynamically in the specific case of Coleman-Weinberg potentials. We computed the spectrum in the Higgs phase, first at perturbative level and next taking into account condensations of the unbroken non-abelian sub-groups. Each model often leads to DM candidates with a specific phenomenology. Table 3 summarizes our findings in the Higgs phases, and table 2 our findings in the confined phases.

Various models give composite DM candidates. In some models DM is twice composite, as the gauge group \mathcal{G} gets broken to two non-abelian factors that confine at lower energies. In most models DM constituents are heavy vectors \mathcal{W} that arise when \mathcal{G} breaks to \mathcal{H} . At the same time, the scalar \mathcal{S} splits into components: we find that they almost never play a role as DM candidates. In some models DM is made only of the dark gluons of \mathcal{H} .

Direct detection rates are often similar to those in [1], with a main exception. The symmetry breaking patterns that connect SU with SO or with Sp $(SU(N) \rightarrow SO(N))$ is obtained with S in the symmetric, and $SU(N) \rightarrow Sp(N)$ with S in the antisymmetric) feature a spontaneously

¹⁹Interesting physics can arise in the opposite case. For example some condensates could break at exponentially small scales the dark U(1) (giving masses to dark photons) or the Lorentz symmetry in the dark sector.

broken accidental global U(1), leading to pseudo-Goldstone DM.²⁰

Multiple DM candidates arise in theories with multiple accidental symmetries and/or with special relations among particle masses that imply extra co-stable states. As a consequence, the cosmological history that determines the relic DM abundance is often more complicated than thermal decoupling, involving one or two re-couplings when broken groups confine.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the ERC grant NEO-NAT. This work is supported in part by the MIUR under contracts 2017FMJFMW and 2017L5W2PT (PRIN2017). The work of DB is supported in part by the INFN grant FLAVOR. The work of LDL is supported by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship grant AXIONRUSH (GA 840791). The work of JWW is supported by the China Scholarship Council with Grant No. 201904910660. We thank Claudio Bonati and Franco Strocchi for discussions.

References

- D. Buttazzo, L. Di Luzio, G. Landini, A. Strumia, D. Teresi, "Dark Matter from self-dual gauge/Higgs dynamics", JHEP 1910 (2019) 067 [InSpire:Buttazzo:2019iwr].
- [2] A.N. Schellekens, "Symmetry breaking by bifundamentals", Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 056007 [arXiv:1711.04656].
- [3] L-F. Li, "Group Theory of the Spontaneously Broken Gauge Symmetries", Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 1723 [InSpire:Li:1973mq].
- [4] D. Wu, "The Symmetry Breaking Pattern of Scalars in Low Dimension Representations", Nucl. Phys. B199 (1982) 523 [In-Spire:Wu:1981eb].
- [5] O. Antipin, M. Redi, A. Strumia, E. Vigiani, "Accidental Composite Dark Matter", JHEP 1507 (2015) 039 [arXiv:1503.08749].
- [6] A. Mitridate, M. Redi, J. Smirnov, A. Strumia, "Dark Matter as a weakly coupled Dark Baryon", JHEP 1710 (2017) 210 [arXiv:1707.05380].
- [7] S.R. Coleman, E.J. Weinberg, "Radiative Corrections as the Origin of Spontaneous Sym-

metry Breaking", Phys. Rev. D7 (1973) 1888 [InSpire:Coleman:1973jx].

- [8] P. Jetzer, J.M. Gerard, D. Wyler, "Possible Symmetry Breaking Patterns With Totally Symmetric and anti-symmetric Representations", Nucl. Phys. B241 (1984) 204 [In-Spire:Jetzer:1983ij].
- [9] E. Witten, "Current Algebra, Baryons, and Quark Confinement", Nucl. Phys. B223 (1983) 433 [InSpire:Witten:1983tx].
- [10] J. Frohlich, G. Morchio, F. Strocchi, "Higgs phenomenon without symmetry breaking order parameter", Nucl. Phys. B190 (1981) 553 [InSpire:Frohlich:1981yi].
- [11] C. Vafa, E. Witten, "Restrictions on Symmetry Breaking in Vector-Like Gauge Theories", Nucl. Phys. B234 (1984) 173 [In-Spire:Vafa:1983tf].
- [12] H. Murayama, J. Shu, "Topological Dark Matter", Phys. Lett. B686 (2009) 162 [arXiv:0905.1720].
- [13] S. Baek, P. Ko, W-I. Park, "Hidden sector monopole, vector dark matter and dark ra-

