Research Plan 2014

GIGA

German Institute of Global and Area Studies
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien

- Institute of African Affairs
- Institute of Asian Studies
- Institute of Latin American Studies
- Institute of Middle East Studies

>> RESEARCH PLAN **2014**

Editorial Team:
Research Council of the GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies /
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien, Hamburg
Sonja Bartsch, Research Manager

Production and Copyright:
GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies /
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien, Hamburg
Hamburg 2013

Layout and Typesetting:
www.markusabele.de
www.dirkrexer.de

The Foundation GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies /
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien, Hamburg
consists of the following institutes:
Institute of African Affairs (IAA)
Institute of Asian Studies (IAS)
Institute of Latin American Studies (ILAS)
Institute of Middle East Studies (IMES)

Research Plan 2014

Effective 31.12.2013

Neuer Jungfernstieg 21
D-20354 Hamburg
Phone: +49(0)40 / 42825-593
Fax: +49(0)40 / 42825-547
info@giga-hamburg.de
www.giga-hamburg.de

GIGA
German Institute of Global and Area Studies
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien

Institute of African Affairs
Institute of Asian Studies
Institute of Latin American Studies
Institute of Middle East Studies



Academic Advisory Board:
Prof. Dr. Jürgen Rüland (Chair)

Board Of Trustees:
State Councillor Dr. Horst-Michael Pelikahn (Chair)

Acting President:
Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte

GIGA Regional Institutes:
Institute of African Affairs (IAA): Prof. Dr. Andreas Mehler (Director)
Institute of Asian Studies (IAS): Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (Director)
Institute of Latin American Studies (ILAS): Prof. Dr. Bert Hoffmann (Acting Director)
Institute of Middle East Studies (IMES): Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (Director)

GIGA Research Programmes (RP):
RP 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems
Head: PD Dr. Gero Erdmann
RP 2: Violence and Security
Head: Prof. Dr. Matthias Basedau
RP 3: Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation
Head: Jun.-Prof. Dr. Jann Lay
RP 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations
Head: Prof. Dr. Anja Jetschke

GIGA Research Council:
President
Directors of Regional Institutes
Heads of Research Programmes
Research Manager
Academic Director of Doctoral Programme

Research Manager:
Sonja Bartsch

Equal Opportunities Commissioner:
Julia Kramer
Janina Pawelz (Deputy)

Content

>> Table of Contents

>> GIGA RESEARCH PROFILE 05
1. Area Studies, Comparative Area Studies and Globalisation 07
2. Organisational Structure 09
3. Research Programmes 11
4. Regional Institutes 21

>> GIGA RESEARCH PROJECTS 2014, OVERVIEW 27

>> GIGA RESEARCH PROJECTS 2014, RP 1–4 33
Research Programme 1: 34
Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems
Research Programme 2: 70
Violence and Security
Research Programme 3: 104
Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation
Research Programme 4: 130
Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations

>> GIGA ACADEMIC STAFF 151

>> GIGA DOCTORAL STUDENTS 163

>> MEMBERS OF THE ACADEMIC ADVISORY BOARD 169

>> ANNEX 173
Cooperation Partners in Research Projects 174
Associations 177
International Cooperation Agreements 179
GIGA Organisational Chart 181

// GIGA RESEARCH PROFILE

>>

1. Area Studies, Comparative Area Studies and Globalisation

GIGA is one of the leading European research institutes for area studies and comparative area studies. It analyses political, economic, and social trends in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. GIGA's research connects the strengths of area- and country-specific knowledge and in-depth field research with cutting-edge theoretical and methodological approaches from political science, international relations, economics, sociology, and other related disciplines.

GIGA's four regional institutes – the Institute of African Affairs, the Institute of Asian Studies, the Institute of Latin American Studies, and the Institute of Middle East Studies – have extensive expertise on and long-standing working relations with the regions they study. They play a key role in the academic debates within their respective area studies communities. At the same time, GIGA's research – with its empirical basis in the non-OECD world – contributes important insights to overarching scholarly debates in both intra- and interdisciplinary fora.

GIGA's four research programmes (RPs) – Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems, Violence and Security, Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation, and Power, Norms, and Governance in International Relations – cut across the regions and deal with both theoretical and practical questions from a comparative perspective.

Comparative area studies (CAS), one of the unique selling points of GIGA's work, systematically combines the regional focus and expertise of area studies with the explicit and rigorous use of comparative methods to generate additional insights into the cases under study and to contribute to broader discipline-specific and theoretical debates. Comparative area studies is a multi-disciplinary endeavor endorsing a plurality of methods and schools of thought. Its comparative perspective encompasses as many inter- and cross-regional approaches as intra-regional comparisons. The GIGA research agenda also embraces single-area and single-case studies but puts these in a research context in which these are intrinsically linked to a dialogue with scholarship on other world regions. On these grounds, the GIGA remains profoundly embedded in the respective area-specific communities, while at the same time bringing its area-based research forcefully into the overarching disciplinary and inter-disciplinary debates on its core topics.

The interest in comparative perspectives on cases outside the northern hemisphere has increased notably in recent times. This expanded interest is linked to real-world changes in the political, economic, and societal spheres: Political regimes that for decades seemed to be stable are undergoing massive changes. New forms of violence and conflict that have emerged since the end of the East–West conflict are challenging classical notions of security. The globalized economy has brought about new opportunities and threats, as well as calls for answers to issues like poverty or climate change. And the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) have emerged in various world regions as new powers that are able to challenge the traditional dominance of the trans-Atlantic players. Comparative area studies can play an important role in better understanding these developments and their repercussions for Asia, Africa, Latin America and the Middle East – as well as for Germany and Europe.

Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East have seen the development of very different forms of government. These range from authoritarian to democratic regimes, including – in particular – numerous hybrid regimes in the "grey zone" between democracy and dictatorship. Along with this proliferation of regime types in entirely different sociocultural contexts have come several critical questions: How and under which conditions do different regimes legitimate themselves? Can we observe mutual learning processes between autocracies in different world

regions? How much power do courts have within political systems in different regions? Which relationships can be identified between regime type, inequality, and poverty reduction? GIGA's Research Programme 1: *Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems* deals with these and other related questions.

Since the end of the East–West conflict new forms of violent conflict have dominated in many regions of the world. Intrastate and transnational armed conflicts, often with asymmetrical conflict structures, have replaced bipolar confrontation. Today observers consider state failure, international terrorism, tensions between ethnic and religious identity groups, and conflicts regarding scarce strategic raw materials to be among the most important global security challenges. GIGA's Research Programme 2: *Violence and Security* analyses these issues in its comparative research, focusing on the following questions: Under which conditions do religious factors lead to violence or peace? Do ethnicity and natural resources jointly increase the risk of violent conflict onset? How can institutional engineering and power-sharing be utilized effectively and successfully in post-conflict societies in different regions of the world? Are sanctions an effective way to deal with so-called pariah states?

The globalisation processes that have occurred since the 1990s have brought about profound economic and societal transformations in most countries in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. While globalisation has in many cases led to economic growth and a higher standard of living, the benefits are not distributed evenly among and inside countries. Poverty, inequality, changes in land-use patterns, and adaption to climate change are some of the challenges individuals, households, firms, and states now have to cope with. GIGA's Research Programme 3: *Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation* aims to identify common patterns in the reactions to globalisation-related socio-economic transformations, while at the same time accounting for national and regional differences: How can poverty dynamics and poverty traps be explained? What is the relationship between climate change mitigation and poverty reduction? What are the impacts of large-scale land acquisitions? How can economic and social development be combined with environmental sustainability?

Globalisation has also affected international relations and global policy-making. Emerging countries such as China, India, Brazil, and South Africa are challenging the global distribution of power and have become important players in both their respective regions and at the global level. At the same time, intergovernmental organisations – especially regional organisations – and non-state actors such as transnational companies and non-governmental organisations are playing an increasing role in addressing social problems that are beyond the individual control of nation states. These developments give rise to a number of questions that call for comparative research: How are the emerging countries influencing regional and global shifts of power? What role do non-state actors play in global norm-building processes? What determines the institutional design of regional organisations in different world regions? GIGA's Research Programme 4: *Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations* addresses these and related questions.

The GIGA research profile reflects the above societal and political changes and the corresponding academic debates. Political science and economics form the backbone of the institute's disciplinary focus, but GIGA remains open to the incorporation of other disciplines such as history, cultural anthropology, and sociology. Because its scholars have both, a distinct background in a particular discipline and profound area-specific expertise on Africa, Asia, Latin America, or the Middle East, the GIGA combines the respective strengths of area studies and disciplinary approaches. Through its approach, the institute contributes not only to a deeper understanding of country- or region-specific developments but also to general theory-building and methodological innovation. Intra-, inter-, and cross-regional comparisons are highly useful in identifying common patterns and differences in and between regions and in analysing the interactions between global, national and local processes.

As a member of the Leibniz Association, the GIGA is committed to academic excellence and research-based knowledge transfer. In accordance with the core principle of the Leibniz Association, "theoria cum praxi", the topics the GIGA researches are always of both academic and real-world relevance. The institute's research findings are distributed not only to the scientific community but also to decision makers and the general public.

2. Organisational Structure

The GIGA's research is organized in a matrix structure that systematically links four regional institutes and four research programmes. The regional institutes cultivate the necessary area competence at the GIGA, maintain close ties to their respective regions, act as hubs for the area studies communities, and transfer knowledge on developments in the four world regions. The research programmes structure the research agenda at the GIGA, bring in the necessary disciplinary perspectives from the fields of political science, economics history and related disciplines, promote comparative approaches on inter-, intra-, or cross-regional issues, and conduct knowledge transfer on overarching topics. It is the complementary interaction of both elements that enables the GIGA to pursue its unique comparative area studies approach.

All researchers are based in one regional institute and participate in at least one research programme. This guarantees the continuous exchange of ideas and knowledge on and beyond the regions and stimulates innovative research. New research topics are generated both through bottom-up processes and through the strategic decisions of the GIGA research council (RC) and the executive board. The RC consists of the directors of the regional institutes, the heads of the research programmes, the academic director of the doctoral programme, the research manager, and the president. The council discusses and coordinates all issues relevant to the GIGA research profile – including this research plan.

Third-party funding plays an important role in the implementation of the GIGA research agenda. Roughly 26 per cent of the institute's overall budget comes from competitive calls or programmes. Funds from the German Research Foundation (DFG) account for approximately 30 per cent of all third-party funding. A considerable share also comes from independent foundations such as the Volkswagen Foundation, the Fritz Thyssen Foundation, and the German Foundation for Peace Research, which together account for around 20 per cent of all third-party funding. Another 30 per cent of third-party funding is competitively acquired from federal ministries, and a further 20 per cent comes from other sources, among them the Leibniz Competition, the EC, and the World Bank. In 2013, for example, the GIGA successfully applied for funding in the Leibniz Competition for the creation of an international network on authoritarian regimes (approx. 900,000 EUR), and secured new DFG funding of altogether 1,270,000 EUR. The institute is also participating in three FP 7–funded projects where its share of the budget is roughly 725,000 EUR. Altogether, the GIGA's third-party funding amounted to 2.74 million EUR in 2013.

All the entities within the matrix structure – the four regional institutes and the four research programmes – are well positioned in national and international networks and associations. GIGA's lead researchers are represented on the boards of area-specific associations such as the African Studies Association in Germany (VAD), the Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies (AEGIS), the German Association for Asian Studies (DGA), the European Alliance for Asian Studies, the German Association of Latin American Studies (ADLAF), the Consejo Europeo de Investigaciones Sociales de América Latina (CEISAL), and the European Association for Middle Eastern Studies (EURAMES). GIGA is also an institutional member of the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) and the European Association of Development Research and Training Institutes (EADI), and its researchers engage in discipline-specific associations such as the German Political Science Association (DVPW), the International Studies Association (ISA) and the Verein für Socialpolitik (VfS).

GIGA has formal cooperation agreements with leading research institutes and universities in Europe, Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. The institute aims to conduct research not only on the regions but with the regions. GIGA also initiated and coordinates three cross-regional research networks: Together with the University of Oxford, the University of Hamburg, and Sciences Po/ Paris, the GIGA has initiated the Regional Powers Network (RPN), which addresses the rise of regional powers in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East. The Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP) Network was initiated together with the Peace Research Institute Oslo, the University of Uppsala, the University of Oslo, the Graduate Institute Geneva and the School of Oriental and African Studies in London and deals with the role of institutions in divided societies and their contribution to peace processes. The third cross-regional network, International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes (IDCAR), will start in mid-2014.

GIGA is also well positioned in the German academic landscape. It collaborates closely with a number of universities (for example, Hamburg, Göttingen, Lüneburg FU Berlin, Frankfurt, Kiel) and non-university research institutes (for example, WZB, HSFK) through joint research projects, teaching activities, the joint appointment of professors, and/or joint doctoral training. The institute has a long and fruitful history of cooperation, especially with the University of Hamburg. GIGA researchers teach up to 30 hours per semester at the university's School of Business, Economics and Social Sciences and its School of Humanities. The GIGA and the University of Hamburg also collaborate in doctoral training. Together they successfully acquired funding for a structured graduate school from the Leibniz Competition and the Excellence Initiative of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. With this funding (from 2010 to 2013) they jointly ran the Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers. They also closely collaborate in the new GIGA doctoral programme that started in 2013. Doctoral students participate in many of the research projects detailed in this research plan; their training and qualification is an integral part of GIGA's personnel development strategy.

Ultimately, it is the GIGA's highly qualified academic and non-academic staff who are key to the successful implementation of the research agenda outlined above. Their strong commitment to excellence ensures the institute's position as an innovative leader in area studies and comparative area studies work.

3. Research Programmes

The GIGA's research is contributing to understanding political and socio-economic developments in its study regions, and how these regions shape and are affected by global processes. Topical issue of regional and global relevance are addressed using GIGA's approach to area and comparative area studies that combines social science and area expertise and allows the GIGA to contribute to and shape both disciplinary and regional debates. The topics are being generated within the broad thematic corridors provided by the research programmes that cover key facets of regional and global developments. The profile of the research programmes is presented below; a detailed description of the GIGA's research projects is provided on pages 33–151.

Research Programme 1:
Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems

In recent years, international calls for minimum standards of rule in terms of democracy and human rights in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East have continued. These calls have had consequences on the ground: not only is there resistance within these regions to these standards, but the resistance has also impacted universal norms. Research Programme (RP) 1's researchers thus examine the tensions between the efficiency and legitimacy of political systems in the above-mentioned regions.

No political regime can survive for long without the diffuse legitimacy of the political system as a whole. At times, this more general legitimacy can be replaced by a specific legitimacy that comes from the economic and social benefits of the system. Conversely, citizens might accept the inefficiency of political institutions for some time if, for example, the system simultaneously broadens participation or improves the protection of minority rights. RP 1 analyses how the reproduction of systems occurs despite a lack of legitimacy and/or efficiency. Within national political systems, legitimacy and efficiency are influenced by changes in statehood, and by international and transnational linkages. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of these processes usually requires multilevel analyses.

The RP investigates not only formal but also informal mechanisms that lead to the reproduction of different types of political systems, as well as the interrelated formal and informal actors, organisations and institutions involved. Informal mechanisms for the reproduction of political systems or subsystems have only rarely been analysed comparatively. If such mechanisms have been the subject of interest, they have usually been dealt with in a typifying and normatively pejorative manner. These informal mechanisms, however, can also have positive effects, including increased efficiency or even the legitimacy of governance within a particular political system.

The RP combines institutional and actor-oriented approaches to study the reproduction, transformation, and consolidation of political systems as well as the quality, direction, and distributional effects of these systems' policy outputs.

Research Team 1:
Persistence and Change in Non-Democratic Regimes

With the ebbing of the "third wave of democratization", the number of non-democratic regimes has remained largely constant. In addition, the international influence of a number of authoritarian states, particularly the People's Republic of China, has increased significantly, while some democracies have suffered an extensive loss of democratic quality and are now classified as "hybrid regimes". As the glamour of the Western model of democracy has appeared to fade, the question of whether there is substance behind a global shift in favour of illiberal political models has emerged. The ambiguous evolution of the "Arab Spring" mirrors this contradictory development.

Against this background, the empirical and theoretical analysis of non-democratic regimes has gained relevance. The research team's aim is to explore the functional logic of authoritarian and hybrid regimes in non-OECD regions, and to analytically capture their similarities and differences, their transformation processes, and their developmental leaps. The team analyses not only formal institutions, but also informal strategies of legitimation, such as the patronage-based preservation of power and institutions. RT 1 researchers apply relational and differential sociological approaches in their investigations of civil society, associations, the public sphere and social movements.

RT members analyse the following key issues within the scope of their individual projects:

- Which legitimisation strategies do authoritarian regimes pursue, and are these strategies successful? What role do economic performance, the strategic distribution of resources to certain parts of society, and the normative justification of rulership and the preservation of power play in this context?
- Which processes of adaption to international and economic standards, as well as related learning and interaction processes, can be observed among non-democratic and, above all, authoritarian regimes?
- How are political actors and institutions in non-democratic regimes interrelated, and do they form lasting alliances? Which governance outcomes do civil society organisations and actors in particular generate, and what role do these groups play in the maintenance of undemocratic regimes?
- Which factors favour the development of neopatrimonial rule in non-democratic regimes?

The functioning of authoritarian and hybrid regimes can also be effectively elaborated by looking at selected parts of the regime, policy fields, issues or conflicts. For this purpose, single case studies intended to generate explanatory theoretical approaches can be of great value. However, the RT's focus is designed to be comparative (with small and large numbers of cases) and to aim for explanatory theoretical approaches with a medium reach. These concern, not least, the extent to which region-specific characteristics that allow for convincing typologies can be detected, or the extent to which cross-regional characteristics are decisive factors.

Research Team 2:
The Politics of Courts and Constitutions

This well-established research field in political science deals with the boundaries that legal regulations set for the behaviour of government representatives and organisations (constitutional debate aspects) as well as with the influence of political actors, institutions and processes on legislation (judicial policy aspects). The RT develops projects that tackle both perspectives. On the one hand, the study of the politics of constitutional reforms acknowledges the numerous contemporary judicial and constitutional reform processes. These reforms affect the type and number of human rights that acquire constitutional status and redefine features of the governmental institutions. These sometimes create tensions between the two parts of the basic laws, i.e. institutional systems not well suited for the protection of rights. Therefore, the positive or negative implications of constitutional reforms for democratization processes are a major concern for this research team. On the other hand, the creation of courts with review powers has been a marked trend all over the world after the Second World War. With these prerogatives, courts acquired an increasingly important political role, as evinced in growing judicial influence on policies and increased resort to the courts by different social and political actors. The judicialization of politics is a topic of concern for this RT, as well as the independence and the power of courts vis-à-vis the other branches of power.

RT 2 analyses the following questions:

- How much power do courts have within a political system? Why are powerful courts created and sustained? Has there been an increase in the power of courts following democratization processes?
- Do courts have the ability to control the executive branch? How independent are courts – in democratic as well as in authoritarian regimes?
- How do courts contribute to and safeguard fundamental democratic procedures?
- Which actors are involved in constitutional amendment processes? How are constitutional amendment processes related to their political context (for example, in the context of regional administrations)?
- What influence do constitutional reforms have on the political system? How do plural legal norms shape resource governance? What role does international and domestic law play in contentious politics?
- What kinds of issues emerge when international, national, and indigenous legal systems encounter at particular local sites?

Research Team 3:
Participation and Representation in the Context of Inequality

Within Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East the global call for democratic equality is being challenged by societies characterised by strong internal inequity, from significant social and economic inequalities to ethnic, religious, and gender-related differences. Even though these societies – which include but are not limited to India, Indonesia, Brazil and South Africa – are in many ways marked by a high degree of political and social fragility, among other problems, relatively stable democratic development can also be observed. These countries seem to refute the conventional wisdom that democracy and participation are based on a certain degree of societal homogeneity, and that pronounced social inequality represents a threat to democracy. It is therefore evident that in these regions the potential threat to democracy cannot be reduced to the classical issue of the unresolved "social question". Any analysis of it requires the inclusion of multiple types of inequality.

The RT's research agenda is not confined to young democracies. It also includes some older democracies, since most of the democracies in the regions under study are fragile rather than consolidated. This means they are potentially affected not only by declining democratic quality but also by possible transitions into hybrid and authoritarian regimes.

The research team thus investigates the following questions through both intraregional and cross-regional comparisons:

- What impact do the aforementioned inequalities have on civic participation and therefore on both the quality and endangerment of democracy?
- Under which conditions does an increase in (1) political participatory rights, (2) civil society organisations, and (3) partisan and social mobilization encourage democratic development?
- What are the conditions under which an increase in participation encourages clientelism, corporatism, and populist regimes with authoritarian traits?

Research Programme 2:
Violence and Security

Research programme (RP) 2 focuses on violence and security issues that affect Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East and also have repercussions for Germany, Europe, and the West. In current world politics, intrastate and transnational conflicts dominate. These are often characterised by asymmetrical conflict structures and exhibit many region-specific features. State failure, transnationally active terrorist and criminal networks, tensions between ethnic and religious identity groups, the spread of weapons of mass destruction, and the quest for scarce raw materials are among today's key security challenges.

Within RP 2, five research teams (RTs) focus on five sets of problems, all of which can be researched especially effectively using comparative area studies: RT 1 deals with natural resources and security; RT 2 studies religion, conflict and politics; RT 3 examines war and peace processes; RT 4 is dedicated to international sanctions; and RT 5 focuses on forms of violence and public (in)security.

The programme's researchers use a variety of theoretical approaches and methodologies. Methodologically, they utilise both quantitative and qualitative approaches, including inferential techniques such as multivariate regressions, configurational and interpretative methods (QCA, discourse analysis), and carefully selected small-N samples and (country) case studies. They are particularly interested in adopting a mixed-method approach that combines qualitative and quantitative methodologies and different levels of analysis within single projects.

Research Team 1:
Natural Resources and Security

Given the numerous conflicts in resource-exporting countries and the growing concern about the secure supply of strategic resources (particularly energy resources), the realpolitik importance of natural resources and security is obvious. In recent years, peace and conflict studies have increasingly focused on the relationship between abundance of, and dependence on natural resources on the one hand, and violence on the other – especially civil war (“greed and grievance”, “resourcecurse” thesis). The growing global scarcity of strategic resources such as oil and the increasing demand for such resources on the part of China and the USA also raise the spectre of intensified global conflicts over resource distribution in the future. The possible negative consequences of climate change represent an additional potential security challenge. The research team’s central questions relate to the ambiguous and context-dependent consequences of natural resources on security within and between states:

- Under what conditions does the exploitation of natural resources lead to violence? And when, in contrast, does it serve as a power resource for political stability?
- How does the strategic importance of some resources (“security of supply”) influence security in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East and the foreign policy of Western as well as Southern governments?
- How can key concepts such as rents, raw materials, and resources be adequately operationalized to generate meaningful research results?

Most of the countries that produce strategic resources and export them to industrialized countries are located in the Middle East, Africa, Latin America, Central Asia, and the Caucasus. Due to the GIGA staff’s regional expertise, the issues raised in RT 1 can be addressed especially well using comparative area studies. To this end, the research projects use both econometric techniques (large-N) and qualitative comparisons of case studies with a smaller number of cases (small-N).

Research Team 2:
Religion, Conflict and Politics

The events of 9/11 and the recent political upheavals in the Arab world have underscored the growing importance of religion in global political developments. Conventional wisdom claims that religion’s catalyst effect results in an exceptionally high potential for conflict in political processes. The research team focuses on the following research questions:

- Under which conditions do religious factors lead to violence, and when do they lead to peace?
- How are religious identities mobilised in political processes? Does mobilization on the basis of religion involve international actors, and if so, to what extent?
- Which problem-solving strategies have been used to date, and have they been successful? To what extent and under which conditions are religious peace initiatives successful?
- What determines whether religious, and especially Islamic, groups are dialogue- or conflict-oriented towards the West?

The RT has already established a research project on the subcategory “religion and conflict”. It assumes that the connection between religion and (violent) conflict is much more complex than the public debate suggests. According to Scott Appleby (The Ambivalence of the Sacred), religion is fundamentally ambivalent, and mediation efforts based on religion indicate religion’s peaceful potential. Additionally, the various dimensions of religion – demographic structures, identities, ideas, institutions, and elites – have to be differentiated if one is to understand, for example, the mechanisms used to mobilize religious identities in political processes.

The RT’s second analytical focus is the role and potential of political Islam/Islamism as a factor in conflict and/or peace. Building on previous research on political Islam’s transnational network-building processes, RT members have reacted to new challenges and questions deriving from the “Arab Spring” by redirecting and diversifying their research. One of the new projects will focus, for instance, on recent developments within Salafism, such as the development of a political strand that explicitly promotes participation in formal politics. Another looks at power-sharing arrangements in multireligious societies with the aim of determining whether such arrangements, which have shown promise in Lebanon and Iraq, would work in Syria and Bahrain. A further project examines the development of the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt during the last decades and its current influence on regional politics.

Research Team 3:
War and Peace Processes

Organized violent conflicts outside of Europe and North America are becoming increasingly relevant since they involve a growing number of different types of actors; they often display a transnational dimension; and, in the context of globalisation, they have repercussions well beyond the actual battlefields. At the same time, in recent decades there has been a trend towards the peaceful settlement of violent conflicts. The latter phenomenon can be attributed to a paradigm shift within the United Nations (“responsibility to protect”) and to the growing engagement of regional organisations, neighbouring states, and non-state actors in conflict resolution.

Recent experiences with state-building in post-war societies show that the way in which state institutions are designed and structured can contribute to peace or conflict, and that the content of peace agreements, the handling of war crimes, and the specific ways in which post-war societies come to terms with the past deeply influence peace processes’ chances of success. In turn, the failure of peace processes can lead to the renewed escalation of violence and to a resumption of conflict.

Against this backdrop, RT 3 investigates and analyses those factors – at the international, regional, national, and local levels – that determine the transition from war to peace and, possibly, back to war. The team focuses on organised violent conflicts, and on the institutional and processual features of conflict conduct and settlement. Moreover, the RT aims to assess which institutional arrangements help promote peace (decentralization, electoral systems, party regulations, government systems, etc.). RT researchers investigate these topics using qualitative and quantitative methods, paying particular attention to intra- and interregional comparisons.

The RT focuses particularly on the following research questions:

- What are the implications of (1) the institutional design of a peace treaty and (2) how a society comes to terms with the past for the sustainability of a peace process?
- How can institutional design contribute to guaranteeing long-term peace in divided societies?
- What are the consequences of failed peace efforts for the intensity of violence in civil wars?
- What unintended effects of peace agreements (for example, the negative effects of power-sharing on democracy, an increase in intrasocial tensions, the ethnicization of conflict) can be identified? How can these be countered?

Research Team 4:
Causes and Effects of Sanctions

International sanctions have a bad reputation: many researchers perceive them as ineffective or even counterproductive. In contrast, the United Nations, the United States, and the European Union are increasingly using sanctions to enforce certain behaviours, to restrict the sanctioned unit’s room to manoeuvre or to signal disapproval. However, various autocratic regimes such as Iran, Cuba, North Korea, and Zimbabwe have proven to be extremely resilient to the long-enduring sanctions levelled against them.

To date, researchers have provided largely contradictory evidence concerning the effects of sanctions on the stability of autocratic regimes. In response, RT 4 comparatively analyses the reactions of autocratic regimes to external pressure. Building on insights from research on authoritarianism, sanctions and transitions, the team’s researchers theoretically identify the potential effects of sanctions and the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable in the face of such external sanctions.

The RT is particularly interested in autocratic regimes’ international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions: How do sanctions affect such regimes’ use of repression, their legitimacy and their ability to safeguard their rule? To what extent does external pressure imperil the maintenance of power? How do autocratic regimes use sanctions as a resource, and how do they try to influence the imposition of sanctions?

The RT addresses the following questions:

- What exactly does external pressure look like – that is, which actor is applying which form of pressure with which intensity?
- What factors account for the long-term stability of autocratic regimes in the face of external sanctions?
- Which structural power resources (for example, repression, rents, legitimacy) do regimes fall back on, and how do they use them in reaction to external pressure in its various forms?
- Which counter-strategies do targeted autocratic regimes and rulers pursue, nationally and internationally?

Research Team 5:
Forms of Violence and Public (In)Security

Insecurity comes into existence not only through organized armed conflict but also where other forms of violence reach a societally relevant level. However, both forms of violence are closely connected. This is particularly true when violent actors are ascribed (either by themselves or by others) a "political" motivation, because this generally legitimizes their violence to a certain extent. How violence is framed is relevant not only for academic analysis but also, to a great extent, for political practice. Strategies for dealing with violence and the reduction of violence differ according to how violence is classified and perceived.

RT 5 comparatively investigates the questions related to this issue in two specific contexts: post-war societies and democratization processes. Its research focuses on the following questions:

- Which types of violence, which spatial contexts, and which perpetrator–victim structures do we observe in each of these contexts?
- How does the societal construction of violence take place, and which discourses about violence are dominant?
- What are the dominant strategies for dealing with violence? Which institutions (state and non-state) are the most relevant?
- How does violence impact and interact with the transformation processes of coming out of war or of establishing democratic institutions?

Research Programme 3:
Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation

Research Programme (RP) 3 focuses on selected socio-economic challenges in the context of Globalisation. Globalisation has been accompanied by unprecedentedly swift periods of economic and societal transformation in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East. As part of RP 3, two research teams (RTs) and the Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics investigate these socio-economic transformations from different perspectives and with different focuses:

RT 1 analyses the strategies adopted by individuals, households, firms or specific social groups in order to adapt to challenges related to globalisation. It also examines how these actors make use of new opportunities and the extent to which they contribute to social and global change. Starting from the observation that economic growth puts pressure on the world's resources and ecosystems, RT 2 studies the sustainability of economic transformations. It analyses possible trade-offs and win-win situations between sustainable development on the one hand and economic and human development on the other. The Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics studies the patterns of socio-economic transformation at the macro-level, focusing on the interdependence of long-term economic growth and socio-economic structural change.

Research Team 1:
Local Actors of Globalisation: Agency and Responsiveness

The transformation of social structures in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East generates new challenges and opportunities for local actors (individuals, households, firms, social groups, and networks). RT 1 thus examines the following two central questions:

- How do actors react to local and global change – that is, how do they choose to adapt and respond to the challenges related to globalisation (responsiveness)?
- How do actors influence local and global change – that is, how do actors use the new possibilities offered by globalisation, and how do they contribute to local and possibly global change (agency)?

Answering these two central questions requires a comparative research approach as well as substantial country- and region-specific expertise. The interdisciplinary team applies quantitative and qualitative techniques, often to primary data they have collected themselves. The theoretical concepts used are of course shaped by the individual researchers' different disciplinary backgrounds. These can be broadly grouped into three important theoretical categories: First, rational choice approaches, including neoclassical price theory. Such approaches can be used to model how actors respond to changes in economic conditions. Second, sociological approaches, including social order and norm formation, as well as institutional economics approaches. These can be used to explain the inability of actors to respond or adapt to globalisation and the persistence of some socio-economic phenomena, such as informal sector activity or strong income disparities. Third, social network theories, which can capture the degree and quality of the actors' social interaction. Social networks often play a crucial role in facilitating or inhibiting agency and responsiveness.

Research Team 2:
The Socio-Economics of Sustainable Development

Of the many pressures that human activity puts on natural resources and the environment, RT 2 focuses on two key, interrelated issues: climate change and land-use change. The RT studies the drivers and consequences of these phenomena to answer the following two fundamental research questions:

- How can economic and social development be reconciled with environmental sustainability?
- How can possible trade-offs be managed, and can we identify win-win situations that foster both human and "green" development?

Climate change is one of the most pressing global challenges. While it was initially caused by the industrialization of today's developed world, its continued intensification is mainly attributable to increased emissions from rapidly growing low- and middle-income economies. Identifying climate policy options that will enable these countries to achieve lower-carbon trajectories without compromising economic development and poverty reduction is hence of the utmost importance. Similarly, land-use change entails important trade-offs between economic development, and environmental sustainability. While increased agricultural production and more land under cultivation are necessary to feed the globe's growing population, land-use change threatens biodiversity-rich areas, particularly tropical forests. A particular facet of land-use change is at the core of the team's current research activities: large-scale land acquisitions in poor countries. While some observers view "land grabbing" as a major threat to the rights and livelihoods of the rural poor, others point to the potential opportunities that could arise from new investments in a long-neglected sector.

To address these issues, RT 2 applies a wide range of (mainly) empirical methods, which are often embedded in comparative research designs. These methods range from case study approaches (using qualitative techniques such as focus group discussions) to microeconometric methods and economy-wide modelling approaches. The comparative approach most often involves comparing micro-evidence at the national level – for example the quality and practice of land governance or the distributional effects of a carbon tax – across countries.

The Claussen-Simon Professorship in Economics

Unprecedented periods of economic growth have recently accompanied political, cultural, and sectoral structural change in several developing and emerging countries. The Claussen-Simon Professorship – held by Prof. Dr. Erich Gundlach - analyses the macroeconomic drivers behind the different facets of this structural change.

One of the professorship's underlying research hypotheses identifies long-term economic growth as the main driving force behind the multidimensional phenomenon of structural change. Conversely, structural change has, through its many facets, certainly been influencing economic growth. The hypothesis of "change through growth" implies that the focus on single dimensions of structural change – for example democratisation and higher levels of public education – is misleading. Instead,

sustainable development requires an integrated approach that considers multiple dimensions of structural change and their interactions with different levels of development. These considerations raise two issues: First, growth and socio-economic structural change must be analysed and explained using a theoretical framework that allows for the derivation of explicit hypotheses about causal relationships. Such a framework needs to combine growth and trade theory with insights from political science. Second, the social relevance of the hypothesis of "change through growth" needs to be examined empirically and must include the identification of causality as the key challenge.

The Claussen-Simon Professorship conceives of socio-economic structural change as systematic patterns of interdependent changes. These interdependencies, as well as possible common drivers of structural change, are the professorship's empirical focus. The goal of the research agenda is thus to generate a better understanding of long-term multidimensional development processes.

Research Programme 4:
Power, Norms and Governance in international Relations

Over the last few decades the nature of international relations has changed considerably. Alongside the process of globalisation, two major political transformations have occurred: the shifting of global power from the US or Western powers to emerging powers (Brazil, Russia, India, China) and a shift in power from state to non-state actors. This development is likely to have significant repercussions for the international system: one can already observe the emergence of new governance structures that more prominently feature non-state actors and emerging powers. One is also seeing regions and regionalisation processes becoming increasingly important. Furthermore, one is witnessing a lively debate about whether the rise of emerging powers such as China will lead to increased violent conflict in the transition phase.

Therefore, Research Programme (RP) 4 has two main research priorities. First, RP 4 seeks to analyse and explain the new patterns of interaction between states, non-state actors, and international organisations. Second, RP 4 identifies what direction international relations will take in the future. RP 4 transcends the traditional state- and hegemony-centred debates on the existence of unipolar or multipolar hegemonic structures (USA/Europe/China/Japan) as well as those concepts that emphasize a mere regional shift in the international system ("Pacific Century").

Three research teams (RTs) deal with these issues:

- RT 1 analyses the foreign policy strategies of emerging powers and the effects of these strategies on different international policy fields.
- RT 2 focuses on the emergence of global governance and transnational norm-building, and also examines non-state actors' participation in these processes.
- RT 3 deals with the increasing influence of regions and regional organisations in international relations.

The RT's research on these topics is based on the assumption that even though similar challenges exist around the globe, such as climate change or the perceived threat of emerging states to international security, they result in the evolution of regionally specific governance structures. This is precisely because these structures are influenced by the particular group of actors involved: the emerging powers, transnational and hybrid actors, and regional organisations. The RT analyse these similarities and variations in regional power and governance structures through inter-, intra- and cross-regional comparisons.

Research Team 1:
Foreign Policy Strategies in a Multipolar System

RT 1 focuses on the foreign policy of emergening powers. There are several deductive categories for such powers in international politics (status-quo oriented, revisionist) which assume divergent from other foreign policy behaviour. However, we actually know very little about which foreign policy strategies these powers really pursue, or about the factors explaining the choice of a specific strategy. As a country's actual strategic behaviour allows for statements and predictions on the development of international relations, the research team analyses these emerging powers' foreign policy strategies across policy fields and regions.

Emerging powers in Africa, Asia, and Latin America are characterised by active foreign policies with institutional and discursive strategies at the global and regional levels. Furthermore, these new powers are using their increased economic and technological potential to build up their military capacity, which also increases their importance in questions of global security. The increasingly multipolar world order has created new parameters for the development of foreign policy strategies, and these are impacting regional and global interaction. New steering mechanisms like the G20 or intergovernmental networks such as IBSA, BRICS or BASIC provide examples in this regard. In turn, these new mechanisms are shaping the decision making not only of emerging powers' foreign policy actors, but also of the governments of established great powers and regional secondary powers.

To explain foreign policy strategies, the research team undertakes structured and focused case studies of three broad policy fields. The main goal of these studies is to determine the influence of other states' reactions on the behaviour of emerging powers:

- How do secondary powers react to the rise of new powers and their claims to leadership?
- What influence do extra-regional great powers exert on regional power structures? How does the existence or non-existence of regional support influence the global impact of regional powers?
- Which strategies do emerging powers follow in different policy fields, and how effective are they in their global activities?

Research Team 2:
The Social Constitution of Global Politics

This team researches the social construction of actors' identities in global politics. The rise of new powers is embedded in the process of globalisation, in which states as well as non-state actors are a key force. The team contends that the rise of new states and the interactions between states and non-state actors are always a matter of social construction. The RT deals specifically with the ideas, norms, roles, and values that are being built, interpreted, contested, reframed, and diffused by actors in regional and global governance structures – as well as by actors in regional and international institutions. Understanding these socially driven constellations and dynamics at the regional-global nexus is one of the team's primary tasks.

RT2 is organized around a set of themes that are critical to the research on social identity. It has developed a research agenda on the dominant theme of identity building in regional and international structures via the interaction of actors (states, non-state actors, and regional and global institutions) and the interaction of actors and structures. The team also looks specifically at the relationships between states. The key focus are the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) as new regional powers that have created a set of interactions. Both, among themselves – for example, the BRICS and IBSA (India, Brazil, South Africa) forums – and with established powers such as the United States and the European Union. In addition, RT2 assesses these two tracks of research and brings them together through research on the ideas, norms, roles and values that non-state actors and rising powers from the Global South contest, both within regional organisations and in their interactions with established powers within global governance institutions.

On the basis of the theoretical and empirical interest in social identity – in the form of ideas, norms, roles, and values – the research team is particularly interested in the following questions:

- How and by what means is social identity being built and disseminated by states, non-state actors, and regional and global institutions?
- How do regional powers and well-established powers relate to each other within regional and global settings?
- How do regional powers and non-state actors contest regional and international institutions?
- How do networks' governance processes form global norms? How are these legitimized, and how is compliance established?
- How do international institutions react to regional powers' and non-state actors' contestation of their norms, rules, and regulations?
- How are countries of the South integrated into global governance structures? How do national, regional, and global policy processes interact?

Research Team 3
Comparative Regionalism

As a result of the restructuring of international relations, the importance of regions has increased (and continues to increase). The United Nations now even conceptualizes regions as security regions that should be more strongly integrated in the management of regional conflicts. However, it is not just regional organisations' influence in security matters that has been increasing. Since the beginning of the 1990s, the number of regional trade agreements has increased enormously as more and more regional organisations have devoted themselves to both economic and security cooperation. Here the EU has assumed an important role as an exporter of regional integration.

Although (comparative) research on regionalism has blossomed since the 1990s, there is very little systematic knowledge about which organisations assume which tasks, or about which organisations are successful and which are not. RT 3 therefore focuses on examining the institutional design of regional organisations, looking specifically at how external factors influence this design. In particular, it explores the innovative argument that it is processes of diffusion between regional organisations that determine their institutional design. The Comparative Regional Organisation Project is currently coding 83 regional organisations in terms of their institutional characteristics and measuring external diffusion influences. Additional focuses of the RT's research include rising powers' strategies vis-à-vis regional organisations and the interactions between regional organisations. Many regional organisations compete with each other in a regional context or have overlapping member states. The RT's research questions are as follows:

- How are regional organisations structured?
- How do these structures change over time?
- How does their institutional design influence the effectiveness of regional organisations?
- How does competition impact the effectiveness of regional organisations?

4. Regional Institutes

In the GIGA matrix the regional institutes guarantee the necessary area competence, maintain close ties to their respective regions, act as hubs for the area studies communities, and conduct knowledge transfer on developments in the four world regions. In addition to the research programmes the regional institutes act as laboratories for ideas and bring in regional issues. On these grounds, the GIGA remains profoundly embedded in the respective area-specific communities, while at the same time bringing its area-based research forcefully into the overarching disciplinary and inter-disciplinary debates on its core topics.

Institute of African Affairs (IAA)

Sub-Saharan Africa demonstrates a specific mix of real-world challenges and opportunities that have attracted significant academic interest. These include armed conflicts, their causes, and their resolution; difficulties in consolidating democratic institutions; widespread poverty; and new investments, be they for large-scale land acquisitions or the conquest of the growing consumer markets. A limited number of African governments have now become global players and are also receiving more international scholarly attention. This pattern of interest in Africa is reflected in the IAA's research programme. The institute continues to be part of major Africa-focused research initiatives. For instance, it participates in the DFG's Priority Programme 1448 (Adaptation and Creativity in Africa), wherein IAA researchers have initiated cross-disciplinary discussions on comparative approaches. At the same time, the IAA is engaged in the many cross-regional studies undertaken within GIGA. The analysis of institutional change (which highlights the different formal and informal notions of institutions) plays a role in all IAA research projects, while IAA scholars also pay particular attention to the continent's openness to external influences.

Within the GIGA's Research Programme (RP) 1: *Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Institutions,* IAA researchers play a leading role in the new network International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes (IDCAR; funded by the Leibniz Competition) and continue to focus on political regimes in a DFG-funded project that deals with historical-institutional explanations for the evolution of different regime types in Africa. IAA researchers are also contributing to a cross-regional research project on the degree of judicial independence vis-à-vis the political power vested in the chief of government; the African countries under study are Benin, Madagascar, Mali, and Senegal. The RP's well-established research on neopatrimonial regimes includes an EC-funded project on corruption (a cross-regional project with many African cases).

In RP 2: V*iolence and Security,* IAA research examines the causes of violent conflicts and the institutional prerequisites for lasting peace. With regard to the causes, the IAA focuses on two major aspects: natural resources and religion. The combined effects of resource abundance and ethnic diversity on peace and war dynamics are being investigated in a DFG-funded research project, the ambivalence of religious factors in Africa (and other world regions) is being examined in a project funded by the DSF and the BMZ. With regard to the prerequisites for lasting peace, IAA scholars are investigating power-sharing arrangements in Africa in two DFG-funded projects. In the context of the CRC 700 at the Free University Berlin, IAA researchers investigate the alternatives to state-sponsored security in areas of extremely limited statehood (in the peripheries of the Central African Republic and South Sudan). They also deal with the effects of sanctions on authoritarian regimes (funding: Fritz Thyssen Foundation). The IAA further has a prominent position in the network Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP; funded by the Leibniz Competition) which explores how different institutional combinations impact peace and how particular forms of conflict resolution affect the choice of institutions.

The growing commercial interest in Africa has reignited academic interest in foreign direct investment (FDI). Within RP 3: *Socio-Economic Development in the Context of Globalisation,* IAA researchers investigate the productivity effects in Africa of FDI from North-South and South-South firms (funding: DFG). In cooperation with the IAS, the IAA is also investigating (within DFG Priority Programme 1448) African – Chinese encounters – specifically, the local implications and perceptions of the ever-growing Chinese presence in African economies. In the current project phase researchers are following West African traders who travel to China to establish commercial relations. Although this is common knowledge, empirical research on the exact scope of the phenomenon, how these operations are implemented, and their social consequences is still required. IAA researchers are participating prominently in this endeavour, inter alia through case studies on Kenya, Mali, and Zambia.

The classic interest in questions of economic development also continues to play a role in the IAA's work. IAA research on labour markets in Africa focuses on the constraints faced by informal economies and the large number of microenterprises. These businesses account for 60 to 80 per cent of employment in the sub-Saharan region and will remain the most important employers in the foreseeable future. The project (undertaken in cooperation with the KfW and funded by the BMZ) explores the multidimensional relationship between the employment, empowerment and living standards of poor individuals and households in, inter alia, Burkina Faso, and Uganda. Research on Africa in RP 3 also explores other poverty dynamics, focusing on education and the role that targeted interventions play in breaking the cycle of poverty. Specifically, the EC-funded project NOPOOR deals with the role of education in explaining the persistence of poverty and inequality for the South African case.

