

Factorizable R -matrices for small quantum groups

Simon Lentner and Tobias Ohrmann

Algebra and Number Theory, University Hamburg,

Bundesstraße 55, D-20146 Hamburg

Abstract. Representations of small quantum groups $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ at a root of unity and their extensions provide interesting tensor categories, that appear in different areas of algebra and mathematical physics. There is an ansatz by Lusztig to endow these categories with the structure of a braided tensor category.

In this article we determine all solutions to this ansatz that lead to a non-degenerate braiding. Particularly interesting are cases where the order of q has common divisors with root lengths. In this way we produce familiar and unfamiliar series' of (non-semisimple) modular tensor categories. In the degenerate cases we determine the group of so-called transparent objects for further use.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Preliminaries	9
2.1. Lie-Theory	9
2.2. Lusztig's Ansatz for R -matrices	9
3. Conditions for the Existence of R -Matrices	10
3.1. A first set of conditions on Λ/Λ'	10
3.2. A natural form on the fundamental group	13
4. Explicit calculation for every \mathfrak{g}	15
4.1. Technical Tools	15
4.2. Case $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$	17
4.3. Case A_n	17
4.4. Case D_n	19
4.5. Table of all quasitriangular quantum groups	20
5. Factorizability of Quantum Group R -matrices	23
5.1. Monodromy matrix in terms of R_0	23
5.2. Factorizability for symmetric $R_0(f)$	28
5.3. Factorizability for D_{2n} , R_0 antisymmetric	29
5.4. Transparent objects in non-factorizable cases	30
5.5. Table of all factorizable quantum groups	32
6. Quantum groups with a ribbon structure	35
7. Open Questions	36
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

Hopf algebras with R -matrices, so called *quasitriangular Hopf algebras*, give rise to tensor categories with a braiding $c : V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\sim} W \otimes V$. Of particular interest are braided tensor categories where the braiding fulfills a certain non-degeneracy condition, see Def. 5.1, which is equivalent to the fact that there are no *transparent objects* V , i.e. no objects where the double-braiding $c^2 : V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes W$ is the identity for all W . A \mathbb{C} -linear tensor category with a nondegenerate braiding, as well as finiteness conditions and another natural transformation $\theta : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ (twist), is called a *modular tensor category*. Note that we do not require the category to be semisimple.

Modular tensor categories have many interesting applications: They give rise to topological invariants and mapping class group actions [Tur94, KL01]. For example, the standard generators T, S of the mapping class group of the torus $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are constructed from θ and c^2 , respectively. A different source for modular tensor categories in mathematical physics are vertex algebras. There are only few example classes of modular tensor categories, in particular non-semisimple ones.

The aim of the present article is to provide modular tensor categories from *small quantum groups* $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ at a primitive ℓ -th root of unity q for a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Lusztig [Lus90] has constructed these finite-dimensional Hopf algebras and provided an ansatz for an R -matrix $R_0\bar{\Theta}$, where the fixed element $\bar{\Theta} \in u_q(\mathfrak{g})^- \otimes u_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$ is constructed from a dual basis of PBW generators, while $R_0 \in u_q(\mathfrak{g})^0 \otimes u_q(\mathfrak{g})^0$ is a free parameter subject to some constraints. He gives one canonical solution for R_0 whenever ℓ has no common divisors with root lengths, otherwise there are cases where no R -matrix exists [KS11] and the quantum group becomes more interesting [Len14]. Of particular interest in conformal field theory [FGST06, FT10, GR15] is the most extreme case where all root lengths (α, α) divide ℓ .

But even if there are no common divisors with the root length, the resulting braided tensor categories may not fulfill the non-degeneracy condition and hence provides no modular tensor category.

Both obstacles (existence and non-degeneracy) can be resolved by extending the Cartan part of the quantum group by a choice of a lattice $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W$ between root- and weight-lattice, respectively a choice of a subgroup of the fundamental group $\pi_1 := \Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$, corresponding to a choice of a Lie group between adjoint and simply-connected form. In this way the number of possible R matrices increases.

In a previous article [LN14b] we have considered such extensions $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ and determined solutions for R_0 (under additional assumptions which we drop in this article to get all solutions). We hence constructed braidings on the representation category of this Hopf algebra. As it turns out, the solutions can be parametrized by subgroups $H_1, H_2 \subset \pi_1$ and group pairings between H_1, H_2 , and the set of solutions depends on the common divisors of ℓ not only with root lengths, but also divisors of the Cartan matrix. Some cases admit no braided structure, while others have multiple in-equivalent solutions. An interesting occurrence was for example that B_n behaves differently for n odd or even, and that D_{2n} with non-cyclic fundamental group allows several more solutions with non-symmetric R_0 .

In the present article we first improve these techniques, and thus remove the additional assumptions (allowing for several more solutions) and achieve the list of quasitriangular structures more systematically. Then, using our new techniques, we determine which of these choices fulfill the non-degeneracy condition. We also determine which cases have a ribbon structure. A main role in the first part plays a natural pairing a_ℓ on the fundamental group π_1 which depends only on the common divisors of ℓ with the fundamental group and encapsulates the essential ℓ -dependence. Then the non-degeneracy of the braiding turns out to depend only on the 2-torsion of the abelian group in question.

Our result produces a list of modular tensor categories for representations of quantum groups. Moreover we use our methods to determine the group of transparent objects if the category is not modular, which is for example a prerequisite for modularization.

We now discuss our methods and results in more detail:

In **Section 2** we briefly recall the Lie theory and Hopf algebra preliminaries: For every finite-dimensional (semi-)simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a primitive ℓ -th root of unity q Lusztig has introduced in [Lus90] the *small quantum group* $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ which has a triangular decomposition $u_q^+ u_q^0 u_q^-$ where the (exponentiated) *Cartan algebra* u_q^0 is the groupring of the root lattice Λ_R , and u_q^\pm are generated by *simple root vectors* $E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}$ fulfilling q -deformed Serre relations. In [Lus93] Sec. 32 he gives an ansatz for an R -matrix in the form $R_0 \bar{\Theta}$ where $\bar{\Theta}$ consists of dual PBW basis' and $R_0 \in u_q^0 \otimes u_q^0$ is an arbitrary element in the Cartan part that has to fulfill certain relations.

Our goal is to study the existence and non-degeneracy of R -matrices of this form for the quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ with any choice of lattice between root- and weight-lattice $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W$ and any possible choice of quotient by a subgroup $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ in the Cartan part $u^0 = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$. Later, we prove that Λ' is in fact unique if we want a quasitriangular

structure (Cor. 3.6).

The R_0 -matrix has the following interpretation: It is an R -matrix for the groupring $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$ and it appears as the braiding between highest-weight vectors in our $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Thus the previous theorem clarifies which choices for an R -matrix for the group ring lift to the quantum group.

In **Section 3** we address the question of constructing quasi-triangular R -matrices. First we briefly recall the following result in [LN14b], which was in essence a combinatorial problem solved in [LN14a] and will be used continuously:

Theorem (3.3). *The R_0 -matrix is necessarily of the form*

$$f(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{d} q^{-(\mu, \nu)} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \delta_{\bar{\mu} \in H_1} \delta_{\bar{\nu} \in H_2}$$

where H_1, H_2 are subgroups of $\Lambda/\Lambda_R \subseteq \pi_1$ with $|H_1| = |H_2| =: d$ (not necessarily isomorphic!) and $g: H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a pairing of groups.

Then we proceed differently than in the previous article: Using the previous result, we prove in Lemma 3.5 that the quasitriangularity of R is equivalent to the assertion that the group pairing $\hat{f} := |\Lambda/\Lambda'| \cdot f$ between the preimages $G_i := \Lambda_i/\Lambda'$ of the groups H_i is *non-degenerate* (which is no surprise). In particular we show that this condition fixes Λ' uniquely. In later applications we often encounter \hat{f} as a natural identification of G_1 and the dual \hat{G}_2 , e.g. when studying representation theory.

To find all solutions f with this property we develop a machinery to push \hat{f} into the fundamental group π_1 , which encapsulates all the ℓ -dependence: In Definition 3.8 we give an abstract characterization of a *centralizer transfer map*

$$A_\ell : \Lambda/\Lambda_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cent}_\Lambda^\ell(\Lambda_R) / \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}^\ell(\Lambda)$$

(without proving that it always exists). In a generic case this is just multiplication by ℓ , but it severely depends on common divisors of ℓ with root length and divisors of the Cartan matrix. With this matrix we can transfer $q^{-(\mu, \nu)}$ to a natural form a_ℓ on the fundamental group. In a generic case $a_\ell(\mu, \nu) = e^{-2\pi i(\mu, \nu)}$. We prove that \hat{f} is non-degenerate iff $a_\ell^g = a_\ell(g \circ (\text{id} \otimes A_\ell))$ is non-degenerate. This explains why the set of solutions, say for fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_n always looks like the subset of invertible elements \mathbb{Z}_n^\times but it is shifted (namely by a_ℓ) depending on ℓ and the root system in question.

In **Section 4** the remaining computational work is done for quasitriangularity: We calculate a list containing a_ℓ for all simple \mathfrak{g} , depending on common divisors of ℓ with root

length and divisors. We thus write down all solutions for f and hence R -matrices. The calculation starts with the Smith normal form for the Cartan matrix in question and uses three cases: For $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$ we have a generic construction, the cases A_n with their large fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} is treated by hand, as is D_{2n} with non-cyclic fundamental group, which has the only cases allowing $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$.

In **Section 5** we address our main issue of factorizability with our new tools:

In **Subsection 5.1** we introduce factorizability. Then we calculate the monodromy matrix $R_{21}R$ for an arbitrary choice of R -matrix in terms of the R_0 -part. This gives a purely lattice theoretic problem equivalent to the factorizability of such an R -matrix. Then we prove in the main Thm. 5.9 that factorizability is equivalent to the non-degeneracy of a symmetrization $\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})$ of \hat{f} . As will turn out later, the radical of this form is isomorphic to the group of transparent objects.

In **Subsection 5.2** we restrict ourselves to the *symmetric case* where $H_1 = H_2$ and f, g are symmetric. Other cases appear only in some of the non-cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -extension for type $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$ and are dealt with in **Subsection 5.3** and give surprising new solutions.

The main result for the symmetric case is that the radical of the form $\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})$ is in this case simply the 2-torsion of Λ/Λ' (Ex. 5.11) and that this is non-degenerate precisely for odd ℓ and odd Λ/Λ_R as well as for $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$, $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ including A_1 .