²⁰Adding extra fermions charged under both \mathcal{G} and QCD, this setup can lead to a QCD axion. The accidental U(1) is protected by gauge dynamics, that allows only for the U(1) breaking operator det \mathcal{S} of dimension \mathcal{N} . For large enough \mathcal{N} , these axions models avoid the problem of too large explicit breaking of the U(1) global symmetry, along the lines of [24].

diation with Higgs portal", JCAP 1410 (2014) 067 [arXiv:1311.1035].

- [14] V.V. Khoze, G. Ro, "Dark matter monopoles, vectors and photons", JHEP 1410 (2014) 061 [arXiv:1406.2291].
- [15] J.A. Bryan, S.M. Carroll, T. Pyne, "A Texture bestiary", Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 2806 [In-Spire:Bryan:1993hz].
- [16] PLANCK Collaboration, "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters" [arXiv:1807.06209].
- [17] J.L. Feng, M. Kaplinghat, H-B. Yu, "Halo Shape and Relic Density Exclusions of Sommerfeld-Enhanced Dark Matter Explanations of Cosmic Ray Excesses", Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2009) 151301 [arXiv:0911.0422].
- [18] P. Agrawal, F-Y. Cyr-Racine, L. Randall, J. Scholtz, "Make Dark Matter Charged Again", JCAP 1705 (2017) 022 [arXiv:1610.04611].
- [19] S. Tulin, H-B. Yu, "Dark Matter Selfinteractions and Small Scale Structure", Phys. Rept. 730 (2018) 1 [arXiv:1705.02358].
- [20] A. Kamada, M. Yamada, T.T. Yanagida, "Unification for the Darkly Charged Dark Matter" [arXiv:1908.00207].
- [21] L. Ackerman, M.R. Buckley, S.M. Carroll, M. Kamionkowski, "Dark Matter and Dark Radiation", Phys. Rev. D79 (2008) 023519 [arXiv:0810.5126].
- [22] E. Gildener, S. Weinberg, "Symmetry Breaking and Scalar Bosons", Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 3333 [InSpire:Gildener:1976ih].

- [23] E. Gildener, "Radiatively Induced Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking for Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories", Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 1025 [InSpire:Gildener:1975cj].
- [24] L. Di Luzio, E. Nardi, L. Ubaldi, "Accidental Peccei-Quinn symmetry protected to arbitrary order", Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 011801 [arXiv:1704.01122].
- [25] S.M. Barr, D. Seckel, "Planck scale corrections to axion models", Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 539 [InSpire:Barr:1992qq].
- [26] R. Holman, S.D.H. Hsu, T.W. Kephart, E.W. Kolb, R. Watkins, L.M. Widrow, "Solutions to the strong CP problem in a world with gravity", Phys. Lett. B282 (1992) 132 [In-Spire:Holman:1992us].
- [27] M. Kamionkowski, J. March-Russell, "Planck scale physics and the Peccei-Quinn mechanism", Phys. Lett. B282 (1992) 137 [arXiv:hepth/9202003].
- [28] C. Gross, O. Lebedev, T. Toma, "Cancellation Mechanism for Dark-MatterNucleon Interaction", Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 191801 [arXiv:1708.02253].
- [29] A. Salvio, A. Strumia, "Agravity up to infinite energy", Eur. Phys. J. C78 (2018) 124 [arXiv:1705.03896].
- [30] V. Afferrante, A. Maas, P. Törek, "Toward the spectrum of the SU(2) adjoint Higgs model" [arXiv:1906.11193].
- [31] D. Antonov, J.E.F.T. Ribeiro, "Quark condensate for various heavy flavors", Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 2179 [arXiv:1209.0408].