Within RP 4: *Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations,* the IAA analyses the roles of both of the major continental powers (Nigeria and South Africa) in international affairs. IAA scholars are also part of the Regional Powers Network. A number of doctoral students affiliated to both the IAA and RP 4 have completed their doctoral theses or are in the process of doing so.

Institute of Asian Studies (IAS)

Asia is the most populous and heterogeneous continent in the world. Arguably, it consists of three distinct but deeply interconnected world regions: Northeast Asia, Southeast Asia, and South Asia, which are all covered by the IAS. In recent years, substantial parts of Asia have experienced rapid development. The current international status of Asian countries such as China, Japan, India, Indonesia, and South Korea is attested to their inclusion in the G20. Yet, especially China's rise also poses tremendous challenges to regional and global governance. In addition, notwithstanding the expanding middle classes across the region, socio-economic challenges continue or have come to loom large in Asia. Rising inequality, rapid aging, inadequate social security nets, and other adverse developments and issues bedevil numerous Asian nations, including the regional heavyweights of China, Japan, and India. Moreover, political power and wealth are deeply interwoven in a number of Asian countries, which has led to a rise in the number of anti-establishment candidates and parties in some of the region's democracies.

Political regimes in Asia range from (post-)totalitarian North Korea at the one end of the spectrum, to well-established democracies such as India, Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan at the other. Asia is also home to various "hybrid regimes" that are located in the "foggy zone" between clear-cut autocracies and democracies. The IAS's research on political regimes in Asia, which also examines these regimes from a comparative perspective, is carried out within RP 1. IAS scholars are engaged in BMBF-funded research on ideological change and regime legitimacy in China, which is part of the Governance in China competence network. This research is complemented by a new DFG-funded project on Chinese political scientists' discourse on China's political system. IAS researchers are also part of RP 1's IDCAR network, which deals with the international cooperation of authoritarian regimes. Another new DFG-funded cross-regional research project investigates the role of civil society organisations in Vietnam, Algeria, and Mozambique. Political parties and party systems in Asia constitute another long-standing focus of the IAS's RP 1 research. IAS scholars are currently preparing new comparative research projects on party and political finance in Southeast Asia, and on the effects of electoral system reform on party and party system development in Japan and New Zealand.

Despite a number of unresolved international conflicts in Asia (e.g. on the Korean peninsula and across the Taiwan Strait), there have been remarkably few battle-related deaths in the region over the past three decades. Domestic confrontations in a number of Asian nations have, however, led to heavy bloodshed. Relevant research at IAS falls within RP 2. Among the topics that have been analysed is the role of regional and extra-regional third parties in violent conflicts in South Asia, including Afghanistan. IAS researchers have looked specifically at mediation processes in civil wars and have investigated the case of Sri Lanka.

Asia's tremendous heterogeneity in terms of socio-economic development is reflected in the projects carried out by IAS scholars within RP 3. A multi-method research project, funded by the BMZ, examines the interconnections between employment, empowerment, and standards of living in three less developed African and Asian nations, including Sri Lanka. With joint funding from the ESRC and DFID, IAS researchers are also investigating how anti-poverty interventions affect labour participation in rural areas of India and Bangladesh. In recent years China has been Asia's most robust growth engine. Accordingly, IAS scholars have been examining Chinese overseas economic activities, e.g. through studies on Chinese foreign direct investment in Europe, and on German views of China and vice versa. The activities of Chinese actors in Africa and other world regions also receive attention; the Land Matrix Database of RP 3 provides information on land deals by Chinese and other large investors. As very little is known about the small-scale economic activities of individual Chinese entrepreneurs in Western Africa, such activities were the focus of a project funded by the DFG's Priority Programme 1448. The successor project changes perspectives by investigating the role of West African traders as mediators between Chinese and African urban modernities. Empirical macro-quantitative research is the mainstay of the Claussen Simon Foundation Professorship on Economics in Asia. Ongoing research in this respect focuses on the connections between a number of global economic, political, and social transformations, as well as on Asia-specific issues including fiscal federalism in India and China's changing terms of trade.

The fact that China and India are increasingly assuming roles as regional and global powers makes these nations important research topics for RP 4. IAS researchers are investigating how China, India, and also South Korea relate to their regions, and what their impact is at the global level. China's engagement in Central Asia, the implications of India's rise, the representation of North Korea in international politics, climate governance in India compared to South Africa, and China's foreign policy think tanks, for example, have been analysed by IAS doctoral students in the context of the GIGA Doctoral Programme or the Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers (HIGS). IAS scholars are also heavily involved in RP 4's research on comparative regionalism. Regionalization, one of the most important trends in world politics today, is investigated using three perspectives: diffusion, inter-regionalism, and overlapping regionalism.

Institute of Latin American Studies (ILAS)

In recent years, Latin America has experienced an economic boom led by resources and commodities exports. This has facilitated sustained growth rates and new social and redistribution policies domestically, as well as a higher profile and increased political assertiveness on the international stage. The ILAS has developed a research agenda in the context of the four research programes that analyses these trends from diverse perspectives, taking into account the conflicts sparked by extractive industries: the rise of the middle class; the emergence of new integration schemes; the continuing problems of violence, security, and corruption; the political implications of the high levels of out-migration; and the quality of the continent's democratic institutions.

Within RP 1, ILAS scholars cooperate with their IAA colleagues in a cross-regional research project (funding: Leibniz Competition) on the independence of the judiciary in new democracies. The Latin American component of the study focuses on the Southern Cone countries of Argentina, Paraguay and Chile. The ILAS is also actively involved in the newly created IDCAR network. Moreover,

ILAS researchers are engaged in two EC-funded projects on corruption (ANTICORRP) and poverty reduction (NOPOOR) The BMBF-funded desiguALdades network on interdependent inequalities in Latin America (in which the GIGA has been a core partner over the past four years) has been extended for another two years, and ILAS researchers will conduct a study on migration and the resulting inequalities in citizenship status. Finally, in 2014 ILAS researchers will undertake a DFG-funded research project on the dynamics of emigrant policies and politics in Latin America. This three-year study will seek to assess how homeland states reach out to emigrants, what drives the adoption of specific emigrant policies, and how the interaction between homeland political actors and emigrants plays out in this process.

In RP 2 ILAS is carrying out comparative research (funding: German Foundation for Peace Research, DSF) on prior consultation and conflict transformation in resource governance. The project, which uses Bolivia and Peru as case studies, has a strong focus on in-depth, qualitative empirical methods. The ILAS is also responsible for the Latin American component of a cross-area research project on the link between ethnicity, natural resources, and conflict onset, and in a project on the ambivalence of religious factors in conflicts in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East (funding: BMZ and DSF). Latin America is also of key interest to the Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP) network, which compares institutional options for divided societies and post-conflict countries in different world areas. In addition, Latin America's grave problems of violence and public insecurity are being addressed in a BMZ-funded project on the consequences of violence on youth socialisation. The study analyses how young people in the high-risk contexts of post-war societies can make the transition to adulthood without using violence.

While much of Latin America has been experiencing an economic boom over the past decade due to the high prices of the region's natural resources and agricultural exports, major development problems persist. Latin America's empirical reality is part and parcel of most of the research projects conducted within RP 3, which is led by an ILAS researcher. Research projects focus, for example, on the role of social policies for poverty (as part of NOPOOR), the relationship between climate change mitigation policies and poverty reduction (funding: Volkswagen Foundation), and the opportunities and constraints of micro- and small enterprises in developing countries (funding: BMZ, KfW Development Bank). ILAS researchers also deal with the dynamics and impacts of large-scale land acquisitions (funding: BMBF, BMZ) and are in charge of the Land Matrix database, an innovative web-based database that gives comprehensive information on land deals worldwide.

Over the past decade, Latin America has emerged as a major player on the global political scene. Within RP 4, a number of projects examine the region's new protagonism – generally by comparing to other world regions. Such is the case not only in the Regional Powers Network (RPN), but also in a joint project with the WZB and HSFK on contested world orders (funding: Leibniz Competition). Of particular interest to ILAS is Brazil's rise as a regional leader and emerging global power. This is also the overarching topic of a number of GIGA dissertation projects, which include analyses of Brazil's policy towards Africa, its influence in global sectoral governance, its nuclear policy, and the interplay of state and non-state actors in its international profile. Furthermore, ILAS researchers, in a project funded through a Schumpeter fellowship from the Volkswagen Foundation, conduct cross-regional research on contested leadership and power politics in South America, South Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa. In terms of the current rise of regional integration schemes, Latin America is also an area of focus for cross-regional research on the diffusion of institutions and policies of regional integration (with special emphasis on the role of the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR).

Institute of Middle East Studies (IMES)

The toppling of long-standing autocrats in the Arab world – summarised as the "Arab Spring" – did not lead to an end of division, conflict, and unrest in the Middle East. On the contrary, the repercussions of these upheavals were manifold and will prove to be long-lasting. They will therefore also influence the content and scope of Middle East–related research in the coming decade(s). In this context, the IMES has the advantage of in-depth experience in a variety of important fields such as authoritarianism, democratization, and political reform; conflict studies; economic transformations; poverty reduction; the balance of power within the regional system; and – last but not least – political Islam/Islamism. The IMES has adapted quickly and successfully to the new challenges posed by the Arab Spring and has won third-party funding for a number of promising research projects.

Within RP 1 IMES scholars are investigating the conditions under which previously stable (for decades) authoritarian regimes became vulnerable and began to transform. Additionally, they are attempting to determine what explains the remarkable differences in these transformation processes. This question has become especially important given the absence of a domino effect from the Arab Spring. Any hopes that the entire Middle East would become democratic by the end of 2011 proved to be premature. In several countries the status quo has prevailed; in others extremely violent civil wars have broken out – most notably in Syria. The differences in the transition processes in the region – such as those between Arab monarchies and Arab republics – have become increasingly evident. Through systematic interregional comparisons as part of GIGA's expertise in comparative area studies, the IMES combines the results of its analyses on these issues with findings from other world regions. A good example for this is the prominence of the Middle East, as well as the IMES, in the new network International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes (IDCAR) In the context of RP 1, IMES researchers also examine political parties and electoral institutions in the Middle East and North Africa, and participate in the ANTICORRP consortium (with case studies on Egypt and Tunisia).

A major part of the IMES research is done in the context of RP 2. The electoral successes of Islamist organisations in post–Arab Spring societies are just one phenomenon demonstrating the rapidly increasing importance of political Islam in the Middle East. IMES research covers the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt, as evidenced by an award-winning dissertation project (Deutscher Studienpreis 2013) and will deal from 2014 on with the Salafist movement in Islamism – particularly the emergence of a political strand (funding: DGF). This work is complemented by a research project on power-sharing in multi-ethnic societies of the Middle East (funding: Volkswagen Foundation). The project is also a part of the GIGA's international network, Institutions for Sustainable Peace (ISP). Also as part of RP 2, IMES scholars are undertaking conflict studies that investigate the Palestinian problem and the stagnant Middle East peace process; these two issues shape not only regional politics but also international relations. In a related cross-regional research project funded by the BMZ and the KfW Development Bank, IMES researchers analyse state–society relations in post-war countries, focusing on determinants of political trust. In a cross-regional project on international sanctions (led by IAA researchers), the IMES is responsible for the case study of Iran. This study examines Iran's crucial decisions, the sanctions regime's external measures, and the Iranian regime's internal arrangements and countermeasures during the presidency of Ahmadinejad from 2005 to 2013.

Within the scope of RP 3, the IMES focuses on the role of education in socio-economic and political developments in the Middle East and North Africa. While the research activities described so far are either carried out from a political science or a historical perspective, IMES research in RP 3 is predominantly economics-driven. Given the predominantly economic roots of the Arab Spring, IMES researchers are interested in determining the extent to which the massive increases in educational attainment in the last decades might have contributed to frustrated expectations and discontent. IMES scholars are also engaged in the NOPOOR project, where they conduct cross-country quantitative empirical analysis using data on social policy and regime types in order to determine how and why autocracies and mixed regimes invest in poverty alleviation programmes, and what the difference is compared to democratic regimes.

As part of RP 4, the IMES is participating in a cross-regional project that comparatively analyses the foreign policy behaviour of selected regional powers. IMES researchers are also examining the policies and potential of certain state actors, including Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Israel, and Qatar, as well as those of non-state actors such as Hamas and Hezbollah. Given the events since the beginning of 2011, the question of whether the ongoing upheaval in the region will facilitate or obstruct the emergence and consolidation of a new leading regional power in the Middle East is now also part of the enquiry.

// GIGA RESEARCH PROJECTS 2014, *Overview*

>>

>> Overview: GIGA Research Projects 2014

Research Programme 1: Legitimacy and Efficiency of Political Systems

Research Team 1: Persistence and Change in Non-Democratic Regimes

- IDCAR – International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes (André Bank, Gero Erdmann, Bert Hoffmann, Nele Noesselt, Thomas Richter, Christian von Soest)
- Middle East Monarchies: A Configurational Comparison of Breakdown and Survival since 1945 (André Bank, Thomas Richter, Anna Sunik)
- Ideological Change and Regime Legitimacy in China (Heike Holbig, Maria Bondes, Sandra Heep)
- Civil Society Organizations as Supporters of Authoritarian Rule? A Cross-Regional Comparison (Vietnam, Algeria, Mozambique) (Patrick Köllner, Jörg Wischermann)
- Concepts of Political Change and Legitimate Modes of Governance in the People's Republic of China (Nele Noesselt)
- Stability and Change of Authoritarian Regimes: A Systematic Comparison of Institutional and Material Conditions (Thomas Richter, Viola Lucas)
- Debating Protests. On the Possibilities and Limits of Authoritarian Learning in China (Günter Schucher)

Research Team 2: The Politics of Courts and Constitutions

- The Institutional Presidency in Latin America (Mariana Llanos, Deltelf Nolte, Anne Marie Hoffmann, Cordula Tibi Weber)
- Judicial (In)dependence in New Democracies. Courts, Presidents and Legislatures in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa (Mariana Llanos, Alexander Stroh, Charlotte Heyl, Cordula Tibi Weber)

Research Team 3: Participation and Representation in the Context of Inequality

- Causes of Hybrid Regimes in Sub-Saharan Africa – a Systematic Comparison (Gero Erdmann, Sebastian Elischer, Alexander Stroh)
- Political Regimes, Reduction of Poverty and Inequality (NOPOOR) (Gero Erdmann, Jann Lay, Marina Dodlova)
- The Effects of Ethnic Parties on National Unity: Polarization vs Inclusion (Anaid Flesken)
- Polities beyond Borders. The New Dynamics of Emigrant Politics and Policies in Latin America (Bert Hoffman, Luicy Pedroza, Pau Palop)
- desiguALdades.net – Migration and Unequal Citizenship (Bert Hoffmann, Luicy Pedroza, NN)
- The Effects of Electoral Reform on Party and Party System Development: A Context-sensitive Comparison of Japan and New Zealand (Patrick Köllner)

- Anti-Corruption Policies Revisited. Global Trends and European responses to the Challenge of Corruption (ANTICORP) (Christian von Soest, Thomas Richter, Detlef Nolte, Sabrina Maaß)
- Intra-Party Factionalism and Party System Change: Lessons from Young Democracies in Asia (Andreas Ufen, Paul Chambers, Patrick Köllner, Siegfried Wolf)

Research Programme 2: Violence and Security

Research Team 1: Natural Resources and Security

- A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Civil Conflict Onset (Matthias Basedau, Carlo Koos, Annegret Mähler, Jan Pierskalla)
- Prior Consultation and Conflict Transformation in Resource Governance: Bolivia and Peru (Almut Schilling-Vacaflor, Ricarda Flemmer)

Research Team 2: Religion, Conflict and Politics

- Religion and Conflict: On the Ambivalence of Religious Factors in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East (Matthias Basedau, Georg Strüver, Johannes Vüllers)
- From Quietism to Politics: The Egyptian Salafist Movement from 1970 to 2012 (Henner Fürtig, Annette Ranko, Nikolai Röhl)
- Power-sharing in Multi-ethnic Societies of the Middle East (Henner Fürtig, Stephan Rosiny)
- The Development of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood (Annette Ranko)

Research Team 3: War and Peace Processes

- Institutions for Sustainable Peace. Comparing Institutional Options for Divided Societies and Post-Conflict Countries (Matthias Basedau, Sabine Kurtenbach, Andreas Mehler, Nadine Ansorg, Felix Haaß, Julia Strasheim)
- From Civil War to Social Contract – State Services, Political Trust, and Political Violence (Alexander de Juan)
- Alternatives to State-sponsored Security in Areas of Extremely Limited Statehood (Central African Republic and South Sudan) (Andreas Mehler)
- Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Situations: On the Institutional Prerequisites for Lasting Peace (Andreas Mehler, Martin Ottmann, Johannes Vüllers)
- The Local Arenas of Power-Sharing. Patterns of Adaptation or Continued Disorder? (Andreas Mehler, Franzisca Zanker)

Research Team 4: Causes and Effects of Sanctions

- Ineffective Sanctions? External Sanctions and the Persistence of Autocratic Regimes (Christian von Soest, Julia Grauvogel, Matthias Basedau)

Research Team 5: Forms of Violence and Public (In)Security

- Challenges of Peace-building (Sabine Kurtenbach, Soledad Granada)
- State Formation and Violence Reproduction in Latin America: Exploring the Prospects for a Violence Reducing State (Sabine Kurtenbach, Hanspeter Mattes, Annegret Mähler)
- Youth in Post-War Societies – Pathways Out of Violence (Sabine Kurtenbach, Janina Pawelz)

Research Programme 3: Socio-Economic Challenges in the Context of Globalisation

Research Team 1: Local Actors of Globalisation: Agency and Responsiveness

- Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard (Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Sarah Linde, William Monteith, Daniel Neff, Sebastian Prediger)
- Micro- and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries: Opportunities and Constraints (Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Sarah Linde, Martin Ostermeier, Sebastian Prediger)
- Poverty and Inequality Dynamics and the Role of Social Policies (Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Daniel Neff, Miquel Pellicer)
- West African Traders as Translators between Chinese and African Urban Modernities (Karsten Giese, Kelly Si Miao Liang, Laurence Marfaing, Alena Thiel)
- The Productivity Effects of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) of North-South and South-South Firms: The Case of Sub-Saharan Africa (Birte Pfeiffer)
- Globalisation of Chinese Companies (Margot Schüller, Yun Schüler-Zhou)

Research Team 2: The Socio-Economics of Sustainable Development

- Transparency, Dynamics and Impacts of Large-Scale Land Acquisitions (LSLA): Global and Local Evidence (Christof Althoff, Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Martin Ostermeier)
- Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CliMiP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win-Situations? (Tara Caetano, Jann Lay, Miriam Prys, Sebastian Renner)
- The Land Matrix (Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Christof Althoff, Martin Ostermeier)
- Landscape-Level Assessment of Ecological and Socio-Economic Functions of Rainforest Transformation Systems in Sumatra (Indonesia) (part of CRC 990) (Jann Lay, Elisabeth Hettig)
- Long-Term Land Use, Poverty Dynamics and Emission Trade-Offs (part of CRC 990) (Jann Lay, Katharina Trapp)

Claussen-Simon-Professorship in Economics

- Socio-Economic Transitions (Erich Gundlach)

Research Programme 4: Power, Norms and Governance in International Relations

Research Team 1: Foreign Policy Strategies in a Multipolar System

- Regional Powers Network (Detlef Nolte et al)
- Regional Security Governance for Afghanistan (Sandra Destradi)
- Contested Leadership in International Relations: Power Politics in South America, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (Daniel Flemes, Georg Strüver, Hannes Ebert)

Research Team 2: Global Governance and Norm-Building

- Contested World Orders (Kristina Hahn, Wolfgang Hein, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys)
- Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CliMiP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win-Situations? (Work Package 3: International Relations) (Miriam Prys)
- Crossing the Lines: Complexity at the Nexus of Regional and Global Governance (Miriam Prys)
- Status Passage and Roles in Regional and Global Orders: The Rise and Interaction of the IBSA States with the EU and US (Leslie Wehner)

Research Team 3: Comparative Regionalism

- Is Regionalism Contagious? Regional Integration and the Diffusion of Institutions and Policies (Anja Jetschke)
- The Constitutional Quality of Regional Governance. The Case of South America and UNASUR (Detlef Nolte, Leslie Wehner)

IDCAR – International Diffusion and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes

>> André Bank, Gero Erdmann, Bert Hoffmann, Nele Noesselt, Thomas Richter, Christian von Soest

Project Goals
- To develop an analytical approach that addresses different mechanisms of international diffusion and cooperation of authoritarian regimes.
- To bring together some of the most renowned scholars in the field with backgrounds in different world regions as well as in diverse theoretical and methodological approaches.
- To lay the ground for a new research agenda and develope future research projects.
- To disseminate research findings to political practitioners and the wider public.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of authoritarian politics, including regimes and its subtypes
- Theories of international diffusion, cooperation and learning

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: n.a. (network project)
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries, State and Non-State Organisations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis (network project)

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents, Interviews, Official Statistics (network project)
- Data Analysis: n.a. (network project)

Cooperation Partners
- Partner institutions: Cornell University, King's College London, Stiftung University of Hildesheim, University of Amsterdam, University of Colorado Denver, University of Heidelberg, University of Oregon, University of Oxford, University of Texas at Austin, University of Toronto Scarborough, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Stemming the Wave: International Learning and Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes", Workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 8–9 November 2012
- "International Dimensions of Authoritarian Rule", ECPR Joint Sessions, Mainz, 11–16 March 2013
- "The International Dimensions of Authoritarian Rule: Concepts and Cases", Panel at the WISC, Frankfurt/Main, 9 August 2014
- "Anti-Democracy Promotion Strategies", Panel at the APSA, Washington D.C., 28–31 August 2014
- "Democracy Prevention: The International Repertoire of Authoritarian Regimes", Panel at the ECPR General Conference, Glasgow, 3–6 September 2014

Publications
- Erdmann, G., A. Bank, B. Hoffmann, and T. Richter (2013), International Cooperation of Authoritarian Regimes: Toward a Conceptual Framework, GIGA Working Papers, 229, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Hoffmann, B. (forthc.), The International Dimension of Authoritarian Regime Legitimation: Insights from the Cuban Case, in: Journal of International Relations and Development, forthcoming.

Funding
- Leibniz Competition, 2014–2017: approved

Duration
2014–2017

Project Description

Research Questions
- How do international diffusion and cooperation impact on different authoritarian regimes?
- How do authoritarian diffusion and cooperation among authoritarian regimes operate as power maintaining mechanisms?

Contribution to International Research
In politics and political science alike, the increasing international influence of authoritarian regimes has become a central concern. The controversy about a 'reverse wave' of democratisation, the expansion of non-democratic rule (Merkel 2010; Puddington 2008, 2009), and the 'backlash against democracy promotion' (Carothers 2006, 2009) reflects this trend. The strand of research that does approach the issue from an authoritarian durability perspective still needs to develop a comprehensive conceptual approach (Ambrosio 2010; Erdmann et al. 2013). By bringing together some of the most renowned experts in the field, the IDCAR network seeks to contribute substantially to the systematic study of international diffusion and cooperation of authoritarian regimes, connecting this research agenda to the overarching theoretical debates of the discipline.

Research Design and Methods
Using a CAS approach, the network brings together researchers who study authoritarian diffusion and cooperation from divergent explanatory perspectives using a broad range of comparative methods. The IDCAR network is defined by the research topic and a shared interest in the dynamics of authoritarian politics beyond an exclusively domestic perspective. The project brings international experts from this field of research together in one collaborative project. Individual findings are exchanged among the network researchers, thematic agendas are discussed and developed at conferences and workshops and then carried out in concrete research and publication projects. The networking process is institutionalised by an academic exchange programme and a substantive "research unit" at the GIGA.

Preliminary Results
Network members from the GIGA have started to develop an analytical framework to study the international cooperation of authoritarian regimes (Erdmann et al. 2013). The first IDCAR network conference, which will be held in December 2014 in Hamburg at the GIGA, will present and discuss the network members' current research projects, discuss new conceptual and methodological approaches relating to the international dimensions of authoritarian regimes, discuss the respective strengths and weaknesses of relevant approaches from Comparative Politics and International Relations, as well as present and discuss the relevant datasets in the field.

RP 1

Middle East Monarchies: A Configurational Comparison of Breakdown and Survival since 1945

>> André Bank, Thomas Richter, Anna Sunik

Project Goals
- To undertake a longitudinal analysis of external and internal factors that explain the survival and breakdown of authoritarian monarchies in the Middle East since 1945.
- To identify the different pathways and the related necessary and sufficient conditions.

Theoretical Approaches
- Historical institutionalism
- Theories of political legitimation
- Rentier state theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Cross-Country Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Country-periods
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents (official documents), Databases (data set on Middle East rulers and authoritarian regimes), Field Research
- Data Processing: STATA, fsQCA
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, QCA

Cooperation Partners
- Jun. Prof. Thomas Demmelhuber, University of Hildesheim
- Prof. Dr. Sean Yom, Temple University, Philadelphia

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Explaining Trajectories of Middle East Monarchies: A Configurational Comparison, 1945–2012", 14th Mediterranean Research Meeting, Workshop "Arab 'Revolutions' in Comparative Perspective", Mersin/Turkey, 21 March 2013
- "Explaining Monarchical Survival since 1945: Results from a Configurational-Comparative Analysis of Middle Eastern Cases, 19th Congress of the German Association of Middle East Studies (DAVO), Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, 6 October 2012
- "Authoritarian Monarchies in the Middle East: Conditions for Survival and Breakdown since 1945", Conference of the DVPW Section "Comparative Politics", Philipps University Marburg, 30 March 2012

Knowledge Transfer
- Bertelsmann Transformation Index (BTI) 2012/2014: Country Survey Jordan
- "Middle East Monarchies: Winners of the 'Arab Spring'?", GIGA Forum Berlin, 13 September 2012
- "Surviving Arab Monarchies: Revolution Delayed?", Conference "Revolution Reborn?", Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation, Free University & Berlin Graduate School Muslim Cultures and Societies, Berlin, 26 October 2012
- "Revolution delayed? Monarchies in the Arab Uprisings", German Foreign Office and Goethe Institute visit by Researchers of U.S. Think Tanks, Hamburg, 6 December 2012

Publications
- Bank, A., Richter, T., and Sunik, A. (2014), Durable, yet Different: Monarchies in the Arab Spring, in: *Journal of Arabian Studies* (forthc.)
- Bank, A., Richter, T., and Sunik, A. (2013), *Nahöstliche Monarchien: Auslaufmodell oder Zukunftsvision?,* GIGA Focus Nahost, 5, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Bank, A., Richter, T., and Sunik, A. (2013), *Long-Term Monarchical Survival in the Middle East: A Configurational Comparison, 1945–2012,* GIGA Working Paper, 215, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Bank, A., and T. Richter (2013), Authoritarian Monarchies in the Middle East: Conditions for Survival and Breakdown since 1945, in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* Special Issue 47 "Autocracies in Comparison", 384-417 (in German).

Funding
- Fritz Thyssen Stiftung (Fritz Thyssen Foundation): approved

Duration
2012–2014

RP 1

Project Description

Research Questions
While monarchical rule was for a long time considered a political anachronism (Huntington's king's dilemma), the survival of authoritarian monarchies in the Middle East into the twenty-first century now has to be recognized as a political reality. The research project thus addresses the following questions:
- Under which conditions do authoritarian monarchies in the post-colonial Middle East, contrary to Huntington's dictum, reproduce themselves?
- Which general and case-specific explanations concerning the successful survival and/or breakdown of monarchies in the region can be identified?
- Which general and theoretical conclusions can be made regarding the dynamics and trajectories of other authoritarian regimes?

Contribution to International Research
Although recent quantitative authoritarianism research has identified monarchies as the most durable subtype of authoritarian regime (Hadenius/Teorell), the historical conditions for the reproduction of such regimes since 1945 have not been investigated systematically. The existing literature focuses only on single cases and has produced mainly particularistic and to some extent contradictory explanations for the breakdown and survival of authoritarian monarchies. Thus, the project breaks new ground in the fields of comparative politics and Middle Eastern studies by attempting to systematically explain both monarchical survival and breakdown, by including a number of key explanatory conditions (external support, rents, family participation, legitimation, repression) and taking into account their interplay, and finally, by comparing all these aspects over the longue durée of over six decades.

Research Design and Methods
Based on the systematic collection of existing, international secondary literature, the project undertakes a configurative, QCA-based comparison of all Middle Eastern states between 1945 and 2011. This systematic procedure offers the possibility of identifying explanatory factors that go beyond the previous research and simultaneously constitutes a precondition for developing an explanatory theoretical model regarding the survival and breakdown of authoritarian monarchies.

Preliminary Results
The systematic, configurational comparison of all 13 monarchies in the Middle East after 1945 indicates that there are three - and not just two, as is commonly held - crucial pathways to regime survival: A first trajectory covers five Gulf monarchies (Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and UAE) which are characterised by high rent income and the participation of the royal family in decision-making. The second trajectory, consisting of the Jordanian and Moroccan monarchies, stresses the interplay of external strategic support and strong religious legitimacy claims domestically. The third trajectory is of a hybrid nature in that it displays features of the first (high rent income) and second trajectory (legitimacy claims); it covers the Sultanate of Oman as well as North Yemen before monarchical breakdown in 1962.

Ideological Change and Regime Legitimacy in China

>> Heike Holbig, Maria Bondes, Sandra Heep

Project Goals
- To contribute to the understanding of the role of official Chinese Communist Party (CCP) ideology in the reproduction of regime legitimacy.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of institutional change
- Theories of ideology and political legitimacy
- Discourse and framing theories

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Visual Data, Documents (official party and government documents, media documents)
- Data Processing: MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse and Frame Analysis, Grounded Theory

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Björn Alpermann, University of Würzburg
- Prof. Dr. Thomas Heberer, University of Duisburg-Essen
- Prof. Dr. Sebastian Heilmann, University of Trier
- Prof. Dr. Gunter Schubert, University of Tübingen
- Prof. Dr. Josef Gregory Mahoney, East China Normal University, Shanghai
- Prof. Dr. Hongshan Yang, Renmin University, Beijing (visiting scholar, Oct.–Nov. 2011)
- Dr. Hongyun Zhou, China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing)

Teaching
- "Debating Democracy in China", University of Frankfurt, Summer Semester 2012 (H. Holbig)
- "Ideological Change in the People's Republic of China", University of Frankfurt, Summer Semester 2011 (H. Holbig)
- "The 'China Model' – Western and Chinese Interpretations", University of Frankfurt, Summer Semester 2011 (H. Holbig)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Conceptualizing Ideological Change and Regime Legitimacy", Seminar on Government Innovations, China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing, 30 October 2012 (M. Bondes)
- "China's Unwritten Constitution – Ideological Implications of a 'Nonideological' Approach", Social Change and the Constitution conference, Free University of Berlin, 14–16 June 2012 (H. Holbig)
- "Frames We Can Believe In: Official Frames and Ideology in China's Quest for Legitimacy", Joint International Conference of the Governance in China Research Network and the Association for Social Science Research on China (ASC), Hamburg, 10 December 2011 (M. Bondes, S. Heep)

Publications
- Bondes, M., and S. Heep (2013), Conceptualizing the Relationship between Persuasion and Legitimacy: Official Framing in the Case of the Chinese Communist Party, in: *Journal of Chinese Political Science 4*, 317–334.
- Heep, S. (2013), *Productive Power and Policy Change in Global Finance: An Analysis of China's Financial Policy Framing in the Bretton Woods Institutions,* GIGA Working Papers, 233, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Holbig, H. (2013), Ideology after the end of ideology. China and the quest for autocratic legitimation, in: *Democratization,* 20, 1, 61–81.
- Holbig H. (2012), Reclaiming Legitimacy in Postrevolutionary China: Bringing Ideology and Governance Back In, in: J. Kane, H. Loy, and H. Patapan (eds), *Political Legitimacy in Asia. New Leadership Challenges,* New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 17–38.

Funding
- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (Federal Ministry of Education and Research) (Part of the Governance in China Research Network): approved

Duration 2010–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
Against the backdrop of the increasing emphasis that the CCP has put on ideological adaptation and innovation in recent years, this project analyses the changes in official party ideology and their implications for regime legitimacy. Based on discourse analytical methods and interviews with political and intellectual elites in China, the project explores (a) how ideological change is organised in institutional, personnel and financial terms; (b) which ideological innovations have been made since the 1990s; (c) which positive and negative lessons have been drawn from international experiences; (d) which impacts on regime legitimacy and stability can be detected; and (e) which implications these factors have for future institutional change and political reform in China.

Contribution to International Research
The explanation of the resilience of non-democratic systems in general and authoritarian China in particular has become one of the major challenges confronting political scientists. In recent years, a growing number of scholars have studied the importance of institutional factors such as elections for the stability of authoritarian regimes. However, since these researchers' work has to a significant degree been shaped by expectations of democratisation, the political adaptability of authoritarian regimes has so far been neglected in scholarly work. This project thus draws attention to the normative dimension of authoritarian regimes' legitimacy by examining the role that innovations in official party ideology play in the reproduction of regime legitimacy in China.

Research Design and Methods
The project explores the above questions using discourse analytical methods and interviews with political and intellectual elites in China. The analysis of qualitative data is carried out with the software MAXQDA.

Preliminary Results
Our research has drawn attention to the fact that the leaders of authoritarian regimes propagate official frames in an effort to reproduce the populace's belief in the elites' leadership qualities, and in their determination to serve the common interest. It has also clarified the relationship between official frames and official ideologies, arguing that official ideologies are both more abstract and more comprehensive than official frames and thus function as their theoretical underpinnings. In applying this framework to the case of China, we have shown that the CCP has demonstrated its dedication to the public good by drawing on the guiding ideology of socialism with Chinese characteristics. The main shift in the frames propagated in recent years has been a greater focus on the people's well-being. This can be understood as a direct response to popular grievances. Through recent English-language publications, the changes in Chinese official discourse in the run-up to the 18th Party Congress have been analysed and their significance in the domestic as well as in the international realm has been illustrated. Moreover, research findings have been introduced to a Chinese academic audience through a lively scholarly exchange with colleagues from the China Center for Comparative Politics & Economics (CCCPE) in Beijing.

Civil Society Organisations as Supporters of Authoritarian Rule? A Cross-Regional Comparison (Vietnam, Algeria, Mozambique)

>> Patrick Köllner, Jörg Wischermann, Bettina Bunk, Jasmin Lorch

Project Goals
- To explore which types of civil society organisations (CSOs) support or weaken the power of the authoritarian state, and how this happens, within the framework of a cross-regional comparison.

Theoretical Approaches
- State power
- Action-centred civil society approach
- Authoritarianism

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Organisations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Focus Group Discussions, Documents (annual reports; statements of accounts; articles written by CSO members and about CSOs published in local, regional and other journals, newspapers, etc.; brochures; press releases; a broad range of internet-based reports, contributions, blogs written by CSO representatives)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Impact Analysis, Pattern Matching, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Bui The Cuong, Southern Institute for Sustainable Development/Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences (SISD), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- Prof. Dr. Larbi Icheboudene, Faculté des Sciences Humaines et Sociales, Université d'Alger, Alger, Algeria
- Prof. Dr. Larbi Mehdi, Faculté des Sciences Sociales, Université d'Oran, Oran, Algeria
- Prof. Dr. Samuel Quive, Faculdade de Letras e Ciencias Sociais, Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo, Mozambique

Teaching
- "From Repression to Revolution? Authoritarian Regimes Today", Lecture and Tutorials, Summer School 2013, University of Otago, New Zealand (P. Köllner)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Following Alternative Paths – Comparing Experiences from 4 Countries (Vietnam, Algeria, Cuba, Mozambique)", international conference organised by the Vietnam National University, Hanoi, 29–30 November 2012

Publications
- Wischermann, J. (2013), *Zivilgesellschaften als Stütze autoritärer Regime. Das Beispiel vietnamesischer zivilgesellschaftlicher Organisationen (Civil Society Organisations as Supporters of Authoritarian Regimes: The Example of Vietnamese Civil Society Organisations),* in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* Special Issue on "Autocracies in Comparison", 324–353.
- Wischermann, J. (2011), Governance and Civil Society Action in Vietnam. Changing the Rules from Within – Potentials and Limits, in: *Asian Politics and Policies,* 3, 3, 383–411.
- Wischermann, J. (2010), Civil Society Action and Governance in Vietnam: Selected Findings from an Empirical Survey, in: *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs,* 29, 2, 3–40.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2013–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

The project intends to explore which types of CSOs contribute in which ways to the preservation or weakening of the authoritarian state's infrastructural and discursive power. The cross-regional comparison of three very similar cases includes the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. The basic assumption is that CSOs and the state form an interdependent whole in which the two sides reciprocally influence each other. Which features CSOs develop, and which effects their various activities might have, become apparent only from a relational perspective and by analysing these relationships of dependence and influence. CSOs are thus "polyvalent" (Kössler).

Contribution to International Research

Research on authoritarian regimes and civil society lacks theoretically based and cross-regional comparisons regarding what the power of the authoritarian state is based on and how this power is preserved. The variety of roles that civil society organisations (CSOs) play in this context is also under-researched. The project's main contribution to the international research will thus be the generation of new and complex hypotheses on the interdependency between various types of CSOs and the preservation/weakening of various forms of authoritarian state power. These hypotheses will be tested in further research.

Research Design and Methods

The cross-regional comparison of three most-similar cases includes all the CSOs in the capitals of Vietnam, Algeria and Mozambique, as well as those in one additional large city per country. All three post-socialist and post-colonial countries have authoritarian political regimes. They demonstrate a number of similarities including partly liberalized economies in which state-owned enterprises still play a leading role, the abandonment of socialism as a direct political goal in the early/late 1980s, authoritarian political structures and political systems that are still dominated by a strong ruling party, and the continued application of socialist legacies such as democratic centralism in state and ruling party decision-making processes. Each of the three countries has experienced a phase of civil war in recent decades. These experiences still haunt many citizens and counterbalance too many political changes at a time.

The project's basic assumption is that CSOs and the state are interrelated and interdependent. The features that CSOs develop and the effect their various activities might have become apparent only from a relational perspective and through the analysis of these mutual relations and interdependencies. These interdependencies and the polyvalency of CSOs (Kössler) are explored in two steps:

First, we will investigate the context in which various types of CSOs develop authoritarian and authoritarianism-supportive and democratic and democracy-conducive features – or mixtures of both – in their internal structures and activities, and in the worldviews of their representatives. We will also investigate how such features are related to particular understandings of civil society. Here we will apply a tested typology of CSOs and three criteria checklists. Second, we will examine whether and in which ways various types of CSOs that deliver services in the health sector and CSOs that are involved in the formulation and implementation of economic policies help strengthen or weaken the infrastructural power of the state. We will also examine how various types of CSOs help strengthen or weaken the discursive power of gender norms propagated by the state. These two steps are to be followed by a concluding, comparative analysis of results that should generate new, more complex hypotheses.

Concepts of Political Change and Legitimate Modes of Governance in the People's Republic of China

>> Nele Noesselt

Project Goals
- To analyse the main concepts of political change and legitimate modes of governance outlined in the studies of political scientists in the People's Republic of China (PRC).
- To analyse learning processes/authoritarian resilience (how do Chinese scholars' analyses of China's historical development and the crises of other political regimes impact the (re-)formulation of their theoretical frames?).
- To analyse the causal interrelations between political ideas (formulated by the academic community) and political decisions in closed regimes.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of authoritarianism
- Systems theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Mixed Approach
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Countries
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (academic publications by Chinese political scientists, Chinese newspaper articles, political documents), Databases (Cross Asia, Renmin Fuyin Baokan Ciliao, Renmin Ribao Database)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Discourse Analysis, Grounded Theory, Multivariate Methods

Teachings
- "Governance in China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2008/09
- "Chinesische 'Reform'-Diskurse: Pfadabhängigkeit und pragmatische Flexibilität (Reform Discourses in China: Path Dependency and Pragmatic Flexibility)", University of Vienna, Summer Semester 2009
- "Transformation in Greater China", University of Vienna, Winter Semester 2010/11

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Vertical and Horizontal Power Lines: Hierarchic Regulations versus Cyber Dissent in the Realms of the Chinese Internet – E-Governance in China", ISA 2012, San Diego, 4 April 2012
- "Beijing vs Washington Consensus: China and the Varieties of Capitalism", International Workshop: The China Model, GIGA/University of Rotterdam, Hamburg, 8 December 2012
- "Invented Traditions: State Philosophy and Political Mythology in 21st Century China", University of Zurich, 6–8 June 2013
- "De-Constructing the China Model", ICAS 8, Macao, 24–27 June 2013
- "Governance Experiments: Adaptation and Innovation in Chinese State-Society Relations", Fudan University, Shanghai, 29–30 June 2013

Knowledge Transfers
- "China als neue globale Gestaltungsmacht: Partner und Konkurrent Deutschlands (China as the New Global Power: Germany's Partner and Competitor)", GIGA Forum, Hamburg, 18 July 2012
- "Führungswechsel in China (Transition of Power in China)", GIGA Forum, Hamburg, 28 November 2012

Publications
- Noesselt, N. (2013), Microblogs and the Adaptation of the Chinese Party-State's Governance Strategy, in: *Governance – An International Journal,* DOI: 10.1111/gove.12045.
- Noesselt, N. (2012), Das Handeln des Staates in der VR China zwischen Politik und Recht (The Actions of the State in the PR China between Politics and Law), in: T. Ehs, S. Gschiegl, K. Ucakar, and M. Welan (eds), *Politik und Recht: Spannungsfelder der Gesellschaft,* Wien: Facultas, 391–406.
- Noesselt, N. (2012), Konstruierte Pfadabhängigkeit? Politischer Mythos und symbolische Herrschaftsformen (Constructed Path-Dependency? Political Myth and Symbolic Forms of Rulership), in: L. Henningsen (ed.), *Tradition – Variation – Plagiat? Motive und ihre Adaption in China,* Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 87–98.
- Noesselt, N. (2012), *Governance-Formen* in China, Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2014–2017

Project Description

Research Questions

The project focuses on four main research questions:
- What are the main ideas regarding political change and legitimate modes of governance in the PRC as documented in academic (political science) debates?
- Should these ideas be understood as abstract theoretical, philosophical frames or as ideological concepts that legitimate the existing political structures?
- How do Chinese scholars' analyses of China's historical development and the crises of other political regimes – Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, Arab states, etc. – impact the (re-)formulation of their ideas of political change and legitimate modes of governance?
- Is there any observable interplay between the concepts and ideas developed by China's political scientists and the political decision-making processes? How do academic and political debates interact?

Contribution to International Research

Research on Chinese politics often focuses on official political statements and visible political actions without analysing the considerations and strategic reflections that underlie political decision-making. As China is classified as an authoritarian system, most analyses follow a comparative systemic approach and focus on the factors political change and transformation, which have been identified as key variables of post-communist change (Soviet Union, Eastern Europe).

This approach neglects the adaptability of the Chinese political system. By measuring and reflecting on development models as well as the success or failure of other political regimes, the government of the PRC tries to stabilise and perpetuate its one-party system. The pragmatic flexibility of the Chinese system is subsumed under the frame of "authoritarian resilience". So far, however, most studies subscribing to this approach have limited themselves to a retrospective analysis of the political elites' efforts to re-legitimate their political rule. The prevailing reflections on China's future development options and ideal(ised) configurations of political rule have not yet been systematically documented.

The projects aims to fill this gap and to contribute to the understanding of (hybrid) authoritarian regimes' learning and adaptation processes.

Stability and Change of Authoritarian Regimes: A Systematic Comparison of Institutional and Material Conditions

>> Thomas Richter, Viola Lucas

Project Goals
- To determine the influence of material resources and political institutions upon stability and change in authoritarian regimes.
- To develop a parsimonious explanation regarding the resource-specific and institutional factors related to stability and change in authoritarian regimes.