In **Subsection 5.4** we prove the following result:

Lemma (5.17). *The transparent objects in the category of representations of the Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ with R -matrix given by Lusztig's ansatz are 1-dimensional objects \mathbb{C}_χ and are the f -transformed of the radical of $\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})$:*

$$\chi(\mu) = f(\mu, \xi) \quad \xi \in \text{Rad}(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})).$$

In **Subsection 5.5** we summarize our result by a table containing all quasitriangular quantum groups $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ with their group of transparent objects. We show in **Subsection 5.6** that all have a ribbon structure. The factorizable solutions and thus modular tensor categories are ℓ odd, $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$ and the following new factorizable cases:

(ℓ odd, $E_6, \Lambda = \Lambda_W$) and ($\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\mathfrak{g} = B_n, \Lambda = \Lambda_R$) (including A_1) and (ℓ odd, $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}, \Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$). All other cases can be modularized as discussed in Question 7.3.

\mathfrak{g}	ℓ	$\#$	$H_i \cong$	$H_{i(i=1,2)}$	g	$T \subseteq \Lambda/\Lambda'$
all	ℓ odd	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	$\mathbf{0}$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	1				\mathbb{Z}_2^n
$A_{n \geq 1}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$		∞	\mathbb{Z}_d $d \mid n+1$	$\langle \hat{d}\lambda_n \rangle$ $\hat{d} = \frac{n+1}{d}$	$g(\hat{d}\lambda_n, \hat{d}\lambda_n) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{d}\right)$ $\gcd\left(d, \frac{k\ell - \hat{d}n}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}\right) = 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}, 2 \nmid x$ $\mathbb{Z}_2^n, 2 \mid x$ $x = \frac{d\ell}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}$
$B_{n \geq 2}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	$\mathbf{0}$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^n
	ℓ odd	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = (-1)^{n+1}$	\mathbb{Z}_2
$C_{n \geq 3}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-2}
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^n
	ℓ odd	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = -1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
$D_{2n \geq 4}$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^{2(n-1)}$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$H_1 \cong \langle \lambda_{2n-1} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = (-1)^n$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$2\delta_{2 n}$			$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = \pm 1, n \text{ even}$	
	ℓ odd	1			$H_2 \cong \langle \lambda_{2n} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = -1$

$\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_{2n} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = (-1)^{n+1}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n-1}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$2\delta_{2 n}$			$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \pm 1, n \text{ odd}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = -1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
	$\ell \text{ even}$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \text{ odd}$				$\det(K) = K_{12} + K_{21} = 0 \pmod{2}$	\mathbb{Z}_2
					$\det(K) = K_{12} + K_{21} = 1 \pmod{2}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
$D_{2n+1 \geq 5}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle 2\lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n+1}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2			$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = \pm 1$	
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = -1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
	$\ell \text{ even}$	4	\mathbb{Z}_4	$\langle \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = c, c^4 = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n+1}
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	2			$g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
E_6 $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^6
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$	3	\mathbb{Z}_3	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = c, c^3 = 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^6, 2 \mid \ell$
	$\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i 2}{3}\right)$	
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)$	$\mathbf{0}, 2 \nmid \ell$

E_7 $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^6
	ℓ even	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^n
	ℓ odd	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
E_8	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^8
F_4	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
G_2	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2

Table 1: Solutions for R_0 -matrices

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Lie-Theory. Throughout this article, \mathfrak{g} denotes a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra. We fix a choice of simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$, so that the Cartan matrix C is given by $C_{ij} = 2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$, where $(,)$ denotes the normalized Killing form. For a root α , we define $d_\alpha := \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2}$ and set $d_i = d_{\alpha_i}$. By $\Lambda_R := \mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ and $\Lambda_R^\vee := \mathbb{Z}[\Delta^\vee]$ we denote the (co)root lattice of \mathfrak{g} .

By Λ_W , we denote the *weight lattice* spanned by fundamental dominant weights λ_i , which are defined by the equation $(\lambda_i, \alpha_j) = \delta_{i,j} d_i$. Finally, we define the *co-weight lattice* Λ_W^\vee as the \mathbb{Z} -span of the elements $\lambda_i^\vee := \frac{\lambda_i}{d_i}$. The quotient $\pi_1 := \Lambda_W / \Lambda_R$ is called the *fundamental group* of \mathfrak{g} .

One can easily see that the Killing form restricts to a perfect pairing $(,) : \Lambda_W^\vee \times \Lambda_R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and that we get a string of inclusions $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda_R^\vee \subseteq \Lambda_W \subseteq \Lambda_W^\vee$.

2.2. Lusztig's Ansatz for R -matrices. The starting point for our work [LN14b] was Lusztig's ansatz in [Lus93], Sec. 32.1, for a universal R -matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Namely, for a specific element $\bar{\Theta} \in U_q^{\geq 0} \otimes U_q^{\leq 0}$ from a dual basis and a suitable (not further specified) element in the coradical $R_0 \in U_q^0 \otimes U_q^0$ we are looking for R -matrices of the form

$$R = R_0 \bar{\Theta}$$

Note, that this ansatz has been successfully generalized to general diagonal Nichols algebras in [AY13]. In our more general setting $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$, we have

$$R_0 \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda'] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$$

This ansatz has been worked out by Müller in his Dissertation [Mül98a][Mül98b] for small quantum groups $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ which we will use in the following, leading to a system of quadratic equation on R_0 that are equivalent to R being an R -matrix:

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [Mül98b], Thm. 8.2). *(a) There is a unique family of elements $\Theta_\beta \in u_\beta^- \otimes u_\beta^+$, $\beta \in \Lambda_R$, such that $\Theta_0 = 1 \otimes 1$ and $\Theta = \sum_\beta \Theta_\beta \in u \otimes u$ satisfies $\Delta(x)\Theta = \Theta\bar{\Delta}(x)$ for all $x \in u$.*

(b) Let B be a vector space-basis of u^- , such that $B_\beta = B \cap u_\beta^-$ is a basis of u_β^- for all β . Here, u_β^- refers to the natural Λ_R -grading of u^- . Let $\{b^ \mid b \in B_\beta\}$ be the basis of u_β^+ dual to B_β under the non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot): u^- \otimes u^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have*

$$\Theta_\beta = (-1)^{\text{tr}\beta} q_\beta \sum_{b \in B_\beta} b^- \otimes b^{*+} \in u_\beta^- \otimes u_\beta^+.$$

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [Mül98b], Theorem 8.11). *Let $\Lambda' \subset \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid K_\mu \text{ central in } u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)\}$ a subgroup of Λ , and G_1, G_2 subgroups of $G := \Lambda/\Lambda'$, containing Λ_R/Λ' . In the following, $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in G_1$ and $\nu, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in G_2$.*

The element $R = R_0\bar{\Theta}$ with an arbitrary $R_0 = \sum_{\mu, \nu} f(\mu, \nu)K_\mu \otimes K_\nu$ is a R -matrix for $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$, if and only if for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_R$ and μ, ν the following holds:

$$(1) \quad f(\mu + \alpha, \nu) = q^{-(\nu, \alpha)} f(\mu, \nu), \quad f(\mu, \nu + \alpha) = q^{-(\mu, \alpha)} f(\mu, \nu),$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) = \delta_{\mu_1, \mu_2} f(\mu_1, \nu), \quad \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) = \delta_{\nu_1, \nu_2} f(\mu, \nu_1),$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\mu} f(\mu, \nu) = \delta_{\nu, 0}, \quad \sum_{\nu} f(\mu, \nu) = \delta_{\mu, 0}.$$

3. CONDITIONS FOR THE EXISTENCE OF R -MATRICES

3.1. A first set of conditions on Λ/Λ' . The target of our efforts is a Hopf algebra called small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ with Cartan part $u_q^0 = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$. It is defined e.g. in [LN14b] and depends on lattices Λ, Λ' defined below. For $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$ the root lattice and this is the usual small quantum group; the choice of Λ' differs in literature.

In the previous section we have discussed an $R = R_0\bar{\Theta}$ -matrix for the quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ can be obtained from an R_0 -matrix of the form

$$R_0 = \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \Lambda} f(\mu, \nu) K_\mu \otimes K_\nu \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda'] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$$

In the following we collect necessary and sufficient conditions for $R = R_0\bar{\Theta}$ to be an R -matrix.

Definition 3.1. We fix once-and-for-all a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a lattice Λ between root- and weight-lattice

$$\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W.$$

These choices have a nice geometric interpretation as quantum groups associated to different Lie groups associated to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Another interesting choice is $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W^\vee \cong \Lambda_R^*$, which would below pose no additional complications and may produce further interesting factorizable R -matrices.

Definition 3.2. We fix once-and-for-all a primitive ℓ -th root of unity q . For $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_W^\vee$ we define the sublattice

$$\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_1}(\Lambda_2) := \{ \nu \in \Lambda_1 \mid (\nu, \mu) \in \ell \cdot \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_2 \}.$$

Informally, this is the centralizer with respect to the braiding $q^{-(\nu, \mu)}$.

Contrary to [LN14b] we do not fix Λ' but we prove later 3.6 that there is a necessary choice for Λ' . In this way, we get more solutions than in [LN14b]. The only condition necessary to ensure that the Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ is well-defined is $\Lambda' \subseteq \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_R)$.

Theorem 3.3. (c.f. [LN14b] Thm. 3.4) The R_0 -matrix is necessarily of the form

$$(4) \quad f(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{d|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|} \cdot q^{-(\mu, \nu)} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \delta_{\bar{\mu} \in H_1} \delta_{\bar{\nu} \in H_2}$$

where H_1, H_2 are subgroups of $H := \Lambda/\Lambda_R \subseteq \pi_1$ with equal cardinality $|H_1| = |H_2| =: d$ (not necessarily isomorphic!) and $g: H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a pairing of groups.

The necessity of this form (in particular that the support of f is indeed a subgroup!) amounts to a combinatorial problem of its own interest, which we solved for π_1 cyclic in [LN14a] and for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by hand; a closed proof for all abelian groups would be interesting.

Definition 3.4. Let $g: G \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a finite group pairing, then the left radical is defined as

$$\text{Rad}_L(g) := \{ \lambda \in G \mid g(\lambda, \eta) = 1 \forall \eta \in H \}.$$

Similarly, the right radical is defined as

$$\text{Rad}_R(g) := \{ \eta \in H \mid g(\lambda, \eta) = 1 \forall \lambda \in G \}.$$

The pairing g is called non-degenerate if $\text{Rad}_L(g) = 0$. If in addition $\text{Rad}_R(g) = 0$, g is called perfect.

For an R_0 -matrix of this form, a sufficient condition is that they fulfill the so-called *diamond-equations* (see [LN14b] Def. 2.7) for each element $0 \neq \zeta \in (\text{Cent}(\Lambda_R) \cap \Lambda)/\Lambda'$. However, we will now go into a different, more systematic direction that makes use of the following observation:

Lemma 3.5. *An R_0 -matrix of the form given in Theorem 3.3 is a solution to the equations in Theorem 2.2, and hence produces an R -matrix $R_0\bar{\Theta}$ iff the restriction to the support*

$$\hat{f} := d|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'| \cdot f : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

is a perfect group pairing, where $G_i := \Lambda_i/\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda/\Lambda' =: G$.

Proof. We first show that a solution with restriction to the support a nondegenerate pairing solves the equation:

The first equations are obviously fulfilled for the form assumed.

$$f(\mu + \alpha, \nu) = q^{-(\nu, \alpha)} f(\mu, \nu), \quad f(\mu, \nu + \alpha) = q^{-(\mu, \alpha)} f(\mu, \nu),$$

For the other equations the sums get only contributions in the support $\Lambda_1/\Lambda' \times \Lambda_2/\Lambda'$. The quantities $f(\mu, \nu) \cdot d|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|$ for fixed ν (or μ) are characters on the respective support, and by the assumed non-degeneracy all $\nu \neq 0$ give rise to different nontrivial characters. Then the second and third relations follows from orthogonality of characters. Note that since $d|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'| = |G_1| = |G_2|$ (equality of the latter was an assumption!) we were able to chose the right normalization.

For the other direction assume a solution of the given form to the equations. Then already the third equation shows that no $f(-, \nu)$ may be the trivial character and hence the form on the support is nondegenerate and hence perfect by $|G_1| = |G_2|$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *A first consequence of the perfectness of \hat{f} (i.e. a necessary condition for quasi-triangularity) is:*

$$\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_1) = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_2) = \Lambda'$$

This fixes Λ' uniquely. Moreover in cases $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$, which can only happen for $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$ where π_1 is noncyclic, we get an additional constraint relating Λ_1, Λ_2 .

In our case, the only possibility for $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$, s.t. $G_1 \cong G_2$ is $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$. In this case, we have $\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_W) = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_R)$ and thus the above condition is always fulfilled.

Our main goal for the new approach on quasitriangularity as well as the later modularity is to reduce this non-degeneracy condition for \hat{f} to a non-degeneracy condition for g on $H_1, H_2 \subset \pi_1$ that can be checked explicitly.

3.2. A natural form on the fundamental group. We now define for each triple $(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ and each ℓ th root of unity q a natural pairing a_ℓ on the subgroups $H_i := \Lambda_i/\Lambda_R$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1 := \Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$. The simplest example is $a_\ell = e^{-2\pi i(\mu, \nu)}$. In general it is a transportation of the natural form $q^{-(\mu, \nu)}$ (which does not factorize over Λ_R) to H_i by a suitable isomorphism A_ℓ .

This isomorphism A_ℓ will encapsulate the crucial dependence on the common divisors of ℓ , $|H|$ and the root lengths d_i ; moreover, for different H these forms are *not* simply restrictions of one another.

Then, we can moreover transport any given pairing g together with $q^{-(\mu, \nu)}$ along the isomorphism A_ℓ to the H_i and thus define forms a_ℓ^g on H . The main result of this section is in Theorem 3.13 that the non-degeneracy condition in Lemma 3.5 for $R_0(f)$ depending on H_i, g is equivalent to a_ℓ^g being non-degenerate.

Definition 3.7. Let $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W^\vee$ be a sublattice, s.t. $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda$. By $\hat{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda_W^\vee$ we denote the unique sublattice, s.t. the symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : \Lambda_W^\vee \times \Lambda_W^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ induces a commuting diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda_R & \hookrightarrow & \hat{\Lambda} & \hookrightarrow & \Lambda_W^\vee \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \Lambda_W^{\vee*} & \hookrightarrow & \Lambda^* & \hookrightarrow & \Lambda_R^* \end{array},$$

where $\Lambda^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, we have $\hat{\Lambda}_R = \Lambda_W^\vee$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_W = \Lambda_R$.

Definition 3.8. A centralizer transfer map is an group endomorphism $A_\ell \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$, s.t.

- (1) $A_\ell(\Lambda) \stackrel{!}{=} \Lambda \cap \ell \cdot \hat{\Lambda}_R = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda}^{\ell}(\Lambda_R)$
- (2) $A_\ell(\Lambda_R) \stackrel{!}{=} \Lambda_R \cap \ell \cdot \hat{\Lambda} = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}^{\ell}(\Lambda)$.

Such a A_ℓ induces a group isomorphism

$$\Lambda/\Lambda_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cent}_{\Lambda}^{\ell}(\Lambda_R)/\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}^{\ell}(\Lambda).$$

Of course A_ℓ is not unique.

Question 3.9. Are there abstract arguments for the existence of these isomorphism and for its explicit form?

We will calculate explicit expressions for A_ℓ depending on the cases in the next section. At this point we give the generic answers:

Example 3.10. For $\Lambda = \Lambda_W^\vee$ we have $A_\ell = \ell \cdot \text{id}$.

For $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$ the two conditions are equivalent, so existence is trivial (resp. obviously

the two trivial groups are isomorphic) and we may simply take for A_ℓ any base change between left and right side. The expression may however be nontrivial.

Lemma 3.11. *Assume $\gcd(\ell, |\Lambda_W^\vee/\Lambda|) = 1$, then $A_\ell = \ell \cdot \text{id}$. In particular this is the case if ℓ is prime to all root lengths and all divisors of the Cartan matrix.*

Moreover if $\ell = \ell_1 \ell_2$ with $\gcd(\ell_1, |\Lambda_W^\vee/\Lambda|) = 1$, then $A_\ell = \ell_1 \cdot A_{\ell_2}$.

This means we only have to calculate A_ℓ for all divisors ℓ of $|\Lambda_W^\vee/\Lambda|$, which is a subset of all divisors of root lengths times divisors of the Cartan matrix.

Proof. For the first condition we need to show for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_W^\vee$ that $\ell\lambda \in \Lambda$ already implies $\lambda \in \Lambda$. But if by assumption the order of the quotient group Λ_W^\vee/Λ is prime to ℓ , then $\ell \cdot$ is an isomorphism on this abelian group, hence follows the assertion. For the second condition applies the same argument noting that $|\hat{\Lambda}/\Lambda_R| = |\Lambda_W^\vee/\Lambda|$.

For the second claim we simply consider the inclusion chains

$$\begin{aligned} A_\ell(\Lambda) &\subset \Lambda \cap \ell_2 \cdot \hat{\Lambda}_R \subset \Lambda \cap \ell \cdot \hat{\Lambda}_R \\ A_\ell(\Lambda_R) &\subset \Lambda \cap \ell_2 \cdot \hat{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda_R \cap \ell \cdot \hat{\Lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where a first isomorphism is given by A_{ℓ_2} and again $\ell_1 \cdot$ is a second isomorphism because it is prime to the index. \square

Our main result of this chapter is the following:

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_W$ be intermediate lattices, s.t. the condition in Corollary 3.6 is fulfilled, i.e. $\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_1) = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_2) = \Lambda'$. Assume we have a centralizer transfer map A_ℓ .*

(1) *The following form is well defined on the quotients:*

$$\begin{aligned} a_g^\ell : \Lambda_1/\Lambda_R \times \Lambda_2/\Lambda_R &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) &\longmapsto q^{-(\lambda, A_\ell(\mu))} \cdot g(\lambda, A_\ell(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Let*

$$\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_1}^g(\Lambda_2) := \{\lambda \in \Lambda_1 \mid q^{(\lambda, \mu)} = g(\lambda, \mu) \ \forall \mu \in \Lambda_2\}.$$

Then the inclusion $\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_1}^g(\Lambda_2) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_1$ induces an isomorphism

$$(6) \quad \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_1}^g(\Lambda_2)/\Lambda' \cong \text{Rad}(a_g^\ell).$$

Corollary 3.13. *The quasitriangularity conditions for a choice R_0 are by Lemma 3.5 equivalent to the non-degeneracy of the group pairing on $\Lambda_1/\Lambda' \times \Lambda_2/\Lambda'$:*

$$\hat{f}(\lambda, \mu) = q^{-(\lambda, \mu)} g(\lambda, \mu).$$

By the previous theorem this condition is now equivalent to the nondegeneracy of a_g^ℓ .

This condition on the fundamental group, which is a finite abelian group and mostly cyclic, can be checked explicitly once a_g^ℓ has been calculated.

Proof of Thm. 3.12. The first part of the theorem is a direct consequence of the definition of the centralizer transfer matrix A_ℓ . For the second part, we first notice that by assumption we have a commutative diagram of finite abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda_R/\Lambda' & \hookrightarrow & \Lambda_1/\Lambda' & \twoheadrightarrow & \Lambda_1/\Lambda_R \\ \downarrow q^{-\langle \dots \rangle} & & \downarrow \hat{f} & & \downarrow \hat{f}' \\ (\Lambda_2/\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_2}(\Lambda_R))^\wedge & \hookrightarrow & (\Lambda_2/\Lambda')^\wedge & \twoheadrightarrow & (\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_2}(\Lambda_R)/\Lambda')^\wedge, \end{array}$$

where G^\wedge denotes the dual group of a group G .

Now, by the five lemma we know that \hat{f} is an isomorphism if and only if the induced map \hat{f}' is an isomorphism. Post-composing this map with the dualized centralizer transfer matrix $A_\ell^\wedge : (\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_2}(\Lambda_R)/\Lambda')^\wedge \cong (\Lambda_2/\Lambda_R)^\wedge$ gives a_g^ℓ . \square

4. EXPLICIT CALCULATION FOR EVERY \mathfrak{g}

In the following, we want to compute the endomorphism $A_\ell \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ and the pairing a_ℓ on the fundamental group explicitly in terms of the Cartan matrices and the common divisors of ℓ with root lengths and divisors of the Cartan matrix. We will finally give a list for all \mathfrak{g} .

4.1. Technical Tools. We choose the basis of simple roots α_i for Λ_R and the dual basis of fundamental coweights λ_i^\vee for the dual lattice Λ_W^\vee with $(\alpha_i, \lambda_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$.