Theoretical Approaches
- Institutionalist approaches in new authoritarianism research
- Political-economy approaches (rentier state, resource curse)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Coding of historical documents from the IMF Archives
- Databases: Creation of the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) Dataset
- Data Processing: STATA, R, Tosmana, fsQCA
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, QCA, Regression Analysis, Simulation Methods

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Merkel, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin
- Dr. Daniel Lambach, University of Duisburg-Essen
- Ferdinand Eibl, University of Oxford
- Prof. Dr. Martin Beck, University of Southern Denmark in Odense
- Dr. Tim Wegenast, University of Konstanz

Teachings
- "Democratic, Authoritarian, or Hybrid? Current Debates Related to the Conceptualization and Measurement of Political Regimes, "University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2013 (T. Richter)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Public Wages, Social Welfare Spending and Taxation: Are There Different Mechanisms of Mass Cooptation Safeguarding Authoritarian Rule?", APSA 2013, Chicago, 31 August 2013 (V. Lucas, T. Richter)
- "Do Different Autocracies Spend Differently? An Empirical Investigation of Social Welfare Expenditures", DVPW Congress 2012, Tübingen, 27 September 2012 (F. Eibl, V. Lucas, T. Richter)
- "Improving Autocracy Research: New Typologies, New Indicators, New Methods = New Insights?", panel (with S. Kailitz) at APSA 2012, New Orleans, 31 August 2012
- "Taxation, Mass Co-optation and Authoritarian Regime Longevity: Evidence from a New Dataset on State Revenues and Expenditures", APSA 2012, New Orleans, 31 August 2012 (V. Lucas, T. Richter)
- "Dictators under Stress: An Empirical Analysis of Responses to Elite Crises", XXII World Congress of Political Science, IPSA, Madrid, 9 July 2012 (V. Lucas, F. Eibl, T. Richter)
- "How Do We Measure Autocracy? Discussing and Evaluating Empirical Alternatives", DVPW Comparative Politics Section, Marburg, 30 March 2012 (V. Lucas, T. Richter)

Knowledge Transfer
- "Blogging Democracy? External Actors during the 'Arab Spring'", GIGA Forum Hamburg, 17 April 2013
- "Middle East Monarchies: Winners of the 'Arab Spring'?", GIGA Forum Berlin, 13 September 2012

Publications
- Basedau, M., and T. Richter (2014), Why Do Some Oil Exporters Experience Civil War But Others Do Not? A Qualitative Comparative Analysis of Net Oil-Exporting Countries, in: *European Political Science Review* (forthc.).
- Lucas, V. (2013), Entwicklungsfinanzierung: Steuern als wichtiges Instrument (Development Finance: Taxes as an Important Instrument), GIGA Focus Global, 6, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Lucas, V. (2013), Chapter „Gesellschaftliche Herausforderungen (Societal Challenges)", in: *Informationen zur politischen Bildung, Heft 317, Naher Osten,* Bonn: Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung.
- Lucas, V., and T. Richter, (2012), Arbeitsmarktpolitik am Golf: Herrschaftssicherung nach dem "Arabischen Frühling (Labour Market Policies in the Gulf Region: Safeguarding Power after the ‚Arab Spring')", GIGA Focus Nahost, 12, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Richter, T. (2012), The Rentier State – Relevance, Scope and Explanatory Power of a Four-Decades-Old Paradigm, in: A. Heinrich and H. Pleines (eds), *Challenges of the Caspian Resource Boom,* London: Palgrave Macmillan, 23-34.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2011–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

The project focuses primarily on the following issues:
- What impact does the interaction between the quantity and quality of material resources on the one hand and different political institutions on the other have on stability and change in authoritarian regimes?
- What parsimonious combination or combinations of resource-specific and institutional factors can be identified to explain stability and/or change in authoritarian regimes?
- Is there more than one combination of explanatory factors that determines stability and change in authoritarian regimes, regardless of region and time?

In particular, the project analyses three different aspects of authoritarian regimes:
- Regime stability: duration of the survival of an authoritarian regime
- Regime breakdown: events in the disintegration of an authoritarian regime
- Authoritarian regime change: quality of a regime after the breakdown of an authoritarian regime

Contribution to International Research

The project aims to fill an existing gap between the fields of political economy and comparative politics in the study of authoritarian regimes. It is closely linked to the research on the structural determinants of authoritarian rule, a literature largely dominated by US researchers. By systematically broadening the perspective adopted in this literature, the project unites the two central branches of this macro-comparative research. It also improves the validity of important measures of state revenues and expenditures, which will be useful for more general analysis of political regimes over time.

Research Design and Methods

The project triangulates documents analysis with regression and QCA techniques. During the first phase, the researchers have compared existing data on authoritarian regime types and have developed a framework for evaluating different measurements of authoritarian regime type. They have also collected data on types and levels of income as well as data on the distributional capacities of authoritarian regimes from sources at the IMF Archives. Additionally, they have created the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) Data Set, which includes over 50 indicators. In the project's second phase, project staff is combining statistical data analyses with methods of qualitative comparison at a macro-level. In addition to descriptive statistics and simple correlation and regression techniques (logistic regression), they are using statistical models taken from survival analysis. Three different QCA techniques are also being deployed during the course of the project.

Preliminary Results

A preliminary version of the Global State Revenues and Expenditures (GSRE) dataset was introduced at several national and international conferences (DVPW, APSA, IPSA). A public available version of the dataset will be released in early 2014. Based on this new data, a number of interesting conclusions can be drawn: First, public wages and salaries as an element of authoritarian mass co-opation are crucial. They constitute a previously neglected mechanism of stabilising authoritarian rule irrespective of the autocracy type. Second, social welfare distributions are more important for those authoritarian regimes which base their rule on broader social coalitions like party regimes. Third, the increase of indirect per capita taxation due to the implementation of value added taxation reform exerts destabilising effects upon all types of authoritarian regimes. .

Debating Protests. On the Possibilities and Limits of Authoritarian Learning in China

>> Günter Schucher

Project Goals
- To better understand the mechanisms of authoritarian learning and adaptability in China.

Theoretical Approaches
- Sociological theories of systemic and organisational learning

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Expert Interviews, Documents (official documents, newspaper and journal articles), Databases (own database on conflicts in China)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis

Teaching
- „Modernisierung und Protest: Soziale Bewegungen in der VR China und Taiwan (Modernization and Protest: Social Movements in the PR China and Taiwan)“, FU Berlin, Winter Semester 2011/12

Cooperation Partners
- H. Christoph Steinhardt, East Asian Institute, National University of Singapore

Workshops/ Conferences
- “Democracy and Governance in the New Transitional Economies: The Case of ‘BRIC Countries, RC49 Socialism, Capitalism and Democracy”, IPSA World Congress, Madrid, 8-12 July 2012
- “Managing Complexity in Times of Uncertainty: Social Governance with Chinese Characteristics”, Double Panel, ICAS 8, Macao, China, 24-27 June 2013

Knowledge Transfer
- “Warum hat es die Demokratie in Asien so schwer?“ (Why Does Democracy in Asia have it so Hard?)”, 4. Nacht des Wissens, GIGA, Hamburg, 29 October 2011

Publications
- Schucher, G. and M. Bondes (2014), China’s Dream of High-speed Growth Gets Rear-ended: The ‘Wenzhou 723’ Microblogging Incident and the Erosion of Public Confidence, in: P. Marolt and D. Herold (eds), *Online China: Locating Society in Online Spaces,* London and New York: Routledge (forthc.).
- Schucher, G., and K. Ceesay (2011), Wird China zum neuen Modell für soziale Stabilität? (Will China Become a Model for Social Stability?), GIGA *Focus* Asien, 8, 2011, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Schucher, G. (2009), Liberalisierung in Zeiten der Instabilität. Spielräume unkonventioneller Partizipation im autoritären Regime der VR China (Liberalization in Times of Instability. Unconventional Participation’s Room to Manoeuvre within China’s Authoritarian Regime), in: ASIEN, 111 (April), 50-75.

Funding
- GIGA

Duration
2013–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

The Chinese authoritarian regime has proved to be rather resilient and adaptable. Faced with an increasingly individualised and pluralised society, China’s authoritarian leadership has developed the concept of “social management”, which involves new forms of inclusive social development as well as improved institutions of social control: strengthened self-management at the lowest administrative level, the development of social organisations to represent the public’s interests, improved communication channels between the party-state and the public, the modernization of public security work and the like. Based on the programmatic platform of a “harmonious society”, the concept acknowledges public protests (offline as well as online) as (more or less) unavoidable expressions of discontent and clashes of interest.

The official concept of social management, and its promotion, indicates that the party-state is highly aware that it has to listen to public opinion more closely and further engage people in risk management if it really wants to identify social trouble spots and ease social conflicts. By acknowledging protests, the party has broadened the discursive space in China and enabled itself not only to listen to public opinion but also to “learn” from these conflicts – where it is not able to prevent them. The goal of these feedback loops is the fine-tuning of the party’s management methods and the avoidance of further escalation.

Contribution to International Research

In the growing literature on the adaptability of authoritarian regimes, including China, the regimes’ processes of observing, analysing, and learning have only recently attracted scholars’ attention. These processes are, however, hard to conceptualize. This project contributes to the emerging debate through its utilization of the classical method of content analysis.

Research Design and Methods

In a first step, the project will identify major protests inside and outside of China that have attracted widespread attention from Chinese state-led media and Chinese scholars. Based on systemic and organisational learning theories, the study will then analyse the Chinese public discourse on these protests. Finally, it will look for conceptual adaptations that demonstrate both the Chinese party-state’s ability to “learn through conflict” and its limitations.

Preliminary Results

Still afflicted with a mistrust of its own population, and particularly of the more or less autonomous social organisations, the Chinese party-state wishes to “manage” society. It wants to improve and not reduce its capacity to direct. Consequently, its stability preservation and social management concepts follow a top-down approach and are geared to conservative ideas of management and control rather than to modern methods of governance.

Nevertheless, the agreed-upon concepts are by no means fixed and non-modifiable. On the contrary, they are constantly being recalibrated in view of new contentious events, both within and outside of China. This recalibration, however, takes place within the boundaries of the concepts themselves and is affected by disputes within the leadership or between the different levels of administration, particularly between the central and the local levels. Thus, the actual value of the learning that results from social conflicts may be limited.

The Institutional Presidency in Latin America

>> Mariana Llanos, Detlef Nolte, Anne Marie Hoffmann, Cordula Tibi Weber

Project Goals
- To study the development of the institutional Presidency in Latin America after the processes of re-democratisation in the 1980s.
- To ascertain the impact that informational, administrative, and oversight executive capacities on executive performance.

Theoretical Approaches
- New institutionalism
- Presidential studies

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study (from the transitions to democracy to the present)
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay), Groups (governments; core executive agencies), Individuals (presidents and their agents)
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (constitutional texts, administrative laws, executive decrees), Creation of New Databases (variation of core executive agencies over time)
- Data Processing: Excel, STATA, SPSS
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Magna Inácio, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brasil
- Prof. Lucio Rennó, Universidade de Brasília, Brasil
- Dr. Andrés Mejía Acosta, International Development Institute, King's College, UK
- Dr. Timothy Power, University of Oxford, UK
- Prof. Peter Siavelis, Wake Forrest University, North Carolina, USA
- Prof. Leiv Marsteintredet, University of Bergen, Norway
- Prof. Jaíme Baeza, Miguel Angel López, Universidad de Chile, Chile
- Prof. Alejandro Bonvecchi, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella, Argentina

Teaching
- "Studying presidential power and its limits", Leuphana University, Lüneburg, Winter Semester 2013 (M. Llanos)
- "Latin American Presidentialism in Comparative Perspective", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/12 (M. Llanos and D. Nolte)
- "Political Systems and Political Challenges in Latin America", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (D. Nolte)
- "Latin American Presidential Systems in Comparative Perspective", University of Gießen, Summer Semester 2012 (A. Hoffmann)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "The Institutional Presidency in Comparative Perspective: Argentina and Brazil since the 1980s", ECPR Joint Sessions of Workshops, University of Mainz, Germany, 11–16 March 2013, (M. Llanos with M. Inácio)
- "The Latin American Presidency in Comparative Perspective: A comparative assessment of Argentina and Ecuador", REDGOB X, Brasilia, 21–22 September 2012 (M. Llanos with A. M. Acosta)
- "Centros Ejecutivos de Poder: Perspectivas teóricas y comparadas sobre la Presidencia en América Latina", ALACIP VI, Quito, 12–14 June 2012, (M. Llanos with A. M. Acosta)
- "La organización de las presidencias en América Latina en perspectiva comparativa", Panel at ALACIP V, Buenos Aires, 28–30 July 2010 (D. Nolte)
- "Procedimentos presidenciais e informalidade na Unasul, Institucionalização de mecanismos de negociação informais na cooperação administrativa e transnacional", REDGOB X, Brasilia, 21–22 September 2012 (A. Hoffmann)

Publications
- Llanos, M., and L. Marsteintredet (eds) (2010), *Presidential Breakdowns in Latin America,* New York: Palgrave.
- Nolte, D. (2010), Paraguay: The President in his General's Labyrinth, in: Mariana Llanos and Leiv Marsteintredet (eds), *Presidential Breakdowns in Latin America,* New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 147–161.
- Nolte, D., and A. Schilling-Vacaflor (2012), *New Constitutionalism in Latin America. Promises and Practices,* Oxon/New York: Ashgate.

Funding
- GIGA
- Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) (German Academic Exchange Service) and CAPES, Brasil: approved

Duration 2011–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
- How do Latin American presidents organise the political management and coordination of their relations with other executive departments and the legislative branch?
- How has the core executive or institutional presidency evolved over time? What type of presidential organisation characterises every country of study?
- What is the level of institutionalisation of the core presidential supports?
- What if the impact of informational, administrative, and oversight executive capacities on executive performance (for instance, on the implementation of a determinate policy agenda)?

Contribution to International Research

Research on the Latin American presidential democracies has considerably grown in the last decades. There exist well documented studies on the formal competences of presidents, president-congress relations, processes of coalition building, and the causes and consequences of failed presidents (those who did not manage to finish their terms). However, the organisation of the Presidency has received little attention in the Latin American region, despite the presidential studies constituting an established area of research in the United States, and despite Latin American presidents beeing formally more powerful than their U.S. counterparts. This project seeks to fill this gap in the literature and so contribute to our knowledge on the functioning of Latin American presidential regimes.

Research Design and Methods

This project adopts a cross-regional small-N comparative design. The project seeks to analyse the causes leading to different organisational designs and the impact of these different organisations on the performance of incumbent presidents. Our main hypothesis is that the type of presidential government (single-party or coalition) is the main factor explaining cross-country variation and changes in the presidential organisation, while other factors (such as the nature of the presidential agenda and constitutional limits to create or supress administrative units) serve as complementary explanations. To test our hypothesis we analyse four countries: two that have mostly featured single-party governments (Argentina and Paraguay) and two with coalition cabinets (Chile and Brazil) from the beginning of the democratic regime until the present, for which we plan to build a map of the agencies and agents that have composed their institutional presidencies. Then, the study of the impact of different executive formats on presidential performance will be analysed in relation to the presidential agendas of foreign affairs, institutional communication, and the articulation with interest groups.

Preliminary Results

Inácio and Llanos (2013) originally developed a framework for the study of the institutional presidency that serves as a guideline for the collection of information for this project. The authors realised the need to include further cases – in addition to those of Argentina and Brazil – to test the proposed hypotheses, as well as to set a team of researchers to improve the availability of cross-country data. To achieve this, a cooperation project (DAAD/CAPES) with the University of Minas Gerais was initiated. Two GIGA doctoral students have been included in the project (Cordula Tibi Weber and Anne Hoffmann).

Judicial (In)dependence in New Democracies. Courts, Presidents and Legislatures in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa

>> Mariana Llanos, Alexander Stroh, Charlotte Heyl, Cordula Tibi Weber

Project Goals
- To analyse and explain the different degrees of judicial independence vis-à-vis the political power invested in the elected branches of power, particularly in the chief of government.
- To determine how elected executives (with varying levels of political and institutional power) exercise their influence on three institutional components of the judiciary – structure, appointments and budget – and which factors determine the different degrees of influence or encroachment.

Theoretical Approaches
- New institutionalism
- Theory of separation of powers

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study (from the transitions to democracy to the present)
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries (Argentina, Benin, Chile, Madagascar, Paraguay, Senegal), Governmental Institutions (executive, legislative, judiciary of each of these countries), Individuals (judges of these countries' upper courts)
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection : Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (constitutional texts, organic laws), Creation of New Databases (judges' careers, judicial budgets)
- Data Processing: Excel, STATA, SPSS
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Network Analysis, Process Tracing, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Universidad Torcuato Di Tella, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Faculté de Droit et de Science Politique, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Benin
- Instituto de Ciencia Política, Universidad Católica de Santiago de Chile, Chile
- Centro de Estudios Judiciales del Paraguay, Asunción, Paraguay
- Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Senegal

Teaching
- "Constitutions in Latin America: Institutional Change and Human Rights", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (M. Llanos, A. Schilling-Vacaflor)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Informal Interference with the Judiciary in New Democracies: A Comparison of African and Latin American Cases", ECPR Joint Sessions of Workshops, University of Mainz, Germany, 11–16 March 2013 (M. Llanos, C. Tibi Weber, C. Heyl, A. Stroh).
- "Diffusion versus Strategy? The creation of West African constitutional courts revisited" V European Conference of African Studies, Lisbon, 27–29 June 2013 (A. Stroh, C. Heyl)
- "The Judiciary's Autonomy and Power in Flux: Political Interference in New Democracies over Time", ALACIP VI, Quito, 12–14 June 2012 and IPSA XXII, Madrid, 8–12 July 2012 (M. Llanos et al.)
- "Judicial Selection and Appointment of Lower Court Judges in Argentina (1983–2009)", ECPR General Conference, Reykjavik, 27–29 August 2011 (M. Llanos)

Publications
- Llanos, M., and L. Lemos (2013), Presidential Preferences? The Supreme Federal Tribunal Nominations in Democratic Brazil, in: *Latin American Politics and Society,* 77–105.
- Llanos, M. (2012), Review of Courts in Latin America, in: *Justicia. The Newsletter of the ECPR Standing Group on Law and Courts,* 3, September.
- Stroh, A., and C. von Soest (2011), *Den Machterhalt im Blick: Verfassungsreformen in Subsahara-Afrika (Keeping the Maintenance of Power in View: Constitutional Reforms in Sub-Saharan Africa), GIGA Focus Afrika,* 4, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, Leibniz-Wettbewerb (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation, Leibniz Competition): approved

Duration
2011–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

The project seeks to analyse and explain different degrees of judicial independence and deals especially with the following questions:
- Which structural arrangements are designed to promote the insulation of judges from undue pressure?
- What is the political context in which courts operate?
- What kinds of mechanisms have the elected branches of government employed to increase their influence on the judicial branch? What impact has political influence had on the three institutional components of the judiciary – structure, appointments, and budget?
- What impact have judicial reform processes had on judicial independence?
- What factors (for example, competitiveness of the party system, the rules regulating the adoption of political decisions, political ideology, international pressure, informal practices, and rules) explain the different types (formal vs. informal, direct vs. indirect) and degrees of political constraints on judicial independence?

Contribution to International Research

The study of judicial independence shows the interaction among the three branches of government and especially the ways in which the two elected branches – the executive and the legislature – interfere with the judicial branch. This project's analysis stresses the difficult balance between judicial independence and judicial accountability. This topic is an incipient but growing research area in the developing world, and much original comparative empirical evidence is still needed.

Research Design and Methods

This project adopts a cross-regional small-N comparative design. It includes six cases from two different regions. The cases belong to similar intraregional contexts in terms of culture and history, but have differential judicial independence assessments. In short, the sample includes one pair each of best-performers (Chile, Benin), medium-performers (Argentina, Senegal), and low-performers (Paraguay,Madagascar). Benin and Chile are renowned for their powerful constitutional courts and the low level of direct political interference with these courts' actions, whereas Argentina's and Senegal's relatively professional judges are exposed to intermittent political interference. Weak judiciaries that face outright political influence are reported for Mali and Paraguay.

The project is collecting data on constitutional and statutory norms (and the successive amendments to them) as well as on actual cases of judges' appointments or removals, the approval of budgetary enlargements or reductions, the creation of new courts, etc. These data will help us approximate both a de jure and a de facto assessment of judicial independence.

Preliminary Results

Between 2012 and the beginning of 2013, the project members undertook fieldwork in the six countries included in the study. They conducted a massive number of interviews (including higher judges, politicians, academics, and members of NGOs), which constitute the original data on which the paper Llanos et al (2013) is based. In addition, the research team elaborated a new composed index of de jure judicial independence, which improves in many aspects current measures of autonomy, accountability and power of courts. The GIGA Index of Judicial Independence was applied to Francophone democracies in Africa (Stroh and Heyl 2013).

Causes of Hybrid Regimes in Sub-Saharan Africa – A Systematic Comparison

>> Gero Erdmann, Sebastian Elischer, Alexander Stroh

Project Goals
- To identify the historical-institutional explanations for the evolution of different regime types (democratic, hybrid and authoritarian) in Africa.

Theoretical Approaches
- Historical institutionalism (critical junctures and pathdependent development)

Research Design
- Comparative Design Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Organisations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Documents (constitutions, policy papers)
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing, Pattern Matching

Cooperation Partners
- History and political science departments of universities in Ghana, Benin, Niger, Zambia, Cameroon and Togo

Teachings
- "Introduction to Politics and Democratisation in Sub-Sahara Africa", Leuphana University Lüneburg, Summer Semester 2013 (A. Stroh)
- "La pratique comparative des systèmes électoraux", Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Winter Semester 2012/13 (A. Stroh)
- "Comparing Political Parties and Party Systems in the Global South", Leuphana University Lüneburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (A. Stroh)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Dictatorship and Democracy in Historical Perspective", 53rd ASA Annual Meeting, San Francisco, 18–21 November 2010 (with Nic v. d. Walle)
- "Africa and Historical Institutionalism", 53rd ASA Annual Meeting, San Francisco, 18–21 November 2010 (G. Erdmann, S. Elischer, A. Stroh)
- "Bringing History Back In: Institutional Legacy, Critical Junctures and Political Regime Development in Africa", Conference, Bamako, Mali, 24–27 February 2011, sponsored by DFG-Point Sud Programme (Organisation: A. Stroh, S. Elischer, G. Erdmann)
- "If Democracy Were the Goal... Origins and Outcomes of Electoral Institutions in Post-Colonial Africa", 6th ECPR General Conference, Reykjavik, 25–27 August 2011 (A. Stroh, S. Elischer, G. Erdmann)
- "Democratisation in Dangerous and Hopeless Places? The Case of Niger", 55th ASA Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, 29 November–1 December 2012 (S. Elischer)

Publications
- Elischer, S. (2013), *Contingent Democrats in Action: Organised Labor and Regime Change in the Republic of Niger,* GIGA Working Papers, 231, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Elischer, S. (2011), Democratisation in Desperate Places: Niger's Seventh Attempt, in: *Africa Policy Forum,* Washington, DC: Centre for International and Strategic Studies.
- Erdmann, G. (2013), Neopatrimonialism and Political Regimes, in: N. Cheeseman, D. Anderson, and A. Scheibler (eds), *Routledge Handbook of African Politics,* London and New York: Routledge, 59–69.
- Erdmann, G. (2011): Decline of Democracy: Loss of Quality, Hybridisation and Breakdown of Democracy, in: *Comparative Governance and Politics,* Special Issue 1, 21–58.
- Erdmann, G., S. Elischer, and A. Stroh (2011), *Can Historical Institutionalism be Applied to Political Regime Development in Africa?,* GIGA Working Papers, 166, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Stroh, A., S. Elischer, and G. Erdmann (2012), *Origins and Outcomes of Electoral Institutions in African Hybrid Regimes: A Comparative Perspective,* GIGA Working Papers, 197, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2009–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
The project is guided by two basic questions:
- What are the historical-institutional, path-dependent causes of different transition outcomes? We want to understand why some regimes have remained democratic, while others have become hybrid and authoritarian following a process of liberalization and democratic elections.
- What are the causes of the continued existence and institutionalisation – that is, the path-dependent stabilization – of the different regime types?

Contribution to International Research
Hybrid regimes are a topic of international research that has rarely been systematically investigated. Only in recent years have they become a more frequent subject in scholarly publications. By applying historical institutionalism to the differential regime development in Africa, we are breaking new ground. With its comparative research design and its case selection, the project also contributes to the general democratic transition debate.

Research Design and Methods
The project investigates the emergence and endurance of hybrid regimes in a comparative manner. It thus contributes to an explanation of the different regime types – democratic, hybrid, and authoritarian. The systematic comparison includes two democracies (Ghana and Benin), two hybrid regimes (Niger and Zambia) and two authoritarian regimes (Togo and Cameroon). The comparison is based on narrative causal analyses of each case, which are applied to identify path-dependent developments. The key aim is to discover the critical junctures and causal mechanisms that contributed to the specific development path. The methods necessary to do so are process tracing and pattern matching.

Preliminary Results
For the empirical investigation we have created a specific historical-institutionalist framework that conceptualizes regimes as being composed of partial regimes and their various institutions. This framework has been presented at international conferences and provides the structure for the analysis of the rich empirical material we have collected. One general conclusion is that formal institutions, though often only weakly institutionalised, seem to be more important in African politics than scholars usually expect. The conventional wisdom holds that informal institutions matter much more than formal ones.

Political Regimes, Reduction of Poverty and Inequality

>> Gero Erdmann, Jann Lay, Marina Dodlova

Project Goals

Economic growth in developing countries generally leads to poverty reduction. In some cases, however, increases in inequality have hampered the poverty reduction potential of growth. The goal of the project is:
- To analyse the extent to which different political institutional arrangements (political regimes) might be responsible for these results.
- To analyse different poverty reduction policies in order to identify the most efficient and effective policy approach for combating poverty and reducing inequality in specific political regimes.

Theoretical Approaches

- Theories of democratic and authoritarian regimes
- Welfare state theory

Research Design

- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison, Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: up to 40 years
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Groups (poverty and distributional analyses at country level, based on household survey data)
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods

- Data Collection: Documents (government policy papers, government statistics, survey data)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis Multivariate Methods, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners

- NOPOOR Consortium (led by Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) and Paris Dauphine University); partners include: University of Oxford, UK; Center for Democratic Development, Ghana; Facultés universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, Belgium; Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Mexico; Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; University of Cape Town, South Africa; Delhi School of Economics, India; Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (unit in India); Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences, Vietnam; Université d'Antananarivo, Madagascar)

Workshops/ Conferences

- Participation in NOPOOR's workshops

Publications

- Erdmann, G. (2011), Decline of Democracy: Loss of Quality, Hybridisation and Breakdown of Democracy, in: *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Politikwissenschaft / Comparative Governance and Politics,* Special Issue 1, 21–58.
- Lay, J., R. Thiele, and W. Wiebelt (2008), Shocks, Policy Reforms, and Pro-Poor Growth in Bolivia: A Simulation Analysis, in: *Review of Development Economics,* 12, 1, 37–56.

Funding

- EC, 7. Forschungsrahmenprogramm (FP7) (EC, Seventh Framework Programme); coordinated by Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) and Paris Dauphine University, France: approved

Duration

2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

Poverty reduction strategies aim to foster growth patterns that favour the poor (millennium development goals and poverty reduction strategy papers). However, in the past rapid economic expansion in developing countries has often been associated with increased inequality. Redistributive policies could counteract such trends. The commitment to reduce poverty is likely to differ according to political regime type. The general assumption is that democracies are more responsive to public demands than authoritarian regimes; hence democracies are more likely to adopt policies to combat poverty and promote redistribution. What kind of policy mix is most effective and efficient remains unclear. The project's basic questions are thus as follows:
- Which political regime type provides more effective institutional arrangement for poverty reduction?
- Which policy or policy mix is most effective for poverty alleviation in specific regimes?
- Which political conditions are most favourable for the efficient implementation of this policy or policy mix?

Contribution to International Research

The general assumption is that democracies are more responsive to public demands than authoritarian regimes; hence democracies are more likely to adopt policies to combat poverty and promote redistribution. However, what kind of policy mix is most effective and efficient remains unclear. Cross-country research on the relationship between political regimes and poverty/inequality is inconclusive. There are various reasons for this state of affairs: case and period selection, the limitations of the statistical instruments, and conceptual and measurement shortcomings.

Research Design and Methods

Our approach will enhance the differentiation of the regime variable to overcome the democracy/ dictatorship dichotomy by introducing hybrid-regime categories as well as disentangle particular political institutions that are crucial for social policy choices and implementation. In addition, we will specify different qualities within the regime categories, such as diminished subtypes and partial regimes. We intend to
- assess the relationship between poverty/inequality and regime type;
- examine which policies are most likely to be adopted by specific regime types; and
- analyse the differences in the effectiveness and efficiency of specific policies under different regime types.

Such an analysis will provide answers as to why some countries are less effective in policy implementation. The study will comprise a small-N comparison of selected country cases from Africa, Asia and Latin America according to regime type, policies, and governance efficacy (centralized and decentralized administration and/or governance, as well as ill-devised decentralization). It will identify key variables, which will then be tested in a cross-country large-N study.

The Effects of Ethnic Parties on National Unity: Polarization vs Inclusion

>> Anaïd Flesken

Project Goals
- To investigate how ethnic parties affect national unity within the population.
- To compile a multi-level dataset on ethnic parties and attitudes towards national unity at the individual level for a diverse, large-n set of cases.
- To analyse the data in order to test hypotheses on the link between ethnic parties and national unity.
- To examine causal mechanisms between ethnic parties and national unity in in-depth, small-n comparison.

Theoretical Approaches
- Modern Cconstructivism
- Social Identity Theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Snapshot/Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Sub-national, National
- Unit of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organisations, Countries (Multi-Level Analysis)
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis (Nested Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Verbal Data/Survey Research, Documents (party manifestos, news reports on campaign appearances), Databases (World Values Survey, Minorities at Risk, Democratic Accountability and Party Linkages)
- Data Processing: MAXQDA, R
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Multi-Level Modelling

Publications
- Flesken, A. (2014), On the Link Between Ethnic Politics and Identification: Lessons from Bolivia, in: *Ethnopolitics,* 13 (forthc.).
- Flesken, A. (2013), Ethnicity without Group: Dynamics of Indigeneity in Bolivia, in: *Nationalism & Ethnic Politics,* 19, 3, 333–353.

Funding - Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration 2014–20175

Project Description

Research Questions

Ethnic parties are seen by some as furthering, by others as undermining feelings of national unity. Although policy recommendations regarding ethnic parties are based on these views, feelings of national unity have so far not been examined directly at the individual level within the population. The proposed project fills this gap, asking if ethnic parties affect national unity within the population.

Combining insights from psychological research on social identity with comparative attitudes research, the project conducts a nested analysis. It first newly combines and analyses a multi-level dataset on ethnic parties and national unity at the level of the individual for a diverse, large-n set of cases. It then goes further beyond extant approaches, examining the mechanisms of this link in an in-depth, small-n comparison with cases selected based on the large-n findings.

Contribution to International Research

The proposed research will advance our understanding of the effects of ethnic parties on national unity in diverse societies. In particular, it will be the first to analyse the effects of the political mobilisation of ethnicity on national unity at the individual level. As yet, this issue has received surprisingly little attention despite virtually universal agreement that collective identities are constructed and malleable. Towards this aim, the project will compile a multi-level dataset on ethnic parties and national unity at the level of the individual for a diverse, large-n set of cases; analyse the data in order to test hypotheses on the link between ethnic parties and national unity; and examine the causal mechanisms between ethnic parties and national unity in an in-depth, small-n comparison.

The project's findings can inform policy decisions regarding the treatment of ethnic parties, especially in not consolidated democracies. Both domestic actors as well as international organisations have become increasingly active in the field of political party regulation and engineering in order to support democratisation and democratic consolidation. In particular, the European Network of Political Foundations (2013) has recently emphasised the importance of party assistance in democracy promotion and identified polarisation based on ethnic, regional, and religious cleavages as key challenge to be addressed. However, party assistance strategies have been shown to be badly informed or inexistent. If the intended outcome of party assistance and regulation in ethnically diverse societies is to reduce the likelihood of ethnic tensions, examining the effect of ethnic parties within the population can provide valuable lessons for such policies.

Research Design and Methods

The project examines the link between ethnic parties and national unity through a multi-method, nested analysis. First, a multi-level analysis of 105 electoral democracies covered by the latest wave of the World Values Survey (2010-2012) newly combines data on ethnic parties and survey data on different issues of national unity (ethnic relations, political inclusions, national identification) to examine correlations between the variables.

Second, the findings are complemented with either model-testing or model-building in-depth analyses of the causal mechanisms in two cases, selected on the basis of the large-n findings. For model-testing, the project will select two cases that exhibit a within-case variation in the existence of ethnic parties in time and/or space. This quasi-experimental setup can determine whether ethnic parties are indeed the cause for the observed attitudes or whether, for instance, causality is reversed. For model-building, in contrast, the project will need to consider potential intervening variables. Informative cases would be those where a process can be assumed to exist (i.e. where there are ethnic parties) and which vary on the dependent and potentially intervening variables. The extant literature suggests two main intervening variables: demographic ethnic structure and mobilisation strategies of ethnic parties. The project will analyse two cases which have ethnic parties but varying levels of national unity and which differ with regard to the hypothesized intervening variables.

Polities beyond Borders. The New Dynamics of Emigrant Politics and Policies in Latin America

>> Bert Hoffmann, Luicy Pedroza, Pau Palop

Project Goals
- To understand the implications of increased transnational migration for the sending states' policies and politics. The empirical focus is on Latin America and the Caribbean.
- To assess how homeland states reach out to emigrants, and what drives the adoption of these "emigrant policies".
- To identify how "emigrant politics", that is, the interaction of homeland political actors with emigrants, play out in the adoption of these policies.

Theoretical Approaches
- Politics of transnational migration
- Transformation of the state due to globalisation and migrant transnationalism
- "Diasporic policies", "diaspora engagement policies"
- Multiple/expanded citizenship beyond single polity

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Contemporary
- Spatial Dimension: National, Transnational
- Level of Analysis: State, Migrant Organisations
- Number of Cases: Nested Research combining Large-N and Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents, Development of New Emigrant Policies Database
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Jean-Michel Lafleur, Prof. Dr. Marco Martiniello, Center for Ethnic and Migration Studies (CEDEM), University of Liège
- Prof. Dr. Günther Maihold, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin
- Prof. Dr. Hans-Jürgen Puhle, University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main

Publications
- Hoffmann, B.(2010), Bringing Hirschman Back, in: "Exit", "Voice", and "Loyalty" in the Politics of Transnational Migration, in: *The Latin Americanist,* 54, 2, 57–73.
- Lafleur, J-M. (2012), *Transnational Politics and the State. The External Voting Rights of Diasporas,* Abingdon: Routledge.
- Lafleur, J-M. (2012), *Diáspora y Voto en el Exterior. La Participación Política de los Emigrantes Bolivianos en las Elecciones de su País de Origen (Diaspora and Voting from Abroad: The Political Participation of the Bolivian Emigrants in the Elections of their Home Country),* Barcelona, Centro de Estudios y Documentación Internacionales de Barcelona (CIDOB).
- Lafleur, J-M. (2011), Why Do States Enfranchise Citizens Abroad? Comparative Insights from Mexico, Italy and Belgium, in: *Global Networks,* 11, 4, 481–501.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2013–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

A current of research in migration studies – transnationalism – has since the mid-1990s demonstrated that emigrants are increasingly maintaining economic, social, and political ties with their country of origin. However, only recently has political science research begun focusing on what we call "emigrant policies" – that is, policies adopted by the sending states that primarily concern their citizens abroad. The findings thus far have been largely confined to either case studies that cannot be generalised to other situations or broader work on specific sub-issues, such as external voting rights. As a result, the reasons why states adopt these policies, as well as their variations and their effects, remain largely unknown.

Focusing on the Latin American and Caribbean countries as global front-runners in the adoption of emigrant policies, this research project thus addresses two central questions: (1) How do homeland states reach out to emigrants, and what drives the adoption of these policies? (2) How do "emigrant politics" – that is, the interaction of homeland political actors with emigrants – play out in the adoption of these policies?

The project will adopt a comprehensive empirical and mixed-methods approach. It will provide new insights on how and why sending states actively adopt policies to engage with their emigrated citizens abroad, and on how this interaction impacts not only the politics but also the polities of the sending societies.

Contribution to International Research

The proposed project seeks to address key gaps in the extant research. First, research on emigrant politics and emigrant policies is still stuck between a large number of migrant-centred studies that document the transnational political practices of emigrants on the one hand, and a more limited number of studies on sending-state policies on the other hand. Second, research on emigrant politics is usually conducted on a case-study basis. The analysis has generally been conducted at the regional level (e.g. Escobar 2007; Rhodes and Harutyunyan 2010) and has been limited to very specific policies. It therefore has not explained the broader development of emigrant policies in Latin America. This study's development of an original, solid and quantifiable emigrant policies index for all the countries of the study region and the combination of this index with a small-N analysis in a nested research approach will break new ground. This approach promises to overcome the major limitations of the political science research on transnational migration.

Research Design and Methods

The project is based on a mixed-methods research design that proceeds in three steps: First, we will generate an original data set that identifies and codifies the principal emigrant policies of all Latin American and major Caribbean countries at the large-N level. This is something that has been missing in the research to date. Second, we will use this database for a quantitative large-N analysis in order to test hypotheses on the structural reasons for the adoption of emigrant policies. To do this we will correlate a number of structural factors regarding the sending state and its emigration community as the independent variable with the emigration policies codified above as the dependent variable. Third, we will undertake a qualitative, small-N case study to understand the political dynamics of the sending states and emigrants in the design and adoption of emigrant policies. In accordance with Liebermann's concept of "nested analysis", we will select the cases for this latter step as a result of the large-N analysis.

Preliminary Results

Preliminary research has shown the importance emigrant policies have acquired for sending states as well as the variety of approaches taken by these. As overseas voting rights as well as a broad array of outreach activities have come on the political agenda of many countries, the research carried out so far has confirmed the hypothesis that transnational migration is challenging core concepts of the nation-state, citizenship, and belonging. While the migration debate was long framed along the lines of social issues, marginalization, discrimination, integration, and assimilation our findings highlight the role of citizenship and state-migrant relations as a key factor. Our research also suggests that there is a link between extending rights to a country's emigrants, on the one hand, and to non-citizen immigrants in the sending country itself. As a consequence, emigrant policies that reach out to migrants living abroad are the subject of complex political debate and involve conflicting interests. We thus assume that the specific interplay of these social and political forces at the national policy-making level is important in explaining cross-country variations in the design and implementation of emigration policies.

RP 1

desiguALdades.net – Migration and Unequal Citizenship

>> Bert Hoffmann, Luicy Pedroza, NN

Project Goals
- To better understand how citizenship status of migrants impacts as a key dimension of not only political but also social inequalities.
- To understand the causes as well as the implications of recent initiatives for denizen entitlement (that is of non-citizen resident migrants) as well as diaspora engagement (that is outreach of sending states to their emigrants).

Theoretical Approaches
- Transnational migration studies
- Interdependent/entangled inequalities
- Citizenship as *"inherited entitlement"*

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Unit of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: , Documents (parliamentary debates, political documents), Interviews with key actors
- Data Analysis: Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Gioconda Herrera, FLACSO Ecuador

Teaching
- "Migration Politics and Policy", Central European University, Budapest, Winter Semester 2013 (L. Pedroza)

Workshops/ Conferences
- Participation in planned conference of the desiguALdades network in 2014 and 2015

Publications
- Hoffmann, Bert (2010), Bringing Hirschman Back In: 'Exit', 'Voice', and 'Loyalty' in the Politics of Transnational Migration, in: *The Latin Americanist,* 54, 2, 57–73.
- Pedroza, Luicy (2013), Why Some Non-Citizen Migrants are More Equal Than Others. Denizen Enfranchisement in Portugal, in: *Citizenship Studies*, 17, 6/7, 852–872.
- Pedroza, Luicy (2012), *Citizenship before Nationality: How Democracies Redefine Citizenship by Debating the Extension of Voting Rights to Settled Immigrants,* PhD Thesis, Bremen International Graduate School of Social Science.Pedroza, Luicy (2011), *But don't mention migration! Explaining the "failed" denizen enfranchisement reforms in German,* DISC Working Papers 15, Budapest.

Funding
- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (Federal Ministry of Education and Research): approved

Duration
2014–2016

RP 1

Project Description

Research Questions

The project addresses the dilemma of migration as a form to overcome socio-economic inequalities embedded in the international hierarchy of states while at the same time calling into question the citizenship status of the migrants, creating new inequalities and dependence on the political spaces for negotiation within the framework of the receiving nation-state. It hence asks how two current trends a) to extend citizenship rights to non-citizen migrants (denizens) in the country of residence, and b) to extend rights to emigrated citizens in the country of origin (diaspora engagment policies) reshape the nature of citizenship and how this impacts on the stratification of multi-dimensional inequalities.

Contribution to International Research

International research on social inequalities has moved beyond uni-dimensional models focused on income or class cleavages to include multiple dimensions and modalities of inequalities such as those based on gender or ethnic identities. However, even these broader approaches, as forwarded in the inter-sectionality literature, has scarcely addressed the impact of transnational relations and the implications of mass migration on societal inequalities. In analysing the unequal citizenship status of the migrants in regard to both the country of origin as that of destiny the research project thus addresses a blind spot of current research on interdependent inequalities. Moreover it fills a gap by explicitly linking this analytical perspective on the changing nature of societes with the empirical study on governmental initiatives to reach out to their diaspora or to promote the entitlement of non-citizen residents, thus changing the forms and norms of citizenship in an increasingly interdependent world.

Research Design and Methods

Three Latin American countries (Ecuador, Bolivia and Columbia) were selected for comparative case studies on the inclusion of migrants as denizens in the state of residence as well as on policies of diaspora engagement adopted or debated in the political arena. A key question is as to how far the countries' institutional configurations and migration profiles shape the policy approaches regarding the entitlement of denizens and/or migrants to citizenship rights. To this end, the project will systematically analyse political documents, parliamentary debates and other data on these questions, and researchers will conduct interviews with key actors in the process.

The Effects of Electoral Reform on Party and Party System Development: A Context-Sensitive Comparison of Japan and New Zealand

>> Patrick Köllner

Project Goals
- To understand how the use of multi-member electoral systems has, in conjunction with concurrent social change, impacted political parties and party systems in Japan and New Zealand since the early 1990s.

Theoretical Approaches
- Comparative Study of Electoral Systems' Effects
- Historical Institutionalism

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional and Within-Case Comparisons
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study (1993 until today)
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Unit of Analysis: Parties and Party Systems
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis (party level), Medium-N Analysis (party-system level)

Methods
- Mixed quantitative-qualitative approach
- Data Collection: Data on effective number of parties (partly available from Michael Gallagher's election indices database), Election surveys in Japan and New Zealand since 1996, Semi-structured expert interviews
- Data Analysis: Pattern Matching (Congruence Analysis), Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Bryce Edwards, University of Otago, New Zealand
- Japan: National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies (GRIPS), German Institute for Japanese Studies

Publications
- Bryce, E. (2010), Minor Parties, in: R. Miller (ed.), New Zealand *Government and Politics,* 5th edition, Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press, 522–536.
- Koellner, P. (2011), The Democratic Party of Japan: Development, Organisation and Programmatic Profile, in: A. Gaunder (ed.), *The Routledge Handbook of Japanese Politics,* London and New York: Routledge, 21–35.
- Koellner, P. (2009), Japanese Lower House Campaigns in Transition: Manifest Changes or Fleeting Fads?, in: *Journal of East Asian Studies,* 9, 1, 121–149.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration
2014–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

In both comparative and national-level terms we want to know how, in the context of concurrent social change, the adoption of mixed electoral systems – a mixed-member majoritarian (MMM) system in Japan and a mixed-member proportional ('German style') system in New Zealand – has impacted on party-system development in these two countries during the past 20-odd years. More specifically, we ask whether/to what degree the predicted move to a two-party system in Japan has taken place and whether/to what degree the party system in New Zealand has become more diverse. At the intra-national level, we seek to understand how electoral system change has affected in conjunction with social change the development of both major parties and minor parties within the two party systems. Here, sub-questions concern how party-system relevant 'niche parties' have adapted to the changed electoral system in Japan and how electoral change has affected the (party) representation of important ethnic majorities in New Zealand.

Contribution to International Research

The research project will talk to and build on extant research concerning a) the effects of electoral system on party systems (in particular Duverger's laws/assumptions and its critics), b) the possibilities and limits of electoral engineering (e.g. Norris 2004), c) the particular consequences of mixed-member electoral systems (most prominently Shugart and Wattenberg eds. 2001), and d) recent party-system change in Japan (Schoppa ed. 2011; Scheiner 2012) and in New Zealand (Miller 2010a, 2010b). The project will reassess early studies on the consequences of electoral reform on parties and the party systems in Japan and New Zealand (e.g. Barker et al. 2001; Reed and Thies 2001; Köllner 2006) in the light of more recent evidence. By doing so it will also complement recent comparative research on the effects of electoral change on party and party system change in Italy and Japan (Gianetti and Grofman eds. 2011).