For any choice $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_W \subset \Lambda_W^\vee$, let A_Λ be a *basis matrix* i.e. any \mathbb{Z} -linear isomorphism $\Lambda_W^\vee \rightarrow \Lambda$ sending the basis λ_i^\vee of Λ_W^\vee to some basis μ_i of Λ . It is unique up to pre-composition of a unimodular matrix $U \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

The dual basis $A_{\hat{\Lambda}}$ of $\hat{\Lambda}$ is defined by

$$(A_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\lambda_i^\vee), A_\Lambda(\lambda_j^\vee)) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Explicitly, $A_{\hat{\Lambda}}$ is given by $A_{\hat{\Lambda}} = (A_\Lambda^{-1}A_R)^T$, where $(A_R)_{ij} = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. Now, let $A_\Lambda = P_\Lambda S_\Lambda Q_\Lambda$ be the unique Smith decomposition of A_Λ , which means: P_Λ, Q_Λ are unimodular and S_Λ is diagonal with diagonal entries $(S_\Lambda)_{ii} =: d_i^\Lambda$, such that $d_i^\Lambda \mid d_j^\Lambda$ for $i < j$.

Example 4.1. For the root lattice the $d_i^{\Lambda_R}$ are the divisors of scalar product matrix (α_i, α_j) . Their product is

$$\prod_i d_i^{\Lambda_R} = |\Lambda_W^\vee / \Lambda_R| = \left(\prod_i d_i \right) \cdot |\pi_1|, \quad d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}.$$

For the coweight lattice all $d_i^{\Lambda_W^\vee} = 1$. For the weight lattice we recover the familiar $d_i^{\Lambda_W} = d_i$.

Without loss of generality, we will assume the basis matrices A_Λ to be symmetric, i.e. $Q_\Lambda = P_\Lambda^T$. We then have the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.2. Let $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W^\vee$ be a lattice. We define lattices

$$A_{Cent} := (P_\Lambda^T)^{-1} D_\ell P_\Lambda^{-1} \quad D_\ell := \text{Diag} \left(\frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i^\Lambda)} \right).$$

Then,

$$\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda) = A_R A_{Cent} \Lambda_W^\vee \quad \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R) = A_\Lambda A_{Cent} \Lambda_W^\vee.$$

Proof. We compute explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda) &= \Lambda_R \cap \ell \cdot \hat{\Lambda} \\ &= A_R \Lambda_W^\vee \cap (A_\Lambda^{-1} A_R)^T \ell \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= (A_\Lambda^{-1} A_R)^T ((A_\Lambda^{-1} A_R)^T)^{-1} A_R \Lambda_W^\vee \cap \ell \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= A_R A_\Lambda^{-1} (A_\Lambda \cap \ell \Lambda_W^\vee) \\ &= A_R (P_\Lambda S_\Lambda P_\Lambda^T)^{-1} (P_\Lambda S_\Lambda P_\Lambda^T \Lambda_W^\vee \cap \ell \Lambda_W^\vee) \\ &= A_R (P_\Lambda^T)^{-1} S_\Lambda^{-1} (S_\Lambda \Lambda_W^\vee \cap \ell \Lambda_W^\vee) \\ &= A_R (P_\Lambda^T)^{-1} S_\Lambda^{-1} \text{Diag}(\text{lcm}(S_{\Lambda_{ii}}, \ell)) \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= A_R (P_\Lambda^T)^{-1} D_\ell \Lambda_W^\vee = A_R A_{Cent} \Lambda_W^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R) &= \Lambda \cup \ell \hat{\Lambda}_R \\ &= \Lambda \cup \ell \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= A_\Lambda \Lambda_W^\vee \cup \ell \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= P_\Lambda S_\Lambda P_\Lambda^T \Lambda_W^\vee \cup \ell \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= P_\Lambda (S_\Lambda \Lambda_W^\vee \cup \ell \Lambda_W^\vee) \\ &= P_\Lambda S_\Lambda D_\ell \Lambda_W^\vee \\ &= A_\Lambda (P_\Lambda^T)^{-1} D_\ell \Lambda_W^\vee = A_\Lambda A_{Cent} \Lambda_W^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this means $A_{\hat{\Lambda}} \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R) = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda)$. □

4.2. **Case $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$.** In order to exhaust all cases that appear in our setting, we continue with $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$:

Lemma 4.3. *In the case $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$, the centralizer transfer matrix A_ℓ is of the following form:*

$$A_\ell = \begin{cases} A_{\Lambda_W} A_{\text{Cent}} Q_C^T P_C^{-1} A_{\Lambda_W}^{-1}, & \gcd(\ell, |\pi_1|) \neq 1 \\ \ell \cdot id, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $C = P_C S_C Q_C$ denotes the Smith decomposition of the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. As we noted in Example 4.1, we have $A_{\Lambda_W} = \text{Diag}(d_i)$, for d_i being the i th root length. Since $d_i \in \{1, p\}$ for some prime number p , up to a permutation A_{Λ_W} is already in Smith normal form: this means that P_{Λ_W} is a permutation matrix of the form $(P_{\Lambda_W})_{ij} = \delta_{j, \sigma(i)}$ for some $\sigma \in S_n$, s.t. $d_{\sigma(1)} \leq \dots \leq d_{\sigma(n)}$. It follows that $A_{\text{Cent}} = \text{Diag}\left(\frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i)}\right)$. Using the definition $C_{ij} = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{d_i}$, in the case $\gcd(\ell, |\pi_1|) \neq 1$ we obtain

$$A_{\text{Cent}} C^T = C A_{\text{Cent}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\ell A_R &= A_{\Lambda_W} A_{\text{Cent}} Q_C^T P_C^{-1} A_{\Lambda_W}^{-1} A_R \\ &= A_R C^{-1} A_{\text{Cent}} Q_C^T P_C^{-1} C \\ &= A_R A_{\text{Cent}} (C^T)^{-1} Q_C^T P_C^{-1} C \\ &= A_R A_{\text{Cent}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous Lemma, this proves the first condition for A_ℓ . The second condition follows immediately from the previous Lemma.

The case $\gcd(\ell, |\pi_1|) = 1$ follows from Lemma 3.11 and the fact that $|\pi_1| = |\Lambda_W^\vee / \Lambda_R^\vee|$. \square

4.3. **Case A_n .** In the following example, we treat the case $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$ with fundamental group $\Lambda_W / \Lambda_R = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ for general intermediate lattices $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W$.

Example 4.4. *In order to compute the centralizer transfer map A_ℓ , we first compute the Smith decomposition of A_R :*

$$\begin{aligned}
A_R &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & n+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ -n & & & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

A sublattice $\Lambda_R \subsetneq \Lambda \subsetneq \Lambda_W$ is uniquely determined by a divisor $d \mid n+1$, so that $\Lambda/\Lambda_R \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$ and is generated by the multiple $\hat{d}\lambda_n$, where $\hat{d} := \frac{n+1}{d}$. Then

$$d_i^\Lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & i < n \\ d, & i = n \end{cases}.$$

Since A_n is simply laced with cyclic fundamental group, the formula $A_\Lambda = P_R S_\Lambda P_R^T$ gives us symmetric basis matrices of sublattices $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W$. We also substitute the above basis matrix of the root lattice A_R by $A_R(Q_R)^{-1}P_R^T$. It is then easy to see that the definition $A_\ell := P_R D_\ell P_R^T$ gives a centralizer transfer matrix. We calculate it explicitly:

$$(A_\ell)_{ij} = (P_R D_\ell P_R^{-1})_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij}, & i < n \\ (n+1-j) \left(\frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d)} - 1 \right), & i = n \text{ and } j < n \\ \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d)}, & i = j = n. \end{cases}$$

Now a form g is uniquely determined by a d th root of unity $g(\chi, \chi) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot k}{d}\right) = \zeta_d^k$ with some k . Then we calculate the form a_g^ℓ on the generator:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_g^\ell(\chi, \chi) &= q^{-(\chi, A_\ell(\chi))} g(\chi, A_\ell(\chi)) \\
&= q^{-\frac{(n+1)^2 \cdot \ell}{d^2 \gcd(\ell, \hat{d})} (\lambda_n^\vee, \lambda_n^\vee)} \cdot g(\chi, \chi)^{\frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}} \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - \hat{d}n)}{d \cdot \gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For example the trivial g (i.e. $k = 0$) gives an R -matrix for all lattices Λ (defined by $\hat{d}d = n + 1$) iff $\frac{\hat{d}}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}$ is coprime to d . For ℓ coprime to the divisor $n + 1$ this amounts to all lattices associated to decompositions of $n + 1$ into two coprime factors.

4.4. Case D_n . Finally, we consider the root lattice D_n . Since we have $\pi_1(D_{2n \geq 4}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\pi_1(D_{2n+1 \geq 5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, it is appropriate to split this investigation in two steps. We start with $D_{2n \geq 4}$. In order to compute the respective Smith decompositions, we used the software *Wolfram Mathematica*.

Example 4.5. In the case $D_{2n \geq 4}$, we have three different possibilities for the lattices $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_W$:

- (1) $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2, H_1 \cong H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$: In this case, the subgroups $\Lambda_i/\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda_R$ are spanned by the fundamental weights $\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}$. As in the case A_n , we define the centralizer transfer map $A_\ell := P_R D_\ell P_R^{-1}$ on H_2 . This is possible since the symmetric basis matrix $A_{\Lambda_2} = P_R S_{\Lambda_2} P_R^T$ of Λ_2 is already in Smith normal form. Using the software *Wolfram Mathematica* in order to compute P_R , we obtain $A_\ell(\lambda_{2n}) = \frac{\ell}{\gcd(2, \ell)}$. Combining this with $(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = \frac{n-1}{2}$, we get

$$a_g^\ell(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (kl - 2(n-1))}{2 \cdot \gcd(2, \ell)}\right)$$

for $g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{2}\right)$.

- (2) $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2, H_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$: Without restrictions and in order to use the same definition for A_ℓ as above, we choose Λ_i , s.t. the group Λ_i/Λ_R is spanned by λ_{2n} . Combining the above result $A_\ell(\lambda_{2n}) = \frac{\ell}{\gcd(2, \ell)}$ with $(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \frac{n}{2}$, we obtain

$$a_g^\ell(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (kl - 2n)}{2 \cdot \gcd(2, \ell)}\right)$$

for $g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{2}\right)$.

- (3) $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_W, H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$: A group pairing $g : (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is uniquely defined by a matrix $K \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, so that

$$g(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i K_{ij}}{2}\right).$$

Since D_n is simply-laced, we have $A_\ell = \ell \cdot \text{Id}$. Using $(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) \bmod 2 = \delta_{i+j \text{ odd}}$, we obtain

$$a_\ell^g(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot K_{ij} \ell}{2}\right) (-1)^{i+j}.$$

The last step is the case $D_{2n+1 \geq 5}$:

Example 4.6. Since it is simply-laced and its fundamental group is cyclic, the case $D_{2n+1 \geq 5}$ can be treated very similar to A_n . We distinguish two cases:

- (1) $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$, $H_i = \langle 2\lambda_{2n+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. As in the case A_n , we define the centralizer transfer map $A_\ell := P_R D_\ell P_R^{-1}$ on H_2 . Using $(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = \frac{2n+1}{4}$, we obtain

$$a_g^\ell(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 2(2n+1))}{2 \cdot \gcd(2, \ell)}\right).$$

for $g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{2}\right)$.

- (2) $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_W$, $H = \langle \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. By an analogous argument as above, we obtain

$$a_g^\ell(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - (2n+1))}{4}\right).$$

for $g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{4}\right)$.

4.5. Table of all quasitriangular quantum groups. In the following table, we list all simple Lie algebras and check for which non-trivial wchoices of Λ, Λ_i, ℓ and g the element $R_0 \bar{\Theta}$ is an R -matrix. As before, we define $H_i := \Lambda_i / \Lambda_R$ and $H := \Lambda / \Lambda_R$. In the cyclic case, if x_i are generators of the H_i , then the pairing is uniquely defined by an element $1 \leq k \leq |H_i|$, s.t. $g(x_1, x_2) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{|H_i|}\right)$. In the case D_{2n} , $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$, g is uniquely defined by a 2×2 -matrix $K \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, s.t. $g(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i K_{ij}^g}{2}\right)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

\mathfrak{g}	ℓ	$\#$	$H_i \cong$	$H_{i(i=1,2)}$	g	a_ℓ^g
all		1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	1
$A_{n \geq 1}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$		∞	\mathbb{Z}_d $d \mid n+1$	$\langle \hat{d}\lambda_n \rangle$ $\hat{d} = \frac{n+1}{d}$	$g(\hat{d}\lambda_n, \hat{d}\lambda_n) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{d}\right)$ $\gcd\left(d, \frac{k\ell - \hat{d}n}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}\right) = 1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - \hat{d}n)}{d \cdot \gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}\right)$
$B_{n \geq 2}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	ℓ even	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	-1
	ℓ odd	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = (-1)^{n+1}$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - n)}{2}\right)$
$C_{n \geq 3}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\frac{\ell}{2} + 1)}{2}\right)$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	-1
	ℓ odd	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = -1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 2n)}{2}\right)$
$D_{2n \geq 4}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$H_1 \cong \langle \lambda_{2n-1} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = (-1)^n$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\frac{\ell}{2} - n + 1)}{2}\right)$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$2\delta_{2 n}$		$H_2 \cong \langle \lambda_{2n} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = \pm 1, n \text{ even}$	
	ℓ odd	1		$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = -1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 2(n-1))}{2}\right)$	
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_{2n} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = (-1)^{n+1}$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\frac{\ell}{2} - n)}{2}\right)$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$2\delta_{2 n}$			$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \pm 1, n \text{ odd}$	
	ℓ odd	1			$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = -1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 2n)}{2}\right)$
	ℓ even	2			$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$
ℓ odd		$\det(K) = K_{12} + K_{12} \pmod{2}$				
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle 2\lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = 1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\frac{\ell}{2} - 2n - 1)}{2}\right)$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2			$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = \pm 1$	

$D_{2n+1 \geq 5}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4$	ℓ odd	1	\mathbb{Z}_4	$\langle \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = -1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 2(2n+1))}{2}\right)$
	ℓ even	4			$g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = c, c^4 = 1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - (2n+1))}{4}\right)$
	ℓ odd	2			$g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = \pm 1$	
E_6 $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$	3	\mathbb{Z}_3	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = c, c^3 = 1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 1)}{3}\right)$
	$\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i 2}{3}\right)$	
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)$	
E_7 $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	ℓ even	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	$\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot (k\ell - 1)}{2}\right)$
	ℓ odd	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1$	

Table 2: Solutions for R_0 -matrices

The Lie algebras E_8, F_4 and G_2 have trivial fundamental groups and thus have no non-trivial solution. We want to emphasize once more that the choice $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_R$ always leads to a quasitriangular quantum group.

The following Lemma connects our results with Lusztig's original result:

Lemma 4.7. *In Lusztig's definition of a quantum group he uses the quotient $\Lambda'_{Lusztig} = 2\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(2\Lambda_W)$. This coincide with our choice $\Lambda' = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)$, if and only if*

$$(7) \quad 2 \gcd(\ell, d_i^\Lambda) = \gcd(\ell, 2d_i^W),$$

where the d_i^Λ denote the invariant factors of Λ_W^\vee/Λ and the d_i^W denote the invariant factors of Λ_W^\vee/Λ_W (i.e. ordered root lengths).

In particular, for ℓ odd these choices never coincide. For $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$, $\Lambda' = \Lambda'_{Lusztig}$ holds if and only if $2d_i \mid \ell$. This is the most extreme case of divisibility and it is precisely the case appearing in logarithmic conformal field theories.

Proof. We first note that in our cases, $\Lambda' = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda)$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(2\Lambda_W) &= 2(\Lambda_R \cap \widehat{2\Lambda_W}) \\ &= A_R 2(\Lambda_W^\vee \cap A_W^{-1} \frac{\ell}{2} \Lambda_W^\vee) \\ &= A_R \text{Diag} \left(\frac{2\ell}{\gcd(\ell, 2d_i^W)} \right) \Lambda_W^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

By 4.2, this coincides with Λ' if and only if equation (7) holds. \square

5. FACTORIZABILITY OF QUANTUM GROUP R -MATRICES

We first recall the definition of factorizable braided tensor categories and factorizable Hopf algebras, respectively.

Definition 5.1. [EGNO15] *A braided tensor category \mathcal{C} is factorizable if the canonical braided tensor functor $G : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Definition 5.2. *A finite-dimensional quasitriangular Hopf algebra (H, R) is called factorizable if its monodromy matrix $M := R \cdot R_{21} \in H \otimes H$ is non-degenerate, i.e. the following linear map is bijective*

$$H^* \rightarrow H \quad \phi \mapsto (id \otimes \phi)(M).$$

Equivalently, this means we can write $M = \sum_i R_1^i \otimes R_2^i$ for two basis' $R_1^i, R_2^i \in H$.

In [Sch01], Schneider gave a different characterization of factorizable Hopf algebras in terms of its Drinfeld double, leading to the following theorem:

Theorem 5.3. *Let (H, R) be a finite-dimensional quasitriangular Hopf algebra. Then the category of finite-dimensional H -modules $H - \text{mod}_{fd}$ is factorizable if and only if (H, R) is a factorizable Hopf algebra.*

5.1. Monodromy matrix in terms of R_0 . In order to obtain conditions for the factorizability of the quasitriangular small quantum groups $(u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda'), R_0(f)\bar{\Theta})$ as in Theorem 2.2 in terms of \mathfrak{g}, q, Λ and f , we start by calculating the monodromy matrix $M := R \cdot R_{21} \in u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda') \otimes u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ in general as far as possible:

Lemma 5.4. *For some $R = R_0(f)\bar{\Theta}$ as in Theorem 2.2, the factorizability of R is equivalent to the invertibility of the following complex-valued matrix m with entries indexed by elements in $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'$:*

$$m_{\mu, \nu} := \sum_{\mu', \nu' \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') f(\nu', \mu').$$

Proof. We first plug in the expressions for R_0 from Theorem 3.3 and $\bar{\Theta}$ from Theorem 2.2 and simplify:

$$\begin{aligned}
M &:= R_{21} \cdot R \\
&= (R_0)_{21} \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{21} \cdot R_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\mu_1, \nu_1 \in \Lambda} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) K_{\nu_1} \otimes K_{\mu_1} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\beta_1 \in \Lambda_R^+} (-1)^{\text{tr} \beta_1} q_{\beta_1} \sum_{b_1 \in B_{\beta_2}} b_1^{*+} \otimes b_1^- \right) \\
&\cdot \left(\sum_{\mu_2, \nu_2 \in \Lambda} f(\mu_2, \nu_2) K_{\mu_2} \otimes K_{\nu_2} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\beta_2 \in \Lambda_R^+} (-1)^{\text{tr} \beta_2} q_{\beta_2} \sum_{b_2 \in B_{\beta_2}} b_2^- \otimes b_2^{*+} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Lambda_R^+} (-1)^{\text{tr} \beta_1 + \beta_2} q_{\beta_1} q_{\beta_2} \left(\sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \Lambda} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) q^{\beta_1(\nu_2 - \mu_2)} K_{\nu_1 + \mu_2} \otimes K_{\mu_1 + \nu_2} \right) \\
&\cdot \left(\sum_{b_1 \in B_{\beta_1}, b_2 \in B_{\beta_2}} b_1^{*+} b_2^- \otimes b_1^- b_2^{*+} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_R^+ = \mathbb{N}_0[\Delta]$. The last equation holds since $b_1^- \in u_{\beta_1}^-$ and hence fulfills $K_{\nu_2} b_1^- = q^{-\beta_1 \nu_2} b_1^- K_{\nu_2}$ and similarly for b_1^{*+} .

We have two triangular decompositions

$$u_q = u_q^0 u_q^- u_q^+ \quad u_q = u_q^0 u_q^+ u_q^-,$$

thus the following both sets are vector space basis' of u_q :

$$\{K_\lambda b_1^- b_2^{*+} | \lambda \in \Lambda/\Lambda', b_i \in B_{\beta_i}, \beta_i \in \Lambda_R^+\} \quad \{K_\lambda b_1^{*+} b_2^- | \lambda \in \Lambda/\Lambda', b_i \in B_{\beta_i}, \beta_i \in \Lambda_R^+\}.$$

Hence the factorizability M (and hence of R) is equivalent to the fact that for all (!) $\beta_1 \in \Lambda_R^+$ the following element factorizes:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0^{\beta_1} &:= \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} q^{\beta_1(\nu_2 - \mu_2)} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) K_{\nu_1 + \mu_2} \otimes K_{\mu_1 + \nu_2} \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} K_\nu \otimes K_\mu \cdot \left(\sum_{\mu', \nu' \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} q^{\beta_1(\mu' - \nu')} f(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') f(\nu', \mu') \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $K_\nu \otimes K_\mu$ is a vector space basis of $u_q^0 \otimes u_q^0 = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda'] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$, this in turn is equivalent to the invertibility of the following family of matrices m^{β_1} for all $\beta_1 \in \Lambda_R^+$

with rows/columns indexed by elements in $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'$:

$$m_{\mu, \nu}^{\beta_1} := \sum_{\mu', \nu' \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') f(\nu', \mu') q^{\beta_1(\mu' - \nu')}$$