Research Design and Methods

The project features a paired comparison of the only two established democracies that introduced mixed electoral systems in the early 1990s and that have since then continuously applied these systems. Six general elections took place between 1996 and 2012 under the respective versions of mixed-member electoral systems in both Japan and New Zealand, enabling firmer conclusions about the medium to longer-term effects of these systems on party as well as party system development. While extant comparative and single-case study research has much focused on the effects of institutional parameters such as electoral systems on party and party system change, we argue that social parameters (other than existing social cleavages) and their changes over time also need to be considered to understand party and party system change. As Moser and Scheiner (2012) cogently argue the effects of electoral systems are conditioned by contextual factors. This, in turn, calls for context-sensitive cross-regional comparisons of relevant cases (Sil 2009).

We propose to study party and party system change in Japan and New Zealand by combining quantitative assessments of party-system change (as reflected e.g. in the development of the respective effective number of parties since 1993) with qualitative approaches to understanding party development (such as tracing the responses of major and minor parties to institutional as well as social changes since the early 1990s). Funding permitting, we will also investigate how important niche parties have adapted to relevant changes in Japan (case studies on the Japanese Communist Party and the neo-Buddhist New Komeito) and how the party-based representation of ethnic minorities has evolved within changed institutional and social parameters in New Zealand (case studies on representation of Maori and ethnic Asians). In sum, we seek to combine cross-regional and within-case analyses in this project.

Anti-Corruption Policies Revisited. Global Trends and European Responses to the Challenge of Corruption (ANTICORRP)

>> Christian von Soest, Thomas Richter, Detlef Nolte, Sabrina Maaß

Project Goals
- To assess causes of corruption and effectiveness of anti-corruption measures.
- To analyse actors´ impact upon levels of corruption.
- To investigate regional patterns and trends of corruption.

Theoretical Approaches
- Contemporary concepts of corruption
- Collective action theory
- Political economy approaches

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison, Intra-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Organisations (e.g. state organisations), Countries, Regions
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Documents (official and legal documents), Databases (Worldwide Governance Indicators, World Values Survey, Quality of Government)
- Data Processing: Stata
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing, Multivariate Methods, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- ANTICORRP Consortium (21 partners led by Quality of Government Institute, University of Gothenburg, Sweden. Partners include, among others, Hertie School of Governance, Germany; European University Institute, Italy; University College London, UK; Transparency International, Germany; Gallup Europe, Belgium)

Teachings
- "Democratic, Authoritarian, or Hybrid? Current Debates Related to the Conceptualization and Measurement of Political Regimes", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2013 (T. Richter)
- "Effects of Foreign Aid in sub-Saharan Africa", Free University Berlin, Winter Semester 2010/11 (C. von Soest)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Corruption and Governance Improvement in Global and Continental Perspective", Work Package 3, ANTICORRP, Hamburg, 25–27 October 2013 (C. von Soest, T. Richter, S. Maaß)
- "Choices by the Elite: Botswana's Governance Record Revisited",12th IPSA World Congress, Madrid, 10 July 2012 (C. von Soest)
- "Neopatrimonialism in Various World Regions", International Workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 23 August 2010 (C. von Soest, T. Richter)
- "Corruption and Political Power from a Comparative Area Perspective", DVPW Section "Comparative Politics" Leipzig, 9–11 October 2013 (C. von Soest, T. Richter)

Publications
- Soest, C. von (2013), Persistent Systemic Corruption: Why Democratization and Economic Liberalization have Failed to Undo an Old Evil. A Six-Country Analysis, in: *Comparative Governance and Politics/Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Politikwissenschaft,* 57–78.
- Soest, C. von (2009), *The African State and Its Revenues. How Politics Influences Tax Collection in Zambia and Botswana,* Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Soest, C. von, K. Bechle, and N. Korte (2011), How Neopatrimonialism Affects Tax Administration: A Comparative Study of Three World Regions, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 32, 7, 1307–1329.

Funding
- EC, 7. Forschungsrahmenprogramm (FP7) (EC, Seventh Framework Programme), coordinated by University of Gothenburg: approved

Duration
2012–2017

Project Description

Research Questions
The main research questions of the ANTICORRP consortium are:
- What are the causes of corruption? What accounts for low levels of corruption?
- How does corruption impact societies?
- How do corruption levels differ between regions? What accounts for these differences?
- What impact does the behaviour of governmental and non-state actors have on the fight against corruption?

Contribution to International Research
While there is increasing awareness of corruption, as well as an interest in curtailing it, there has been no systematic research on questions relating to the transition of particularistic societies towards those characterised by ethical universalism – that is, public good–oriented governance. There is also a lack of consensus regarding definitions, possible causes, and effective measures of fighting corruption. The main aim of ANTICORRP is therefore to align different concepts and insights through interdisciplinary research. Existing research gaps shall be addressed by a comparison of EU countries' trajectories with neighbouring states and a global sample of countries. In this framework, the GIGA project comparatively analyses country cases in Latin America, Africa, Asia and the Middle East and studies patterns and trends of corruption within each of the four regions.

Research Design and Methods
In a first step governance regimes worldwide were diagnosed, using well known indicators of good governance and corruption. Based on this large-N quantitative study, countries were identified that were successful in reducing corruption and the respective countries´ trajectories were analysed in more detail. Based on these case studies, which were conducted in 2013, the most effective countries in fighting corruption will be analysed by means of process tracing. Furthermore, different world regions will be compared in order to discover and explain intra- and inter-regional variation. Based on these comparisons, it is expected to eventually gain a better understanding of why various societies developed different equilibria of political accountability and control of corruption.

Preliminary Results
Preliminary findings indicate that successful control of corruption results from a specific interplay of material and power opportunities as well as legal and normative constraints. The following countries were identified as regional achievers: Botswana, Chile, Costa Rica, Qatar, Rwanda, South Korea, Taiwan and Uruguay. The historical pathways of these countries will be analysed in the next research phase of ANTICORRP.

Intraparty Factionalism and Party System Change: Lessons from Young Democracies in Asia

>> Andreas Ufen, Paul Chambers, Patrick Köllner

Project Goals
- To illustrate what gives rise to factionalism and how factional evolution causes changes in parties and party systems that are on the path to institutionalisation in young democracies.

Theoretical Approaches
- Concepts of factions, parties and party system change
- Historical institutionalism

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Organisations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Documents (publications by political parties, newspaper reports)
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, Process Tracing, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Napisa Waitoolkiat, Payap University, Chiang Mai, Thailand
- Dr. Julio Teehankee, Institute for Strategic and Development Studies, Manila, Phillipines
- Sunny Tanuwidjaya, Centre for Strategic and International Studies, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Antero Benedito Da Silva, Universidade National Timor-Lorosae, Timor Leste
- Dr. Manish Thapa, Tribhuvan University; Prof. Yagya Prasad Adhikari, Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies, Kathmandu, Nepal
- Dr. K. M. Mahiuddin, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- Dr. Tunku Mohar, International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Dr. Mohammad Waseem, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
- Dr. S.T. Hettige, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka
- Dr. Ghosh, Nehru Memorial Museum and Library, New Delhi, India

Teachings
- "East Asia in Comparative Politics: Theoretical and Methodological Approaches", University of Erlangen Nuremberg, Summer Semester 2013 (A. Ufen)
- "Politics in East Asia", University of Erlangen Nuremberg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (A. Ufen)
- "Parties and Party Systems in Asia", University of Erlangen Nuremberg, Summer Semester 2012 (A. Ufen)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Direct Local Elections and the Fragmentation of Party Organisation in Indonesia", APSA Annual Meeting, Seattle, 1 September 2011 (A. Ufen)
- ECPR Research Sessions, Research Group on Party System Change, European University Institute, Florence, 19–22 June 2012, (P. Chambers)
- Diverse lectures on cleavages and clientelism in Southeast Asia at universities in Jakarta (29 February 2012), Kuala Lumpur (26 and 30 March 2012), Hong Kong (3 April 2012), Manila (6 September 2012) and Bangkok (27 August 2013) (A. Ufen)

Publications
- Chambers, P. (2008), Parties, Factions, and the Durability of Cabinets, Coalitions, and Parliaments in Thailand: 1979–2001, in: *Party Politics,* 14, 3, 299–323.
- Chambers, P., and A. Croissant (2010), Monopolizing, Mutualizing, or Muddling Through: Factions and Party Management in Contemporary Thailand, in: *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs,* 29, 3, 3–33.
- Chambers, P., and A. Croissant (2010), Intra-Party Democracy in Thailand, in: *Asian Journal of Political Science,* 18, 2, 195–223.
- Ufen, A. (2013), Lipset and Rokkan in Southeast Asia: Indonesia in Comparative Perspective, in: D. Tomsa and A. Ufen (eds), *Political Parties in Southeast Asia. Clientelism and Electoral Competition in Indonesia, Thailand and the Philippines,* London: Routledge, 40–61.
- Ufen, A. (2012), *Ethnizität, Islam, Reformasi: Die Evolution der Konfliktlinien im Parteiensystem Malaysias (Ethnicity, Islam, Reformasi: The Evolution of the Lines of Conflict in Malaysia's Party System),* Opladen: VS Verlag.
- Ufen, A. (2012), Party Systems, Critical Junctures, and Cleavages in Southeast Asia, in: *Asian Survey,* 52, 3, 441–464.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration
2014–2016

Project Description

Research Questions

The research in the project centres upon one question:
- In competitive party systems of South and Southeast Asia, what accounts for the rise and strength of factionalism in some parties and party systems?

Contribution to International Research

In electoral authoritarian and electoral democratic systems, parties and party systems are often weakly institutionalised (Mainwaring and Scully, 1995; Randall and Svasand 2002; Hicken 2009). Studies have argued that this phenomenon is generally due to local elites' early domination of regionalised parties and an inability to clearly translate social cleavages into the party system (Ufen, 2008:343; Kuhonta 2009: 4-5). One symptom of such under-institutionalisation has been intraparty factionalism. This factionalism is significant because factions can act as building blocks which keep parties together, or alternatively, they can force coalitions and parties to collapse. Thus, in many party systems throughout the world, factions determine patterns of stability or instability in party systems.
However, little has been published on political parties in Asia, and a comparative empirical survey on factionalism in Asia has not yet been published. Additionally, a comparative operationalisation of the effective number of factions in different party systems has never been undertaken. Finally, except for the possible exception of works by Krauss and Pekkanen (2011) and Hellmann (2011), historical institutionalism has never been used to account for the rise of factionalism in terms of changes across party organisations and party systems.

Research Design and Methods

The project compares cases of factionalism in selected regimes with competitive party systems in Southeast Asia (Thailand, Malaysia, the Philippines, Indonesia, Timor Leste), and South Asia (India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Pakistan). It combines historical institutionalism with qualitative and quantitative methodology. The entire evolution of each faction under study is traced, and these factions are also measured in terms of the effective number of intra-party factions and political parties within each party system case. To measure factions in each country case, the project's researchers will interview academics, journalists, and retired or active politicians. This research will also involve the gathering of legislative data, which will either be available online or will require manual primary data collection in the particular country.

>> Research Programme 1: Selected Publications 2013

Articles in Refereed Journals

- Bank, André and Roy Karadag (2013), "The Ankara Moment": The Politics of Turkey's Regional Power in the Middle East, 2007–2011, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 34, 2, 287–304.
- Bank, André and Thomas Richter (2013), Autoritäre Monarchien im Nahen Osten: Bedingungen für Überleben und Zusammenbruch seit 1945 (Authoritarian Monarchies in the Middle East: The Conditions for Survival and Collapse since 1945), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 47 (special issue), 384–417.
- Bank, André, Thomas Richter and Anna Sunik (2013), Long-Term Monarchical Survival in the Middle East: A Configurational Comparison, 1945–2012, in: *Democratization,* DOI: 10.1080/13510347.2013.845555.
- Bondes, Maria and Günter Schucher (2013), Derailed Emotions: The Transformation of Claims and Targets during the Wenzhou Online Incident, in: *Information, Communication & Society,* online first, 01.11.2013, DOI: 10.1080/1369118X.2013.853819.
- Bondes, Maria and Sandra Heep (2013), Conceptualizing the Relationship between Persuasion and Legitimacy: Official Framing in the Case of the Chinese Communist Party, in: *Journal of Chinese Political Science,* 18, 4, 317–334.
- Gerschewski, Johannes (2013), The Three Pillars of Stability: Legitimation, Repression, and Co-optation in Autocratic Regimes, in: *Democratization,* special issue 20, 1, 13-38.
- Holbig, Heike (2013), Ideology after the End of Ideology: China and the Quest for Autocratic Legitimation, in: *Democratization*, special issue 20, 1, 61–81.
- Köllner, Patrick (2013), Informelle Institutionen in Autokratien: Konzeptionell-analytische Grundlagen und der Fall der Kommunistischen Partei Chinas (Informal Institutions in Autocracies: Conceptional-Analytical Foundations and the Case of China's Communist Party), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 47 (special issue), 272–297.
- Köllner, Patrick and Steffen Kallitz (2013), Zur Autokratieforschung der Gegenwart: Klassifikatorsche Vorschläge, theoretische Ansätze und analytische Dimensionen (On Today's Autocracy Research: Classification Suggestions, Theoretical Approaches, and Analytical Dimensions), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 47 (special issue), 9–34.
- Llanos, Mariana and Leany B. Lemos (2013), Presidential Preferences? The Supreme Federal Tribunal Nominations in Democratic Brazil, in: *Latin American Politics and Society*, 55, 2, 77–105.
- Llanos, Mariana and Leiv Marsteintredet (2013), Presidential Strategies in Times of Crisis: Room for Survival?, in: *PolHis - Boletín Bibliográfico Electrónico del Programa Buenos Aires de Historia Política,* 11, 182–198.
- Llanos, Mariana, Leiv Marsteintredet and Detlef Nolte (2013), Paraguay and the Politics of Impeachment, in: *Journal of Democracy,* 24, 4, 110–123.
- Noesselt, Nele (2013), Microblogs and the Adaptation of the Chinese Party-State's Governance Strategy, in: *Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration, and Institutions,* DOI: 10.1111/gove.12045.
- Pedroza, Luicy (2013), Policy Framing and Denizen Enfranchisement in Portugal: Why Some Migrant Voters Are More Equal than Others, in: *Citizenship Studies*, 17, 6-7, 852–872.
- Richter, Thomas (2013), Außenhandelsrestriktionen bei Autokratien: Eine empirische Analyse zum Einfluss von Devisenreserven, politischer Offenheit, Rente und Regimetyp (Foreign Trade Restrictions in Autocracies: An Empirical Analysis of the Influence of Foreign Currency Reserves, Political Openness, Rents, and Regime Type), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 47 (special issue), 528–563.
- Ufen, Andreas (2013), Gestohlene Wahlen – In Malaysia bröckelt die Macht der Regierungskoalition (Stolen Elections – The Power of Malaysia's Governing Coalition is Crumbling), in: *Internationale Politik*, 5, 2013, 106–113.
- Wegner, Eva and Miquel Pellicer (2013), Electoral Rules and Clientelistic Parties: A Regression Discontinuity Approach, in: *Quarterly Journal of Political Science,* 8, 4, 339–371.
- Wischermann, Jörg (2013), Zivilgesellschaften als Stütze autoritärer Regime. Das Fallbeispiel Vietnam (Civil Society as a Support for Authoritarian Regimes. The Case of Vietnam), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 47 (special issue), 324–347.

Contributions to Edited Volumes

- Bondes, Maria and Ding Li (2013), Climate Change and Sustainable Development in Western China's Minqin Oasis: Joining Forces with Society, in: Vajpeyi, Dhirendra (ed.): *Climate Change, Sustainable Development and Human Security.* New York: Lexington, 139–168.
- Heyl, Charlotte and Julia Leininger (2013), Mali 1992–2012: Erfolge und Schwächen einer jungen Demokratie (Mali, 1992–2012: Successes and Weaknesses of a Young Democracy), in: Hofbauer, Martin and Philipp Münch (eds): *Wegweiser zur Geschichte. Mali.* Paderborn: Ferdinand Schöningh, 73–83.
- Hoffmann, Bert (2013), A Survivor's Tale: Crisis and Transformation of Cuban Socialism, in: Rosa-Luxemburg-Stiftung (ed.): *Alternative Left Theories: Comparing Experiences from Four Continents, Reviewing the Cases of Viet Nam, Algeria, Mozambique and Cuba,* Hanoi: RLS, 79–86.
- Hoffmann, Bert (2013), Civil Society in the Digital Age: How the Internet Changes State–Society Relations in Authoritarian Regimes, the Case of Cuba, in: Cavatorta, Francesco (ed.): *Civil Society Activism under Authoritarian Rule: A Comparative Perspective,* London and New York: Routledge, 219–244.
- Noesselt, Nele (2013), Angst vor der Freiheit? Rituelle und traditionelle Grundlagen politischer Herrschaft in China (Fear of Freedom? The Ritual and Traditional Foundations fo Political Rulership in China), in: Rode-Breymann, Susanne and Achim Mittag (eds): *Anvertraute Worte,* Hannover: Wehrhahn, 145–158.
- Noesselt, Nele (2013), Das Internet in China: Public Sphere oder autokratisches Kontrollinstrument? (The Internet in China: Public Sphere or Autocratic Instrument of Control?), in: Kneuer, Marianne (ed.): *Das Internet: Bereicherung oder Streßfaktor für die Demokratie?* Baden-Baden: Nomos, 248–274.
- Noesselt, Nele (2013), Konstruierte Pfadabhängigkeit: Politischer Mythos und symbolische Herrschaftsformen (Constructed Path Dependency: Political Myth and Symbolic Forms of Rulership), in: Henningsen, Lena and Martin Hofmann (eds): *Tradition? Variation? Plagiat? Motive und ihre Adaption in China,* Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 87–98.

Monographs and Edited Volumes

- Bondes, Maria (2013), *Umweltaktivismus in Westchina - Zivilgesellschaftliche Ansätze, Wüstenbildung und Armut in der Oase Minqin (Environmental Activism in Western China – Civil Society Efforts, Desert Formation, and Poverty in the Minqin Oasis),* Berlin: Regiospectra.
- Elischer, Sebastian (2013), *Political Parties in Africa: Ethnicity and Party Formation,* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Peetz, Peter (2013), *New Public Management und Demokratie in Lateinamerika: Fallbeispiel Mexiko,* Munich: GRIN Verlag.

A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Civil Conflict Onset

>> Matthias Basedau, Carlo Koos, Annegret Mähler, Jan Pierskalla

Project Goals
- To assess how ethnicity and natural resources jointly impact the risk of civil conflict onset.
- To determine which and how ethnicity-related, resource-related, and other conditions have an impact on conflict.

Theoretical Approaches
- Rationalist approaches to the study of civil war
- Political economy (rentier state, resource curse, collective action problems)
- Social psychology of intergroup dynamics (e.g. social identity theory)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National, Global
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Organisations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (PETRODATA, DIADATA, GEMDATA (PRIO), Geo-EPR, EPR, MAR, several databases on identity demography and surrounding conditions (e.g. World Bank, IMF)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, Regression Analysis, Spatial Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Philippe Le Billon, University of British Columbia, Department of Geography, and the Liu Institute for Global Issues, Canada
- Siri Aas Rustad, Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO), Norway

Teaching
- "Ressourcenkonflikte" (Resource Conflicts), University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2013 (M. Basedau)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Ethnicity, Resources and Civil War", ISA 2012, San Diego, 1–4 April 2012 (M. Basedau)
- "A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Civil Conflict", panel at APSA 2013, 30 August to 3 September 2013, Chicago (all project team members)

Publications
- Basedau, M., and J. Lay (2009), Resource Curse or Rentier Peace? The Ambiguous Effects of Oil Wealth and Oil Dependence on Violent Conflict, in: *Journal of Peace Research,* 46, 6, 757–776.
- Basedau, M., A. Mähler and M. Shabafrouz (2013), Drilling Deeper: A Systematic and Context-Sensitive Study of Causal Mechanisms in the Oil-Conflict Link, in: *Journal of Development Studies,* 50, 1, 51–63.
- Basedau, M., and A. Moroff (2011), Parties in Chains: Do Ethnic Party Bans in Africa Promote Peace?, in: *Party Politics,* 17, 2, 205–225.
- Basedau, M., and T. Richter (forthcoming), Why Do Some Oil Exporters Experience Civil War But Others Do Not?: Investigating the Conditional Effects of Oil, in: *European Political Science Review.*
- Basedau, M., and A. Stroh (2012), How Ethnic are African Parties Really? Evidence from Four Francophone Countries, in: *International Political Science Review,* 33, 1, 5–24.
- Wegenast, T., and M. Basedau (2013): Ethnic Fractionalization, Natural Resources, and Armed Conflict Onset, in: *Conflict Management and Peace Science* (version of record, 15 November).

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2012–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

The research project focuses on two core questions:
- Do ethnicity and natural resources jointly increase the risk of conflict onset?
- Which ethnicity-related, resource-related, and other conditions have an impact on conflict?

Contribution to International Research

According to the literature on conflict, ethnicity and natural resources can, under certain conditions, increase the risk of intrastate conflict. Theoretically, the combination of ethnic diversity and resources should be particularly dangerous, as both together may generate strong motives for resistance and increase an ethnic group's capacity for insurgency by providing financial means and recruitment pools. In sum, ethnicity and resource-specific conditions can assist in overcoming the collective-action problems that rebellion (and hence civil war) entails.

However, studies on ethnicity and conflict on the one hand (e.g. Gurr, Cederman, Wimmer, Horowitz) and resources and conflict on the other (e.g. Collier/Hoeffler, Le Billon, Ross) have operated independently of each other thus far. Moreover, previous research has investigated causal mechanisms only at a rather aggregate level. This project unifies both strands of the literature and investigates the precise mechanisms that may lead to violence in the presence of both resources and ethnic diversity.

Research Design and Methods

Methodologically, the project engages in georeferencing below the national level and combines macro-, meso- and microperspectives in a three-level analysis. At the macro level, a global database that identifies the overlapping locations of resources, relevant and deprived ethnic groups, and conflict is being created in order to uncover general patterns. For this purpose, existing data on resources (PETRODATA, GEMDATA, DIADATA, US Geological Survey) and ethnicity (Ethnic Power Relations Dataset and its georeferenced variant GEO-EPR) is being used. At the meso level, the project is engaging in a within-country comparison of two pertinent country cases (Bolivia and Nigeria) where the presence of resources and relevant ethnic groups, as well as the level of violence, varies across subnational units. While Nigeria has experienced high-intensity violence, Bolivia is well suited for a study of lower levels of violence. At the micro level, extensive fieldwork in two carefully selected local sites per country aims to uncover the exact mechanisms through which the combination of ethnicity and resources leads (or does not lead) to violence.

Preliminary Results

Preliminary results suggest that ethnicity indeed conditions the impact of natural resources. In a spatial analysis of grid cells in Africa from 1990 to 2010, both ethnic exclusion and the presence of oil increases the risk of conflict events. However, when groups with (monopoly) access to power settle in oil-rich areas, the conflict-increasing effect is reversed. Apparently, these groups can use revenues to buy off peace. Subnational studies for the cases of Bolivia and Nigeria, including collection of novel data, seems to confirm these results.

Prior Consultation and Conflict Transformation in Resource Governance: Bolivia and Peru

>> Almut Schilling-Vacaflor, Riccarda Flemmer

Project Goals
- To assess the characteristics of consultation processes and their results in Bolivia and Peru.
- To develop a database on 20 consultation cases in the Bolivian and Peruvian hydrocarbon sectors.
- To identify enabling and limiting factors for the implementation of comprehensive consultations.
- To explain the connections between prior consultations and conflict transformation.

Theoretical Approaches
- Contentious politics
- Deliberative democracy
- Legal pluralism/indigenous rights

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Ongoing Processes (participatory observation)
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Studies, Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Participatory Conflict Analyses, Observation/Ethnography, Documents (official ministry reports, statements from NGOs and indigenous organisations, media reports)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti, SPSS
- Data Analysis: Hermeneutic Analysis, Process Tracing, QCA

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. René Kuppe, University of Vienna
- Dr. John-Andrew McNeish, UMB Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- Dr. Barbara Hogenboom, Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam

Teaching
- "Prior Consultation: Citizen Participation in Andean Resource Politics", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (A. Schilling-Vacaflor)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Prior Consultations and Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) in Andean Resource Politics", four panels at the Latin American Network of Legal Anthropology conference (RELAJU), Sucre, Bolivia, 24–26 October 2012 (A. Schilling-Vacaflor, R. Flemmer)
- "The Political Economy of Extractivism and its Socio-Environmental Impacts in the Andean-Amazonian Countries", workshop, ICA conference, Vienna, Austria, 20 July 2012 (A. Schilling-Vacaflor)

Knowledge Transfer
- Collaboration with the GIZ programme "Stärkung indigener Organisationen in Lateinamerika" (Strengthening Indigenous Organisations in Latin America), joint publication; event on FPIC, GIZ, Eschborn, 5 July 2012

Publications
- Schilling-Vacaflor, A. (2013), Prior Consultations in Plurinational Bolivia: Democracy, Rights and Real Life Experiences, in: *Latin American and Caribbean Ethnic Studies,* 8, 2, 202–220.
- Schilling-Vacaflor, A., and R. Flemmer (2013), *El derecho a la consulta previa: Normas jurídicas, prácticas y conflictos en América Latina,* Eschborn/Bonn: GIZ/BMZ.
- Schilling-Vacaflor, A., and R. Flemmer (2013), *Why is Prior Consultation Not Yet an Effective Tool for Conflict Resolution? The Case of Peru,* GIGA Working Papers, 220, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Schilling-Vacaflor, A., and D. Vollrath (2012), Contested Development: Comparing Indigenous and Peasant Participation in Resource Governance in Bolivia and Peru, in: B. Cannon and P. Kirby (eds), *Democratization, Civil Society and the State in Left-Led Latin America,* London: ZED Books, 126–140.

Funding
- Fritz Thyssen Stiftung (Fritz Thyssen Foundation); research grant (November 2011–February 2012): approved
- Deutsche Stiftung Friedensforschung (DSF) (German Foundation for Peace Research): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- What are the characteristics of prior consultations and participation processes on planned hydrocarbon activities in Bolivia and Peru since 2007?
- Which factors enable or inhibit the implementation of comprehensive consultations?
- Which conflict constellations and conflict dynamics can be observed within consultation procedures?
- How do consultations respectively participation processes influence socio-ecological conflicts (intensity, process, topics)? In what way and under which conditions do prior consultations contribute to the prevention and resolution of resource conflicts?

Contribution to International Research

Despite their great practical relevance, prior consultations remain under-researched. The research project aims to address the following research gaps:
- A lack of systematic and comparative studies on consultation cases that are based on detailed knowledge of each case
- A lack of in-depth ethnographic case studies that explore formal and informal local processes as well as the perceptions, interests and strategies of the heterogeneous actors involved
- A lack of investigation into the connections between consultation practices and socio-environmental conflicts
- A lack of research that focuses on the substantial dimension of prior consultations/FPIC

The project brings together diverse theoretical strands. The dialogue between these theories and empirical data will contribute to the development of a middle-range theory on prior consultations/FPIC in extractive industries.

Research Design and Methods

In the first stage of the project the data collection on prior consultations, respectively participatory processes in the Bolivian and Peruvian hydrocarbon sectors, will be completed. These data stem from interviews with experts and the actors involved, official ministry reports, secondary literature, media articles and participatory conflict analyses. The data will be analysed with the support of ATLAS.ti and SPSS, with the aim of answering the main research questions. Ten consultation cases from each country will be incorporated into a database on prior consultation practices, as well as on the respective legal norms and legal struggles. The researchers will accompany two ongoing consultation procedures (one in each country), using ethnographic methods to explore the emic perspectives of the actors involved and the complex relationships, ideas, and activities that shape consultation practices on the ground. The small-N case studies and the in-depth studies will be compared with each other at two levels: intracountry comparisons and cross-country comparisons.

Preliminary Results

Peru: New legislation does not help to turn prior consultations into a tool for conflict resolution as long as the normative framework itself is contested and the necessary basic conditions are not in place. The most important conditions identified for implementing effective prior consultation are: impartial state institutions capable of justly balancing the diverse interests at stake; measures that reduce power asymmetries within consultations; and, joint decision-making processes with binding agreements.

Bolivia: Within prior consultations local communities formulated proposals for bringing extractive projects more in line with local development visions. The consultations also brought broader grievances to the light, which could activate conflict transformation. Nevertheless, the procedures´ pitfalls inhibited the tapping of its full potential. Consultations even provoked new grievances and conflicts related to land tenure, project-related information, compensations, and community fragmentation.

Religion and Conflict: On the Ambivalence of Religious Factors in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East

>> Matthias Basedau, Georg Strüver, Johannes Vüllers

Project Goals
- To identify the conditions under which several religious factors lead to violence or peace.

Theoretical Approaches
- Rationalist approaches to the study of civil war, concept of collective action
- Approaches to the political implications of religion
- Social psychology of intergroup dynamics (e.g. social identity theory)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Subnational, National, Global
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Focus Group Discussions, Databases (creation of a new database on religion and conflict in 130 developing countries)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Religion and Conflict Network (Netzwerk Religion und Konflikt)
- Jonathan Fox, Bar-Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel

Teaching
- "Bewaffnete Konflikte und Kriege im subsaharischen Afrika" (Armed Conflicts and Civil wars in Sub-Saharan Africa), IFSH Hamburg, Two-Day Seminar, January 2010, January 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)
- "Religion and Konflikt" (Religion und Conflict), University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2011 (M. Basedau, J. Vüllers)

Knowledge Transfer
- Presentation of results to the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), 31 October 2012

Publications
- Basedau, M. (2009), Religion und Gewaltkonflikt im subsaharischen Afrika (Religion and Violent Conflict in Sub-Saharan Africa), in: M. Bussmann, A. Hasenclever, and G. Schneider (eds) , *Identität, Institutionen und Ökonomie: Ursachen innenpolitischer Gewalt,* PVS Sonderheft, 43, 150–176.
- Basedau, M., G. Strüvers, and J. Vüllers (2011), *Cutting Bread or Cutting Throats?: Findings from a New Database on Religion, Violence and Peace in Sub-Saharan Africa, 1990 to 2008,* GIGA Working Papers, 159, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Basedau, M., and J. Vüllers (2010), Religion als Konfliktfaktor? (Religion as a Factor in Conflict?), in: *Die Friedenswarte,* 1–2, 85, 39–62.
- Basedau, M., J. Vüllers, and P. Körner (2013), What Drives Interreligious Violence? Lessons from Nigeria, Cote d'Ivoire and Tanzania, in: *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism,* 36, 10, 857–879.
- Basedau, M., J. Vüllers, and T. Wegenast (2011), Do Religious Factors Impact Armed Conflict? Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa, in: *Terrorism and Political Violence,* 23, 5, 752–779.

Funding
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development) (until February 2013): approved
- Deutsche Stiftung Friedensforschung (DSF) (German Foundation for Peace Research) (until May 2011): approved
- GIGA

Duration
2008–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
- Ambivalence: Under what conditions do religious factors lead to violence or peace?
- Multidimensionality of religion: What particular religious factors (group identities, religious ideas, religious organisations) lead to violence or peace?
- Non-religious context: What non-religious factors impact violence and peace independently from or in conjunction with religion?

Contribution to International Research
The project on religion and conflict seeks to fill a gap in peace and conflict studies. While many theoretical arguments can be made regarding the link between religion and conflict or peace (e.g. Appleby, Toft), comprehensive empirical studies are scarce and quantitative analyses are generally based only on demographic data. Many case studies exist, but these are hardly comparable given the different research questions and theoretical and methodological approaches. Among the few comprehensive studies to date is a research project on religion and conflict in Africa which was conducted at GIGA (and funded by the German Foundation of Peace Research) and upon which this project can build.

Research Design and Methods
The project uses a variety of methodologies and thus entails a pronounced multi-method approach:
- A qualitative small N-comparison of several country cases in Africa, Asia, the Middle East, and Latin America (Algeria, Niger, Nigeria, South Sudan, El Salvador, Philippines, Indonesia)
- Local studies of so-called "hot spots" within the country cases, that are designed to shed light on the micro-level
- A large-N comparison of almost all countries in the four regions that builds on a comprehensive database of 130 developing countries (an extension of an Africa database)

Preliminary Results
The project has already yielded many results, which can be summarised as follows: Preliminary analysis of the database on developing countries confirms the assumption that religious factors beyond religious demographics impact the risk of armed conflict. These factors include the overlap of religious with ethnic and regional identities as well as horizontal economic inequalities between religious groups. Discourse also plays a role as, for instance, incitement to violence and grievances over perceived discrimination increase the conflict risk in some circumstances. Another important finding is that results differ according to the type of conflict and the role of religion therein. If warring factions have different religious affiliations, the aforementioned overlaps become more important. When an incompatibility over religious ideas is part of the conflict, discourse becomes more important. Interestingly, the research has found little evidence of a proactive impact of religious factors on peace. While the database (as well as the preliminary findings from the country case studies) reveals many instances of peace activism and interreligious dialogue on the part of religious actors, regression results do not indicate that these efforts have substantially reduced conflict risk. Apparently, other forms of religious institutionalisation count.

From Quietism to Politics: The Egyptian Salafist Movement from 1970 to 2012

>> Henner Fürtig, Annette Ranko, Nikolai Röhl

Project Goals
- To trace the development of the Egyptian Salafism from 1970 to 2012.
- To focus especially on the genesis of the political trend.
- To analyse the role of the Egyptian Salafist groups and thought within the transnational Salafist movement.

Theoretical Approaches
- Social Movement Theory
- Discursive Opportunity Structures

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National and Transnational
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (programmatic writings, sermons, fatawa of Salafist leaders)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Qualitative Content Analysis
- Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Mustapha El-Labbad, Al-Sharq Center for Regional and Strategic Studies, Cairo

Teaching
- "The Transnational Salafist Movement", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2014/15 (A. Ranko)
- "Political Islam before and after the 'Arab Spring'", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2014 (A. Ranko)
- "Political Islam – Historical Genesis of a Contemporary Phenomenon", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2014 (H. Fürtig)

Workshops/ Conferences
- An Islamist "Internationale"? On the Visions and Prospects of a Concerted Islamist Foreign Policy", 19th DAVO-Congress, Erlangen, 5 October 2012 (H. Fürtig)
- State Propaganda and the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood under Mubarak – The Impact of Ideational Factors on the Development of Islamist Movements", APSA 2012, New Orleans, 31 August 2012 (A. Ranko)

Knowledge Transfer
- "From the Arab Spring to the Disillusioning Winter", Middle Eastern Challenges for Security Policy, Gustav-Stresemann Institute, Bonn, 6 March 2012 (H. Fürtig)
- Arabischer Frühling" in der Golfregion?, Revolution und Regimewandel (Arab Spring in the Gulf Region?, Revolution and Regime Change), Bundesakademie für Sicherheitspolitik (BAKS), Berlin, 26 April 2012 (H. Fürtig)
- "The Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood and Its Electoral Success", GIGA Talk, Berlin, 19 January 2012 (A. Ranko)

Publications
- Fürtig, H. and A. Ranko (2014), Durch die arabische Welt ein Riss: Im Nahen und Mittleren Osten verschieben sich die Gravitationszentren (A Fracture through the Arab World: The Gravity Centres of the Middle East Are Shifting), in: *Internationale Politik,* 69, 2, 8-14.
- Ranko, A. and E. Iskander (2013), The Fall of the Muslim Brotherhood: Implications for Egypt, in: *Middle East Policy,* 20, 4, 111–123.
- Fürtig, H (2012), Egypt, Tunisia, Libya: Old wolfs in new sheep's clothing? In: R. Löffler and B. Schwarz-Boenneke (eds.), *New Authorities in the Arab world? Politics and Media after the Revolutionary Upheavals,* Freiburg, Basel, Wien: Herder, 16–32.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2014–2017

Project Description

Research Questions
- Which developments have Egyptian Salafist groups and thought demonstrated over the period from 1970 to 2012?
- How has the political trend within the Salafist movement emerged and developed? How has it been articulated vis-à-vis the movement's mainstream, apolitical trend?
- What impact have other Islamist (but non-Salafist) actors (e.g. the Muslim Brotherhood) had on the development of the Salafist movement in Egypt?
- What influence have transnational Salafist debates and thinkers had on the development of the Salafist movement in Egypt?
- In how far does the Egyptian Salafist movement influence debates and developments within transnational Salafism

Contribution to International Research

Political Salafism is a relatively recent phenomenon. Starting in the 1980s, Bahrain and Kuwait were the first countries to witness the entrance of Salafists into parliament. It was only after the fall of Mubarak in 2011 and the formation and electoral success of Salafist parties in Egypt however, that political Salafism has entered into the limelight. Scholarship on this phenomenon – though growing since 2011 – remains scarce, especially compared to more widely studied groups as the Muslim Brotherhood. This project, thus, aims to shed light on the genesis of this political strand within Egypt and to trace its historical roots beginng in the 1970s. It further seeks to trace the mutual influences, interactions, and transformations of national and transnational manifestations of Salafism, so as to contribute to the study on Salafism as a transnational phenomenon.

Research Design and Methods

The project will use summarising qualitative content analysis as its primary research method. It will analyse the most important programmatic writings, sermons, and fatawa of Salafist leaders from 1970 to 2012 in order to discern the different ideational trends, their development and their articulation in relation to each other. In order to analyse these developments, the project will utilise social movement theory approaches that integrate process tracing.

Power-Sharing in Multi-Ethnic Societies of the Middle East

>> Henner Fürtig, Stephan Rosiny

Project Goals
- To compare the conflicts in divided Arab societies (Bahrain, Lebanon, Iraq, Syria).
- To investigate the options for power-sharing arrangements in the four countries.

Theoretical Approaches
- Peace and conflict research on ethnically and confessionally divided societies
- Power-sharing theories

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Observation, Documents (constitutions, specific laws [e.g. election laws, minority-rights laws], party programmes, official statements)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis, Hermeneutic Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Karam Karam, Common Space Initiative (CSI), Beirut, Lebanon

Teaching
- "Historical Roots of the Arab Spring", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (H. Fürtig)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Realigning Power Geometries in the Arab World", conference, Leipzig, 24–26 February 2012 (S. Rosiny)
- "Power-Sharing in Fragmented Societies. Lessons from Lebanon and Iraq for the 'Arab Spring' in Syria and Bahrain", conference "The Arab Uprising one Year Later: Examining the Possibilities and Risks", Middle East Institute, Singapore, 24–25 May 2012 (S. Rosiny)
- "The Lebanese Ta'if-Agreement (1989): A Cacophony of Power-Sharing Instruments and its Lessons for the Middle East", 2nd ISP Network Conference, Oslo, 13–14 June 2013 (S. Rosiny)
- "Concepts and Practices of Power-Sharing in the Middle East", Deutscher Orientalistentag, Münster, 23–27 September 2013 (S. Rosiny)

Knowledge Transfer
- "Nach der Wahl in Ägypten: Wird aus dem 'arabischen' ein 'islamischer' Frühling?" (After the Elections in Egypt: Will the "Arab Spring" become the "Islamic Spring"?), GIGA Talk, GIGA, Berlin, 19 January 2012 (S. Rosiny, H. Fürtig)
- "Recent Developments in Syria", expert talks with members of the German Parliament (Bundestag), 14 May 2013 (S. Rosiny)
- "Options for a Future Order in Syria", conference: "After the Rebellion. The Situation of the Christians in Syria", Evangelische Akademie Loccum, 10–12 June 2013 (S. Rosiny)

Publications
- Fürtig, H. (2012), Ägypten, Tunesien, Libyen: Alte Wölfe in neuen Schafspelzen oder neue Autoritäten in der arabischen Welt?, in: R. Löffler and B. Schwarz-Boenneke (eds), *Neue Autoritäten in der arabischen Welt?,* Freiburg, Basel, Wien: Herder, 16–32.
- Rosiny, S. (2013), *Power Sharing in Syria:* Lessons from Lebanon's Experience, GIGA Working Papers, 223, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Rosiny, S. (2013), Diktaturen, Bürgerkrieg und Machtteilung in fragmentierten Gesellschaften: Syrien, Bahrain, Libanon und Irak im Vergleich (Dictatorships, Civil Wars and Power Sharing in Divided Societies: Syria, Bahrain, Lebanon and Iraq in Comparison), in: Th. Schneiders (ed), *Der Arabische Frühling: Hintergründe und Analysen,* Wiesbaden: Springer VS, 65–80.

Funding
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation): approved

Duration
2012–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

The research project stems from the observation that some of the "Arab Spring" uprisings, namely, those in Bahrain and Syria, slipped into bloody crackdowns and/or civil wars. In other countries, such as Lebanon and Iraq, there were hardly any protests. In all four countries we find multiple ethnic-sectarian divisions within society. Yet, whereas Lebanon and Iraq have implied power-sharing arrangements, the other two (Bahrain and Syria) are mainly mono-ethnic autocracies.

The research questions are as follows:
- What impact do ethnic cleavages have on social, political and economic relations in the divided societies of the East Arab countries? How do the four countries under investigation differ in how they deal with this fragmentation, and how do they influence each other?
- Are there any options and chances for power-sharing agreements that take the ethnic divide into consideration and at the same time help the fragmented communities to bridge the gaps between them?

Contribution to International Research

While quite a lot of research has been carried out on the two existing power-sharing arrangements in Lebanon and Iraq, the ongoing debates on their reform or even their abolishment in these two countries as well as the possibility of transferring such power-sharing arrangements to Syria and Bahrain have not yet been investigated. The current project is innovative in this respect. Further innovative elements include the project's comparative design and its aim of investigating future options for such reforms and arrangements for all four countries.

Research Design and Methods

In several steps, the project investigates the history and current situation of these divided societies, as well as their political structures. It also analyses the existing constitutions and laws, as well as the reforms and alternatives under debate, primarily in comparison to the consociationalist (Lijphart, Lehmbruch) and the centripetalist approach (Horowitz).

Preliminary Results

In a series of workshops, participants identified several similar or comparable experiences between the cases under investigation. They also focused on the dynamics between the four countries and how the positive and negative experiences of each country influence the power-sharing debate in the subregion. Deeper research has been done in the conflict structure and the sectarian framing of the four societies as well as the ongoing debates about a reform of existing or the introduction of new power-sharing arrangements.

The Development of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood

>> Annette Ranko

Project Goals
- To examine how the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood has evolved under Mubarak (from 1981 to 2011).
- To analyse how perceptions of the Brotherhood circulated in the state-run media have affected the group and have impinged on its discourse and programmatic stances with regards to domestic and foreign policy issues.

Theoretical Approaches
- Social Movement Theory
- Framing
- Political Opportunity Structures Approach

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Unit of Analysis: Organisations
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Al-Sharq Centre for Regional and Strategic Studies, Cairo, Egypt.
- Al-Ahram Center for Political and Srategic Studies, Cairo, Egypt.

Teaching
- "Peace through Institutions: Can Institutional Engineering Prevent Conflicts between Identity Groups?", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/2013 (M. Basedau)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Moderation of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood?", APSA 2012, New Orleans, 31 August 2012
- "Rethinking the Development of Islamist Movements", MPSA 2012, Chicago, 13 April 2012
- "Mubaraks Sturz und der Kampf der Ideen: Delegitimationsstrategien der Opposition und schwache Anpassungsfähigkeit des Regimes" (Mubaraks' Fall and the Struggle of Ideas), 18. DAVO Congress, Free University of Berlin, 7 October 2011

Knowledge Transfer
- "Ägyptens Lotus Revolution und Perspektiven für den Nahen und Mittleren Osten" Egypt's Lotus Revolution and Perspectives for the Middle East), 4. Night of Knowledge, GIGA, 29 October 2011
- "Wohin steuert der "Arabische Frühling"? Eine Zwischenbilanz" (How will the Arab Spring develop?), GIGA Berlin Büro, 28 September 2011
- "Egypt's Lotus Revolution and its Regional Impact", Federal Foreign Office and GIGA, Berlin, 19 August 2011

Publications
- Ranko, A (2014), *Contesting Mubarak – The Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood and its Struggle with the Regime (1981-2011),* Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Ranko, A (2014), *Die Muslimbruderschaft – Porträt einer mächtigen Verbindung,* Hamburg: edition Körber-Stiftung.
- Ranko, A (forthc.), Selective Moderation of the Muslim Brotherhood under Mubarak – The Role of the Regime's Discourse and of Islamist Political Inclusion, in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift.*
- Ranko, A., and H. Fürtig (2014), Riss durch die arabische Welt: Die Gravitationszentren verschieben sich (A Fracture through the Arab World: The Gravity Centres of the Middle East Are Shifting), in: *Internationale Politik,* 69, 2, 8–14.
- Ranko, A., and E. Iskander (2014),The Fall of the Muslim Brotherhood: Implications for Egypt, *Middle East Policy,* 20, 4, 111–123.

Funding
- GIGA
- Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation (2008–2011)

Duration
2008–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
- How has the Muslim Brotherhood's behaviour and ideology evolved under the rule of Mubarak (from 1981 to 2011)?
- How has the portrayal of the Muslim Brotherhood in the state-affiliated media (under Mubarak, from 1981–2011) affected the group?
- How has the Brotherhood's political behaviour and programme evolved under Mubarak and after the fall of the Mubarak regime in 2011?

Contribution to International Research

Most of the literature analysing how and why Islamist groups have developed in a certain way has focused on material aspects in regime-Islamist-relations. Authors have often concentrated on repression and political inclusion or exclusion as aspects that particularly shape an Islamist group, since they impinge on its rational decision-making and forms of mobilisation. This is reflected in two theses that have become prominent in the literature: (i) the repression-radicalisation thesis, that argues that repression exerted by the regime on an Islamist group and a group's exclusion from formal political processes lead to its radicalisation, i.e. the group's taking up of arms; (ii) the inclusion-moderation thesis, that argues that the absence of repression and a group's political inclusion lead to its moderation. Here moderation is understood to consist, at first, of a change in behaviour as Islamist groups abandon the use of violence and embrace electoral politics instead. In the second step, it is argued that this change in behaviour may lead to a more moderate ideology, as a group gradually discards uncompromising Islamist views and successively adopts liberal-democratic concepts into its political thought.

While most of the relevant literature has, thus, overtly focussed on material aspects of regime-Islamist-relations, several authors have argued that also other factors might be at work impinging on Islamist development. A few authors have drawn attention to the – at least empirical – relevance of ideational forms of repression where a regime disseminates a negative image of an Islamist group throughout the state-run media in order to undermine the group's efforts to portray itself as a viable and attractive alternative to the incumbent regime. In many Middle Eastern countries, including Egypt under Mubarak, regimes have levelled considerable propaganda against Islamist groups, which have often been their most potent political rivals. However, although several authors have drawn attention to that fact, they have neither studied this propaganda in depth nor have they looked at how this propaganda has affected the respective Islamist organisations.

This project aims to fill that gap. It analyses the Mubarak regime's depiction of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood – as articulated in the state-run media utilised by the regime as platforms to disseminate a specific image of the Brotherhood – and the Brotherhood's engagement with it. Further, it traces how these ideational aspects of regime-Brotherhood-relations have combined with the material aspects of state-repression, political exclusion and inclusion in shaping the group's behaviour and ideology.

Research Design and Methods

The project draws on approaches within social movement theory, especially on framing and the political opportunity structures approach, including the concept of discursive opportunity structures.

Preliminary Results

The Muslim Brotherhood has seen a moderation of its political thought over the period studied. This moderation has however remained selective. Political inclusion alone has not sufficed to produce this kind moderation, much more it was in moments in which inclusion was coupled with regime-propaganda against the Muslim Brotherhood within the state-media, that moderation took speed. However, it was also the content of regime-propaganda that has shaped the specific moderation the group has seen, i.e. why it has moderated its positions in some issues but not in others.

Institutions for Sustainable Peace: Comparing Institutional Options for Divided Societies and Post-Conflict Countries

>> Matthias Basedau, Sabine Kurtenbach, Andreas Mehler, Nadine Ansorg, Felix Haaß, Julia Strasheim

Project Goals
- To determine which institutions and which combination of institutions reduce the potential for violent conflicts and other types of violence.
- To identify how institutional engineering can be effective and successful in divided societies and especially in post-conflict situations.
- To assess how institutions interact and how this shapes their impact on peace.
- To study how specific factors such as the character of divisions or the traumatic experience of violence impact the prospects for successful institutional engineering.
- To create an international network on the project topic.

Theoretical Approaches
- Institutional and constitutional engineering approaches
- Peace and conflict studies approaches

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: n.a. (network project)
- Spatial Dimension: n.a. (network project)
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis (network project)

Methods
- Data Collection: Databases (“Annotated Database Bibliography” of datasets on conflict, divisions and institutions)
- Data Analysis n.a. (network project

Cooperation Partners
- Core network partners: Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO), School of Oriental and African Studies (London), University of Uppsala (Dpt. of Peace and Conflict Studies), The Graduate Institute Geneva (Centre on Conflict, Development and Peace-Building)
- Further international partners: Duke University, University of California at San Diego, University of Birmingham, University of Denver, Murdoch University Australia
- German partners: Jacobs University Bremen, IFSH Hamburg, University of Marburg, University of Duisburg-Essen, University of Konstanz

Teaching
- “Peace through Institutions: Can Institutional Engineering Prevent Conflicts between Identity Groups?”, University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/2013 (M. Basedau)
- “The Role of International Actors in Post-War Societies”, Helmut-Schmidt University Hamburg, Winter Trimester 2013 (N. Ansorg)

Workshops/ Conferences
- “Challenges and Opportunities of Institutional Reform”, Third ISP Network Conference, 17-28 May 2014, Geneva
- “Securing the Peace? Understanding Conditions of Peace on a Local and National Level”, ISA 2014, Toronto, 26–29 March 2014
- “A Dangerous Liaison? Ethnicity, Natural Resources and Intrastate Conflict”, APSA 2013, Chicago, 30 September 2013
- “Harmony or Cacophony? The ‘Concert of Institutions’ in Divided Societies”, Second ISP Network Conference, Oslo, 13–14 June 2013
- “Institutions for Sustainable Peace. Research Gaps and Challenges”, ISA 2013, San Francisco, 3–6 April 2013
- “Institutions for Sustainable Peace: From Research Gaps to New Frontiers”, First ISP Network Conference, Berlin, 7–8 September 2012

Publications
- Ansorg, N., F. Haaß, and J. Strasheim (2013), Institutions for Sustainable Peace: From Research Gaps to New Frontiers, in: *Global Governance,* 19, 19–26.
- Ansorg, N., F. Haaß, A. Mehler, and J. Strasheim (2012), *Institutionelle Reformen zur Friedenskonsolidierung* (Institutional Reforms to Consolidate Peace), GIGA Focus Afrika, 6, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Basedau, M. (2013), A Context-sensitive Approach to the Study of Presidentialism and Ethnic Violence, in: *Ethnopolitics,* 12, 1, 89-92.
- Basedau, M., J. Vüllers, and P. Körner (2013), What Drives Interreligious Violence? Lessons from Nigeria, Cote d’Ivoire and Tanzania, in: *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism,* 36, 10, 857–879.
- Strasheim, J., and H. Fjelde (2014), Pre-Designing Democracy: Institutional Design of Interim Governments and Democratisation in 15 Post-Conflict Societies, in: *Democratization,* 21, 2, 335–358.

Funding
- Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, Leibniz Wettbewerb (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation, Leibniz Competition): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- How can institutional engineering be effective and successful in post-conflict and, in particular, divided societies?
- Which institutions and which combination of institutions reduce the potential for violent conflicts and other types of violence?
- How do specific factors such as the character of divisions or the traumatic experience of violence impact the prospects for successful institutional engineering?

Contribution to International Research

The project connects to the debates on institutional engineering (e.g. Lijphart, Horowitz, Reynolds) and systematically analyses the effects and success of different institutional designs. Research on institutional conditions for and determinants of peace is often geographically and – due to a focus on the field of post-conflict studies – thematically fragmented. The present project aims to overcome this fragmentation by initiating cooperation between several research institutions, with GIGA as the main partner.
The project studies the success of particular institutional designs (decentralisation or federal systems, particular election systems, regulation of party systems, power-sharing) and undertakes integrative analyses of interactions among the entire set of institutions that influence the potential for violence.

The project furthermore identifies how societal divisions and/or post-conflict situations affect the prospects of particular institutional options: specific economic, cultural, political, and historical contexts are included in the analysis of institutions. Non-institutional factors such as ethnicity, religion or resources are also considered.

Research Design and Methods

Using a comparative area studies approach, the project carries out a comparative investigation of those societies that display a specific risk of conflict escalation, such as post-conflict societies and “divided societies” (societies divided along ethnic, religious or other social lines). The project brings international experts from this field of research together in one collaborative project. Individual findings are exchanged in order to systematically correlate them within a new research approach. Research agendas are discussed and developed at conferences and workshops, then carried out in concrete research and publication projects. The networking process is institutionalised by an academic exchange programme and a substantive “research unit” at the GIGA. A further element of the project is the “Institutions for Sustainable Peace” database, which systematically links different findings from within the field and fills research gaps by including the complex set of institutional choices available as well as the exact character of divisions and conflict risks.

Preliminary Results

The network so far held its first two conferences: “Institutions for Sustainable Peace: From Research Gaps to New Frontiers” (Berlin, 7–8 September 2012) and “Harmony or Cacophony? The ‘Concert of Institutions’ in Divided Societies” (Oslo, 13–14 June 2013). GIGA staff published an annotated bibliography of datasets in the study of institutions and conflict in divided societies which is available at the GIGA website. Also the codebook for classifying the datasets included in the annotated bibliography and the datasets themselves are made available, following the principle of Open Access.

From Civil War to Social Contract: State Services, Political Trust, and Political Violence

>> Alexander De Juan

Project Goals
- To contribute to understanding the role of basic service delivery for political trust and political violence.
- To contribute to policy-oriented research on external assistance for basic service delivery in post-war states.

Theoretical Approaches
- Peace and conflict studies theories
- Research on political/institutional trust and legitimacy
- Research on state-building and post-war reconstruction

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Time-invariant
- Spatial Dimension: Subnational
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, subnational regions
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Focus Group Discussions
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, Process Tracing, Regression Analysis, Geospatial Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Collaborative Research Center 700, Governance in Areas of Limited Statehood, Free University of Berlin
- Department of Political Science and Communications, University of Greifswald

Publications
- De Juan, A. (2013), Devolving Ethnic Conflicts: The Role of Subgroup Identities for Institutional Intergroup Settlements, in: *Civil Wars,* 15, 1, 78–99.
- De Juan, A., and A. Bank (2013), *The Effects of Regime Cooptation on the Geographical Distribution of Violence: Evidence from the Syrian Civil War,* GIGA Working Papers, 222, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development) and KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank): approved

Duration 2014–2016

Project Description

Research Questions
- Does the inadequate or inequitable delivery of basic services affect the risk of political violence?
- Does the effective and equitable delivery of basic services affect levels of political trust?
- How should external/international support for service provision be designed in order to contribute to improvements in trust levels?

Contribution to International Research

Debates on post-conflict state-building and conflict recurrence have largely been detached from research on political trust. The role of legitimacy and political trust is emphasised in peace and conflict studies; however, it is not adequately conceptualised and is seldom analysed empirically. Similarly, few studies on political trust explicitly consider how intrastate conflict might affect such trust. The research project aims to bring both areas of research together and to analyse the determinants of political trust in post-war societies by focusing on the role of basic service delivery.

Research on political violence has adopted a specific perspective on the role of state. Much attention has been given to the latter's coercive and extractive (taxes) capacities. Other facets that may be crucial in the occurrence of violence have been largely neglected. Few analyses have considered elements of state capacity that might shape people's perceptions of the state and lead to grievances against it. While some studies have tried to capture the state's ability to deliver basic services such as water, education and health services, no systematic analyses of the impact of service delivery on political violence have been carried out to date. The research project aims to fill this gap.

Research Design and Methods

The project will combine quantitative and qualitative research methods. The first pillar will consist of opinion surveys to be conducted in at least two post-war countries. Questionnaires will focus on people's perceptions of various state institutions and of current service provision (with respect to quality, quantity, providers, responsiveness).

Quantitative research at the subnational level will analyse the role of varying degrees of basic service delivery in the occurrence of violence. Using household survey data, the project will measure the availability of basic services in subnational administrative units. The spatial distribution of violence will be measured using geocoded even data. The project will assess the impact of effective service delivery on two kinds of political violence: violent events in civil wars and more decentralised civil violence in post-war situations (riots, demonstrations, protests).

Qualitative comparisons at the subnational level will constitute the project's third pillar. In addition to the quantitative techniques applied in the aforementioned components, in-depth comparative analysis will take place in selected subnational regions of at least two other countries. Through focus group discussions and semi-structured interviews, the researchers will cross-check the correlations found in the quantitative analyses.

Alternatives to State-Sponsored Security in Areas of Extremely Limited Statehood (Central African Republic and South Sudan)

>> Andreas Mehler, Tim Glawion, Lotje de Vries

Project Goals
- To explore causes for the varying effectiveness of security production in areas of extremely limited statehood, more precisely in local arenas in South Sudan and Central African Republic (CAR).
- To comparatively explore the following factors: actor constellations (and their varying institutionalisation), presence/absence of external actors of state-building, social capital/social integration of the local population, national policies in terms of claims to power, socio-spatial distance to country capitals.

Theoretical Approaches
- Hybrid peace
- Theory of Governance in areas of limited statehood (to be developed by SFB 700)
- Oligopolies of violence concept

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups/Villages, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis (6 subnational arenas)

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Focus Group Discussions, Non-participatory Observation, Documents (policy papers, humanitarian reports, UN documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Publications
- Mehler, A. (2013), Positive, Ambiguous or Negative? Peacekeeping in the Local Security Fabric, in: Ulf Engel and Joao Gomes Porto (eds), *Towards an African Peace and Security Regime. Continental Embeddedness, Transnational Linkages, Strategic Relevance,* Farnham: Ashgate, 155–178.
- Mehler, A. (2012), Why Security Forces Do Not Deliver Security: Evidence from Liberia and the Central African Republic, in: *Armed Forces and Society,* 1, 38, 46–69.
- Mehler, A. (2011), Rebels and Parties: The Impact of Armed Insurgency on Representation in the Central African Republic, in: *Journal of Modern African Studies,* 49, 1, 115–139.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), SFB 700, dritte Phase (German Research Foundation; Collaborative Research Center 700, Third Phase): approved

Duration 2014–2017

Project Description

Research Questions
The project investigates the effectiveness (differentiated in output, outcome and impact) of security provision in areas of limited statehood. It asks the following questions:
- What are the success factors of effective security provision on the local level (in situations of extreme state weakness)?
- What is the role of specific context conditions like ethnic homogeneity (resulting in social capital), spatial distance to the country's capital, and presence/absence of external actors (particularly peacekeepers)?
- What kind of policy implications can be drawn from strong local variance in countries invariably characterised as extremely weak?

Contribution to International Research
This project aims to close some gaps in the relevant research. Empirically, there are immense gaps with regard to effectiveness of local security production (only scattered results by a few researchers, including the project leader), conceptually there is no appropriate differentiation of the "container term" of hybridity/hybrid peace orders (MacGinty 2010; Boege 2009 etc.). The discipline of political science only recently began to focus on local arenas, arguably decisive when it comes to post-conflict peace. Existing case studies fail to link up and produce some level of generalisation. This project aims to contribute in closing those gaps while also trying to combine the strengths of anthropology and political science. Furthermore, there is only limited empirical insight on the two focus countries, with South Sudan as the most recent independent state world-wide only beginning to be explored by social scientists and CAR one of the most "under-researched" countries on earth.

Research Design and Methods
This project combines a case study approach with subnational comparative analysis. Research is based on qualitative methods of empirical enquiry, including techniques used by political anthropologists and political scientists. Six local arenas of (effective and ineffective) security production in two countries (CAR, South Sudan) will be selected in order to test our hypotheses pertaining to institutionalised actor constellations, absence/presence of international actors, social capital/ethnic homogeneity, socio-spatial distance to capital, and national policies. After desk studies (focusing on the last item) intense fieldwork is planned in all six arenas, where focus group discussions and non-participatory observation will be conducted by the research team. Research assistants will maintain 'event logs' on security relevant events and developments over the entire project duration, expert interviews will be conducted in the two capitals.

Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Situations: On the Institutional Prerequisites for Lasting Peace

>> Andreas Mehler, Martin Ottmann, Johannes Vüllers

Project Goals
- To advance the academic debate on post-conflict power-sharing by analysing the impact of power-sharing institutions that concern the "inner core" of political, military, economic and territorial power on the recurrence of armed conflict.
- To provide practical advice to the policy community in Germany and beyond. As power-sharing has "taken a firm place in the current toolbox for peace-making and peace-building", there is a great practical need to improve our knowledge concerning the substance and prospects of power-sharing tools.

Theoretical Approaches
- Consociational power-sharing theory
- Bargaining theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (event history data)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Regression Analysis (event history analysis)

Teachings
- "Power-Sharing in Post-Konflikt-Situationen" (Power Sharing in Post-Conflict Situations), University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2013 (M. Ottmann and J. Vüllers)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "To Engineer or Not to Engineer, That is the Question. When Does Constitutional Engineering in Divided Societies Occur?", ISA 2012, San Diego, 1 April 2012 (A. Mehler)
- "From Promises to Implementation: Theoretical and Methodological Challenges for Research Focusing on Institutional Reform and Power-Sharing", First ISP Network Conference, 6–7 September 2012, Berlin (M. Ottmann and J. Vüllers)
- "The Power-Sharing Event Dataset (PSED): A New Database on the Occurrence of Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Countries", ISA 2013, San Francisco, 3-6 April 2013 (M. Ottmann and J. Vüllers)

Publications
- Mehler, A. (2009), Peace and Power Sharing in Africa: A Not So Obvious Relationship, in: African Affairs, 108, 432, 453–473.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2012–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

While the majority of civil wars during the Cold War culminated in the military victory of one conflict party, the 1990s saw the rise of negotiated solutions to internal strife. Provisions for power-sharing between former adversaries figure prominently in almost all of these settlements, and consequently underlie both the constitutional arrangements in many recent peace agreements and political settlements in countries that have not experienced full-blown civil war. The problem with post-conflict power-sharing is that it has a very mixed track record. While there are some examples of apparent success, there are also many cases of outright failure – as well as some in-between cases where power-sharing remains fragile but nonetheless offers grounds for (cautious) optimism.

These differences give rise to a number of research questions:
- Are specific post-conflict power-sharing institutions associated with lasting peace?
- What are the institutional prerequisites for successful post-conflict power-sharing? Which powers need to be shared and to what extent? And which actors need to be included?
- Which contextual factors are important in explaining the resolution of violent conflict? And how do these contextual factors interact with the effects of power-sharing institutions?

Contribution to International Research

Controversy has surrounded the impact of power-sharing on post-conflict peace for almost forty years. Theoretically, consociationalism has been challenged by the so-called "integrative approach" to power-sharing (Horowitz 1985; Sisk 1996; Bogaards 2003; Wimmer 2003). While the former addresses the problem of post-conflict peace by proposing strategies to make democracy work in plural societies, the latter explores how power-sharing institutions might best be designed to stabilise the transition to lasting peace. The present project undertakes a detailed and disaggregated analysis of the different mechanisms and institutions of power-sharing to assess both approaches. The project also addresses a limitation of the existing empirical studies on post-conflict power-sharing. So far, there is still surprisingly little systematic empirical evidence on the prospects of post-conflict power-sharing. Most large-N studies have confined themselves to analysing the effects of power-sharing promises on the duration of peace and have disregarded the actual implementation of these promises (Hartzell & Hoddie 2003, 2007; Mukherjee 2006; Pearson et al. 2006; Derouen et al. 2009). The qualitative literature on the other hand primarily focuses on the in-depth study of single cases and fails to systematically compare the successes and failures of post-conflict power-sharing. The present project attempts to address these shortcomings by analysing both the promises of and the implementation of power-sharing agreements using quantitative and qualitative methods.

Research Design and Methods

To allow for generalisation and particularisation, the study employs a mixed-method research design that combines econometric techniques with qualitative case studies. It will begin with a statistical study covering all instances of post-conflict power-sharing since the end of the Cold War (1989–2011). Using event history analysis, this statistical study will explore the impact of promises of power-sharing, as well as their eventual implementation, on civil war recurrence. The necessary data for this analysis will be taken from a newly constructed database on power-sharing institutions in post-conflict countries. The project's researchers will then conduct comparative case studies of post-conflict power-sharing in four selected countries to explore in more detail the causal mechanisms linking power-sharing institutions and peace. The final selection of cases for this qualitative part will be informed by the statistical results.

Preliminary Results

At present, the project team has completed two data collections on post-conflict power-sharing. The first one is the Promises of Power-Sharing Dataset (PROMPS) which collects detailed data on the power-sharing arrangements contained in all peace agreements concluded between government and rebel representatives from 1989 to 2006. The second data collection is the Power-Sharing Event Dataset (PSED) which includes information on when particular power-sharing arrangements between government and rebels have been introduced or abolished during a five-year post-conflict period. This dataset has a global coverage and spans from 1989 to 2011. A preliminary analysis of both datasets allowed the project team to identify certain patterns of post-conflict power-sharing. It appears, for example, that political power-sharing is especially frequent in the first six months of a post-conflict period whereas military, economic and territorial power-sharing arrangements take place at later stages. The project team is currently further exploring these patterns and dynamics of post-conflict power-sharing in a series of statistical analyses and fieldwork stays in four selected countries.

The Local Arenas of Power-Sharing. Patterns of Adaptation or Continued Disorder?

>> Andreas Mehler, Franzisca Zanker

Project Goals
- To explore the contested dynamics of power-sharing and peace-building in countries affected by violent conflict.
- To deepen our understanding of post-conflict peace-building through power sharing-related institutional reform by considering the conditions under which the effective translation and local adaptation of top-down institutional reforms occur.

Theoretical Approaches
- Consociationalism
- Hybrid peace

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intra-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Focus Group Discussions, Documents (policy papers, humanitarian reports, UN documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Denis Tull, Claudia Simons, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Power-Sharing in Africa's War Zones: Translating Peace to the Local Level?", African Studies Association 55th Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, 1 December 2012 (F. Zanker)
- "Spatiality, Power and Peace in Africa: Revisiting Territorial Power-Sharing", 5th European Conference on African Studies (ECAS) 2013, Lisbon, 27–29 June 2013 (F. Zanker, C. Simons)

Publications
- Mehler, A. (2013), Positive, Ambiguous or Negative? Peacekeeping in the Local Security Fabric, in: Ulf Engel and Joao Gomes Porto (eds), *Towards an African Peace and Security Regime: Continental Embeddedness, Transnational Linkages, Strategic Relevance,* Farnham: Ashgate, 155–178.
- Mehler, A. (2013), Power-Sharing, in: Nicolas Cheeseman et al. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of African Politics,* Abingdon: Routledge, 189-201.
- Mehler, A., and F. Zanker (2011), Intervention in Côte d'Ivoire: A Recipe for Disaster, in: M. Johannsen et al. (eds), *Friedensgutachten 2011*, Berlin: Lit Verlag, 248–261.
- Simons, C., and F. Zanker (2012), *Finding the Cases that Fit: Methodological Challenges in Peace Research,* GIGA Working Papers, 189, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Simons, C., and F. Zanker (2013), *Die Polizeireform in den Postkonfliktstaaten Burundi und Liberia (Police Reform in the Post-Conflict States Burundi and Liberia),* GIGA Focus Afrika, 5, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Zanker, F. (2011), *Liberia: Gescheiterte Verfassungsänderung – erfolgreiche Wahlen? (Liberia: Failed Constitutional Reform – Successful Elections?),* GIGA Focus Afrika, 5, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation) Priority Programme 1448, first phase 2011–2012, second phase 2013–2014: approved

Duration
2011–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
The project investigates whether the adaptation of power-sharing to the local level occurs in peace processes. More precisely, the research aims to determine what the repercussions of success and failure at the local level are for the national level. It asks the following questions:
- Which variants of power-sharing are adequately adapted to national and local circumstances to enhance order (peace)?
- How does the local adaptation of national peace agreement provisions affect local peace?
- If local peace has taken hold in the wake of power-sharing, what are the main determinants of this success?

Contribution to International Research
This project aims to close the gap between the theory of power-sharing and its concrete effects on sustainable peace (e.g. Walter 1997; Hartzell & Hoddie 2005; Paris 2004; Roeder 2005). Research on the effects of power-sharing agreements at the local level and the repercussions for the national level is lacking (for a notable exception see Heitz 2009). This is despite the understanding that conflict is often rooted in local dynamics and that the latter are important to national dynamics, and thus play a key role in peace processes. In addition, the project aims to give empirical substance to the concepts of adaptation and political hybridity as they relate to political order (peace). In the second phase, the project builds on the growing body of literature on peace "engineering", local ownership and "hybrid" peace by closing an important gap in this literature, which has often linked the disappointing results of peace-building to a lack of "capacity" or "local ownership". The latter are technocratic notions that tend to depoliticise the interests of those involved in reordering war-torn societies.

Research Design and Methods
We apply a mixed-method research design that is based on qualitative methods of empirical enquiry, combining a case study approach with subnational comparative analysis. We have identified peaceful and non-peaceful local arenas in four countries (Burundi, DRC, Kenya, and Liberia) in order to test our hypotheses according to the variance in the levels of peacefulness. In the first phase we conducted fieldwork in all four countries, including focus group discussions and semi-structured interviews with a variety of local and national stakeholders. This data will be analysed using process tracing and will be juxtaposed against the previous content analysis of the relevant power-sharing agreements. In the second phase of the project, which includes desk studies for all four countries, we will conduct further fieldwork, this time in Burundi and Liberia only.

Preliminary Results
Findings from the first phase indicate that the production of political order and the reordering of sociopolitical relations in war-torn countries by means of power-sharing has been fairly successful when measured against the very modest concept of "negative peace". Our findings suggest a weaker degree of autonomy for local arenas and their actors, processes, institutions, and interests than we assumed at the outset of our project. We found that local groups and decision-makers were waiting anxiously for the implementation of major institutional reforms, but that the expected positive effects on local conviviality between conflicting groups could also be subverted by unintended negative effects. Decentralisation, and police reform (potentially also land reform and others) have – not yet well explored – consequences for power relations on the local level, all probably more salient for sustainable peace than the immediate power-sharing arrangement.

Ineffective Sanctions? External Sanctions and the Persistence of Autocratic Regimes

>> Christian von Soest, Matthias Basedau, Julia Grauvogel

Project Goals
- To comparatively analyse authoritarian regimes' reactions to external pressure.
- To identify the effects of sanctions on the persistence of authoritarian regimes, and the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable in the face of international sanctions.

Theoretical Approaches
- Concepts from research on sanctions, autocratic regimes and democratisation

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (establishment of a global data set on all sanctions imposed by the UN, the US and the EU on non-democratic regimes, 1990–2010; establishment of a data set on the legitimation strategies of all non-democratic regimes)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Multivariate Methods, Process Tracing, QCA, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Michael Brzoska, Institute for Peace Research and Security Policy at the University of Hamburg (IFSH)
- Dr. Michael Wahman, University of Texas at Austin
- Prof. T. Clifton Morgan, Rice University, Houston, Texas
- Assistant Prof. Clara Portela, Singapore Management University
- Local cooperation partners in the country case studies where field research is conducted

Workshops/ Conferences
- "(Democratic) International Influences on Authoritarian Resilience and Regime Change", panel at APSA 2013, Chicago, 29 August–1 September 2013 (C. von Soest, M. Wahman)
- "International Dimensions of Authoritarian Rule", Workshop, Mainz, 11–16 March 2013 (C. von Soest, L. Whitehead)
- "Autocratic Regimes and the Effects of International Sanctions", International Workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 25 June 2012 (C. Portela, C. von Soest)

Knowledge Transfer
- "Ineffective Sanctions? European Sanction Policy and Zimbabwe", presentation at the SPD parliament caucus, Berlin, 14 December 2012 (C. von Soest)
- Presentation, visit of US think tank researchers to Germany (on invitation of the Federal Foreign Office), GIGA, Hamburg, 6 December 2012 (J. Grauvogel, C. von Soest)
- "Thawing in Myanmar: How to Continue with the International Sanctions?", GIGA Talk, Berlin, 19 April 2012 (M. Bünte, C. von Soest)

Publications
- Basedau, M., C. Portela, and C. von Soest (2010), *Peitsche statt Zuckerbrot: Sind Sanktionen wirkungslos? (Whip Instead of Sugar-Bread: Are Sanctions Ineffective?),* GIGA Focus Global, 11, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Bünte, M., and C. Portela (2012), Myanmar: *The Beginning of Reforms and the End of Sanctions,* GIGA Focus International Edition, 3, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Grauvogel, J., K. Newbery, and C. von Soest (2013), *Stabilität durch regionale Sanktionen in Afrika? (Stability through Regional Sanctions in Africa?),* GIGA Focus Afrika, 3, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Soest, C. von, and M. Domke (2011), *Simbabwe: Düstere Aussichten für demokratische Reformen (Zimbabwe: Bleak Prospects for Democratic Reforms),* GIGA Focus Afrika, 10, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Soest, C. von. and M. Wahman (2013), *Sanctions and Democratization in the Post-Cold War Era,* GIGA Working Papers. 212, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Fritz Thyssen Stiftung (Fritz Thyssen Foundation): approved
- GIGA

Duration
2011–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
- Which factors account for the long-term stability of authoritarian regimes in the face of international sanctions and specifically those which aim at democratisation?
- Which structural power resources (e.g. repression, claims to legitimacy) do regimes fall back on, and how do they use them in reaction to external pressure in its various forms?
- Which counter-strategies do targeted autocratic regimes pursue?

Contribution to International Research
Researchers have provided largely contradictory evidence concerning the effects of international sanctions on the persistence of authoritarian regimes. In response, building on insights from research on authoritarianism, sanctions and transitions, the project identifies the specific features of those regimes that have remained stable and unstable in the face of such external pressure. Of particular interest are authoritarian regimes' international relations, as well as their characteristics, strategies and actions.

Research Design and Methods
The project combines several research methods. Qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) served to establish the characteristics of persistent authoritarian regimes under sanction pressure and to select country case studies for further in-depth analysis. These case studies will be analysed comparatively. In addition, two data sets were created. One provides information on the different sanctions implemented by the UN, the US and the EU, and the other focuses on the legitimacy of non-democratic regimes. The data sets allow for further quantitative-statistical analyses of the effects of sanctions.

Preliminary Results
The results of the fsQCA study attest (1) the importance of legitimation in almost all configurations; (2) the relevance of the interplay between regime characteristics, sanctions and further international factors; (3) the varying effects of certain conditions, for instance, hard repression, as a result of their specific interactions with other conditions; and (4) the different causal pathways, which were used to select the case studies Belarus, Eritrea, Zimbabwe, Colombia, Syria, North Korea, Iran, and Burundi.

Challenges of Peace-Building

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Soledad Granada

Project Goals
- To study the peace-building processes initiated by external actors and their impact on local institutions and public policies in different settings.
- To analyse under which conditions external peace-building supports the reduction of violence (beyond pacification).
- To identify the key actors for the provision of security at the local level and to analyse their interaction with peace-building initiatives.

Theoretical Approaches
- Peace-building debate, international cooperation
- Historical institutionalism

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison (in the first phase Central America, Colombia, Western Africa)
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National
- Unit of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (historical archives, closed judicial processes)
- Data Analysis: set theoretic approaches, process tracing to identify critical junctures

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Otto Argueta, Interpeace Guatemala
- Dr. Judith Vorrath, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin

Workshops/ Conferences
- "State-Building and Violence in Latin America", panel at the LASA Annual Conference, Washington DC, 26 May 2012 (S. Kurtenbach)

Publications
- Kurtenbach, S. (2011), *State-Building, War and Violence: Evidence from Latin America,* GIGA Working Papers, 181, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Kurtenbach, S. (2012), *Die Besonderheiten der Postkonfliktsituation Lateinamerikas (Distinctive Features of Postwar Contexts in Latin America),* Project Working Paper, 4, Duisburg: Institut für Entwicklung und Frieden (INEF).
- Kurtenbach, S. (2012), The "Happy Outcomes" May Not Come At All: Post-War Violence in Central America, in: *Civil Wars,* 15, 105–122.

Funding
- GIGA

Duration
2014–2016

Project Description

Research Questions

The transition from war to postwar contexts is conceptualised as a path dependent transformation including the political regime, access and distribution of economic resources and norms regarding the legitimization of violence (Jarstad and Olsson 2012). This project analyses the peace-building processes initiated by external actors and their impact on local institutions and public policies in different settings. External strategies for peace-building aim at the stabilisation of postwar societies through the promotion of state-building and the relevant institutions for the delivery of public goods (most of all security or economic development initiatives). This approach fails in many contexts due to the neglect of local ownership and institutional path dependencies. The project wants to investigate different outcomes of the interaction between external influences and local dynamics, e.g. the diffusion of crime, high levels of state repression or armed violence by non-state actors.

Contribution to International Research

The project contributes to the international debate on the limits and possibilities of external peace-building strategies (e.g. Paris 2004; Barnett and Zürcher 2009; Jarstad and Belloni 2012) including the historical dimension to these processes (Newman 2013). This innovative approach will help to identify the conditions under which external peace-building policies generate the reduction of violence (beyond pacification) and interact with key actors for the provision of security at the local level.

Research Design and Methods

The project uses qualitative methods for the small N-study at the country level and quantitative methods (e.g. QCA) for the medium N-cases at the local level to analyse the trajectories in postwar societies. While quantitative data serve to identify similarities and differences at the structural level across cases, a process-tracing design seeks to identify the path-dependent processes shaped by local actors. In cooperation with local partners (e.g. Interpeace in Central America) we will develop a common case study design.

Youth in Post-War Societies – Pathways out of Violence

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Janina Pawelz

Project Goals
- To analyse how young people can perform the transition into adulthood without using violence in the high-risk contexts of post-war societies.
- To generate systematic knowledge on the interaction between structures (post-war societies) and actors (youth), something which is highly relevant for future societal development.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories on youth violence
- Concepts on youth civic engagement

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organisations
- Number of Cases: Medium-N and Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Data base on context factors and youth participation for the medium N study; Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (government and civil society documents on youth)
- Data Analysis: set theoretic analysis (medium N) and process tracing (small N)

Teachings
- "Peacebuilding – Beitrag zu Frieden und Sicherheit oder neue Form externer Intervention?" (Peacebuilding – Contribution to Peace and Security or New Form of External Intervention?), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2013/2014 (S. Kurtenbach)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Why Voting is not Enough – Youth and Political Citizenship in Postwar Societies", ISA 2014, Toronto (S. Kurtenbach, J. Pawelz)

Knowledge Transfers
- The applicant is a spokesperson for Terre des Hommes Germany's scientific council.
- "Protest und Aufruhr" (Protest and Riot), panel discussion, Frankfurter Institut für Sozialforschung, HR 2, 27 May 2012 (S. Kurtenbach)

Publications
- Kurtenbach, S. (2013), Stichwort: Kinder und Jugendliche (Childhood and Youth), in: S. Hensel und B. Potthast (eds), Lexikon Lateinamerika, Ort: Hammer Verlag, 168-169.
- Pawelz, J. (with H. Myrttinen) (2012), Wahlen in Timor-Leste: Feuerprobe für Sicherheit und Konsolidierung (Elections in Timor-Leste), GIGA Focus Asien, 7, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Pawelz, J. (2013), Osttimor (Timor-Leste), in: M. Porsche-Ludwig, W. Gieler, and J. Bellers (eds), Sozialpolitik in Entwicklungsländern. Asien, Afrika, Lateinamerika - Ein Handbuch, Berlin: LIT Verlag, 271–277.

Funding
- Medium N: Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development): approved
- Small N: Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development: under review

Duration
2013–2016

Project Description

Research Questions
- How can we explain variations in youth violence in the high-risk contexts of post-war societies?
- How can young people transition into adulthood without using violence?
- Which actors and processes are relevant for successful youth transitions in post-war contexts?

Contribution to International Research
The project contributes to the research on conflict and transformation, which until now has rarely systematically investigated the role of youth in post-war contexts. Most research on youth in post-war contexts focuses either on deviant behaviour (violence) or on youths' peace-building potential. Research on youth in situations of political transition seldom investigates developing countries or post-war societies. The specific mechanisms and processes influencing youth transitions to adulthood in these contexts are particularly under-researched, even though the interface between youth and society is highly relevant there. From a quantitative and a qualitative perspective, youth are extremely important actors in the social space of post-war societies. At the same time, patterns of youth integration (or exclusion) mirror the broader developments in the society in question.

Research Design and Methods
Theoretically the project combines two strands of research that have generally been unconnected to date: theories on youth violence and concepts on youth civic engagement. Using the question of how young people can perform central status passages into adulthood as a point of departure, the project will identify different patterns of youth integration or exclusion. The research will utilize a nested design. As a first step, it will collect data on the risks of youth participation in violence (e.g. youth bulge, rapid urbanisation, lack of economic growth) for a sample of 27 post-war countries. From this group a small-N sample of post-war societies will be identified according to a most similar design regarding risk factors. The second step will consist of a structured comparison of the ways young people manage transitions into adulthood (particularly into economic independence and political citizenship) in these difficult contexts. This comparison will focus on similarities and differences between and inside the regions and cultures, urban and rural contexts, and male and female youths. Methodologically, this theoretically grounded structured comparison will be done on the basis of field research (expert interviews, focus groups, primary data collection in collaboration with local partners). This multilevel comparison promises to generate systematic knowledge on the interaction between structures (post-war societies) and actors (youth).

Public Security and the Transition to Democracy

>> Sabine Kurtenbach, Hanspeter Mattes, Annegret Mähler

Project Goals
- To determine which contextual factors in political transformation processes cause public security problems.
- To identify the implications of public security problems for political transformation processes.
- To assess whether the political transitions in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa can provide lessons learned for the North African countries of the "Arab Spring".

Theoretical Approaches
- Transformation theory
- Peace and conflict studies, particularly civil–military relations

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents (documents on public security: national and international human rights organisations' publications, official white papers, statements from armed groups, debates in parliament and media), Databases (Polity IV, Freedom House, Bertelsmann Transformation Index, UCDP, PTS, UNODC Homicide Data)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing, QCA

Teachings
- "Gewalt und politische Transformation im globalen Süden" (Violence and Political Transformation in the Global South), Ringvorlesung Friedensbildung, University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2013/2014 (S. Kurtenbach)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Public Security and the Transition to Democracy", expert workshop, Berlin: GIGA, 10 January 2013 (H. Mattes)

Publications
- Kurtenbach S. (2013), *Transformationsprozesse, öffentliche Sicherheit und Militär (Transformation, Public Security, and the Military),* GIGA Focus Global, 5, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Kurtenbach, S. (2011), *State-Building, War and Violence: Evidence from Latin America,* GIGA Working Papers, 181, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Kurtenbach, S. (2010), Why is Liberal Peace-Building so Difficult? Some Lessons from Central America, in: *European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies,* 88, April, 95–110.
- Kurtenbach, S., and H. Wulf (2012), *Violence and Security Concerns in Post-Conflict Situations,* Project Working Paper, 3, Duisburg: Institute for Development and Peace.
- Mähler, A. (2012), An Inescapable Curse? Resource Management, Violent Conflict, and Peacebuilding in the Niger Delta, in: P. Lujala and S. Rustad (eds), *High-Value Natural Resources and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding,* London: Earthscan, 391–412.
- Mähler, A. (2011), Oil in Venezuela: Triggering Conflicts or Ensuring Stability? A Historical Comparative Analysis, in: *Politics & Policy,* 39, 4, 583–611.
- Mattes, H. (2012), *Domestic Security in the Maghreb: Deficits and Counter-Measures,* GIGA Working Papers,186, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Mattes, H., and S. Faath (2011), *Der Machtwechsel in Tunesien und politische Reformperspektiven in Nahost (The Transfer of Power in Tunisia and Political Reform Prospects in the Middle East),* GIGA Focus Nahost, 1, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- GIGA

Duration
2013–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

Political transformation processes towards democracy impact conceptions of public security as a result of new requirements and demands for accountability, transparency and the rule of law. The project explores this relationship, focusing on the following questions:
- Which transition-related contextual factors cause public security problems (e.g. violent or non-violent events)?
- Which actors are responsible for the production of security or insecurity during transition periods, when old institutions are being dismantled and new ones are not (yet) functioning (e.g. state actors, non-state armed actors, criminal actors)?
- Are there general patterns identifiable in the relationship between public security problems and transformation processes?

Contribution to International Research

Theoretical as well as quantitative empirical studies on political transformation claim that different forms of violence decline after democratisation (see civilization theory, the democratic peace debate, and criminology). At the same time, evidence abounds on the conflictive and possibly violent character of the democratisation process itself (Cederman 2008; Hegre et al. 2001). Transformation theory has primarily analysed the different patterns and challenges in security sector reform (Cawthra and Luckham 2003; Pion Berlin 2011; Croissant 2011), whereas conflict research has focused on the transformation of armed actors (e.g. Schlichte 2009). Nevertheless a comparative analysis of the mechanisms at work in the relationship between violence and democratisation for countries of the third wave of democratisation is still lacking.

Research Design and Methods

Based on transformation and civilization theories, the project will first identify potential relationships between transformation and different forms of violence. It will then develop a conceptual framework for the comparative analysis of public security problems. This framework will be applied as follows: In a medium-N study of public security problems in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa. Following the coding of existing data, the identification of interesting cases in both regions will be done via fuzzy set QCA. In a small-N cross-regional comparison of public security during transformation in two Latin American and two sub-Saharan African countries. In a small-N study of public security in three of the "Arab Spring" countries (Egypt, Libya, Tunisia).

Preliminary Results

The discussion of conceptual problems and different regional experiences identified a first set of similar challenges (regarding security sector reform) and differences (regarding the relationship between military, political and economic actors). It is necessary to clarify context specific concepts of the legitimate and/or legal use of violence as well as the challenges related to the changing rules in the political system (i.e. elections).

>> Research Programme 2: Selected Publications 2013

Articles in Refereed Journals

- Basedau, Matthias and Sebastian Elischer (2013), Auf dem Rückzug in die Kasernen? Autoritäre Herrschaft und das Militär im subsaharischen Afrika (Retreating to the Barracks? Authoritarian Rule and the Military in Sub-Saharan Africa), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 47 (special issue), 354–383.
- Basedau, Matthias and Thomas Richter (2013), Why Do Some Oil Exporters Experience Civil War but Others Do Not? Investigating the Conditional Effects of Oil, in: *European Political Science Review,* DOI: 10.1017/S1755773913000234.
- Basedau, Matthias, Annegret Mähler and Miriam Shabafrouz (2013), Drilling Deeper: A Systematic, Context-Sensitive Investigation of Causal Mechanisms in the Oil–Conflict Link, in: *Journal of Development Studies,* DOI: 10.1080/00220388.2013.849338.
- Basedau, Matthias, Johannes Vüllers and Peter Körner (2013), What Drives Interreligious Violence? Lessons from Nigeria, Côte d'Ivoire and Tanzania, in: *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism,* 36, 10, 857–879.
- De Juan, Alexander (2013), Devolving Ethnic Conflicts: The Role of Subgroup Identities for Institutional Intergroup Settlements, in: *Civil Wars,* 15, 1, 78–99.
- Destradi, Sandra and Johannes Vüllers (2013), Speech is Silver, Silence is Golden? The Consequences of Failed Mediation in Civil Wars, in: *Civil Wars,* 15, 4, 486–506.
- Flesken, Anaid (2013), Ethnicity without Group: Dynamics of Indigeneity in Bolivia, in: *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics,* 19, 3, 333–353.
- Hirt, Nicole and Abdulkader Saleh Mohammad (2013), "Dreams Don't Come True in Eritrea": Anomie and Family Disintegration Due to the Structural Militarisation of Society, in: *Journal of Modern African Studies,* 51, 139–168.
- Koos, Carlo and Matthias Basedau (2013), Does Uranium Mining Increase Civil Conflict Risk? Evidence from a Spatiotemporal Analysis of Africa from 1960 to 2008, in: *Civil Wars,* 15 (special issue) 306–331.
- Kurtenbach, Sabine (2013), The "Happy Outcomes" May Not Come At All: Post-War Violence in Central America, in: *Civil Wars*, 15 (special issue), 105–122.
- Lindemann, Stefan and Tom Goodfellow (2013), The Clash of Institutions: Traditional Authority, Conflict and the Failure of "Hybridity" in Uganda, in: *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics,* 51, 1, 3–26.
- Mehler, Andreas (2013), Consociationalism for Weaklings, Autocracy for Muscle Men? Determinants of Constitutional Reform in Divided Societies, in: *Civil Wars,* 15 (special issue), 21–43.
- Mehler, Andreas (2013), Why Federalism Did Not Lead to Secession in Cameroon, in: *Ethnopolitics,* 1, 13, 48–66.
- Mehler, Andreas, Claudia Simons, Franzisca Zanker and Denis Tull (2013), Power-Sharing in Africa's War Zones: How Important is the Local Level?, in: *Journal of Modern African Studies,* 4, 51, 681–706.
- Pierskalla, Jan and Florian Hollenbach (2013), Technology and Collective Action: The Effect of Cell Phone Coverage on Political Violence in Africa, in: *American Political Science Review,* 107, 207–224.
- Pierskalla, Jan, Sean Zeigler and Sandeep Mazumder (2013), War and the Re-election Motive: Examining the Effect of Term Limits, in: *Journal of Conflict Resolution,* DOI: 10.1177/0022002713478561.
- Ranko, Annette and Elizabeth Iskander (2013), The Fall of the Muslim Brotherhood: Implications for Egypt, in: *Middle East Policy,* 20, 4, 111–123.
- Rosiny, Stephan (2013), Ausweg aus dem Bürgerkrieg. Machtteilung in Syrien statt regionalem Flächenbrand (A Way Out of the Civil War. Power-Sharing in Syria instead of a Regional Wildfire), in: *Osteuropa,* 63, 9, 3–15.
- Rosiny, Stephan (2013), Power-Sharing in Syria: Lessons from Lebanon's Taif Experience, in: *Middle East Policy,* 20, 3, 41–55.
- Schilling-Vacaflor, Almut (2013), Prior Consultations in Plurinational Bolivia: Democracy, Rights and Real Life Experiences, in: *Latin American and Caribbean Ethnic Studies,* 8, 2, 202–220.
- von Soest, Christian (2013), Persistent Systemic Corruption: Why Democratization and Economic Liberalization Have Failed to Undo an Old Evil, A Six-Country Analysis, in: *Comparative Governance and Politics / Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Politikwissenschaft,* DOI: 10/1007/s12286-0130157-6.
- Wegenast, Tim (2013), Opening Pandora's Box? Inclusive Institutions and the Onset of Internal Conflict in Oil-Rich Countries, in: *International Political Science Review,* 34, 4, 392–410.
- Wegenast, Tim and Matthias Basedau (2013), Ethnic Fractionalization, Natural Resources, and Armed Conflict, in: *Conflict Management and Peace Science,* DOI: 10.1177/0738894213508692.