We now use the fact that R was indeed an R -matrix: By property (1) in Theorem 2.2 we have

$$m_{\mu, \nu}^{\beta_1} = \sum_{\mu', \nu' \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') f(\nu' + \beta_1, \mu') q^{-\beta_1 \nu'}$$

Since the invertibility of a matrix $m_{\mu, \nu}$ is equivalent to the invertibility of any matrix $m_{\mu, \nu + \beta_1}$, we may substitute $\nu' \mapsto \nu' + \beta_1, \nu \mapsto \nu + \beta_1$, pull the constant factor $q^{-\beta_1^2}$ in front (which also does not affect invertibility) and hence eliminated the first β_1 from the condition. Hence the invertibility of R is equivalent to the invertibility of the following family of matrices \tilde{m}^{β_1} for all $\beta_1 \in \Lambda_R^+$:

$$\tilde{m}_{\mu, \nu}^{\beta_1} := \sum_{\mu', \nu' \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') f(\nu', \mu') q^{-\beta_1 \nu'}$$

We may now use the same procedure to eliminate the second β_1 , hence the invertibility of R is equivalent to the invertibility of the following matrix with rows/columns indexed by elements in $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'$:

$$m_{\mu, \nu} := \sum_{\mu', \nu' \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') f(\nu', \mu')$$

This was the assertion we wanted to prove. \square

Definition 5.5. Let $g : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a group pairing. It induces a symmetric form on the product $G_1 \times G_2$ we denote by $Sym(g)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Sym(g) : (G_1 \times G_2)^{\times 2} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ ((\mu_1, \mu_2), (\nu_1, \nu_2)) &\longmapsto g(\mu_1, \nu_2) g(\nu_1, \mu_2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.6. If $g : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a perfect pairing of abelian groups, then the symmetric form $Sym(g)$ is perfect.

Proof. By assumption, $g \times g$ defines an isomorphism between $G_1 \times G_2$ to $\widehat{G_2} \times \widehat{G_1}$. The symmetric form $Sym(g)$ is given by the composition of this isomorphism with the canonical isomorphism $\widehat{G_2} \times \widehat{G_1} \cong \widehat{G_1 \times G_2}$. This proves the claim. \square

Consider for a finite abelian group G and subgroups $G_1, G_2 \leq G$ the canonical exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow G_1 \cap G_2 \rightarrow G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_1 + G_2 \rightarrow 0$$

For $\mu \in G_1 + G_2$, we denote its fiber by

$$(G_1 \times G_2)_\mu := \{(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in G_1 \times G_2 \mid \mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu\}$$

Moreover, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Rad} &:= \{ (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in G_1 \times G_2 \mid \text{Sym}(\hat{f})((\mu_1, \mu_2), x) = 1 \ \forall x \in (G_1 \times G_2)_0 \} \\ \text{Rad}_\mu &:= \text{Rad} \cap (G_1 \times G_2)_\mu \\ \text{Rad}_0^\perp &:= \{ \mu_1 + \mu_2 \in G \mid (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \text{Rad} \}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7. *We have two split exact sequences:*

$$\begin{aligned}0 \rightarrow \text{Rad}_0 \rightarrow \text{Rad} \rightarrow \text{Rad}_0^\perp \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Rad}_0^\perp \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Rad}_0 \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first sequence is exact by definition of the three groups. Moreover, we know

$$\text{Rad} = \ker(\hat{i} \circ \text{Sym}(\hat{f})) \cong \ker(\hat{i}) = \text{im}(\hat{\pi}) \cong \hat{G} \cong G,$$

where $\hat{i}, \hat{\pi}$ denote the duals of the inclusion and projection in (8). In example 5.11 we will see that in the case $G_1 = G_2 = G$, \hat{f} symmetric, Rad_0 is the 2-torsion subgroup of G , and the second map in the second exact sequence is just the projection, hence both diagrams split in this case. If \hat{f} is asymmetric, we will see in section 5.3 that Rad_0 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2^k for some $k \geq 2$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Rad}_0^\perp &\longrightarrow \text{Rad} \\ x &\longmapsto \sum_{\tilde{x} \in \text{Rad}_x} \tilde{x}\end{aligned}$$

is a section of the first exact sequence. Here we used that the sum over all elements in \mathbb{Z}_2^k vanishes. Again, it follows that both diagrams split. Finally, if $G_1 \neq G_2$ (i.e. in the case D_{2n}), then $\hat{f} = q^{-\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ on $G_1 \cap G_2$. By the same argument as in example 5.11, Rad_0 is the 2-torsion subgroup of $G_1 \cap G_2$. But we have $G \cong G_1 \cap G_2 \times \pi_1$ in this case, hence both sequences split. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Using the projection $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Rad}_0^\perp$ and the inclusion $\beta : \text{Rad}_0^\perp \rightarrow \text{Rad}$ from the above lemma, we can define a symmetric form on G :*

$$(9) \quad \text{Sym}_G(\hat{f}) : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$(10) \quad (\mu, \nu) \longmapsto \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\beta \circ \alpha(\mu), \beta \circ \alpha(\nu)).$$

Moreover, we have $\text{Rad}(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})) \cong \text{Rad}_0$.

\square

Theorem 5.9. *We have shown in Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.5 that the assumption that $R = R_0(f)\bar{\Theta}$ is an R -matrix is equivalent to the existence of subgroups $G_1, G_2 \subset \Lambda/\Lambda'$ of same order some $d|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|$ and f restricting up to a scalar to a non-degenerate pairing*

$\hat{f} : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and f vanishes otherwise.

In this notation the matrix m as defined in the previous lemma can be rewritten as:

$$m_{\mu,\nu} = \frac{1}{d^2|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|^2} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\mu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\mu \\ \tilde{\nu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\nu}} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}).$$

It is invertible if and only if $\text{Rad}_0 = 0$. In this case,

$$m_{\mu,\nu} = \frac{|G_1 \cap G_2|}{d^2|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|^2} \text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})$$

We first note that $\text{Rad}_0 = 0$ implies $\text{Rad}_0^\perp = G$ and thus $G = G_1 + G_2$. Together with Corollary 3.6 this implies

Corollary 5.10.

$$\Lambda' = \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda).$$

Before we proof the theorem, we first give a simple example:

Example 5.11. Let $G_1 = G_2 = G$ (correspondingly $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda$) and assume \hat{f} is symmetric non-degenerate, then the radical measures 2-torsion:

$$\text{Rad}(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})) \cong \text{Rad}_0 = \{\mu \in G \mid 2\mu = 0\}$$

Again, this is the only case appearing for cyclic fundamental groups. Hence in all cases except $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$ factorizability is equivalent to $|\Lambda/\Lambda'|$ being odd.

Proof of Thm. 5.9. The first part of the theorem follows by applying lemma 3.5 to the matrix m as given in the previous lemma. Now, assume that m is invertible. We must have $G = G_1 + G_2$, otherwise the matrix has zero-columns and -rows, differently formulated: the fibers $(G_1 \times G_2)_\mu$ in the short exact sequence must be non-empty for all $\mu \in G$. If on the other hand, $\text{Rad}_0 = 0$, then $\text{Rad}_0^\perp = G$ and thus $G_1 + G_2 = G$ must also hold, thus we assume this from now on. By the short exact sequence the fiber $(G_1 \times G_2)_0 \cong G_1 \cap G_2$, other fibers are of the explicit form $\tilde{\mu} + (G_1 \times G_2)_0$ for some choice of representative $\tilde{\mu}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mu,\nu} &= \frac{1}{d^2|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|^2} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\mu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\mu \\ \tilde{\nu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\nu}} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{d^2|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|^2} \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\nu} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \sum_{\tilde{\eta} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_0} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{|G_1 \cap G_2|}{d^2|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|^2} \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\nu} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \cdot \delta_{\text{Sym}(f)(\tilde{\nu}, _) |_{G_1 \cap G_2} = 1} = (*). \end{aligned}$$

Fix as above a representative $\tilde{\nu}$ of the fiber of ν , i.e. $\tilde{\nu} \in (G_1 \times G_2)_\nu$ such that $\text{Sym}(f)(\tilde{\nu}, _) |_{G_1 \cap G_2} = 1$ holds. Two elements fulfilling this property differ by an element in the subgroup $\text{Rad}_0 \leq G_1 \cap G_2$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \frac{|G_1 \cap G_2|}{d^2 |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'|^2} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \sum_{\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Rad}_0} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\nu}) \cdot \delta_{\text{Sym}(f)(\tilde{\nu}, _) |_{G_1 \cap G_2} = 1} \\ &= \frac{|G_1 \cap G_2| |\text{Rad}_0|}{d^2 |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'|^2} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \cdot \delta_{\text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\nu}, _) |_{G_1 \cap G_2} = 1} \delta_{\text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, _) |_{\text{Rad}_0} = 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since m is symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mu, \nu} &= \frac{|G_1 \cap G_2| |\text{Rad}_0|}{d^2 |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'|^2} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \cdot \delta_{\text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\nu}, _) |_{G_1 \cap G_2} = 1} \delta_{\text{Sym}(\hat{f})(\tilde{\mu}, _) |_{G_1 \cap G_2} = 1} \\ &= \frac{|G_1 \cap G_2| |\text{Rad}_0|}{d^2 |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'|^2} \text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})(\mu, \nu) \delta_{\text{Rad}_\mu \neq \emptyset} \delta_{\text{Rad}_\nu \neq \emptyset}. \end{aligned}$$

and this is invertible if and only if $\text{Rad}_0 \cong \text{Rad}(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})) = 0$. □

5.2. Factorizability for symmetric $R_0(f)$. For $R_0 = \sum_{\mu, \nu} f(\mu, \nu) K_\mu \otimes K_\nu$ being the Cartan part of an R -matrix, assume that $\hat{f} = |G|f$ on G is symmetric. We have shown in Example 5.11 that factorizability is equivalent to $|G|$ being odd.

We now want to give a necessary and sufficient condition for this:

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\Lambda_R \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_W$ be an arbitrary intermediate lattice for a certain irreducible root system. Then the order of the group $G = \Lambda / \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda)$ is odd if and only if both of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $|\Lambda / \Lambda_R|$ is odd
- (2) ℓ is either odd or ($\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$) including A_1 .

Proof. We saw that in all our cases, there exists an isomorphism $\Lambda / \Lambda_R \cong \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R) / \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda)$. Moreover, from Lemma 4.2 we know that $|\Lambda / \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R)| = \det(D_\ell)$, where D_ℓ was the diagonal matrix $\text{Diag}\left(\frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i^\Lambda)}\right)$ with d_i^Λ being the invariant factors of the lattice Λ (i.e. the diagonal entries of the Smith normal form of a basis matrix of Λ). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= |\Lambda / \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda)| \\ &= |\Lambda / \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R)| |\text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R) / \text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda)| \\ &= |\Lambda / \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R)| |\Lambda / \Lambda_R| \\ &= \det(D_\ell) |\Lambda / \Lambda_R| \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i^\Lambda)} |\Lambda / \Lambda_R|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this term is odd if ℓ and $|\Lambda/\Lambda_R|$ are odd. In the case ($\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$), the Smith normal form S_R of the basis matrix A_R is given by $2 \cdot \text{id}$. Thus, $|G|$ is odd in this case. On the other hand, let $|G|$ be odd:

We first consider the case ℓ **even**. A necessary condition for $|\Lambda/\Lambda'|$ odd is that the multiplicity m_ℓ of the prime 2 in $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i^\Lambda)}$ is at most the multiplicity m_{π_1} of the prime 2 in $|\pi_1|$. We check this condition for rank $n > 1$:

- For \mathfrak{g} simply-laced (or triply-laced $\mathfrak{g} = G_2$) we have all $d_i = 1$, hence $n \mid m_\ell$ (equality for $\ell = 2 \pmod{4}$). The cases D_n with $m_{\pi_1} = 2$ have rank $n \geq 4$, all others except A_n have $m_{\pi_1} = 0, 1$, so the necessary condition $m_\ell \leq m_{\pi_1}$ is never fulfilled. The cases A_n have $2^{m_{\pi_1}}|(n+1) \leq (m_\ell + 1) \stackrel{!}{\leq} (m_{\pi_1} + 1)$ which can only be true in rank $n = 1$ treated above.
- For \mathfrak{g} doubly-laced of rank $n > 1$, we always have always $m_{\pi_1} = 0, 1$ but m_ℓ can be considerably smaller than above, namely for $\ell = 2 \pmod{4}$ equal to the number of short simple roots $d_{\alpha_i} = 1$ (otherwise m_ℓ again increases by n for every factor 2 in ℓ), hence the necessary condition $m_\ell \leq m_{\pi_1}$ can be fulfilled only for B_n (which would also include A_1 above for $n = 1$). More precisely, since $m_\ell = m_{\pi_1}$ and the decomposition for Λ/Λ' has an additional factor $|\Lambda/\Lambda_R|$, it can only be odd for $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$.

On the other hand, if ℓ is **odd**, then the whole product term is odd. But since $|G|$ was assumed to be odd, also $|\Lambda/\Lambda'|$ must be odd. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$. In the previous section we have seen that $\hat{f} = q^{-(\dots)}$ gives always an R -matrix in this case. By the proof of the previous Lemma, we have*

$$\text{Rad}_0 \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd\left(2, \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i^R)}\right)},$$

where the d_i^R denote the invariant factors of Λ_W^\vee/Λ_R .

5.3. Factorizability for D_{2n} , R_0 antisymmetric. The split case $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$, $G = G_1 \times G_2$ is clearly factorizable, so the only remaining case for which we have to check factorizability is $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$ for \hat{f} being not symmetric. We know that in this case, the corresponding form g on Λ/Λ_R is uniquely defined by a 2×2 -matrix $K \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, s.t. $g(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i K_{ij}}{2}\right)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. From this we see that if g is not symmetric, it must be antisymmetric, i.e. $g(\mu, \nu) = g(\nu, \mu)^{-1}$. Thus, the following lemma applies in this case, and hence there are no factorizable R -matrices for D_{2n} , $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$.

Lemma 5.14. *For \mathfrak{g} simply-laced and $\Lambda = \Lambda_W$, let $\hat{f} = q^{-(\dots)}g : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a non-degenerate form as in Thm. 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, s.t. the form $g : \pi_1 \times \pi_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is*

asymmetric. Then,

$$\text{Rad}_0 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(2, \ell d_i^R)},$$

where the d_i^R denote the invariant factors of π_1 . In particular, $\text{Rad}_0 = 0$ holds if and only if $\gcd(2, \ell|\pi_1|) = 1$.

Proof. We recall the definition of $\text{Rad}_0(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f}))$ in this case:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rad}_0(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})) &= \{ \mu \in G \mid f(\nu, \mu)^{-1} = f(\mu, \nu) \quad \forall \nu \in G \} \\ &= \{ \mu \in G \mid q^{(\nu, \mu)} g(\nu, \mu)^{-1} = q^{-(\mu, \nu)} g(\mu, \nu) \quad \forall \nu \in G \} \\ &= \{ \mu \in G \mid q^{(\nu, \mu)} = q^{-(\mu, \nu)} \quad \forall \nu \in G \} \\ &= \{ \mu \in G \mid q^{(2\mu, \nu)} = 1 \quad \forall \nu \in G \} \\ &= \{ \mu \in G \mid 2\mu \in \text{Cent}_{2\Lambda_W}(\Lambda_W) / 2\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_W) \} = (*) \end{aligned}$$

For \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced, we have $\Lambda_W = \Lambda_W^\vee$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &\cong \text{Cent}_{2\Lambda_W}(\Lambda_W) / 2\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_R}(\Lambda_W) \\ &= (2\Lambda_W \cap \ell A_R \Lambda_W) / 2\ell A_R \Lambda_W \\ &= P_R \text{Diag}(\text{lcm}(2, \ell d_i^R)) \Lambda_W / P_R 2\ell S_R \Lambda_W \\ &= \Lambda_W / \text{Diag}(\gcd(2, \ell d_i^R)) \Lambda_W. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. □

5.4. Transparent objects in non-factorizable cases. In this section, we determine the transparent objects in the representation category of $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ with our R -matrix given by $R_0 \hat{\Theta}$ and $R_0 = \frac{1}{|\Lambda/\Lambda'|} \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} \hat{f}$ with \hat{f} a group pairing $\Lambda_1/\Lambda' \times \Lambda_2/\Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Definition 5.15. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category with braiding c . An object $V \in \mathcal{C}$ is called transparent if the double braiding $c_{W,V} \circ c_{V,W}$ is the identity on $V \otimes W$ for all $W \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, for a Hopf algebra H the representation category $H - \text{mod}_{fd}$ is factorizable if and only if 0 is the only transparent object.*

Since in our cases $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$ can only appear in D_{2n} , and we know those are factorizable, we shall in the following restrict ourselves to the case $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda$. The proof below works also in the more general case, but requires more notation. As usual we first reduce the Hopf algebra question to the group ring and then solve the group theoretical problem.

Lemma 5.16. *If a $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module V with a highest-weight vector v and $K_\mu v = \chi(K\mu)v$ is a transparent object, then necessarily the 1-dimensional Λ/Λ' -module \mathbb{C}_χ is a transparent*

object over the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$ with R -matrix R_0 . If V is 1-dimensional, then V is transparent if and only if \mathbb{C}_χ is.

Proof. Let V be transparent. For every $\psi : \Lambda/\Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have another finite-dimensional module $W := u_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u_q(\mathfrak{g})^+} \mathbb{C}_\psi$ with highest weight vector $w = 1 \otimes 1_\psi$ which we can test this assumption against

$$c^2 : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes W$$

We calculate the effect of c^2 on the highest-weight vectors $v \otimes w$:

$$c^2(v \otimes w) = \tau_{W \otimes V} R_0 \bar{\Theta} \tau_{V \otimes W} R_0 \bar{\Theta}(v \otimes w)$$

Because v, w were assumed highest-weight vectors, the $\bar{\Theta}$ act trivially. Hence follows that $\mathbb{C}_\chi, \mathbb{C}_\psi$ have a trivial double braiding over the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$ with R -matrix R_0 . Because we could achieve this result for any ψ this means that \mathbb{C}_χ is transparent as asserted.

Now let $V = \mathbb{C}_\chi$ be 1-dimensional over $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and transparent over $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda/\Lambda']$, and let w be any element in any module W , then again the two Θ act trivially, one time because $v = 1_\chi$ is a highest weight vector, and one time because it is also a lowest weight vector. But if the double-braiding of $v = 1_\chi$ with any element w is trivial, then $V = \mathbb{C}_\chi$ is already transparent over $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

Lemma 5.17. *The transparent objects in the category of representations of the Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ with R -matrix given by Lusztig's ansatz are 1-dimensional objects \mathbb{C}_χ and are the f -transformed of the radical of $\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})$:*

$$\chi(\mu) = f(\mu, \xi) \quad \xi \in \text{Rad}_0$$

Proof. Since f is nondegenerate, we can assume $\chi(\mu) = f(\mu, \xi)$ and wish to prove \mathbb{C}_χ is transparent iff $\xi \in \text{Rad}_0$. We test transparency against any module \mathbb{C}_ψ and also write $\psi(\mu) = f(\lambda, \mu)$ (note the order of the argument). We evaluate the double-braiding on $1_\chi \otimes 1_\psi$ and get the following scalar factor, which needs to be $= 1$ for all ψ in order to make \mathbb{C}_χ transparent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \chi(\mu) \psi(\nu) \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu \\ \nu_1 + \nu_2 = \mu}} \text{Sym}(\hat{f})((\mu_1, \mu_2), (\nu_1, \nu_2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{\mu, \nu} f(\mu, \xi) f(\lambda, \nu) \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu \\ \nu_1 + \nu_2 = \mu}} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\nu_2, \mu_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{\mu, \nu} f(\mu, \xi) f(\lambda, \nu) \sum_{\nu_1, \mu_1} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\nu, \mu) f^{-1}(\nu_1, \mu) f^{-1}(\nu, \mu_1) f(\nu_1, \mu_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\nu} f(\lambda, \nu) \sum_{\nu_1, \mu_1} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) \delta_{\xi = -\nu + \nu_1} f^{-1}(\nu, \mu_1) f(\nu_1, \mu_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\nu} f(\lambda, \nu) \sum_{\mu_1} f(\mu_1, \xi + \nu) f(\xi, \mu_1) \\
&= f^{-1}(\lambda, \xi) f^{-1}(\xi, \lambda) = \text{Sym}_G(\hat{f})(\lambda, \xi)
\end{aligned}$$

This scalar factor of the double braiding is equal +1 for all λ (and hence all \mathbb{C}_ψ) iff $\xi \in \text{Rad}_0$ as asserted. \square

The previous two lemmata imply that the characters $\chi(\mu) = f(\mu, \xi), \xi \in \text{Rad}_0$ are the potential highest-weight vectors for transparent objects, and if they even give rise to 1-dimensional $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (i.e. $\chi|_{2\Lambda_R} = 1$), then these are guaranteed transparent. But in all cases where f is symmetric we have seen in 5.11 that $\text{Rad}_0(\text{Sym}_G(\hat{f}))$ is the 2-torsion subgroup of Λ/Λ' , so in these cases χ gives rise to a 1-dimensional object.