Contributions to Edited Volumes

- Bank, André and Erik Mohns (2013), Die syrische Revolte (The Syrian Revolt), in: Jünemann, Annette and Anja Zorob (eds): Arabisches Erwachen. *Zur Vielfalt von Protest und Revolte im Nahen Osten und Nordafrika,* Wiesbaden: Springer VS, 85–106.
- Bank, André and Roy Karadag (2013), Die politische Ökonomie regionaler Macht: Die Türkei unter der AKP (The Political Economy of Regional Power: Turkey under the AKP), in: Nölke, Andreas, Christian May and Simone Claar (eds): *Die großen Schwellenländer. Ursachen und Folgen ihres Aufstiegs in der Weltwirtschaft,* Wiesbaden: Springer VS, 249–264.
- Fürtig, Henner (2013), Iran: Nutznießer oder Leidtragender der arabischen Umbrüche? (Iran: Beneficiary or Victim of the Arabian Transformations?), in: Jünemann, Annette and Anja Zorob (eds): *Arabisches Erwachen. Zur Vielfalt von Protest und Revolte im Nahen Osten und Nordafrika,* Wiesbaden: Springer VS, 175–195.
- Fürtig, Henner (2013), Juden im islamischen Iran – Zwischen Diaspora und Patriotismus (Jews in Islamic Iran – Between Diaspora and Patriotism), in: Föcking, Marc and Hans-Werner Goetz (eds): *Ungläubige, Teufelsdiener, Abtrünnige... Der Umgang mit Andersgläubigen in Geschichte und Gegenwart,* Münster: LIT Verlag, 151–171.

>> Research Programme 2: Selected Publications 2013

- Iskander, Elisabeth and Mina Monir (2013), Social v. State Media: Egypt's Fight for Information After the Uprising, in: Berenger, Ralph (ed.): *Social Media Go to War: Rage, Rebellion and Revolution in the Age of Twitter,* Washington: Marquette Books, 441–456.
- Kurtenbach, Sabine (2013), Friedensprozesse in Kolumbien - Teilerfolge, Misserfolge und aktuelle Herausforderungen (Peace Processes in Colombia – Partial Successes, Failures, and Current Challenges), in: von Boemcken, Marc (ed.): *Neue Kriege, neue Rüstung, neue Rüstungsmärkte. Friedensgutachten 2013.* Berlin and Münster: LIT Verlag, 208–219.
- Kurtenbach, Sabine (2013), Staatsbildung und Gewalt in Kolumbien, in: Graaf, David (ed.): *Kolumbien: Vom Failing State zum Rising Star? Ein Land zwischen Wirtschaftswunder und humanitärer Krise,* Berlin: Wissenschaftlicher Verlag, 193–208.
- Mehler, Andreas (2013), Power-Sharing, in: Cheeseman, Nicolas, David Anderson and Andrea Scheibler (eds): *Routledge Handbook of African Politics,* London and New York: Routledge, 189–201.
- Rosiny, Stephan (2013), Diktaturen, Bürgerkriege und Machtteilung in fragmentierten Gesellschaften: Syrien, Bahrain, Libanon und Irak im Vergleich, in: Schneiders, Thorsten Gerald (ed.): *Der Arabische Frühling. Hintergründe und Analysen,* Wiesbaden: Springer VS, 65–79.

Monographs and Edited Volumes

- Ansorg, Nadine (2013), *Kriege ohne Grenzen. Ursachen regionaler Konfliktsysteme in Sub-Sahara Afrika (Wars without Borders. The Causes of Regional Conflict Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa),* Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Argueta, Otto (2013), *Private Security in Guatemala: Pathway to Its Proliferation,* Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Vüllers, Johannes (2013), *Religiöses Friedensengagement in innerstaatlichen Gewaltkonflikten. Das Verhalten der Religionsgemeinschaften (Religious Engagement for Peace in Intrastate Violent Conflicts. The Behaviour of the Religious Entities),* Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Zammit-Mangion, Andrew, Mike Dewar, Visakan Kadirkamanathan, Anaid Flesken and Guido Sanguinetti (2013), *Modeling Conflict Dynamics with Spatiotemporal Data,* London: Springer.

Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard

>> Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Sarah Linde, William Monteith, Daniel Neff, Sebastian Prediger

Project Goals
- To conduct a multidimensional investigation into the capabilities and constraints of informal entrepreneurs in Burkina Faso, Uganda and Sri Lanka.

Theoretical Approaches
- The capability approach
- Theories on firm growth
- Theories on decision-making under uncertainty
- Multidimensional analysis of well-being

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Inter- and Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organisations
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Focus Group Discussions, Economic Experiments, Databases (World Bank Enterprise Survey, national household surveys in Uganda, Sri Lanka)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti, STATA
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis, Network Analysis, Content Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank)
- Expertise pour le Développement du Sahel (EDS), Burkina Faso
- Centre for Basic Research (CBR), Uganda
- Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), Sri Lanka
- International Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Netherlands

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Employment, Empowerment and Living Standard Stakeholder Workshop: Focus on the MDGs and Indicators of 'Decent Work'" (planned for mid 2014)

Publications
- Lay, J., and S. Prediger (2013), *Decent work in a post-2015 development agenda,* KfW Development Research: Views on Development, 2.
- Ostermeier, M., L. Giesbert, J. Lay, and S. Prediger (2012), *Beschäftigung, Armut und die Millenniumsziele der Vereinten Nationen (Employment, Poverty and the Millennium Goals of the UN),* GIGA Focus Global, 10.

Funding
- KfW Entwicklungsbank (KfW Development Bank): approved
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development): approved

Duration
2012–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

Regarding the multidimensional relationship between employment, empowerment, and living standard of poor individuals and households:
- To what extent is access to certain types of employment determined by certain capabilities?
- What functions and capabilities are created or restricted through employment and vice versa?
- What employment-related agency options do people have and to what extent do people make autonomous decisions?
- What can we learn about the definition of "good" or "decent" work?

Regarding the social and cultural context that affects the transfer of employment features into capabilities:
- How do specific social and cultural circumstances affect the relationship between employment features and the capabilities of informal workers?
- What are the main points of difference in the capabilities of local and non-local informal workers? What role do minority networks play?

Regarding policies to enhance access to "good" or "decent" employment: social and cultural context that affects the transfer of employment features into capabilities:
- What are the policy implications regarding the usefulness of the existing Millennium Development Goal (MDG) indicators?
- How can informal workers be specifically targeted and sustainably supported to enhance their chances of accessing "good" or "decent" employment?

Contribution to International Research

The project makes a significant contribution to the contemporary academic and policy (MDG) debate on internationally comparable indicators of "decent work". It also tackles considerable gaps in research on the constraints and capabilities of informal actors in the informal sector of the three target countries. Finally, the project will provide valuable insights into the process of advancement of micro-enterprises into small and medium enterprises.

Research Design and Methods

The project employs a mixed methodology designed to capture participants' experiences both qualitatively and quantitatively in the context of informal employment. Multidimensional surveys have been designed to capture information on demographics, socio-economic networks, economic performance and employment characteristics of entrepreneurs and their households across multiple sectors in each country. Time and risk experiments (N= 140 to 160) provide information on participants' willingness to take financial risks and make intertemporal choices. Focus group discussions (8 per country) are used to explore participants' perceptions of "decent work" in the context of informal employment and similarities with and differences to the ILO definition. Finally, life history interviews (40 per country) are employed to provide a deeper understanding of participants' experiences relating to employment, empowerment and well-being over the course of their lives.

Preliminary Results

Initial survey and focus group results from Burkina Faso support literary claims on the diversity and dynamism of developing urban informal economies and the connection between empowerment and experiences in the context of employment, adding weight to the project's methodology.
A critical analysis of the current employment-related MDG targets and indicators show that most indicators have serious weaknesses and are partly inadequate to evaluate progress towards achieving decent work. We proposed a new set of indicators and targets with a stronger emphasis on decent pay, labour income inequality and social security.

Analysis of focus group discussions across all three countries show heterogeneity of perceptions of 'good work' across groups, varying with country, gender and profession. Results suggest the need to compliment universal indicators of 'decent work' with local, context-specific considerations that reflect the 'reality' of work as it is performed. Preliminary survey results from Uganda suggest that entrepreneurs of Indian descent are much more successful in terms of employment creation, profits and capital accumulation than local Ugandan entrepreneurs and migrant entrepreneurs from other African countries. Preliminary experimental results reveal a large heterogeneity in risk preferences and time preferences. Preferences measured in experiments are only weakly correlated with survey-based measures of preferences. An in depth analysis on the relationship between preferences and economic performance is currently underway.

Micro- and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries: Opportunities and Constraints

>> Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Sarah Linde, Martin Ostermeier, Sebastian Prediger

Project Goals
- To understand the dynamics of micro- and small enterprises (MSEs).
- To examine the constraints to the growth of many MSEs in developing countries.
- To propose policy options to foster firm growth and employment generation.

Theoretical Approaches
- Micro-economic theories of the firm
- Models of household behaviour
- Trade theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional and Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National
- Level of Analysis: Households, Firms, Countries
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Databases (our own micro- and small-firm surveys, World Bank Enterprise Surveys)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis, Experiments, Impact Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Michael Grimm, University of Passau

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Capital Returns, Productivity and Accumulation in Micro- and Small Enterprises: Evidence from Peruvian Panel Data", World Bank/IZA conference "Employment and Development", 2011 (K. Goebel, M. Grimm, J. Lay)

Publications
- Grimm, M., R. Hartwig, and J. Lay (2013), How much does Utility Access matter for the Performance of Small and Micro Enterprises?, in: The European Journal of Development Research, DOI: 10.1057/ejdr.2013.16.
- Grimm, M., R. Hartwig, and J. Lay (2013), Does forced solidarity hamper investment in small and micro enterprises?, IZA Discussion Paper, 7229.
- Grimm, M., F. Gubert, O. Koriko, J. Lay, and C. J. Nordman (2013), Kinship-ties and entrepreneurship in Western Africa, in: Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship, DOI: 10.1080/08276331.2013.771854.
- Grimm, M., P. Knorringa, and J. Lay (2012), Constrained Gazelles: High Potentials in West Africa's Informal Economy, in: World Development, 40, 1352–1368.
- Grimm, M., J. Krüger, and J. Lay (2011), Barriers to Entry and Returns to Capital in Informal Activities: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa, in: Review of Income and Wealth, 57, S27–S53.
- Grimm, M., S. Lange, and J. Lay (2011), Credit-Constrained in Risky Activities? The Determinants of Capital Stocks of Micro- and Small Firms in Western Africa, Courant Research Centre: Poverty, Equity and Growth – Discussion Paper, 104, Courant Research Centre PEG.

Funding
- Courant Centre "Poverty, Equity and Growth in Developing Countries" at the University of Göttingen: approved
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Fundation): in preparation

Duration
2013–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

It is a well-documented fact that MSEs account for a large share of production, income and employment in urban areas of low-income countries (LICs). Against this background the project investigates the following questions:
- What is the role of behavioral factors, specifically risk aversion, time preferences, and overconfidence in determining MSE growth?
- Can savings devices mitigate the effects of high discount rates and/or self-control problems on savings and investment decisions in MSEs? Can savings accounts serve as commitment devices?
- How do MSEs innovate and adopt technologies and which are the implications for total factor productivity and investment decisions?

Contribution to International Research

An active recent empirical literature has considerably improved the understanding of the microeconomics of urban small-scale activities, which constitute the main source of livelihood of most the world's urban poor. Yet, the empirical puzzle of high marginal returns to capital at low capital stocks in MSEs remains largely unresolved. In particular the role of own savings, or rather the lack of it, is not well understood. It is, for example, still unclear which features of specific savings devices are most effective in addressing different constraints to save. In addition, neither the determinants of productivity in MSEs nor the role of (perceived) firm productivity for investment decisions has been thoroughly analysed. Saving and investment decisions strongly depend on behavioural factors such as attitudes towards risks, overconfidence, and locus of control. We investigate the relative relevance of these behavioural constraints for firm performance. Finally, it is particular uncomforting that most of the above findings rely on the empirical analysis of short-term relationships due to the lack of long-term panel data of MSEs.

Research Design and Methods

We study MSE behaviour using state-of-the-art microeconometric methods. This includes in particular differences-in-differences and instrumental variable specifications, as common in the impact evaluation literature. The quantitative analyses will be informed by own survey data from Sri Lanka and Uganda. In both countries, a first survey was conducted in 2012 and a second wave was fielded in 2013. Funding for a third wave in both countries is being sought. While panel data on MSEs is very scarce, our data has another unique feature, as it combines firm surveys with lab experiments on risk and time preferences, and, in 2013, on overconfidence. The similar survey designs will allow for cross-country comparisons.

Preliminary Results

Three main insights have emerged from our research to date: (1) The typical informal MSE should not be considered a subsistence enterprise. This is evident from the very high marginal returns to capital that can be earned in these enterprises. The fact that relatively rich economies, for example, Peru, still exhibit high shares of informal employment places a big question mark behind those entrepreneurial activities that are perceived as comprising the subsistence sector. It can hardly be argued that 70 per cent of Peru's labour force pursue subsistence activities. Rather, an important share of MSEs, even in poor economies, for example in the Sahel zone, can be considered "constrained gazelles". (2) High returns in micro-enterprises remain unexploited due to a number of economic, institutional, and social constraints. While credit constraints are found to be a key constraint for MSEs, specific sectors are heavily constrained by access to public utilities. In addition, forced solidarity, i.e. social constraints, can also partly explain the lack of investment in MSEs. (3) These altered perspectives on the informal sector have important policy implications. From a policy perspective, these findings may accordingly be taken as an argument for providing households with credit, savings devices, and insurance. Savings devices and insurance would also enable households to insure themselves against business and non-business risks, thus channeling savings into productive investment instead of withholding liquidity for insurance purposes.

Poverty and Inequality Dynamics and the Role of Social Policies

>> Lena Giesbert, Jann Lay, Daniel Neff, Miquel Pellicer

Project Goals
- To identify and explain poverty dynamics and poverty traps with a focus on (1) the role of development during infancy (especially education), (2) the role of employment, (3) the role of risk and risk management (including insurance), (4) subjective perceptions.
- To analyse policy options to break out of poverty traps in the context of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

Theoretical Approaches
- Newer theories on poverty dynamics and poverty traps
- The capability approach
- Asset-based approach to poverty
- Subjective well-being, attitudes to poverty

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups, Organisations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Life Histories, Focus Group Discussions, Databases (household survey data, World Values Survey, Young Lives)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti, STATA, SPSS
- Data Analysis: Multivariate methods such as Regression Analysis, Content Analysis, Grounded Theory

Cooperation Partners
- NOPOOR Consortium (led by Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD); Partners include: University of Oxford, UK; Center for Democratic Development, Ghana; Facultés universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, Belgium; Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Mexico; Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; University of Cape Town, South Africa; Delhi School of Economics, India; Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (unit in India); Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences, Vietnam; Université d'Antananarivo, Madagascar
- German Institute for Economic Research (DIW), Berlin

Teachings
- "Development Economics I and II", University of Göttingen, Summer Semester 2012 (J. Lay)
- "Methods of Economic Policy Evaluation", University of Göttingen, Winter Semester 2011/12 (J. Lay)
- "Attributing Causality in the Social Sciences", GIGA Doctoral Program, May 2013 (M. Pellicer)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Gender Differentials in Micro Life Insurance Participation in Ghana", 3rd European Research Conference on Microfinance, University of Adger, Kristiansand, Norway, 10–12 June 2013 (T. Brück, L. Giesbert)
- "Education and Allocation of Skills in Tunisia: Evidence from an Education Reform", IZA Workshop on Labor Markets and Labor Policy in MENA Countries, Tunis, 15–16 March 2013 (M. Pellicer)
- "Agency, Capabilities and Empowerment: Opportunities and Challenges for Informal Sector Entrepreneurs in Developing Countries", HDCA, Jakarta, 6 September 2012 (D. Clark, D. Neff)
- "Subjective Risk and the Participation in Life Micro-insurance in Southern Ghana", 2012 AEL Conference, Centre for Development Research (ZEF), Bonn, 22–23 June 2012 (L. Giesbert)
- "The Role of Perceptions and Trust in the Adoption of Micro-insurance", Panel at the 2012 Research Conference on Micro-insurance, University of Twente (and others), Twente, Netherlands, 11 April 2012 (L. Giesbert)

Publications
- Barrientos, A., and D. Neff (2011), Attitudes to Chronic Poverty in the Global Village, in: *Social Indicators Research,* 100, 1, 101–115.
- Giesbert, L., and K. Schindler (2012), Assets, Shocks and Poverty Traps in Rural Mozambique, in: *World Development,* 40, 8, 1594–1609.
- Giesbert, L., S. Steiner, and M. Bendig (2011), Participation in Micro Life Insurance and the Use of Other Financial Services in Ghana, in: *Journal of Risk and Insurance,* 78, 1, 7–35.
- Lay, J. (2012), MDG Achievements and Policies in Education and Health: What Has Been Learnt?, in: *Development Policy Review,* 30, 1, 67–85.
- Neff, D. (2012), Adaptation, Subjective Well-being and Poverty: The Case of South India, in: D. Clark (ed.), *Adaptation, Poverty and Well-Being,* London: Palgrave Macmillan, 137–160.
- Neff, D., Sen, K., and Kling, V. (2012), The puzzling decline in rural women's labour force participation: A Re-examination, in: *Indian Journal of Labour Economics,* 55, 3–4, 408–429.
- Pellicer, M., and V. Ranchhod (2012), *Inequality Traps and Human Capital Accumulation in South Africa,* SALDRU Working Papers, 86, Cape Town: University of Cape Town.

Funding
- EC, 7. Forschungsrahmenprogramm (FP7) (EC, Seventh Framework Programme); coordinated by Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), France: approved

Duration 2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- How and to what extent do determinants of poverty dynamics differ between countries and regions, and under what circumstances can general mechanisms be identified?
- What is the relationship between education, employment, and poverty dynamics?
- How is (chronic) poverty publicly perceived and how do poor people themselves experience poverty?
- How do risk and strategies applied to deal with these risks relate back to observed poverty dynamics?

Contribution to International Research

Research on diverging welfare trajectories on a micro-level stems from the macroeconomic literature on poverty dynamics. However, regional differences, the consequences of risks, and asset-based poverty traps on the micro-level have not been sufficiently captured empirically. In addition, development research has mainly been concerned with the direct effects of income on poverty. There is a lack of research on how the living standard of people sustainably improves, for instance through employment and education, both of which can be paths to upward mobility as well as factors solidifying existing inequality. The research on public opinions and subjective experience of poverty and its determinants contributes to the international research on the subjective perception of well-being.

Research Design and Methods

Our research is based on both quantitative and qualitative methods. Quantitatively, we use micro-level surveys (household surveys, schooling surveys, etc.). In this area, particular attention is paid to proper identification of causal effects by means of the use of natural experiments. Qualitative methods include life and employment histories, focus group discussions, open-ended interviews, etc.

Preliminary Results

Research on the public perception of poverty confirms a consistent global belief that poverty is persistent. We show that interests, position, knowledge, and shared values relating to social justice are important factors that shape public attitudes to chronic poverty.

Results for rural Mozambique, for example, show no evidence for a poverty trap based onmultiple equilibria in the medium term. However, rural households converge to a very low equilibrium and are rather collectively trapped in generalized underdevelopment. Risks (a drought) and household coping strategies help to explain the observed poverty dynamics.

From our research in Ghana we learn that poorer households have less access to formal insurance, savings and credit options. Evidence also suggests that the use of microinsurance and other formal financial services is mutually reinforcing. At the same time, more universal strategies, such as risk sharing within social networks, do not lose their significance in poor households' efforts to manage with the multitude of risks they are exposed to.

Preliminary results from education research in South Africa suggest that the country is caught in an inequality trap partly caused by the combination of skill scarcity and large skill premiums. These features, in turn, can be traced to skill distributions diverging over primary and secondary school, due to large differentials in school quality.

Results on the role of education in Tunisia show strong returns in terms of occupational status. The estimates we obtain are purged from potential ability and other biases and thus reflect the causal effect of education. Education in Tunisia thus appears to foster mobility. However, we also find some evidence suggesting that these returns might be driven more by "credentialism" than by genuine human capital accumulation.

West African Traders as Translators between Chinese and African Urban Modernities

>> Karsten Giese, Kelly Si Miao Liang, Laurence Marfaing, Alena Thiel

Project Goals
- To identify the specific elements of the experience of Chinese urban modernity that individual African traders choose to – or refuse to – transfer to their home societies.
- To explore the changing significations of selected material objects and immaterial concepts – in other words travelling ideas – that form part of the baggage that these African travelling traders translate between urban Chinese and urban West African modernities.
- To analyse in how far these translations endorse social change in West African societies.

Theoretical Approaches
- Alternative/multiple modernities
- Translation regimes
- Social and spatial mobility
- Interregional/international mobilities, sojourning

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Inter- and Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Global
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Groups
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Narratives, Observation/Ethnography, Visual Data, Databases (UNCOMTRADE)
- Data Processing: MAXQDA
- Data Analysis: Grounded Theory

Cooperation Partners
- Project partners within the Priority Programme 1448: "Adaption and Creativity in Africa"
- Africa: University of Dakar and IFAN, Dakar; University of Ghana, Legon
- China: Chinese University of Hong Kong; Jinan University Guangzhou

Teachings
- "Commerce et opérateurs économiques au Sénégal", Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Summer Semester 2012 (L. Marfaing)
- "Chinesische MigrantInnen in Afrika – gesellschaftliche Auswirkungen einer neuen Präsenz" (Chinese Migrants in Africa), University of Hannover, Winter Semester 2012/13 (L. Marfaing)
- "Histoire des migrations en Méditerranée et en Afrique de l'Ouest", l'Université F. Rabelais, Tours, 25 March 2013 (L. Marfaing)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "South–South Relations and Globalisation: Chinese Migrants in Africa and African Migrants in China", Point Sud conference, Dakar, 20–24 January 2013 (L. Marfaing, K. Giese)
- "Mobilités tuaregues et sous régionales au Mali", round table, IRD, Marseille, 22 March 2013 (L. Marfaing)
- "Commerçantes sénégalaises hier et aujourd'hui", Forum social Senegal, Dakar, 9 February 2013 (L. Marfaing)
- "Managing Complexity in Times of Uncertainty: Social Governance with Chinese Characteristics (II)", panel at the ICAS, Macao, 24–27 June 2013 (K. Giese)
- "China, Africa, and Labor", panel at the ICAS, Macao, 24–27 June 2013 (K. Giese)
- "Re-Gendering Public Space: the Hybridization of Entrepreneurial Practices in Accra, Ghana", 17th World Congress of the International Union of Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences, Manchester, 5–11 August 2013 (A. Thiel)

Publications
- Giese, K. (2013), Same-Same But Different: Chinese Traders' Perspectives on African Labor, in: *China Journal,* 69, 134–153.
- Giese, K., and A. Thiel (2012), The Vulnerable Other – Distorted Equity in Chinese-Ghanaian Employment Relations, in: *Ethnic and Racial Studies,* DOI: 10.1080/01419870.2012.681676.
- Giese, K., and A. Thiel (2012), *When Voicelessness Meets Speechlessness – Struggling for Equity in Chinese-Ghanaian Employment Relations,* GIGA Working Papers, 194, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Marfaing, L. (2013), Mobility for Resources and Local Development in West Africa, in: R. L. McKenzie and A. Triulzi, *Long Journeys: Lives and Voices of African Migrants on the Road,* Leiden: Brill Aegis African Studies, 135–163.
- Marfaing, L., and A. Thiel (2013), The Impact of Chinese Business on Market Entry in Ghana and Senegal, in: *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute,* 83, 4, 646–669.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation), SPP 1448 "Adaptation and Creativity in Africa" (second phase): approved

Duration 2013–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

The project investigates, with the case studies of Senegalese and Ghanaian transnational entrepreneurs in China, the kind of transnational practices that shape the encounters with and the experiences of urban Chinese modernity for various groups of West African traders. It addresses the marks of the personal experience of China left on African traders through their economic sojourns to the Chinese supply centres of global capitalism (material objects and/or abstract concepts alike) and analyses the ways in which the African traders' experiences and interpretations of China are formed by various social actors and influenced by social formations (networks) and belief systems (religion) relevant to them. The project seeks to understand how the traders individually select, interpret, translate, and redefine "things Chinese" (ranging from material objects to abstract concepts, lifestyles, ideologies) within the context of their home societies by enacting their social capital as members of a virtual community and in what way the discursive processes of translation and creative appropriation might impact negotiating social change and re-ordering (institutions, practices, social formations, policies) in urban West Africa in an era of accelerated and increasingly accessible economic globalisation.

Contribution to International Research

The combination of the different regional research capacities at the GIGA within one research team allows us to address this multidimensional research problem with the adequate regional and multidisciplinary competences and research strategies. In the field we closely cooperate with a number of individual partners from academic institutions in China, Ghana, Senegal, and Europe who have been engaged in research closely related to our proposed study.

Research Design and Methods

In order to study the socio-economic practices of the West African transnational traders with regard to their specific experiences of urban Chinese modernity and to reconstruct the original Chinese significations of the translation products within the framework of multiple modernities that can be identified in Ghana and Senegal, intensive fieldwork is conducted in Guangzhou, Yiwu, and Hong Kong as the three most important destination cities for West African traders. We widen the originally multi-sited ethnography approach by engaging in mobile (accompanying) ethnography, which we achieve by joining our research subjects on their sojourns to China and back. We make use of a specific mix of qualitative methods based on a participatory approach including narrative and open interviewing. Biographical information are included to a large extent. We evaluate the observed processes and significations of translational products against the backdrop of a range of pre-structuring factors that lie within the social, economic and political orders and local power relations insofar as they can be regarded relevant. To that end, we conduct additional desk research as well as semi-structured interviews with selected informants in key positions of society, as well as with state authorities and representatives from associations, lobby groups, and other relevant institutions

Preliminary Results

At the time of reporting, the first fieldwork conducted in Yiwu, Guangzhou, and Hong Kong was ongoing and data analysis was not completed. First results show, however, that African entrepreneurs in China see China as a model regarding their entrepreneurial strategies. This hypothesis will be tested during the next field trip in Africa. Long-term African resident entrepreneurs in China have a self-understanding as translators of China. However, this translation potential faces a number of obstacles as transnational African traders exposed to these translation objects only reluctantly perceive, accept, and understand them.

RP 3

The Productivity Effects of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) of North–South and South–South Firms: The Case of Sub-Saharan Africa

>> Birte Pfeiffer

Project Goals
- To assess the productivity effects of the presence of South–South and North–South firms on domestic firms in sub-Saharan Africa.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories of foreign direct investment (FDI) and multinational firms

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Firms
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (World Bank Enterprise Surveys)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Holger Görg, Ph.D., Kiel Institute for the World Economy

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Spillover and Competition Effects: Evidence from the Sub-Saharan African Banking Sector", Annual International Conference of the Research Committee on Development Economics (AEL) of the Verein für Socialpolitik, Berlin, 24–25 June 2011
- "Spillover and Competition Effects: Evidence from the Sub-Saharan African Banking Sector", CSAE Conference on Economic Development in Africa, St Catherine's College, Oxford, 20–22 March 2011

Publications
- Barrios, S., H. Görg, and E. Strobl (2011), Spillovers through Backward Linkages from Multinationals: Measurement Matters!, in: *European Economic Review,* 55, 6, 862–875.
- Görg, H., and D. Greenaway (2004), Much Ado About Nothing? Do Domestic Firms Really Benefit from Foreign Direct Investment?, in: *The World Bank Research Observer,* 19, 2 171–197.
- Pohl, B. (2011), *Spillover and Competition Effects: Evidence from the Sub-Saharan African Banking Sector,* GIGA Working Papers, 165, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): approved

Duration
2012–2015

RP 3

Project Description

Research Questions

Both multinational Corporations (MNCs) from developing countries (South-South firms) and MNCs from industrialised countries (North-South firms) are important investors in developing countries. Generally speaking, FDI can lead to positive effects in the host country. This research project studies the productivity effects of South-South and North-South firms on domestic firms in several sub-Saharan African countries by investigating the following questions:
- What is the importance of North–South and South–South firms in terms of market shares (turnover, employees) in different industry sectors in the 12 sub-Saharan African countries?
- To what extent do size, productivity, sector specialization, and business strategy differ between domestic firms and MNCs from different countries in sub-Saharan Africa?
- What can we learn about the presence and extent of productivity effects of South–South and North–South firms on domestic firms in sub-Saharan African countries?

Contribution to International Research

The project aims to provide new insights into the importance and productivity effects of foreign firms from different home countries in sub-Saharan Africa.

Research Design and Methods

We use firm-level panel data from 12 countries in sub-Saharan Africa from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys (WBES). Using these survey data in a cross-country setting requires a considerable effort in data preparation and cleaning. Moreover, a detailed ownership analysis will be carried out. In order to identify the different characteristics as well as the productivity effects of FDI from North–South and South–South firms, different types of production functions are considered and appropriate panel-data methods are applied.

Globalisation of Chinese Companies

>> Margot Schüller, Yun Schüler-Zhou

Project Goals
- To understand the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese outward foreign direct investment (OFDI) in the EU.

Theoretical Approaches
- New institutional economics
- International management theories

Research Design
- Comparative Design: No Comparative Design
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study, Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Individuals, Firms, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (investment guidelines from the Chinese government for OFDI), Databases (Zephyr M&A database, statistical data from China and the EU)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Michel Clement, University of Hamburg
- Prof. Barbara Krug, University of Rotterdam
- Dr. Marco Sanfilippo, European University Institute, Florence
- Dr. Johannes Meuer, University of Zürich

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Chinese Entrepreneurs in Germany – Do They Really Need Diaspora Networks?", 6th Chinese in Prato & 4th Wenzhouese Diaspora Symposia, 29–30 October 2013 (M. Schüller, Y. Schüler-Zhou)
- "Chinese Direct Investment in Germany – What Role for the Diaspora?", 7th China Goes Global Conference, Jacobs University, Bremen, 25–27 September 2013 (M. Schüller)
- "Analysing Chinese OFDI under Information Constraints: Opportunities, Illustrations and Prospects", Panel at the 5th Conference of the International Association of Chinese Management Research, Hong Kong, 20–24 June 2012 (M. Schüller)
- "China's OFDI Patterns: Drivers and Implications for Host Countries", ERIM Research Seminar, University of Rotterdam, 9 May 2012 (M. Schüller)
- "China's OFDI Footprint in Europe: Investment Patterns, Drivers and Implications", presentation for the EU Commission, DG Enterprise and Industry, 30 March 2012 (M. Schüller)

Knowledge Transfer
- Workshop of the Sino-German Innovation Platform, Beijing, 22–26 July 2013; Preparation of a joint publication and of the next bilateral conference (M. Schüller).
- Expert Talk of the Sino-German Innovation Platform: Panel „Neue innovationspolitische Ziele und Auswirkungen auf bestehende Innovationsschwerpunkte" (New Targets in Innovation Policy and their Repercussions for Existing Innovation Clusters), Presentation „Reformpositionen der neuen politischen Führung" (Reform Positions of the New Political Leadership), 16 July 2013 (M. Schüller)
- "China's Outward Direct Investment: Strategic Investments and Decision-Making Autonomy of Chinese Overseas Subsidiaries", Jacobs University, Lecture Series, 2 April 2013 (M. Schüller)
- Conference of the Sino-German Innovation Platform, Berlin, 26–27 November 2012 (participant and panel organiser: M. Schüller)

Publications
- Schüler-Zhou, Y., and M. Schüller (2013), An Empirical Study of Chinese Subsidiaries' Decision-making Autonomy in Germany, in: *Asian Business & Management,* 12, 3, 321–350.
- Schüler-Zhou, Y., M Schüller, and M. Clement (2013), Internationalisierung chinesischer Unternehmen (Internationalilzation of Chinese Companies), in: *Die Betriebswirtschaft,* 73, 5, 359–376.
- Schüler-Zhou, Y., M. Schüller, and M. Brod (2012), Push and Pull Factors for Chinese Investment in Europe, in: A. Ilan, M. Fetscherin, and P. Gugler (eds), *Chinese International Investments,* London: Palgrave Macmillan,157–174.
- Schüller, M., J. Meuer, and Y. Schüler-Zhou (2012), China's OFDI Footprint in Europe: Investment Patterns, Drivers and Implications, *Research Report for the EU Commission,* DG Enterprise and Industry.
- Schüller, M., and Y. Schüler-Zhou (2013), Chinese Investment Strategies and Migration – Does Diaspora Matter? *A Case Study on Germany,* Research Report for the European University Institute, Florence.

Funding
- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (Federal Ministry of Education and Research) (Sino–German Innovation Platform Expert Group): approved
- European Commission, DG Enterprise and Industry (research report): approved
- European University Institute, Florence (research paper): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
The project investigates the following questions:
- What are the patterns, drivers and implications of Chinese OFDI?
- What role does the Chinese state play in Chinese companies "going global"?
- What are the implications of Chinese OFDI for the business climate in the EU?
- What is the relationship between the Chinese diaspora and Chinese OFDI?
- To what extent are German companies investing in China involved in R&D?
- What are the implications of Chinese R&D investment in Europe, especially in Germany?

Contribution to International Research
International management theories are still focusing on the FDI of Western, industrialized countries. In our analysis of Chinese OFDI in the EU, we complement existing approaches by adding the perspective of the latecomer economies and by applying the new institutional theory approach. In our analysis of the relationship between migration and FDI, we study the role of the Chinese diaspora in Germany and their impact on Chinese OFDI. The international research on diaspora networks has just begun and offers a fresh look at both migration and OFDI.

Research Design and Methods
We follow a mixed-methods approach by collecting data through surveys and expert interviews.
- For the research paper on Chinese OFDI in the EU (for the European Commission), we conduct a M&A analysis to reveal the patterns of Chinese FDI with regard to the geographical and sectoral distribution, payment preferences, and other important characteristics of M&A transactions.
- For the German–Chinese Innovation Platform activities, we will conduct a survey with the Verband Deutscher Maschinen- und Anlagenbau (VDMA) of approximately 300 German companies from the machinery and equipment industry, focusing on their R&D activities in China. In addition, face-to-face interviews are planned with a selected number of VDMA member companies in China.
- To study the relationship between Chinese migration and FDI in Germany, expert interviews will be conducted with Chinese academic and commercial associations and German and Chinese companies.

Preliminary Results
Chinese investments in Europe, especially in Germany, reveal a strong move toward market and asset-seeking investments. German companies acquired by Chinese investors, especially in the machinery industry, are often not only leaders in their fields, but also strongly focused on R&D. This investment motive seems to be the predominant M&A strategy of Chinese companies in Germany. Interviews and surveys conducted by the authors have confirmed that recent investment activities of Chinese companies in Germany were driven mainly by technology-seeking. In addition, greenfield investments by high-tech Chinese companies, especially from the telecommunications industry (Huawei, ZTE, etc.), have also strongly increased. These investments are focusing on Germany as an important market and on specific companies and R&D centres in order to jointly develop new technologies. Given the significant growth in the size of the Chinese population living in Germany on the one hand and the 'liability of foreignness' for Chinese companies in Germany on the other, the diaspora plays a crucial intermediary role in attracting FDI. The involvement of the diaspora in the investment decision-making process helps to overcome the 'psychic distance' that exists between China and the target location, a distance that results in high information costs related to investment opportuntities, government regulations, and/or the trustworthiness of potential business partners.

Transparency, Dynamics and Impacts of Large-Scale Land Acquisitions (LSLA): Global and Local Evidence

>> Christof Althoff, Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Martin Ostermeier

Project Goals
- To increase the transparency of large-scale land acquisitions (LSLAs).
- To provide evidence on the impacts of LSLAs on affected countries and households.

Theoretical Approaches
- New institutional economics
- Land governance and land rights
- Productivity effects of investments in agricultural land
- Determinants of foreign direct investment (FDI)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional, Intraregional and Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National, Global
- Level of Analysis: Households, Communities, Land Deals, Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (Land Matrix, household surveys)
- Data Processing: MAXQDA, STATA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Impact Analysis, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Land Matrix Partnership

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Patterns of Large-Scale Land Acquisitions – Evidence from the Land Matrix", World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty, Washington, 8–11 April 2013
- "Investitionen als Entwicklungshemmnis? Die Folgen von großflächigem Landerwerb für die lokale Bevölkerung in Subsahara-Afrika" (Investments as Obstacle to Development? The Consequences of Large-scale Land Acquisitions for the Local Population in Sub-Saharan Africa), GIGA Talk, Berlin, 6 June 2013
- Kick-off stakeholder workshop, Nairobi, Kenia, 30 January 2013
- Final dissemination conference: it will bring together representatives from the private business sector, governments, international organisations, the donor community and NGOs operating in this field, along with local and international academics in order to share and discuss our research findings

Knowledge Transfer
- Science meets parliament, four talks with parliamentarians, Berlin, 14 –15 May 2013
- "Meaning and functioning of the Land Matrix", module at training course on land management of the GIZ, Feldafing, 13 June 2013

Publications
- Anseeuw, W., M. Boche, T. Breu, M. Giger, J. Lay, P. Messerli, and K. Nolte (2012), *Transnational Land Deals for Agriculture in the "Global South",* Analytical Report Based on the Land Matrix Database, Bern, Montpellier, Hamburg: CDE, CIRAD, GIGA.
- Althoff, C., W. Anseeuw, T. Geber, J. Lay, K. Nolte, M. Ostermeier. (2013), *Land Matrix Newsletter,* June.

Funding
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development): approved
- African Growth and Development Policy Modeling Consortium] (AGRODEP): approved

Duration
2012–2014

Project Description

Research Questions
The project deals with the global scale and the impacts of large-scale land acquisitions. It will investigate particularly the impact of:
- Involvement of the local population in decision-making processes
- Compensations and evictions
- Productivity effects
- Improved access to public services
- Employment creation

Contribution to International Research
Large-scale land acquisitions (LSLAs) in developing countries have received increasing attention by the media, policy makers in both investor and target countries, development agencies, and academia. Research on the phenomenon suggests that LSLAs are clearly an important trend with far-reaching implications for many developing countries, most notably for the populations living in affected areas. Yet, evidence on the impacts of this recent phenomenon is still scarce and often case-study based.

Research Design and Methods
The project focuses on the impacts of LSLAs, with both a quantitative and qualitative component. First, certain impact dimensions – for example investment-related benefits and compensations – will be examined on a case-study basis using qualitative approaches. The proposed studies will build on earlier fieldwork. More specifically, we will re-visit specific cases of land deals in Kenya and Zambia (investigated in a previous project) and conduct expert interviews. Other impact dimensions – for example employment and productivity – can be better analysed using quantitative techniques. For these analyses, we will conduct studies on Cambodia, Laos, and Zambia. Methodologically, we will rely on standard impact evaluation techniques – in particular, differences-in-differences estimates on a small geographical scale (community, village). The Land Matrix data base, managed by the GIGA, will be used to for additional data.

Preliminary Results
The current data from the Land Matrix data base exhibits an ongoing demand for land and ongoing – but slow – implementation. Demand is mainly driven by agricultural production, specifically food crops and agrofuels, as well as forestry projects.

First insights from the case study on Zambia show that differences between such districts that have, and such that do not have, agricultural investments are due to initial differences. Hence, we cannot determine any spillover effects due to large-scale land acquisitions – at least not on such a broad geographical scope as the district level. The next step of the analysis is to refine the analysis and allow for a more localised impact assessment.

Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CliMiP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win Situations?

>> Tara Caetano, Jann Lay, Miriam Prys, Sebastian Renner

Project Goals
- To analyse the relationship between climate change mitigation and poverty reduction in developing countries from a multidisciplinary perspective.

Theoretical Approaches
- Development and environmental economics
- International relations
- Multidisciplinary social science approach

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, Subnational, National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Groups, Countries
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Databases (various micro-survey data sets, e.g. National Sample Survey India; various macro data sets, e.g. World Development Indicators)
- Data Processing: STATA, MATLAB, GAMS
- Data Analysis: Equilibrium Analysis, Regression Analysis, Simulation Methods

Cooperation Partners
- Energy Research Centre, University of Cape Town, South Africa
- National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand
- Public Policy Studies Institute, Chiang Mai University, Thailand
- Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Education, Mexico
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy

Funding
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation), Compagnia di San Paolo and Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (Joint Research Programme “Europe and Global Challenges”): approved

Duration 2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- Does the implementation of climate change mitigation policies in developing countries always involve a trade-off between economic development, poverty reduction, and climate protection, or is there space for “win-win policies”? This question is relevant for today’s fast-growing middle-income economies, which are already or will soon become very significant contributors to global warming.
- The project will analyse these economies from three different angles: a comparative politics perspective on domestic climate governance and mitigation policy options, an economics perspective on the poverty and distributional impact of mitigation policies, and an international relations perspective on the global discourse surrounding mitigation and economic development (see project in RP 4). The project staff will cooperate closely with domestic partner institutions in South Africa, Mexico and Thailand, the three case study countries.

Contribution to International Research

Despite the increasing role of today’s developing world in GHG emissions, “climate and development” research to date has largely focused on developing countries’ vulnerability and adaptation to climate change, and on climate-related transfers in these countries, such as those of the Joint Implementation and the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM).

Meanwhile, the critical issue of mitigation in developing countries becomes a hotly debated issue in climate negotiations. This focus on mitigation requires a shift in the analytical perspective. While the technological and natural science perspectives that tend to dominate the climate change discourse (with a focus on adaptation) are clearly important, a social science perspective is warranted as well. This is particularly true because of the latter’s usefulness in analysing the possible trade-offs between mitigation and socio-economic development.

Research Design and Methods

The project adopts a multidisciplinary social science approach with a comparative and global perspective. While they will remain firmly theoretically and methodologically grounded in their respective disciplines, the three study areas – (1) domestic climate governance, (2) poverty and distributional impacts of mitigation policies, (3) global perspective and the mitigation-development discourse – will interact continuously.

The investigation of domestic climate governance will rely mainly on qualitative methods. These will include interviews with policy-makers, experts, and practitioners to investigate their motivations and the driving and constraining forces behind their actions in climate change mitigation policy processes. We then plan to assess the poverty and distributional impacts of mitigation policies, i.e. the possible trade-offs between mitigation and socio-economic development in the three case study countries using incidence-focused general equilibrium models, simulation models based on micro-data, and a combination of these two modelling approaches. As mitigation policies in the case study countries are rare and recent, the limited availability of data means that the analyses will typically be ex-ante modelling exercises. In a final step, shaped by the lens of international relations, a combination of quantitative and qualitative content analysis will allow us to identify the “frames” or “templates” according to which the problem of developing country mitigation and related themes are presented. This analysis will demonstrate what types of solutions different actors or actor groups offer for these issues.

Preliminary Results

Simulating the welfare effects of fossil energy subsidy reform in Indonesia makes clear that the distributional impact depends on the subsidized energy carrier and the corresponding price schedule. Although all households suffer negative welfare effects in all policy scenarios without compensation schemes, the effects are progressive in the case of gasoline and electricity when keeping the current block-tariff schedules in place. The latter means, that the current cross-subsidization with high demand users paying higher prices could be kept in place, combined with a general price increase this would lead to a slightly progressive distributional effect. Therefore, the preliminary conclusion of the micro studies is that energy price increases could create win-win situations for mitigation efforts and poverty reduction in the case of properly designed compensation schemes, redistributing from the rich to the poor.