Corollary 5.18. *If f is symmetric (true for all cases except D_{2n}) then the transparent objects are all 1-dimensional \mathbb{C}_χ where the characters χ are the f -transformed of the elements in the radical of the bimultiplicative form $\text{Sym}(\hat{f})|_G$ on $G = \Lambda/\Lambda'$. In particular the group of transparent objects is isomorphic to this radical as an abelian group.*

Corollary 5.19. *In the case of symmetric f (all cases except D_{2n}) the fact that Rad_0 is the 2-torsion of Λ/Λ' and f -transformation is a group isomorphism shows:*

The group T of transparent objects consists of \mathbb{C}_χ where $\chi|_{2\Lambda} = 1$ i.e. the two-torsion of the character group.

The remaining case in D_{2n} with f nonsymmetric and has been done by hand in Lemma 5.14.

5.5. Table of all factorizable quantum groups. We now give a list of all quasi-triangular small quantum groups as in Table 2, where we replaced the entries in the last column by the respective subgroups of transparent objects $T \subseteq \Lambda/\Lambda'$. If the quantum

group is factorizable, this is indicated by a bold $\mathbf{0}$. Since $(\Lambda = \Lambda_R, \ell \text{ odd})$ is always a solution, we omitted this from the table.

\mathfrak{g}	ℓ	$\#$	$H_i \cong$	$H_{i(i=1,2)}$	g	$T \subseteq \Lambda/\Lambda'$
all	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	$\mathbf{0}$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	1				\mathbb{Z}_2^n
$A_{n \geq 1}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$		∞	\mathbb{Z}_d $d \mid n+1$	$\langle \hat{d}\lambda_n \rangle$ $\hat{d} = \frac{n+1}{d}$	$g(\hat{d}\lambda_n, \hat{d}\lambda_n) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{d}\right)$ $\gcd\left(d, \frac{k\ell - \hat{d}n}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}\right) = 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}, 2 \nmid x$ $\mathbb{Z}_2^n, 2 \mid x$ $x = \frac{d\ell}{\gcd(\ell, \hat{d})}$
$B_{n \geq 2}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	$\mathbf{0}$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^n
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = (-1)^{n+1}$	\mathbb{Z}_2
$C_{n \geq 3}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-2}
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^n
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = -1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1			$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$H_1 \cong \langle \lambda_{2n-1} \rangle$ $H_2 \cong \langle \lambda_{2n} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = (-1)^n$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$2\delta_{2 n}$			$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = \pm 1, n \text{ even}$	
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(\lambda_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n}) = -1$	$\mathbf{0}$

$D_{2n \geq 4}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_{2n} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = (-1)^{n+1}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n-1}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$2\delta_{2 n}$			$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \pm 1, n \text{ odd}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = -1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
	$\ell \text{ even}$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2(n-1)+i}, \lambda_{2(n-1)+j}) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \text{ odd}$				$\det(K) = K_{12} + K_{21} = 0 \pmod{2}$	\mathbb{Z}_2
					$\det(K) = K_{12} + K_{21} = 1 \pmod{2}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
$D_{2n+1 \geq 5}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle 2\lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n+1}
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	2			$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = \pm 1$	
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(2\lambda_{2n+1}, 2\lambda_{2n+1}) = -1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
	$\ell \text{ even}$	4	\mathbb{Z}_4	$\langle \lambda_{2n+1} \rangle$	$g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = c, c^4 = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n+1}
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	2			$g(\lambda_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1}) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2
E_6 $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^6
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$	3	\mathbb{Z}_3	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = c, c^3 = 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^6, 2 \mid \ell$
	$\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i 2}{3}\right)$	
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$	2			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)$	$\mathbf{0}, 2 \nmid \ell$
E_7 $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^6
	$\ell \text{ even}$	2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^n
	$\ell \text{ odd}$	1			$g(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2

E_8	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^8
F_4	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
G_2	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	\mathbb{Z}_1	$\langle 0 \rangle$	$g = 1$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2

Table 3: Solutions for R_0 -matrices

6. QUANTUM GROUPS WITH A RIBBON STRUCTURE

In this section we construct a ribbon structure in all cases:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ be quasitriangular Hopf algebra, with an R -matrix satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2.2 and let $u := S(R_{(2)})R_{(1)}$. Then $v := K_{\nu_0}^{-1}u$ is a ribbon element in $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$.*

Proof. We consider the natural $\mathbb{N}_0[\alpha_i \mid i \in I]$ -grading on the Borel parts $u^\pm := u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)^\pm$ [Lus93]. Since u^\pm is finite-dimensional, there exists a maximal $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0[\alpha_i \mid i \in I]$, s.t. the homogeneous component u_{ν}^\pm is non-trivial. More explicitly ν_0 is of the form:

$$\nu_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\ell_\alpha - 1)\alpha,$$

where $\ell_\alpha := \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, 2d_\alpha)}$.

By the proof of Thm. 8.23. in [Mül98b] it suffices to show that $K_{\nu_0+2\rho}^{-1}$ is a central element. By the K, E -relations, this is equivalent to

$$(11) \quad \nu_0 + 2\rho \in \text{Cent}_\Lambda(\Lambda_R),$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$ is the Weyl vector.

We calculate directly that this is always the case:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_0 + 2\rho, \beta) &= q^{\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\ell_\alpha - 1 + 1)(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= q^{\ell \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{1}{\gcd(\ell, 2d_\alpha)} \cdot 2d_\alpha (\alpha^\vee, \beta)} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

7. OPEN QUESTIONS

Question 7.1. *It was surprising to us that the case $D_{2n} = \mathfrak{so}_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$ has so many more solutions than the other cases, in particular with non-symmetric R_0 , due to the non-cyclic fundamental group. Do these additional modular tensor categories appear elsewhere? Does the non-symmetry have interesting implications on the category?*

Question 7.2. *Our procedure would be similarly possible for any diagonal Nichols algebra. The Lusztig ansatz can in these cases be found in [AY13].*

Question 7.3. *In each case where $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda), R$ is not factorizable, we can modularize the corresponding representation category and get a modular tensor category, which should be representations over some "quasi quantum group" $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\Lambda}, \omega), R$ which is a quasi-Hopf algebra where the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\Lambda}]$ is deformed by a 3-group-cocycle ω .*

Moreover in converse: By the trick of Etingof, Gelaki every factorizable "quasi quantum group" can be extended to a twist of an ordinary Hopf algebra and hence arises from our list.

More technically:

Question 7.4. *The centralizer transfer map A_ℓ in Definition 3.8 (and correspondingly the form a_ℓ) was characterized very generally, but we could only prove existence by a construction using the classification of simple Lie algebras (and distinguishing three cases).*

We would strongly assume that these maps exist under rather general assumptions (we know a counterexample). For example, in this way our results would apply also to all semi-simple Lie algebras, which allow for much richer possibilities than just combining the simple Lie algebras.

Also the result Theorem 3.3 from our previous article [LN14b] has only been proven there for cyclic groups (and by hand for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$) although we strongly suspect it holds for every abelian group.

Both authors thank Christoph Schweigert for helpful discussions and support. The first author was supported by the DAAD P.R.I.M.E program funded by the German BMBF and the EU Marie Curie Actions as well as the Graduiertenkolleg RTG 1670 at the University of Hamburg. The second author was supported by the Collaborative Research Center SFB 676 at the University of Hamburg.

REFERENCES

- [AY13] I. Angiono, H. Yamane, *The R-matrix of quantum doubles of Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, Preprint, [arXiv:1304.5752](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.5752), 2013.

- [CP95] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 205. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [FGST06] B. Feigin, A. Gainurdinov, A. Semikhatov, I. Tipunin, *Modular Group Representations and Fusion in Logarithmic Conformal Field Theories and in the Quantum Group Center*, Commun. Math. Ohys, 265, pp. 47-93, 2006.
- [FT10] B. L. Feigin, I. Yu. Tipunin, *Logarithmic CFTs connected with simple Lie algebras*, Preprint, [arXiv:1002.5047](#), 2010.
- [GW98] S. Gelaki and S. Westreich, *On the Quasitriangularity of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)'$* , Journal of the London Mathematical Society 57.1: 105-125, 1998.
- [GR15] A. M. Gainutdinov, I. Runkel, *Symplectic Fermions and Quasi-Hopf algebra structure on $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Preprint, [arXiv:1503.07695](#), 2015.
- [Hum72] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate texts in mathematics 9, Springer, 1972.
- [KL01] T. Kerler, V.V. Lyubashenko, *Non-semisimple Topological Quantum Field Theories for 3-Manifolds with Corners*, Lecture Note in Mathematics, Vol. 1765, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [KS11] H. Kondo, Y. Saito, *Indecomposable decomposition of tensor products of modules over the restricted quantum universal enveloping algebra associated to \mathfrak{sl}_2* , J. Algebra, 330(1),103-129,2011
- [Len12] S. Lentner, *Orbifoldizing Hopf- and Nichols Algebras*, PhD-Thesis, LMU Munich, 2012.
- [Len14] S. Lentner, *A Frobenius homomorphism for Lusztig's quantum groups over arbitrary roots of unity*, Preprint, [arXiv:1406.0865](#), 2014.
- [LN14a] S. Lentner, D. Nett, *A theorem of roots of unity and a combinatorial principle*, Preprint, [arXiv:1409.5822](#), 2014.
- [LN14b] S. Lentner, D. Nett, *New R-matrices for small quantum groups*, Preprint, [arXiv:1409.5824](#), 2014.
- [Lus90] G. Lusztig, *Quantum groups at roots of 1*, Geom.Ded. 35, 89-114, 1990.
- [Lus93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [Mül98a] E. Müller, *Quantengruppen im Einheitswurzelfall*, Dissertation, LMU, 1998.
- [Mül98b] E. Müller, *Some topics on Frobenius-Lusztig kernels, I, II*, Journal of Algebra, 206(2), 624-681, 1998.
- [Res95] N. Reshetikhin, *Quasitriangularity of quantum groups at roots of 1*, Communications in mathematical physics 170, no. 1, 79-99, 1995.
- [Sch01] H.-J. Schneider, *Some properties of factorizable Hopf algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129, no. 7, 1891-1898, 2001.
- [Tan92] T. Tanisaki, *Killing forms, Harish-Chandra homomorphisms and universal R-matrices for quantum algebras*, Infinite Analysis, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics 16, pp. 941-62, 1992.
- [Tur94] V.G. Turaev, *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 18, 1994.