These microeconomic analyses are quite important on their own, as they are able to describe heterogeneous household behavior in considerable detail. However, they miss out on important indirect effects induced by policy reforms such as price effects in production, the labor market and international trade. To reflect these effects, we use Input-Output (IO) and Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) models. Simulating a carbon tax for Thailand with an IO model, we find substantial indirect price effects resulting in a regressive scenario with significant impacts on poor households.

The Land Matrix

>> Jann Lay, Kerstin Nolte, Christof Althoff, Martin Ostermeier

Project Goals
- To maintain a web-based data system to systematically collate information on large-scale land acquisitions (the Land Matrix database).
- To promote transparency and accountability of land-based investments.
- To include publicly available data from a variety of sources as well as personal information and research findings.
- To provide data for analysis, research, policy-making, and advocacy.
- To promote open data.

Theoretical Approaches
- Database management

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional, Intraregional and Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Land Deals
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Verbal Data, Documents (media reports, research papers, national inventories)
- Data Analysis: Provide Data for Quantitative Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- International Land Coalition (ILC), Rome
- Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), Paris
- Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH (GIZ), Germany

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Launch of the Land Matrix Beta Version", at the World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty Washington, DC, 23–26 April 2012 GIGA International Workshop on Large-Scale Land Acquisitions, Hamburg, 11 May 2012
- "Patterns of Large-Scale Land Acquisitions – Evidence from the Land Matrix", World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty, Washington, 8–11 April 2013
- "Investitionen als Entwicklungshemmnis? Die Folgen von großflächigem Landerwerb für die lokale Bevölkerung in Subsahara-Afrika" (Investments as Obstacle to Development? The Consequences of Large-scale Land Acquisitions for the Local Population in Sub-Saharan Africa), GIGA Talk, Berlin, 6 June 2013
- "Launch of the Land Matrix Global Observatory", 10 June 2013

Knowledge Transfer
- Science meets parliament, four talks with parliamentarians, Berlin, 14 –15 May 2013
- "Meaning and functioning of the Land Matrix", module at training course on land management of the GIZ, Feldafing, 13 June 2013

Publications
- Anseeuw, W., M. Boche, T. Breu, M. Giger, J. Lay, P. Messerli, and K. Nolte (2012), *Transnational Land Deals for Agriculture in the "Global South",* Analytical Report Based on the Land Matrix Database, Bern, Montpellier, Hamburg: CDE, CIRAD, GIGA.
- Althoff, C., W. Anseeuw, T. Geber, J. Lay, K. Nolte, M. Ostermeier (2013), *Land Matrix Newsletter,* June.

Funding
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH (GIZ): approved
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ) (Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- What is the real extent, nature and impact of large-scale land investments?
- Who is investing and where?
- What is driving the increasing interest in land?

Contribution to International Research
The project addresses the serious lack of data on global land investments by providing the currently most comprehensive online database on such deals (the "Land Matrix"). This information is demanded by a variety of actors: researchers, international and development organisations, NGOs, the media, and policymakers in host countries of such land deals.

Research Design and Methods
The Land Matrix database is a constantly updated data set that includes land acqusitions made primarily for agricultural production (for food or agrofuel production). Deals included in the database must meet the following criteria:
- They entail a transfer of rights to use, control or own land through sale, lease or concession.
- They were initiated sometime since 2000, when the annualized value of the FAO real food price index was at its lowest level.
- They cover an area of 200 hectares or more.
- They entail the potential conversion of land from local community use or from important ecosystem-service provision to commercial production.
- Are targeted at low- and middle-income countries.

Records are derived from a variety of sources: media reports; reports by international and local organisations, NGOs, and field-based research projects; company websites; and government records. Sources are partly accessed through two active internet portals dealing with land transactions: www.commercialpressuresonland.org and www.farmlandgrab.org. Company websites and government records are also used where these are available. Moreover, the "crowdsourcing" function plays an increasingly important role.

Preliminary Results
An improved version of the online Land Matrix database was re-launched in June 2013. It is currently the largest data set of its kind and generates wide public interest. The Land Matrix data shows that there is indeed a global trend toward land acquisitions, and that a large number of these projects are likely to materialise. However, implementation on the ground is slow and a huge number of projects fail. According to the Land Matrix information, Africa is the most targeted continent and most target countries are characterised by weak land governance and high incidences of hunger. Furthermore, targeted areas are easily accessible, provide high yield gaps, and have considerable population densities. Most investors come from comparatively wealthy countries, and countries that are net food importers. All in all, the data suggests that in a large number of cases there are trade-offs environmental and social goals.

Landscape-Level Assessment of the Ecological and Socio-Economic Functions of Rainforest Transformation Systems in Sumatra (Indonesia) (part of CRC 990)

>> Jann Lay, Elisabeth Hettig

Project Goals
- To develop an integrated model of different aspects of the ecological diversity, ecological functions, and socio-economic functions of rainforest transformation systems.
- To model the trade-offs and synergies within and between the ecological and economic functions.

Theoretical Approaches
-Land change science
- Agricultural household models

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Households
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Medium-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews
- Data Processing: STATA, GAMS, NetLogo
- Data Analysis: Microeconometrics, Equilibrium Analysis, Impact Analysis, Multivariate Methods, Regression Analysis, Multi-Agent System Models, Spatial Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Kerstin Wiegand and Dr. Katrin Mayer, Institute of Ecosystem Modelling, University of Göttingen

Workshops/ Conferences
-"What drives households' land-use decision making processes? A conceptual review of micro-level studies in tropical regions", poster session at PhD-Workshop of the European Association of Agricultural Economists 2013, Leuven, Belgium, 29–31 May 2013
- "What drives households' land-use decision making processes? A conceptual review of micro-level studies in tropical regions", poster session at the Conference of the German Economic Association – Research Committee on Development Economics (AEL), Munich, Germany, 21–22 June 2013
- Annual Symposium of the Collaborative Research Centre 990 in Jambi/Bogor, Indonesia, 10–11 October 2013

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, SFB 990 (DFG) (German Research Foundation, Collaborative Research Centre 990): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
In Sumatra, Indonesia, what were previously lowland rainforest landscapes have been transformed into a mosaic landscape with patches of rainforest interspersed with rubber and palm oil plantations. In this context, the project's guiding question is as follows:
- What kind of landscape mosaic optimises the ensemble of biodiversity, ecosystem functions, and economic benefit based on the synergies and trade-offs that we have to account for?

We plan to develop an integrated model of different aspects of ecological diversity, ecological functions, and socio-economic functions. We will use a MAS/LUCC modelling approach (multi-agent system models of land-use and land-cover change) because of its high suitability for the integrated modelling of ecological-economic systems. The model will be used to (1) integrate diversity, and functions and (2) scale up from the local level to the landscape level and broader scales.

Contribution to International Research
We expect the project's main result to be an integrated strategic model that links the ecological and economic processes of the transformation system to the landscape. We anticipate that we will identify the trade-offs and synergies within and between ecological and socio-economic functions, and how these trade-offs and synergies change across transformation systems, spatial configurations and scales. The project will thus contribute to the interdisciplinary research on rainforest transformation systems, which are playing an increasing role across the tropics. It will also illuminate the complex interplay between the environment and human action in a rapidly developing region that is characterised by global cash-crop cultivation.

Research Design and Methods
The purpose of our model is to provide an integrated, exploratory tool for the analysis of spatio-temporal land-use scenarios with respect to the four transition systems. We will develop a coupled multi-agent system model of land-use and land-cover change – that is, a MAS/LUCC model. More specifically, we will adopt an agent-based approach (MAS) to model the behaviour and land-use decisions of individual households within the landscape. Households interact with the landscape with the aim of maximizing their economic returns, but they also affect ecological functions such as the diversity of plants and animals, community stability, pollination, primary production, decomposition, and carbon sequestration.

A spatial grid of cells will represent the landscape and its land-use patterns (LUCC). Each grid cell will be characterised by its land-use type and will be the basic entity for modelling a range of ecological and economic functions in space and time. Possible land-use types include tropical lowland forest, jungle rubber, rubber plantation, palm oil plantation, and "other". With this approach, very different landscapes that differ in composition (proportion of land covered by the different transformation systems) and spatial configuration can be modelled. The combined agent-based and grid-based approach provides the flexibility needed to model diverse ecological and socio-economic functions. Households and landscape will be linked by assigning land to households based on their current land use. Interactions between grid cells – for example, animal movement on the ecological side and intrahousehold dynamics on the economic side – will be explicitly included. With the goal of reaching an integrated understanding of the interaction between the ecological and economic functions of landscapes, we will conduct computer experiments using realistic and artificial landscapes and households.

Preliminary Results
We developed a prototype of an integrated ecological and socioeconomic land-use change model for Jambi, Sumatra, and Indonesia. To model the relevant set of socioeconomic drivers of land-use change, we built a conceptual framework of micro-level drivers. Therefor we drew on a review of socio-economic determinants of land-use change in tropical regions. In this review we systemized the results of 70 recent empirical and theoretical studies which analyse land-use change at the farm-household level. Our review suggests that household endowments play a major role in driving land-use change. This result stresses economic growth as a strong catalyst of human induced land-use change. A refined model will test this result as one specific hypothesis.

Long-Term Land Use, Poverty Dynamics and Emission Trade-Offs (part of CRC 990)

>> Jann Lay, Katharina Trapp

Project Goals
- To analyse the long-term drivers of poverty and vulnerability in transformed forested landscapes in Indonesia.
- To estimate the greenhouse gas (GHG) implications of households' production and consumption decisions and to analyse the determinants of these emissions on the production and consumption side.
- To identify possible trade-offs between poverty reduction and the carbon intensity of households' land-use, production and consumption decisions and to develop solutions to minimize these trade-offs.

Theoretical Approaches
- Models of land-use change
- Household models
- Welfare analysis
- Carbon footprint analysis

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Local, National, Regional
- Level of Analysis: Households, Farms
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Impact Analysis, Multivariate Methods, Regression Analysis, Spatial Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Stephan Klasen, Ph.D., and Rivayani Darmawan, M.Sc., Department of Economics, University of Göttingen
- Prof. Marhawati Mappatoba, Department of Economics, Tadulaku University
- Nunung Nurartono, Faculty of Economics and Management, Bogor Agricultural University

Workshops/ Conferences
- Kick-off Workshop for the Collaborative Research Centre 990, 19–20 June 2012 in Bogor and 22–24 June 2012 in Jambi (Indonesia)
- Annual Symposium of the Collaborative Research Centre 990, 10–11 October 2013 in Bogor and Jambi (Indonesia)

Publications
- Bussolo, M., O. Godart, J. Lay, and R. Thiele (2007), The Impact of Coffee Price Changes on Rural Households in Uganda, in: *Agricultural Economics,* 37, 293–303.
- Lay, J., G. Michuki M'Mukaria, and T. Omar Mahmoud (2008), Few Opportunities, Much Desperation: The Dichotomy of Non-Agricultural Activities and Inequality in Western Kenya, in: *World Development,* 36, 2713–2732.
- Klasen, S., J. Priebe, and R. Rudolf (2013), Cash Crop Choices and Income Dynamics in Rural Areas: Evidence for Post-crisis Indonesia, in: *Agricultural Economics,* 44, 3, 349–364.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, SFB 990 (DFG) (German Research Foundation, Collaborative Research Center 990): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- What are the long-term drivers of the poverty and vulnerability of rural households in transformed forested landscapes in Sulawesi and Jambi?
- To what extent are particular income packages able to sustainably reduce the poverty and vulnerability of households?
- What impact do production decisions (forest conversion and use intensification, crop and production choices, income portfolios, and technologies) as well as consumption decisions have on households' GHG emissions?
- How can we best understand the drivers of GHG emissions and the heterogeneity among households to identify trade-offs and win-win situations between poverty reduction and emission reductions?

Contribution to International Research
The transformation of forested landscapes, poverty reduction, and GHG emissions are closely interrelated. Understanding the drivers of land-use change is key to understanding these interrelationships. However, due to shortcomings in data availability and methodological approaches, many questions remain open. Few studies have been able to analyse the dynamics of resource use in the medium to long term as this requires panel data and associated econometric techniques that control for household-specific effects, endogeneity, and initial conditions. In addition, the link between the dynamics of land and forest use and vulnerability has not been sufficiently examined. This vulnerability is also likely to differ by region and according to the crop type and the associated technologies. Therefore, this study's comparative perspective, which assesses these issues using data from smallholder cocoa farmers in Sulawesi and rubber and palm oil producers in Jambi, will be particularly useful, as will further comparisons with national cross-sectional and panel data that will assess the relevance of the findings from the study areas at a higher level of aggregation.

Research Design and Methods
To analyse the drivers of long-term poverty and vulnerability in transformed forested landscapes, this project will combine long-term panel analyses, comparative surveys from two study regions, and national data. An existing panel survey was already extended by a fourth wave of the income and expenditure panel surveys in Sulawesi beginning of 2013. Using this panel survey, we will assess these long-term drivers applying advanced panel econometric methods. In a second group of analyses, the researchers will assess the drivers of (static) income poverty using an explicitly comparative framework. This step will draw on the data from Sulawesi, the household surveys generated by subprojects of CRC 990 in Jambi, and national cross-section (SUSENAS) and panel (RAND) household surveys.

Preliminary Results
In an empirical study on land-use change at rainforest frontiers in Sulawesi Indonesia, we analysed the drivers of land-use change with a specific focus on their impact on economic development. Using a three wave household panel survey from 2001 to 2013 we applied household fixed effects to estimate first the micro level drivers of households' land use patterns, and second the determinants of household's' income portfolios. Preliminary results suggest that land-use change in the Lore Lindu region is indeed determined by price signals. Households adopting the relevant cash crops within the region are significantly better-off comparing to households cultivating staple crops. Further we found that more land is put to cash crop cultivation in regions with higher immigration.

Socio-Economic Transitions

>> Erich Gundlach

Project Goals
- To explain the long-run path of socio-economic transitions from a traditional equilibrium to a modern equilibrium.

Theoretical Approaches
- Growth theory
- Trade theory
- Development theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Long run
- Spatial Dimension: National
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents, Databases
- Data Processing: Stata
- Data Analysis: General Equilibrium Models, Regression Analysis, Simulation Methods

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Martin Paldam, School of Economics and Management, Aarhus University, Denmark
- Dr. Matthias Opfinger, Department of Economics, Leibniz University Hannover, Germany

Teaching
- Growth Empirics with Stata (MA), University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012, 2013
- Growth Theory (BA), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13
- Global Development (BA), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2013/14

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Dynamics, Economic Growth, and International Trade (DEGIT) XVII", Milan, Italy, 13–14 September 2012 (co-organised by E. Gundlach)

Publications
- Gundlach, E., and M. Opfinger (2013), Religiosity as a Determinant of Happiness, in: *Review of Development Economics,* 17, 3, 523–539.
- Gundlach, E., and A. de Vaal (2012), Technology Differences in Empirical Studies of International Trade, in: *Economics Letters,* 117, 1, 18–20.
- Gundlach, E., and M. Paldam (2013), The Religious Transition. A Long-Run Perspective, in: *Public Choice,* 156, 1–2, 105–123.
- Gundlach, E., and M. Paldam (2012), A Model of the Religious Transition, in: *Theoretical Economics Letters,* 2, 5, 419–422.
- Jensen, B. S., A. V. Prasolov, and E. Gundlach (2013), Introduction to Special Section: Reflections on Multi-sector Models of Growth and Development, in: *Review of Development Economics,* 17, 2, 163–164.
- Thönnessen, R., and E. Gundlach (2013), The Size of Human Capital Externalities, in: *Public Choice,* DOI: 10.1007/s11127-013-0080-z.

Funding
- Claussen-Simon-Stiftung (Claussen-Simon Foundation): approved
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration
2011–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
The research hypothesis is that many socio-economic and political transitions are primarily driven by a common factor, namely a persistent growth rate of per capita income. At the same time, it is obvious that all transitions also, in turn, affect the underlying growth rate of income. The basic challenge of this research agenda is twofold. First, we need to develop a framework that can help clarify how a given long-run growth rate simultaneously affects the transitions under consideration. From a theoretical point of view, such a framework separates correlation from causality. Second, we need to empirically identify the potential two-way causalities between the long-run growth rate and the various transitions.

Contribution to International Research
The research project deals with recent hypotheses that challenge modernization theory, such as the hypothesis of the primacy of institutions advanced by Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (see their survey article in the Handbook of Economic Growth 2005) or the supply-side theory of religiosity advanced by Iannacone and co-authors. Research results are expected to be published in internationally renowned journals such as *Kyklos, European Journal of Political Economy, Public Choice, and World Bank Economic Review*.

Research Design and Methods
The project addresses three topics. (1) It will consider the transition of education from a traditional low-level equilibrium to a modern high-level equilibrium, and will focus on the ambivalent role of education as a consequence and a determinant of long-run growth. (2) From a theoretical perspective, it will also consider how previously identified transition variables such as democracy and religiosity interact with each other in the process of long-run development. (3) Finally, it will consider whether the recent empirical model that has been used to claim that there is no democratic transition is likely to produce biased estimates, also when applied to other transitions. The methods the project will use include general equilibrium analysis, regression analysis, and simulation studies.

Preliminary Results
The researchers' work on the religious transition has been accepted for publication in Public Choice and in Theoretical Economics Letters. These papers show that there is robust empirical evidence for a fall in religiosity as a result of rising levels of income. The estimated transition parameter can be related to a model of the religious transition that emphasises the substitution of scientific knowledge for religious beliefs as the engine of long-run growth.

>> Research Programme 3: Selected Publications 2013

Articles in Refereed Journals

- Pellicer, Miquel and Tuomas Pekkarinen (2013), Education and Allocation of Skills in Tunisia: Evidence from an Education Reform, in: *IZA Journal of Labor & Development,* 2, 14, DOI: 10.1186/2193-9020-2–14.
- Destradi, Sandra and Erich Gundlach (2013), Modeling External Constraints on the Hegemonic Strategies of Regional Power, in: *Journal of Policy Modeling,* DOI: 10.1016/j.jpolmod.2013.10.006.
- Giese, Karsten (2013), Same-Same But Different: Chinese Traders' Perspectives on African Labor, in: *China Journal,* 69, 134–153.
- Gundlach, Erich and Albert de Vaal (2013), Look Before You Leap: Why Politicians May Have a Point to Be Hesitant About the Gains from Trade, in: *Economic Systems,* DOI: 10.1016/j.ecosys.2013.07.009.
- Gundlach, Erich and Matthias Opfinger (2013), Religiosity as a Determinant of Happiness, in: *Review of Development Economics,* 17, 3, 523–539.
- Gundlach, Erich and Rasmus Thönnessen (2013), The Size of Human Capital Externalities: Cross-Country Evidence, in: *Public Choice,* 157, 3, 671–689.
- Lay, Jann, Janosch Ondraczek and Jana Stoever (2013), Determinants of Renewable Energy Use: Solar Home Systems and Lighting-Fuel Choice in Kenya, in: *Energy Economics,* 40, 350–359.
- Lay, Jann, Michael Grimm and Renate Hartwig (2013), Electricity Access and the Performance of Micro and Small Enterprises: Evidence from West Africa, in: *European Journal of Development Research,* 25, 815–829.
- Lay, Jann, Michael Grimm, Flore Gubert, Ousman Koriko and Christophe J. Nordman (2013), Kinship Ties and Entrepreneurship in Western Africa, in: *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship,* 26, 2, 125–150.
- Lay, Jann, Ward Anseeuw, Peter Messerli, Markus Giger and Michael Taylor (2013), Creating a Public Tool to Assess and Promote Transparency in Global Land Deals: The Experience of the Land Matrix, in: *Journal of Peasant Studies,* 40, 3, 521–530.
- Marfaing, Laurence and Alena Thiel (2013), The Impact of Chinese Business on Market Entry in Ghana and Senegal, in: *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute,* 83, 4, 646–669.
- Neff, Daniel (2013), Fuzzy-Set Theoretic Applications in Poverty Research, in: *Policy and Society,* 32, 4, 319–331.
- Pohl, Birte and Peter Mulder (2013), Explaining Renewable Energy Technology Diffusion in Developing Countries, in: *Energy Economics,* 40, 285–296.
- Prediger, Sebastian, Björn Vollan and Markus Frölich (2013), Co-managing Common-Pool Resources: Do Formal Rules Have to Be Adapted to Traditional Ecological Norms?, in: *Ecological Economics,* 95, 51–62.
- Schüler-Zhou, Yun and Margot Schüller (2013), Empirical Study of Chinese Subsidiaries' Decision-Making Autonomy in Germany, in: *Asian Business and Management,* 1–30, 321–350.
- Schüler-Zhou, Yun, Margot Schüller and Michael Clement (2013), Internationalisierung chinesischer Unternehmen. Implikationen für die internationale Managementforschung (The Internationalization of Chinese Companies. Implications for International Management Research), in: *Die Betriebswirtschaft,* 73, 5, 359–376.

Contributions to Edited Volumes

- Marfaing, Laurence (2013), Mobility for Resources and Local Development in West Africa, in: McKenzie, R. L. and A. Triulzi (eds): *Long Journeys: Lives and Voices of African Migrants on the Road,* Leiden: Brill, 135–163.

Regional Powers Network

>> Joachim Betz, Gero Erdmann, Daniel Flemes, Henner Fürtig, Anja Jetschke, Robert Kappel, Hartmut Mayer, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys, Leslie Wehner and the HIGS doctoral students

Project Goals
- To maintain the international RPN research network, to extend the RPN's research topics "beyond the state", and to identify new research topics within the framework of comparative area studies.

Theoretical Approaches
- (Neo)realism, liberal institutionalism, constructivism, poststructuralism
- Theories of regional integration/cooperation, regional orders, regional security complexes
- Development of concepts and questions regarding the constitution of regions and power

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents
- Data Analysis: Hermeneutic Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Members of the RPN are situated in 60 different institutions in over 20 countries
- Additional partners, among others: Centres for Rising Powers (University of Cambridge)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "China as a New Rising Power: Partner and Rival of Germany", Workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 18 July 2012
- "Will a Regional Power Re-emerge in the Middle East? New Power Constellations after the Arab Revolts", Workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 13–14 October 2011
- Regional Powers Network, six conferences held on 15–16 September 2008, Hamburg; 6–7 April 2009, Rio de Janeiro; 8–9 October 2009, Paris; 6–7 September 2010, Stellenbosch; 8–10 December 2011, Hamburg; 19–20 September 2013, Rio de Janeiro

Knowledge Transfer
- "Neue Führungsmächte/Ankerländer (New Leading Powers/Anchor Countries)", workshop SWP, DIE, GIGA, Berlin, 12–13 March 2008 (R. Kappel, D. Nolte, M. Prys)
- "New Rising Powers", expert workshop, Federal Foreign Office and GIGA, 1 March 2012 (R. Kappel, D. Nolte)

Publications
- Destradi, S. (2012), *Indian Foreign and Security Policy in South Asia: Regional Power Strategies,* London: Routledge.
- Flemes, D., and A. Cooper (eds) (2013), Foreign Policy Strategies of Emerging Powers in a Multipolar World, in: *Third World Quarterly* (Special Issue), 34, 6.
- Flemes, D., D. Nabers, and D. Nolte (eds) (2012), *Macht, Führung und Regionale Ordnung, Weltregionen im Wandel,* Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Nel, P., D. Nabers, and M. Hanif (eds) (2012), Regional Powers and Global Redistribution, in: *Global Society* (Special Issue), 26, 3.
- Prys, M. (2013), India and South Asia in the world: on the embeddedness of regions in the international system and its consequences for regional powers, in: *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific,* 13, 2, 267–299.
- Prys, M. (2012): *Redefining Regional Power in International Relations: Indian and South African Perspectives,* London: Routledge.

Funding
- Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, Leibniz Wettbewerb (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation, Leibniz Competition), 2008–2010: approved
- GIGA
- Fritz Thyssen Stiftung (Fritz Thyssen Foundation), workshop funding: approved

Duration 2008–2014

Project Description

Research Questions

The Regional Powers Network is guided by one overarching research question: How is the rise of actors such as Brazil, China, India or South Africa influencing regional and global power shifts?

In its ongoing research, the RPN network is seeking to focus its research agenda. Questions regarding the "differentiation of power", from an actor-centred as well as from a structural perspective, will now come to the fore. To date, the RPN's research has been oriented towards states. The effects of globalisation and crises, however, require the analysis of new or strengthened actor constellations at the national, international as well as transnational levels.

Contribution to International Research

The project has successfully established a network of leading European and extra-European research institutions, all of which demonstrate a specific competence in the fields of international relations and area studies with regard to non-European regions (Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East). Furthermore, the project is contributing to the development and empirical application of theories in the field of international relations, from the viewpoint of comparative area studies, through numerous publications and conference papers.

Research Design and Methods

The topic "regional powers" can only be meaningfully analysed using divergent explanatory approaches within international relations. The RPN research network is defined by the research topic, a shared research question, and its linkages to specific research traditions (international relations, area studies), but not by a joint theoretical approach to international relations. We assume that within such a large research network, a pluralism of theory and methodology offers the best possibilities for generating knowledge.

Preliminary Results

The RPN organisers held six international RPN conferences between 2008 and 2013. As a result of these conferences, the network members' awareness of demographic, economic, and political shifts in influence between established and emergent powers has increased significantly. The participating researchers have analysed emergent regional powers across policy fields and regions – particularly in Asia, but also in Latin America, Africa, and the Middle East. This interaction and collaboration shall continue through further conferences and joint projects.

Regional Security Governance for Afghanistan

>> Sandra Destradi

Project Goals
- To assess the foreign and security policy of regional actors towards Afghanistan.
- To generate broader hypotheses on the interplay between regional powers and extraregional actors in the field of (regional) security governance.
- To assess the impact of overlapping security governance mechanisms on conflict management activities and outcomes.

Theoretical Approaches
- Theories on security governance, regional orders, regional security complexes
- Peace and conflict studies

Research Design
- Comparative Design: inter-regional and cross-regional comparison
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Cross-regional
- Time Dimension: cross-section study
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Regions
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents (for the part on South Asia: official government documents: speeches, statements, etc.; publications by major think tanks; reports from the main national newspapers), Interviews during fieldwork in New Delhi in October-November 2013, Databases (Lexis Nexis)
- Data Processing: Atlas.ti
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Institute of Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA), New Delhi, India

Teachings
- “Das Ende von Bürgerkriegen (Ending Civil Wars)”, University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2013
- “Regionalmächte in der internationalen Politik (Regional Powers in International Politics)”, University of Göttingen, Summer Semester 2012
- “Mediation in Bürgerkriegen (Mediation in Civil Wars)”, University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (with J. Vüllers)
- “Innerstaatliche Gewaltkonflikte in Südasien (Intrastate Wars in South Asia)”, IFSH, University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/12

Workshops/ Conferences
- “Regional Dynamics and Afghanistan in 2014”, international workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 26–27 May 2014
- “Regional Security for Afghanistan? The Implications of Overlapping Regions”, Lecture at Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India, 25 November 2013
- “Overlapping Regional Security Governance Mechanisms: An Alternative Interpretation of the Difficulties of Regional Cooperation for Afghanistan”, IDSA, New Delhi, India, 8 November 2013
- “Making Sense of Afghanistan’s Neighbourhood: A Research Agenda”, IDSA, New Delhi, India, 13 March 2013
- “Regional Powers’ Strategies: India’s Afghanistan Policy”, Chinese Foreign Affairs University (CFAU), Beijing, China, 17 March 2013
- “Regional Powers and Regional Security”, European University Institute (EUI), Fiesole, 31 May 2013

Publications
- Destradi, S. (2014), India: A Reluctant Partner for Afghanistan, in: *The Washington Quarterly,* 37, 2, 103–117.
- Destradi, S., and E. Gundlach (2014), Modeling External Constraints on the Hegemonic Strategies of Regional Powers, in: *Journal of Policy Modeling,* 36, 1, 6–25.
- Destradi, S., N. Godehardt, and A. Frank (2012), *Der ISAF-Rückzug aus Afghanistan: Wahrnehmung und Reaktion regionaler Mächte* (The ISAF Withdrawal from Afghanistan: Perceptions and Reactions of Regional Powers), GIGA Focus Global, 1, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Destradi, S. (2012), *Indian Foreign and Security Policy in South Asia: Regional Power Strategies,* London: Routledge.
- Destradi, S. (2010), Regional Powers and Their Strategies: Empire, Hegemony, and Leadership, in: *Review of International Studies,* 36, 4, 903–930.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation), grant to support the initiation of international collaboration: approved.
- GIGA

Duration
2013–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
Ahead of the withdrawal of the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) from Afghanistan, which is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2014, the international community started calling for “regional solutions” to the conflict. Regional actors are expected to take over responsibility for Afghanistan as the US and international troops withdraw. However, since a number of regions with their distinctive security governance mechanisms overlap in Afghanistan, the development of regional solutions is difficult. Against this background, the project addresses the following questions:
- What impact do the policies pursued by an external great power, the United States, have on the policies on the regional actors India, Pakistan, China and Iran?
- Why does the overlap of different regional security governance mechanisms hamper regional cooperation?

Contribution to International Research
In the recent past, regions and regional security have generated a great deal of academic interest both in the fields of IR and peace and conflict research, but few studies have explicitly addressed the role of dominant regional states in the management of security within their regions. This study addresses this gap and takes into account the peculiarity of Afghanistan’s position at the crossroads of different regions by arguing that the problems of regional cooperation can be best explained by taking into account the overlap of those dynamics.

Research Design and Methods
The analysis focuses, in a first step, on the development of hypotheses on the impact of external shocks on mechanisms of regional security governance and on the interplay of those mechanisms. These hypotheses are tested for the South Asian region with reference to the announcement of the ISAF troop withdrawal. The analysis is based on expert interviews, the qualitative analysis of official documents and of secondary sources as well as on process tracing. In a second step, the project provides an assessment of the regional security governance mechanisms of the regions that overlap in Afghanistan (South Asia, Central Asia, East Asia and the Middle East). This part of the analysis is carried out in close cooperation with scholars from GIGA’s partner institute IDSA.

Contested Leadership in International Relations: Power Politics in South America, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa

>> Daniel Flemes, Georg Strüver, Hannes Ebert

Project Goals
- To identify the challenges emerging from regional leadership projects in South America, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa.
- To examine the impact of the People's Republic of China and other external powers on these dynamics and thus contribute to a better understanding of potentially conflictive regional relations and their impact on international politics.

Theoretical Approaches
- Neorealism, neoclassical realism
- Liberal institutionalist approaches, e.g. cooperative hegemony
- Constructivism, e.g. leadership theories, discursive and consensual hegemony

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Documents (foreign policy documents)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, QCA

Cooperation Partners
- Latin America: BRICS Policy Center; Fundacao Getúlio Vargas; Pontifica Universidade Catolica (all: Rio de Janeiro); Pontifica Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá; Universidade de Brasília; Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas
- Africa: South African Institute of International Affairs, Johannesburg; University of Johannesburg; Capetown University
- Asia: China Foreign Affairs University, Beijing; Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses, New Delhi; Institute of Strategic Studies, Islamabad; Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi; Tongji University, Shanghai
- Europe and US: Oxford University, Georgetown University

Teachings
- "Deutsche Außenpolitik in der neuen Weltordnung (German Foreign Policy in the Global Order)", University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (D. Flemes)
- "Südamerikas Mächte: Brasilien, Argentinien, Chile, Kolumbien, Venezuela (Power Politics in South America)", University of Hamburg, Summer Semester 2012 (D. Flemes)
- "Außenpolitische Strategien im multipolaren System" (Foreign Policy Strategies in the Multipolar System), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/12 (D. Flemes))

Workshops/ Conferences
- "A New Scramble for Africa? The Rush for Energy Resources Southwards of the Sahara", ECAS-Conference, Lissabon, 27–29 June 2013
- "China and Latin America: Strategic Partners in a Multipolar World?", Bogotá, Colombia, 3–4 September 2012
- "Strategic Reactions of Secondary Powers in South America", Caracas, Venezuela, 1 December 2011
- "Power Politics in South America: Brazil and its Contenders", Joint IPSA/ECPR Conference, Sao Paulo, 17 February 2011

Knowledge Transfer
- "Comparing Soft Power Projection: the Cases of Brazil and Ethiopia", Ethiopian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Addis Abeba, 12 November 2012
- "Brazil's Responsibility while Protecting Initiative", Federal Foreign Office, Berlin, 20 June 2012
- "Reaktion der Nachbarstaaten auf Brasiliens Aufstieg (The Reactions of Brazil's Neighbour States to Its Rise)", GIGA Forum, GIGA, Hamburg, 18 April 2012

Publications
- Ebert, H., D. Flemes, and G. Strüver (2012), *The Politics of Contestation in Asia: How Japan and Pakistan Deal with their Neighbors' Rise,* GIGA Working Paper, 206, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Flemes, D. (2013), Network Powers: Strategies of Change in the Multipolar System, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 34, 6, 1016–1036.
- Flemes, D., and L. Wehner (2013), Reacciones Estratégicas en Sudamérica al Ascenso de Brasil, in: *Foreign Affairs Latinoamérica,* 13, 3, 4, 28–37.
- Flemes, D., and L. Wehner (2012), *Drivers of Strategic Contestation in South America,* GIGA Working Paper, 207, Hamburg: GIGA.
- Flemes, D., and T. Wojczewski (2011), Contested Leadership in South Asia and South America, in: *Asian Journal of Latin American Studies,* 24, 1, 1–27.
- Flemes, D., D. Nabers, and D. Nolte (eds) (2012), *Macht, Führung und Regionale Ordnung, Theorien und Forschungsperspektiven (Power, Leadership and the Regional Order),* Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Strüver, G. (2012), *What Friends Are Made Of: Bilateral Linkages and Domestic Drivers of Foreign Policy Alignment with China,* GIGA Working Paper, 209, Hamburg: GIGA.

Funding
- Volkswagen Stiftung, Schumpeter Fellowship (Volkswagen Foundation): approved

Duration
2010–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- Does regional acceptance or contestation influence regional powers' chances of success in global affairs? In short, does regional support matter?
- How do regional orders shape the global strategies of regional powers?
- How do the relations between regional and external players (the US, China, Russia, the EU and Germany) impact regional power distribution?
- Which factors motivate secondary powers to accept or contest regional powers' leadership claims? In short, why do followers (not) follow?

Contribution to International Research
First, the interregional comparison of the relations between regional and secondary powers shall explain why potential followers tend to contest regional leadership. The proposed study will focus on both the leaders and the followers (or contesters of leadership) and will contribute to the theoretical IR debate on the sources of leadership. Second, the research project will add to the existing knowledge on the nexus of regional and global orders. It will analyse the global impact of secondary powers' regional strategies (acceptance or contestation) using a comparative perspective. A direct or indirect impact of secondary powers' foreign policies at the global-system level would support the thesis of a multiregional world order. This view would be compatible with predictions of a systemic transformation into a "non-polar world" (Haass 2008) or a system of "multi-multipolarity" (Friedberg 1994). Third, the interregional comparison will disclose if and how different regional environments shape regional powers' global strategies. In addition to cultural and historical factors, economic interconnectedness and regional security settings will be the focus of the analysis.

Research Design and Methods
We will compare three dyads in regional relations: India vs. Pakistan, Brazil vs. Venezuela, and South Africa vs. Nigeria. Additionally, we will analyse the bilateral relations of each of the regional and secondary powers with extraregional powers – namely, the US, China, Russia, the EU, and Germany – in order to capture the external influences on the regional relationship patterns. Conversely, we will explore the impact of bilateral relationships with external powers and regional patterns (cooperation, competition, conflict) on the global order. Those factors are assumed to be determinants of the actors' foreign policies and, hence, of the relationships between regional and secondary powers – which are marked by different types of "contested leadership" – will be the focus of the comparison: resources, interests, strategies and perceptions of foreign policy.

Preliminary Results
The preliminary results identify the following drivers as the decisive variables in the development of secondary powers' foreign policy strategies:
- A secondary power's choice of regional strategy is primarily dependent on structural drivers such as its relative position in the regional hierarchy. Direct, usually military contestation strategies correlate with a relatively symmetric distribution of power and resources between primary and secondary power. In contrast, indirect forms of contestation/opposition are predominantly observed in regions marked high polarity in terms of political and economic resources.
- In relatively peaceful regional orders (e.g. security communities), domestic actors exert more influence on the regional strategy of a secondary power than in regions characterised by violent conflicts.
- The central explanatory factors for secondary powers' contestation strategies in the realm of foreign policy are as follows: (a) diverging interests between the primary and secondary powers at the regional and bilateral level, (b) the regional power's unwillingness to provide public goods, and (c) the regional power's non-transparent defence and arms policies.

Contested World Orders

>> Kristina Hahn, Wolfgang Hein, Detlef Nolte, Miriam Prys

Project Goals
- To analyse the increasing influence of “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organisations and their contestation of international institutions across several fields of global governance (climate change, finance, health etc.).
- To develop a database on international institutions’ forms of authority and the corresponding legitimacy claims addressed to these institutions by “rising powers” and non-governmental organisations.

Theoretical Approaches
- Analytical eclecticism
- Neoclassical and constructivist approaches
- Power transition theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Interregional Comparison, Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Global
- Level of Analysis: Organisations, Countries
- Number of Cases: Medium-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Documents (official documents from governments, international organisations and NGOs), Databases (a common database on international organisations will be created)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Michael Zürn, Dr. Martin Binder, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin (WZB)
- Prof. Dr. Harald Müller, Prof. Dr. Klaus-Dieter Wolf, Peace Research Institute Frankfurt (HSFK)
- Prof. Dr. Liesbeth Hooghe and Prof. Dr. Gary Marks, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- Prof. Dr. Jonas Tallberg, University of Stockholm

Teachings
- “Strukturwandel in den internationalen Beziehungen” (Structural Change in International Relations), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2012/13 (W. Hein)
- “Außenpolitische Strategien in der neuen Multipolarität” (Foreign Policy Strategies under the New Multipolarity), University of Hamburg, Winter Semester 2011/12 (D. Nolte)
- “Regional Powers and Regional Organisations”, ECPR Summer School on Latin American Politics, University of Lisbon, Summer 2012 (D. Nolte)

Workshops/ Conferences
- “De-centering Regional Power: The Role of Non-State Actors in Global Power Shifts”, 5th RPN Conference, GIGA, Hamburg, 8–10 December 2011 (M. Prys, D. Nolte)
- Workshop on Databases on International Organisations, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin, 24 February 2012 (K. Hahn)
- “The Role of Companies from Emerging Countries in Global Norm-Building on Sustainability”, Workshop, GIGA, Hamburg, 25–25 May 2013 (W. Hein)

Publications
- Flemes, D., D. Nabers, and D. Nolte (eds) (2012), *Macht, Führung und Regionale Ordnung, Theorien und Forschungsperspektiven* (Power, Leadership and the Regional Order: Theories and Research Perspectives), Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Hahn, K, and A. Holtzscheiter (2013), The Ambivalence of Advocacy: Representation and Contestation in Global NGO Advocacy for Child Workers and Prostitutes, in: *Global Society,* 27, 4, 497–520.
- Hein, W., and S. Moon (2013), *Informal Norms in Global Governance: Human Rights, Intellectual Property Rules and Access to Medicines,* Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Prys, M. (2012), *Redefining Regional Power in International Relations: Indian and South African Perspectives,* London and New York: Routledge.

Funding
- Pakt für Forschung und Innovation, Leibniz Wettbewerb (Joint Initiative for Research and Innovation, Leibniz Competition): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions
- What are the implications of the increasing influence of “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organisations and their contestation of international institutions for a “new world order”?
- How do “rising powers” and transnational non-governmental organisations contest international institutions?
- What differences and similarities do these contestations demonstrate, particularly with regard to underlying norms and concepts of legitimacy?
- What are the repercussions of these contestations for the authority of international institutions?

Contribution to International Research

While the increasing influence of transnational non-governmental organisations and the new “rising powers” has been the subject of academic research, there are very few systematic analyses which take both phenomena into account and study their interrelation. Those studies which focus on the role of states in international politics neglect the importance of non-governmental actors. On the other hand, studies on transnational non-governmental organisations do not pay attention to the new “rising powers”.
The project aims to unite these two separate discourses and to study the interactions between the two different sets of actors. In particular, the project focuses on the demands and criticism that the “rising powers” and the non-governmental organisations address at international institutions, examining their commonalities and differences. The project thus aims to systematically assess the forms of contestation addressed at international institutions, as well as their implications for these institutions’ authority and legitimacy.

Research Design and Methods

The project will unite the expertise of the three Leibniz institutes involved, drawing upon their previous work on the authority of international organisations (WZB), the legitimacy claims of NGOs (HSFK), and the “rising powers” (GIGA). As a first step, the project will develop its basic concepts for a joint publication on the “multiple sites of resistance” against international institutions. In addition to the topic of resistance, the publication will also investigate the authority and legitimacy of these institutions. As a second step, the researchers will create a database that systematically assesses forms of authority and corresponding contestations and legitimacy claims over time and in different fields.

Preliminary Results

In the framework of the project a high-ranking edited volume will be published, under the guidance of the cooperation partners from the WZB. The contributions to that volume will focus on the preferences and strategies of NGOs and BRICS states in eight different policy fields ranging from security to issues related to welfare. In their theoretical framework paper to the volume the authors from the WZB highlight three research questions: a) the extent of support or opposition of NGOs and BRICS for the aggregation of political authority by international institutions, b) their support or opposition to the institutionalisation of liberal policies and principles, and d) the distance of the actors’ preferences from the institutional status quo.

Based on a (quantitative or qualitative) statement analysis, the case studies will reveal similarities and differences among and within the two sets of actors under scrutiny. The GIGA contributes two case studies in the policy fields of climate finance, health governance and an additional case study on regional governance. The GIGA researchers currently develop the empirical datasets for these contributions. Preliminary results indicate that in the climate case, rising countries act defensively and often avoid further commitments, while in security they act offensively and claim more authority. In addition, in some policy fields Southern NGOs’ viewpoints may come closer to their government’s positions than to those of their Northern NGO allies.

Climate Change Mitigation and Poverty Reduction (CliMiP) – Trade-Offs or Win-Win Situations? (Work Package 3: International Relations)

>> Miriam Prys

Project Goals
- To map and explain the development of, shifts within, and the impact of the discourse on the relationship between emerging countries' mitigation commitments and the goal of poverty eradication in these states.

Theoretical Approaches
- Realist constructivism

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Documents (governmental documents, documentation of climate negotiations)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis, process-tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, Graduate School of Public Administration and Public Policy (EGAP), Mexico; Energy Research Centre (ERC), University of Cape Town, South Africa
- School of Development Economics, National Institute of Development Administration (NIDA), Bangkok, Thailand; Public Policy Studies Institute, Chiang Mai University (CMU), Thailand

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Shifting Power, Shifting Norms? A Study of Developing Country Mitigation in the International Climate Regime", ISA 2013, Toronto, 26–29 March 2013

Publications
- Balsiger, J., and M. Prys (forthcoming), Regional Agreements in International Environmental Politics, in: *International Environmental Agreements*.

Funding
- VolkswagenStiftung (Volkswagen Foundation), Compagnia di San Paolo and Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (Joint Research Programme "Europe and Global Challenges"): approved

Duration
2012–2015

Project Description

Research Questions

The global climate regime is often seen as site for redistributive strives between industrialised countries and the developing world. By the latter, the mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions is associated with lack of growth and historical injustice. The former are, above all, concerned about their economic competitiveness. These arguments suggest a rigid zero-sum game mentality on both sides that has so far prevented the emergence of 'feasible mitigation policies'. Since the Bali Summit, however, this long-standing rift seems to softened and deliberation about developing country mitigation has become more common – a new norm may even have emerged, and we argue that strictly rationalist explanations do not suffice to understand these shifts.

The key question of this project is thus whether – and if so how – the discourse on developing country mitigation and its relation to poverty eradication shifted. We trace the emergence of a potential norm of developing country mitigation as well as the associated erosion of the strict division between Annex-I and Non-Annex I countries. While there is much descriptive work on these developments, the project approaches the subject from a 'realist constructivist' perspective and puts these potentially far-reaching changes into the context of an assumed redistribution of power in global governance as a whole. Keeping these important structural shifts in mind, we particularly discuss the 'agency' behind this potentially highly significant shift in prescribed responsibilities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Contribution to International Research

Climate change is one of the most pressing global challenges. While historically it was industrialised countries that produced the majority of greenhouse gas emissions, the accelerated growth of some emerging countries has increased their absolute and relative shares. Simultaneously, many emerging countries still face major poverty eradication challenges.

The project's main contribution will be to identify the potential emergence of win-win solutions that allow for economic growth and development while also addressing mitigation targets. This is an important subject for policy and research in international relations, as the issue of poverty eradication and mitigation has most frequently been viewed as dividing developing and developed countries according to "historical responsibilities", the "right to emit", and "fair global carbon shares". This project instead argues that we – at least – also need to take into consideration how ideas and norms about solutions to complex problems of global governance emerge in international negotiations and whose discourse becomes dominant by which means.

Research Design and Methods

Various theories emerging from IR offer contradictory outlooks regarding feasible mitigation actions for developing countries as an outcome of global negotiations. We adopt an approach which is best described as "realist constructivism to analyse the emergence of the previously unthinkable notion that at least some developing countries have responsibility in climate change mitigation and that this may be constructed in a way that is 'sustainable', i.e. that allows for poverty eradication aims to be reached at the same time. Rather, however, than focussing on acts of argumentation and persuasion alone, we also ask who holds the power (for example, to shape the negotiation agenda and to frame key terms and understandings), what strategies are used by the powerful and the powerless across time and thus complement constructivist research with 'realist' elements.

We will analyse statements of state representatives at the UNFCCC meetings, but also for domestic audiences, minutes of, for instance, working group meetings and round table discussions during and in preparation of Summit meetings, as well as public relation and other campaigning materials by the most important non-state actors and complement this analysis by interviewing experts and decision-makers as a form of triangulation. This will allow us to track how specific terms, concepts and solutions take on a particular salience at given points in time and how they are spread, for instance, geographically or by actor type. We will identify actor groups with similar arguments and interests, and we will temporally sequence the arguments on developing country mitigation. Furthermore, we will look at the impact of the various "frames" or "discourses" on the actual outcomes of negotiations.

Crossing the Lines: Complexity at the Nexus of Regional and Global Governance

>> Miriam Prys

Project Goals
- To examine the causes and implications of this governance complexity, i.e. institutions, actors, norms, and discourses to regulate particular policy challenges that cut across scales and give rise to outcomes that are hard to control and predict.
- To compare these processes across three policy fields: climate change adaptation, maritime security, and international financial regulation.

Theoretical Approaches
- Regime Theory
- Complexity Theory
- Institutional Interplay

Research Design
- Comparative Design across Issue-Areas
- Time Dimension: Cross-Sectional Study, Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Unit of Analysis: Individuals (e.g. experts), Countries, Institutions
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis, Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Questionnaires, Interviews, Participant Observation Narratives, Databases on International institutions and Agreements
- Data Processing MAXQDA, Network Analysis software to be specified
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Discourse Analysis, Equilibrium Analysis, Network Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Jörg Balsiger, University of Geneva
- Dr. Fariborz Zelli, University of Lund
- James Hollway, University of Oxford
- Prof. Dr. Frederik Söderbaum, University of Gothenburg

Publications
- Balsiger, Jörg, and Miriam Prys (forthcoming), Regional Agreements in International Environmental Politics, in: *International Environmental Agreements.*
- Prys, Miriam (2013), India and South Asia in the world: on the embeddedness of regions in the international system and its consequences for regional powers, in: *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific,* 13, 2, 267–299.

Funding
- GIGA
- European Research Council (ERC), Starting Grant: in preparation

Duration
2014–2019

Project Description

Research Questions

Governance complexity implies that regulatory attempts to solve a particular policy problem transcend jurisdictional, spatial, and analytical levels. A complex network with uncertain impacts upon, for instance, policy outcomes, the effectiveness of particular institutional mechanisms and the underlying constellation of power(s) is created. In this context, the research project seeks to answer the following questions:
- Which patterns emerge in cross-scale governance complexity beyond the state in selected issue?
- Which variables can we identify that give rise to such specific patterns?
- How can the diverse policy outcomes of governance complexity be categorized and explained?

Contribution to International Research

Even though all significant actors in world politics (ranging from states to a broad set of non-state actors) are entangled in multiple cooperative arrangements, there is very little empirical and theoretical knowledge on the causes and implications of (potentially) growing governance complexity. This complexity implies that these actors, above all states, are members of overlapping, nested, simultaneous, and parallel institutions and need to "navigate" an increasingly densely populated network spanning various levels and dimensions. Uncertainty about how this effects the efficiency of institutions, empowers particular (groups of) actors, and is beneficial or detrimental overall in the attempt to resolve important challenges to humanity adds to the urgency of this research proposal.

The project makes the following key contributions. (1) Challenge the "level-neutral" assumptions of the literature on "regime" (or other forms of) complexity. For instance, in the literature on institutional interplay, any type of interplay beyond the nation-state is considered to be "horizontal" interplay. Previous research on the entanglement of regional powers in both global and regional networks shows, however, that actors and institutions are embedded in multiple structural layers (global, regional, inter-regional); that each of the these layers is potentially characterised by different norms, discourses, and practices; and that different modes of interaction among players on the particular fields prevail. (2) Contest how much of the literature has taken either a structural or – more frequently – an agent-focused rationalist approach to the study of complexity. Again, while this has taken scholarship further in terms of assessing particular mechanisms of institutional interplay, the argument here is that we need to understand governance complexity as a result of the co-constitution of structure and agency. We lack knowledge about what actually happens to actors when simultaneous governance of issues across scales occurs and about how, in turn, these actors intentionally or unintentionally impact the particular structure of complexity. (3) Close gaps in this research related to the analysis and explanation of variance across issue areas remain – for instance, in terms of global or regional institutional clustering in the network of governance arrangements. By making the comparative aspect of the project central, the project complements existing research further.

Research Design and Methods

Multi-method designs have only recently received more attention in political science at large; most studies on governance complexity are qualitative single-issue or parallel non-integrated case studies or large-n studies, though the latter are the minority. This project will use two complementary methodological approaches to combine different theoretical perspectives. It will look at institutional relations across issue areas and time with the help of a (mostly) quantitative network analysis and it will conduct in-depth analyses of particular cases with the help of a qualitative approach, in order to approximate the co-constitution of governance complexity through structures and agents. Three issue areas have been chosen for the first phase of descriptive mapping along the regional–global nexus: climate change adaptation and in particular water management, financial governance, and maritime security.

Status Passage and Roles in Regional and Global Orders: The Rise and Interaction of the IBSA States with the EU and US

>> Leslie Wehner

Project Goals
- To study the dynamics of status constitution and status-change of IBSA countries (India, Brazil, and South Africa) from 1990 to the present.
- To analyse trajectories of rising of IBSA countries in terms of their emergence first to regional powerhood and then their achievements and/or aspirations to global powerhood.
- To build an innovative theoretical framework to study status and status change.
- To offer a consistent method to study empirically processes and trajectories of rising.

Theoretical Approaches
- Role Theory

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Retrospective Study
- Spatial Dimension: Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: States
- Number of Cases: Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Narratives, Documents (governmental documents, press declarations, national and international newspapers)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Analytical Narratives, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Dr. Lisbeth Aggestam, Gothenburg University, Sweden
- Prof. Dr. Sebastian Harnisch, University of Heidelberg
- Dr. Hartmut Mayer, University of Oxford
- Dr. Cameron Thies, Arizona State University

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Role Theory as a Theory for International Political Economy", ISA Annual Convention, Panel: Foreign Policy Role Enactment and Role Transition, Toronto, 26–29 March 2014 (L. Wehner, C. Thies)
- "Status, roles and regional power in South America", ISA - Catalytic Workshop on Role Theory, San Francisco, 2 April 2013 (L. Wehner)
- "Prescribing, altercasting or imposing roles: The US expectations on regional powers", ISA Annual Convention, San Francisco, 3–6 April 2013 (L. Wehner, M.Prys)

Publications
- Wehner, L. (2014), Role Expectations as Foreign Policy: South American Secondary Powers' Expectations of Brazil As A Regional Power, in: *Foreign Policy Analysis, forthcoming.*
- Wehner, L. (2011), Roles and Actions of Leadership: Brazil and Its Others, in: D. Nabers and N. Godehardt (eds), *Regional Power and Regional Orders,* London: Routledge, 137–154.
- Wehner, L., and C. Thies (2014), Role Theory, Narratives and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Role, in: *International Studies Review, forthcoming.*

Funding
- GIGA
- European Research Council (ERC), Starting Grant: in preparation

Duration
2014–2020

Project Description

Research Questions
This project investigates the process through which India, Brazil and South Africa reach new statuses in the regional and international order in their interactions with the US and the EU as well-established powers. The focus of the project is on how IBSA countries have experienced processes of "status-passages" since 1990, and on how they have moved from one to another status position in their regions and the international system, that is, to regional and global powerhood respectively. Thus, this research project seeks to answer the following key questions:
- How do IBSA states reach new status positions in the regional and international order?
- What roles did/do IBSA states conceive, locate and perform to reach regional and global powerhood?
- How did/do the US and the EU as relevant others react to the processes of emergence of IBSA countries?

Contribution to International Research
The project contributes to the research agenda on emerging powers and to the enhancement of role theory in international relations scholarship. Researching the process of status-passages of IBSA is of central importance as the world is beginning to develop a multipolar structure. In fact, the rise of new regional powers may be detrimental to the exclusive position of the US and its partner the EU in world politics. Moreover, the rise of IBSA is also interesting as they show variance in terms of their regional environments, their level of recognition from and relations with well-established powers, and the roles these new emerging powers perform in their regions and internationally. The project also develops an innovative theoretical framework to understand how new status positions are achieved through the use of both material and social factors. It uses role theory to assess how a master status position -such as minor power, regional power, intermediate power and great power- is affected by national role conceptions and role expectations of others as parts of the dynamic process of status-passages.

Research Design and Methods
The project uses content analysis and process tracing to detect the critical junctures that indicate the opening of a status-passage at both regional and international environments, and to assess how the US and the EU tried to socialize the IBSA states within these passages. The material used to conduct qualitative text analysis are a diverse set of foreign policy statements from the IBSA states, the US, and the EU as well as interviews with top governmental officials and experts of the IBSA states, the US and the EU. The project will specifically use both the analytical and interpretative variants of narratives in order to falsify and/or substantiate the causal process observations posed, which capture processes and causes of status change in the regional and international system.

Is Regionalism Contagious? Regional Integration and the Diffusion of Institutions and Policies

>> Anja Jetschke, Tobias Lenz

Project Goals
- To develop and continuously update a database on the formal organisational structure of regional organisations across five world regions.
- To test a series of theoretically derived hypotheses on the diffusion of institutions and policies among regional organisations.

Theoretical Approaches
- Diffusion
- Theories of international relations
- Causal mechanisms of diffusion (learning, social learning, mimicry, competition, soft coercion)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Cross-Regional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: Regional
- Level of Analysis: Organisations
- Number of Cases: Large-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Databases (Comparative Regional Organisations Project; to be established)
- Data Processing: STATA
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Network Analysis, Regression Analysis

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Tanja Börzel, Prof. Dr. Thomas Risse, "The Transformative Power of Europe" Kolleg-Forschergruppe, Free University of Berlin
- Prof. Dr. Liesbet Hooghe, Free University of Amsterdam

Teachings
- "Comparative Regionalism Research in International Relations", Summer Semester 2012, University of Göttingen (A. Jetschke)
- "Regionalorganisationen und internationale Sicherheit (Regional Organisations and International Security)", Summer Semester 2013, University of Göttingen (A. Jetschke)

Workshops/ Conferences
- "How Does Europe Diffuse? – Comparative Regionalism and the Causal Mechanisms of Diffusion", "The Transformative Power of Europe" Kolleg-Forschergruppe, Berlin, 18 November 2010 (A. Jetschke)

Publications
- Jetschke, A., and T. Lenz (2013), Does Regionalism Diffuse? A New Research Agenda for the Study of Regional Organisations, in: *Journal of European Public Policy,* 20, 4, 626–637.
- Jetschke, A., and T. Lenz (2011), Vergleichende Regionalismusforschung und Diffusion: Eine neue Forschungsagenda (Comparative Regionalism Research and Diffusion: A New Research Agenda), in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift,* 52, 3, 448–474.
- Jetschke, A., and P. Murray (2012), Diffusing Regional Integration: The EU and Southeast Asia, in: *West European Politics,* 35, 1, 174–191.

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation

Duration
2014–2016

Project Description

Research Questions
- What determines the institutional design of regional organisations?
- How can we explain similarities in institutional design among regional organisations?

Contribution to International Research

The existing research on regional integration and regional institutions has generally neglected diffusion processes among regional organisations This has occurred for two reasons:

First, most regional integration studies have focused on explaining the differences in de facto regional integration among regional organisation schemes. Even where they have noticed the similarities in institutional design or referred to attempts by member states to replicate other organisations' successes (in most cases the EU), they have gone on to explain different outcomes by arguing that existing formal institutions do not implement the same rules for the regulation of integration. The dependent variable in these studies has often been practices or level of integration and not formal institutional design.

A second reason is methodological: For analytic purposes, the approaches outlined above have conceptualised regional organisations as phenomena that do not influence each other and can therefore be treated independently. Studying diffusion requires us to abandon this view and to conceive of regional organisations as phenomena that are interdependent and in which the decision makers (usually governments) decide upon institutional design based on the decisions about institutional design made in other regional organisations. These decisions are frequently based on decision-makers' perceptions of other regional organisations or these organisations' model character. In contrast to the existing research, this research project therefore argues that as a first step, it is necessary to conceptualise regional organisations as potentially interdependent phenomena and to develop a survey instrument that will measure potential similarities and allow for the analysis of diffusion patterns among these organisations. This project will systematically collect data on the formal organisational characteristics of regional organisations in order to (1) determine how similar these organisations actually are, (2) test diffusion hypotheses in order to explore what drives the adoption of similar institutional designs, and (3) assess which aspects of institutional design are most susceptible to international diffusion.

Research Design and Methods

In a first step, a dataset will be developed that allows us to describe and analyse in detail the pattern of emergence and institutional development of regional organisations, as indicated by their agreements. This step requires the set-up of a simple (monadic) regional organisation dataset. In a second step, our different hypotheses will be tested. This step requires the development of a second (dyadic) dataset, comparing any two agreements of regional organisations over time. Both datasets together then establish an overall database for regional organisations.

We will combine the following quantitative methods for the analysis of regional organisations and their contexts: a quantitative content analysis of formal policy documents – international agreements, founding documents of institutions and their amendments – will be undertaken with a structured questionnaire. We will use statistical methods for the descriptive analysis of CROPDA I. The inferential analysis of our dataset CROPDA II will be done using spatial statistics, which specifically address issues of autocorrelation caused by spatially or otherwise related units (Anselin et al. 2004; Beck et al. 2006; Franzese and Hays 2008a).

The Constitutional Quality of Regional Governance. The Case of South America and UNASUR

>> Detlef Nolte, Leslie Wehner

Project Goals
- To analyse the evolution of South America's regional governance architecture as a process in which a plurality of actors establish institutional arrangements, practices, and foundational normative structures in a formerly non-constitutional realm (= constitutionalisation).
- To explore the constitutional quality of regional governance structures in South America by combining the analytical dimension of constitutionalisation with the analytical dimension of contestation regarding the content, interpretation and application of norms, both within the regional context and in differentiating the regional realm from the global realm.

Theoretical Approaches
- Analytical Eclectic Approach
- Social Constructivism (the influence of norms)
- Neorealism
- Global Constitutionalism
- Regime Complexity (neoliberal institutionalism)

Research Design
- Comparative Design: Intraregional Comparison
- Time Dimension: Longitudinal Study
- Spatial Dimension: National, Regional, Global
- Level of Analysis: Countries, Regional Organisations
- Number of Cases: Single Case Study, Small-N Analysis

Methods
- Data Collection: Interviews, Documents (green papers from regional organisations, regional organisations foundational declarations, summit declarations, official documents from regional organisations and member states, regional organisations' and states' press declarations)
- Data Analysis: Content Analysis, Process Tracing

Cooperation Partners
- Prof. Dr. Antje Wiener, University of Hamburg, and the members of the Constitutionalism Unbound research project
- Dr. Brigitte Weiffen, University of Konstanz

Workshops/ Conferences
- "Foreign and Security Policies in Regional Organisations", panel at the General ECPR Conference, Bordeaux, 4–7 September 2013 (L. Wehner, B. Weiffen)
- "Overlapping Security Institutions in South America: The Case of UNASUR and OAS", 2012 LASA Congress, San Francisco, California, 23–26 May 2012 (L. Wehner, D. Nolte, B. Weiffen)
- "Institutional Overlapping in Regional Security Organisations: The Case of UNASUR and the OAS", IPSA World Congress, Madrid, 8–12 July 2012 (L. Wehner, D. Nolte, B. Weiffen)

Publications
- Nolte, D. (2011), Regional Powers and Regional Governance, in: N. Godehardt, and D. Nabers (eds), *Regional Powers and Regional Orders,* London: Routledge, 49–67.
- Nolte, D., and A. Schilling-Vacaflor (eds) (2012), *New Constitutionalism in Latin America. Promises and Practices,* Oxon/New York: Ashgate.
- Nolte, D., and L. Wehner (2013), UNASUR and Regional Security Governance in the Americas, in: A. Wenger and S. Aris (eds), *Regional Organisations and Security: Conceptions and Practices,* New York: Routledge, forthcoming.
- Weiffen, B., Wehner, L., and Nolte, D. Overlapping regional security institutions in South America: The case of OAS and UNASUR. *International Area Studies Review, forthcoming.*

Funding
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation): in preparation
- GIGA

Duration
2012–2016

Project Description

Research Questions
The project will explore whether deep-rooted norms and practices in South America are the baseline for processes of constitutionalization at the regional level. It will also analyse the diverse challenges that trigger the adoption or adaptation of norms (including the re-interpretation of the meaning of old norms). Likewise, it will identify the actors (drivers) that are pushing for the institutionalisation of practices by means of regional governance structures. The project will investigate the following main questions:
- How is constitutionalisation unfolding in South America?
- To what degree is regional governance in South America constitutionalised?
- What is the constitutional quality of the norms and policies that UNASUR supports and puts into practice?

Contribution to International Research
This project contributes to the debate and theory-building on global constitutionalism by examining the regional dimension of norm-mimicking, norm-adaptation, norm-creation and norm-rejection processes. It also contributes to global constitutionalism processes by focusing on South America as a regional political space in which supranational institutions do not exist but where normative mutual understandings are still strong enough to regulate the conceptions and practices that govern the region, especially in the area of security. The project thus transcends the Eurocentric approaches that view supranational institutions as critical and as facilitators of the process of global constitutionalism.

Research Design and Methods
This project will use qualitative methods, that is, process tracing and content analysis, to detect and analyse situations of constitutionalisation and contestation. The project will identify historical periods of tectonic strain (moments of contestation) by looking at documents of various kinds from governments and regional groups. The purpose is to identify the moments of contestation (critical junctures) by conducting content analysis from where new practices and norms emerged and interacted with the previously existing ones. The project will also explore the constitutional quality of regional governance structures in South America by combining the dimensions of constitutionalisation and contestation about the content, interpretation and application of norms, both within the regional context and in differentiating the regional realm from the global realm.

>> Research Programme 4: Selected Publications 2013

Articles in Refereed Journals

- Burilkov, Alexandr and Torsten Geise (2013), Maritime Strategies of Rising Powers: Developments in China and Russia, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 34, 6 (special issue), 1037–1053.
- Carpes, Mariana (2013), When Words Are Not Enough: Assessing the Relationship between International Commitments and the Nuclear Choices of Brazil, India and South Africa, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 34, 6 (special issue), 1111–1126.
- Destradi, Sandra (2013), Tiger oder Kätzchen: Indiens außenpolitische Debatten zeugen von andauernder Selbstfindung (Tiger or Baby Cat: India's Foreign Policy Debates Stem from Ongoing Self-Discovery), in: *Internationale Politik,* 68, 5, 93–99.
- Ebert, Hannes and Tim Maurer (2013), Contested Cyberspace and Rising Powers, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 34, 6 (special issue), 1054–1074.
- Flemes, Daniel (2013), Network Powers: Strategies of Change in the Multipolar System, in: *Third World Quarterly,* 34, 6 (special issue), 1016–1036.
- Garzón, Jorge (2013), Hierarchical Regional Orders: An Analytical Framework, in: *Journal of Policy Modeling,* online first 1 November 2013 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2013.10.007).
- Hahn, Kristina and Anna Holtzscheiter (2013), The Ambivalence of Advocacy: Representation and Contestation in Global NGO Advocacy for Child Workers and Prostitutes, in: *Global Society,* 27, 4, 497–520.
- Jetschke, Anja and Tobias Lenz (2013), Does Regionalism Diffuse? A New Research Agenda for the Study of Regional Organisations, in: *Journal of European Public Policy,* 20, 4, 626–637.
- Lenz, Tobias (2013), EU Normative Power and Regionalism: Ideational Diffusion and Its Limits, in: *Cooperation and Conflict,* 48, 2, 211–228.
- Noesselt, Nele (2013), Ankunft in der Realität: Zwischen Traum und Wirklichkeit einer neuen Weltordnung (Arrival in Reality: Between the Dream and the Existence of a New World Order), in: *Internationale Politik,* 5, 68, 100–105.
- Noesselt, Nele (2013), Pekings Ideengeber (Beijing's Idea Generators), in: *Internationale Politik,* 2, 102–107.
- Nolte, Detlef (2013), The Dragon in the Backyard: US Visions of China's Relations toward Latin America, in: *Papel Político,* 18, 2, 587–598.
- Nymalm, Nicola (2013), The End of the "Liberal Theory of History"? Dissecting the U.S. Congress' Discourse on China's Currency Policy, in: *International Political Sociology,* 7, 4, 388–305.
- Prys, Miriam (2013), India and South Asia in the World: On the Embeddedness of Regions in the International System and Its Consequences for Regional Powers, in: *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific,* 13, 2, 267–299.
- Shim, David (2013), Rising South Korea: A Minor Player or a Regional Power?, in: *Pacific Focus,* 28, 3, 384–410.
- Soliz Landivar, Ana and Claire Wright (2013), De instrumentos militares de la dictadura a instrumentos políticos de la democracia: regimens de excepción en Bolivia 2000-2010, in: *Revista de Ciencia Política,* 52, 1, 31–59.
- Wehner, Leslie, Detlef Nolte and Brigitte Weiffen (2013), Overlapping Regional Security Institutions in South America: The Case of OAS and UNASUR, in: *International Area Studies Review,* 16, 4, 370–389.

Contributions to Edited Volumes

- Flemes, Daniel (2013), Actores estatales y regionalismo estratégico: Brasil y Colombia en el orden multipolar, in: Pastrana, Eduardo, Stefan Jost and Daniel Flemes (eds): *Colombia y Brasil ¿socios estratégicos en la construcción de Suramérica?,* Bogotá: Editorial PUC, 25–50.
- Godehardt, Nadine (2013), Between Harmonious World and "War of Order": Chinese Meanings of Just War and Their Re-emergence ,in: Howe, Brendan and Boris Kondoch (eds): *The Legality and Legitimacy of the Use of Force in Northeast Asia,* Leiden et al.: Brill, 75–16.
- Hein, Wolfgang (2013), Formen der Normbildung in Global Health Governance: Zwischen Multilateralismus und informellen Normbildungsprozessen (Forms of Norm-Building in Global Health Governance: Between Multilateralism and Informal Norm-Building Processes), in: Schapper, Andrea, Andrea Fleschenberg and Marianne Kneuer (eds): *Globale Normen zwischen Anspruch und Wirklichkeit,* Baden-Baden: Nomos, 159–187.
- Hein, Wolfgang (2013), The New Dynamics of Global Health Governance, in: Kickbusch, Ilona, Graham Lister, Michaela Told and Nick Drager (eds): *Global Health Diplomacy: Concepts, Issues, Actors, Instruments, Fora and Cases,* New York: Springer, 55–72.
- Hein, Wolfgang (2013), Zur Aktualität einer kritischen Auseinandersetzung mit dem Dependenzansatz in Zeiten fortschreitender Globalisierung (On the Timeliness of a Critical Reflection on the Dependence Approach in Times of Advancing Globalisation), in: Backhouse, Maria, Olaf Gerlach, Stefan Kalmring and Andreas Nowak (eds): *Die globale Einhegung,* Münster: Westfälisches Dampfboot, 304–323.
- Jetschke, Anja (2013), ASEAN: Security Conceptions and Practices, in: Aris, Stephen and Andreas Wenger (eds): *Regional Organisations and Security: Conceptions and Practices,* Abingdon and New York: Routledge, 81–98.
- Jetschke, Anja (2013), Regional Integration Support by the EU in Asia: Aims and Prospects, in: Christiansen, Thomas, Emil J. Kirchner and Philomena Murray (eds): *The Palgrave Handbook of EU–Asia Relations,* Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 226–246.
- Jetschke, Anja and Andrea Liese (2013), The Power of Human Rights a Decade After: From Euphoria to Contestation, in: Risse, Thomas, Stephan C.Ropp and Kathryn Sikkink (eds): *The Continuing Power of Human Rights: From Commitment to Compliance,* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 26–42.
- Kappel, Robert (2013), Regional Powers in the Global Order, in: Langendorf, Julia, Nadine Piefer and Michèle Knodt (eds): *Triangular Cooperation,* Baden-Baden: Nomos, 24–25.
- Plagemann, Johannes and Sybille Röhrkasten (2013), Brasilien als globale Gestaltungsmacht in den Vereinten Nationen: Zwischen Engagement und Skepsis (Brazil as a Global Shaping Power in the UN: Between Engagement and Scepticism), in: Fritz, Erich G. (ed.): *Brasilien: Auf dem Sprung zur Weltwirtschaftsmacht?,* Oberhausen: Athena Verlag, 91–10.
- Scholvin, Sören and Georg Strüver (2013), Tying the Region Together or Tearing it Apart? China and Transport Infrastructure Projects in the SADC, in: du Pisani, André, Gerhard Erasmus and Trudi Hartzenberg (eds): *Monitoring Regional Integration in Southern Africa,* Stellenbosch: Trade Law Centre, 175–193.
- Wehner, Leslie and Detlef Nolte (2013), UNASUR and Regional Security Governance in the Americas, in: Wegner, Andreas and Stephen, Aris (eds): *Regional Organisations and Security: Conceptions and Practices,* Abingdon: Routledge, 183–202.

>> Research Programme 4: Selected Publications 2013

Monographs and Edited Volumes

- Betz, Joachim and Hans-Dieter Kübler (2013), *Internet Governance. Wer regiert das Internet? (Internet Governance. Who Rules the Internet?),* Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Garling, Stephanie (2013), *Vom Störfaktor zum Operator. Religion im Diskurs der Entwicklungszusammenarbeit (From Disturbance to Operator. Religion in the Development Cooperation Discourse),* Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Haas, Nadine (2013), *Das Ende der Fiktion. Literatur und urbane Gewalt in Guatemala (The End of Fiction: Literature and Urban Violence in Guatemala),* Berlin and Münster: LIT Verlag.
- Hein, Wolfgang and Suerie Moon (2013), *Informal Norms in Global Governance: Negotiating Global Intellectual Property Rules, Human Rights and Access to Medicines,* Aldershot: Ashgate.

// ACADEMIC STAFF >>

>> Academic Staff

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Abb, Pascal	IAS	RP 4	East Asian, China	Chinese foreign policy, East Asian international relations
Ansorg, Nadine, Dr.	IAA	RP 2	Sub-Saharan Africa	Regional dynamics of conflict and violence, causes of war
Bank, André, Dr.	IMES	RP 1 RP 2	Jordan, Syria, Palestine/ Israel, Turkey (Near East Politics)	Authoritarianism, statehood, processes of war and peace, regional conflicts and regional order
Basedau, Matthias, Prof. Dr.	IAA	RP 2	Sub-Saharan Africa, Mali, Niger, Chad, Botswana	Resources conflicts, religion and violent conflict, civil-military relations, political institutions
Betz, Joachim, Prof. Dr.	IAS	RP 1 RP 2	South Asia, India, Sri Lanka	Official development aid, social policy, economy, political development
Bondes, Maria	IAS	RP 1	China	Environmental politics and environmental activism, social movements and civil society, social activism, ideological change and political legitimacy
Bunselmeyer, Elisabeth	ILAS	RP 2	Peru	Transitional justice, democratization, state-building, peace and conflict research
De Juan, Alexander, Dr.	IMES	RP 2	Middle East, Sudan	Institutions in intra-state conflicts, Religion and ethnicity in intra-state conflicts, External state-building efforts in fragile states

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Destradi, Sandra , Dr.	IAS	RP 2 RP 4	India, South Asia	India's Foreign and Security Policy, International Relations in South Asia
Dodlova, Marina, Dr.	IAA	RP 1 RP 3	Latin America, Africa	Political institutions, pro-poor policy
Elischer, Sebastian, Jun.-Prof. Dr.	IAA	RP 1	Ghana, Kenya, Cameron, Niger, Namibia	Systemic Comparisons of Hybrid Regimes in Africa
Erdmann, Gero, PD Dr.	IAA	RP 1	Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, South Africa, Tanzania, Zambia (foreign policy)	Democracy and democratization, political parties, civil society, co-operative societies, traditional rule, human rights
Flemes, Daniel, Dr.	ILAS	RP 4	South America, especially Brazil and Colombia	International relations, foreign and security policy, regional cooperation
Flemmer, Ricarda	ILAS	RP 1 RP 2	Latin America	Human rights, environmental rights, rights of indigenous people, prior consultations, resource conflicts
Flesken, Anaid, Dr.	ILAS	RP 1 RP 2	Bolivia	Political movements of ethnicity, ethnic identification and relations, indigenous and regional mobilizations in Bolivia
Fürtig, Henner, Prof. Dr.	IMES	RP 2	Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Egypt	Domestic and foreign policy, social and political transformation, democratization, programs and structures of political Islam
Garling, Stephanie	IAS	RP 4	Bangladesh	Foreign Aid, Religion and Politics, Critical Theory, Discourse Analysis

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Gephart, Malte	ILAS	RP 4	Latin America	Corruption and Anti-Corruption Programmes, processes of political participation, discourse theory and analysis, international cooperation for development, North-South-relation
Giesbert, Lena	IAA	RP 3	East Africa, Ghana, Kenya, Uganda	Poverty and economic development, micro-level poverty traps, social protection, microfinance, microinsurance, employment and well-being, informal sector
Giese, Karsten, Dr.	IAS	RP 3	PR China, Taiwan	Migration, socio-cultural change, Internet
Grauvogel, Julia	IAA	RP 2	Ghana, Simbabwe, Burundi	International sanctions, persistence and change of autocratic regimes, qualitative methods, framing
Gundlach, Erich, Prof. Dr.	IAS	RP 3	Asia	International productivity differences, global transitions
Haasnoot, Cornelis W.	IAS	RP 3	Asia	Fiscal Federalism
Haaß, Felix	IAA	RP 2	Africa	Peacebuilding, coordination and effectiveness of United Nations peace operations, institution building in post-conflict societies
Hahn, Kristina, Dr.	ILAS	RP 4	Latin America	Contested World Orders, NGOs in Global Governance, Theories of power in international relations
Hein, Wolfgang, Prof. Dr.	ILAS	RP 3	Latin America	Agriculture and development, technology and industrial promotion, development theories, environmental problems, health

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Hettig, Elisabeth	IAS	RP 3	Indonesia, Sumatra	Modeling the socio-economic driver of land-use change at rainforest margins
Heyl, Charlotte	IAA	RP 1	West Africa	Judicial independence in West Africa, Accountability institutions in processes of democratization
Hoffmann, Bert, Dr.	ILAS	RP 1	Latin America, Cuba	Transnational migration and diaspora policies, EU-Latin America relations, Cuba`s Transformation: crisis, continuity and change in socialist Cuba
Holbig, Heike, Prof. Dr.	IAS	RP1	PR China, SAR Hong Kong	Domestic policy, interest politics in China's business sector, political legitimacy
Jetschke, Anja, Prof. Dr.	IAS	RP 4	Asia	Comparative Regionalism, Transitional Justice
Kappel, Robert, Prof. Dr.	GIGA	RP 3	Africa, South Africa, Nigeria	Economic development in Africa, development of companies, value chains, industry, BRICS, global shift of powers
Köllner, Patrick, Prof. Dr.	IAS	RP 1	Japan, North and South Korea	Formal and informal institutions, Political parties and organizations
Koos, Carlo	IAA	RP 2	Sudan	Political economy of resource conflicts, local resource governance, ethnic conflicts
Kurtenbach, Sabine, Dr.	ILAS	RP 2	Central America, Colombia	Postwar Societies, Violence and Social change, Youth
Lay, Jann, Jun.-Prof. Dr.	ILAS	RP 3	Latin America	Economy, development

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Lenz, Tobias, Jun.-Prof. Dr.	ILAS	RP 4	Latin America	International and regional governmental organizations in comparative perspective, EU in global regionalism, diffusion theories
Linde, Sarah	IAS	RP 3	Sri Lanka, Uganda	Relationship between employment and well-being, empowerment and Sen's capability approach, informal employment
Llanos, Mariana, Dr.	ILAS	RP 1	Latin America, Argentina	Political institutions, Presidentialism, Latin American congresses, congressional oversight, bicameralism, upper chambers, political recruitment
Linde, Sarah	IAS	RP 3	Sri Lanka, Uganda	Research project: „Employment, empowerment and living standards“
Llanos, Mariana, Dr.	ILAS	RP 1	Latin America, Argentina	Political institutions, presidentialism, Latin American congresses, political recruitment, President-Congress relations
Lorch, Jasmin	IAS	RP 1	Burma/Myanmar, Bangladesch, Philippinen	Civil Society in Autocracies, Authoritarianism and Civil Society Development, State Failure and Ethnic Conflicts in Southeast Asia, Political Change in Burma/Myanmar, Islam und Islamism in Bangladesh und Southeast Asia (esp. Philippines)

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Lucas, Viola	IMES	RP 1 RP 2	Middle East	Resilience of authoritarian rule, economic development theory, modernization theory and transformation theory
Mähler, Annegret, Dr.	IAA	RP 2	Andean countries, especially Bolivia and Venezuela; Nigeria	Ethnicity, resource abundance and intrastate conflicts
Marfaing, Laurence, Dr.	IAA	RP 3	West Africa	Migration
Mattes, Hanspeter, Dr.	IMES	RP 2	Libya, Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco, the Maghreb, the Sahel	Political systems and their transformation, aspects of stability, foreign policy, soft security problems
Mau, Karsten	IAS	RP 2	PR China	Trade, economic growth
Mehler, Andreas, PD Dr.	IAA	RP 1 RP 2	Frankophone Central and West Africa	Power-sharing after peace agreements, Violent conflicts and conflict prevention, State and statehood, democratization processes, German and French Africa policy
Neff, Daniel, Dr.	IAS	RP 3	South Asia, India	Economy and Society, socio-economic development, poverty, well-being
Noesselt, Nele, Dr.	IAS	RP 1 RP 4	China	Chinese Foreign Policy and China's role in world affairs, EU-China relations, Chinese IR Theory, Governance in China, transformation/ transition of socialist systems
Nolte, Detlef, Prof. Dr.	GIGA (Acting president)	RP 1 RP 2	Latin America, especially Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Paraguay	Forms of government, developmental cooperation, EU-USA-LA relations
Nolte, Kerstin	IAA	RP 3	Africa	Extent, processes and effects of large-scale agricultural investments, Land Governance, Institutional Change

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Ostermeier, Martin	ILAS	RP 3	Latin America	Employment-related Millennium Development Goals and the potential post-2015 Development Agenda, Large-scale agricultural investments and Sustainable Development
Ottmann, Martin, Dr.	IAA	RP 2	Africa	One-sided violence in African civil wars, rebel organizations, power-sharing in post-conflict countries
Pawelz, Janina	IAS	RP 2	Indonesia, Timor-Leste	Youth, violence, violent groups
Pedroza, Luicy	ILAS	RP 1	Latin America	Immigration policy and integration of migrants into the polity across Latin America
Peetz, Peter, Dr.	GIGA/ ILAS	RP 2	Peru, Honduras, Central America	Insecurity, violence and discourses of violence; political, economic and social development; democracy issues
Pellicer, Miquel, Dr.	IMES	RP 3	Middle East	Causes of poverty and inequality in developing countries, economics of education, political economy, political clientelism, Islamist parties
Pfeiffer, Birte, Dr. (née Pohl)	IAA	RP 3	Africa	Productivity effects of foreign direct investment, renewable energy in developing countries
Prediger, Sebastian	IAA	RP 3	Africa	Cooperation and social preferences, Management of common-pool resources, Informal sector

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Prys, Miriam, Dr.	IAS	RP 4	India, BRICS	Regional and emerging powers, Global and regional environmental politics, global climate regime
Ranko, Annette (née Büchs)	IMES	RP 2	Egypt, Jemen	Political Islam, the Muslim Brotherhood, transnational Salafism, regional policy and regional order
Renner, Sebastian	ILAS	RP 3	Brazil, China, India, South Africa	Environmental and development economics, Poverty reduction and low carbon economic development
Richter, Thomas, Dr.	IMES	RP 1 RP 2	Small Gulf states, Egypt, Jordan	Rentier state theory, stability and change in authoritarian regimes, foreign trade reforms in developing countries
Rosiny, Stephan, Dr.	IMES	RP 2	Bahrain, Lebanon, Palestine, Syria, Iraq	Political Islam and Islamic movements, Sunni–Shia relations, contemporary Shia Islam
Schilling-Vacaflor, Almut, Dr.	ILAS	RP 1	Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru	Extractive industry, indigenous peoples, community participation in resource governance, socio-environmental conflicts, constitutional change
Schucher, Günter, Dr.	IAS	RP 1 RP 3	PR China, SAR Hong Kong	Social development, employment, social security, health, education
Schüller, Margot, Dr.	IAS	RP 3	PR China, SAR Hong Kong	Economical development, financial sector, industrial policy, EU and Asia
Sipangule, Kacana	IAA	RP 3	Indonesia, Zambia	Land Use Change Modelling, Smallholder Agriculture Production

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Soest, Christian von, Dr.	IAA	RP 1	Southern Africa, South Africa, Zambia, Botswana	Comparative research on international sanctions, authoritarian regimes, foreign policy and development policy, neopatriomonialism, state and public administration
Strasheim, Julia	IAA	RP 2	Sub-Saharan Africa	Interim governments in post-conflict societies, democratization, conflict resolution
Stroh, Alexander, Dr.	IAA	RP 1	Francophone West Africa, mainly Benin, Burkina Faso and Togo; Rwanda	Political parties and party systems, political institutions in processes of democratization, comparative research on democracy, elections in Africa
Sunik, Anna	IMES	RP 1	Gulf monarchies (esp. Qatar and Saudi Arabia), Mashreq (esp. Jordan)	Monarchies in the Middle East, International Relations and Foreign Policy Analysis, Comparative Authoritarianism Studies
Thiel, Alena	IAA	RP 3	Ghana	China-Africa relations, socio-economic practices and change, market trader activism, citizenship
Thormann, Vita	IAA	RP 2	Afrika	Resource management strategies and their impact on collective violence, politics of lootable resource extraction, peacebuilding and democratization in resource-Abundant contexts
Tibi Weber, Cordula	ILAS	RP 1	Chile, Paraguay	Judicial independence in Latin America with a special focus on Chile and Paraguay, the role of courts in the political system, development of democracy in Paraguay

Name	Regional Institute	Research Programme	Regional Focus	Thematic Focus
Trapp, Katharina	IAS	RP 3	Indonesia	Research project "Collaborative Research Centre 990: Ecological and Socioeconomic Functions of Tropical Lowland Rainforest Transformation Systems (Sumatra, Indonesia)"
Ufen, Andreas, PD Dr.	IAS	RP 1 RP 2	Indonesia, East Timor, Malaysia, Philippines, ASEAN	Democratization, Islamization, political parties, weak states and political violence, regional integration
Vüllers, Johannes, Dr.	IAA	RP 2	Sub-Saharan Africa (Tanzania, Côte d'Ivoire), Philippines, Sri Lanka	Religion in Violent Conflicts; Mediation in Civil Wars; Power-Sharing in Post-Conflict Countries
Wegner, Eva, Dr.	IMES	RP 1	Middle East	Political Parties in Developing Countries, Islamist Parties, Demand for Redistribution, Accountability in Dominant Party Systems
Wehner, Leslie, Dr.	ILAS	RP 4	Argentina, Chile, Venezuela	UNASUR, regional integration, roles of Regional and Secondary Regional Powers in South America, Role Theory and Status, relationships of the US and Regional Powers
Wischermann, Jörg, Dr.	IAS	RP 1	Viet Nam	Civil societies and governance in Viet Nam,comparison of authoritarian regimes
Zanker, Franzisca	IAA	RP 2	Sub-Saharan Africa	Research project "Local Arenas of Power-Sharing"

// GIGA DOCTORAL STUDENTS

>> Doctoral Students

Name	Doctoral Thesis	Funding	Supervisor
Barrera, Anna	Change in indigenous / community law in the Andean Region and the legal protection of women	Cusanuswerk	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Borszik, Oliver	Visionary Approach – Conceptual Realization. Change and Continuity in the Islamic Republic of Iran's Claim to Leadership (1979-2009)	Evangelisches Studienwerk Villigst	Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)
Bothmann, Astrid	(No) Transitional Justice in Nicaragua. Reasons and consequences for not dealing with the past	Konrad-Adenauer-Foundation	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Bunselmeyer, Elisabeth	The Elite and the Local. Transitional Justice and Democratization in Post-Conflict Societies (working title)	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS) and Thorsten Bonacker (University of Marburg)
Burilkov, Alexandr	High Seas Challenge: Maritime Strategy in China, India and Iran	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)
Caetano, Tara	Climate Change Mitigation Policies and their Impact on Poverty and Inequality in South Africa	CliMiP	Prof. Dr. Jann Lay (ILAS)
Carpes, Mariana	Bringing the region in: a neoclassical realist approach for the study of rising powers nuclear strategies (working title)	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Fraundorfer, Markus	Brazil´s emerging influence in global sectoral governance	–	Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (ILAS)
García, Daniela	Solar Energy and the Problem of Path Dependency in Costa Rica's Energy System	DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship	Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Hein (ILAS)
Garzón, Jorge	Hierarchical Regional Orders: Theory, Politics and Strategies toward the Construction of Benign Regional Unipolarity	Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)

Name	Doctoral Thesis	Funding	Supervisor
Geise, Torsten	Power in Regional Security Governance Formation: Southeast Asia and the case of securing maritime trade (working title)	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)
Granada, Soledad	The Missing Link Between Peacebuilding and Statebuilding in War and Partial Post-war Contexts (working title)	University of Hamburg	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Hess, Natalie	The Strategic Partnerships between the European Union and Regional Powers (Brazil, India, South Africa)	Friedrich-Naumann-Foundation	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Hoffmann, Anne	Institutionelle Bedingungen strategischen Handelns südamerikanischer Regierungszentralen im Verbundsystem der UNASUR (working title)	Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Koß, Maren	Islamist Organizations and normative Change: Analyzing Hezbollah's and Hamas' Identity and Behavior	–	Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)
Nymalm, Nicola	From 'Japan-Problem' to 'China-Threat'? Comparing the Discourses on Japan (1980-1995) and China (1995-2010) in the United States	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (IAS)
Ostermeier, Martin	Employment, Decent Work and Human Development: The application of selected decent work indicators on emerging and developing economies, their compatibility with social policies and potential welfare effects with a particular focus on the youth (working title)	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Jun.-Prof. Dr. Jann Lay (ILAS)
Pawelz, Janina	Young, violent, organized: Vanguards of tomorrow's politics? Political activity of non-state armed groups. A comparative study (working title)	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Prof. Dr. Kai-Uwe Schnapp (University of Hamburg)
Peters, Ina	Contentious Politics in the Brazilian Amazon: An analysis of the collective action against the Belo Monte Dam	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Peters, Mascha	Media and Politics in South Korea. Assessing the Impact of Media in the Context of Political and Societal Transformation Processes	–	Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (IAS)

Name	Doctoral Thesis	Funding	Supervisor
Rauland, Julia	The role of regional powers in regional integration processes – Brazil and South Africa in comparison	–	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Rosales, María Isabel	Emigration Policies in Central America	DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Seemann, Miriam	Conflict Transformation in Bolivia - Irrigation conflicts in Cochabamba and Santa Cruz	Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Simons, Daniel	Amidst Contested Regional Power: Israel's Foreign Policy in a Changing Regional Order (working title)	–	Prof. Dr. Henner Fürtig (IMES)
Soliz Landivar, Ana	The bilateral strategic partnerships of Argentina, Brazil and Venezuela with China (working title)	DAAD Ph.D. Scholarship	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Stolte, Christina	Brazil in Africa - Extraregional Engagement as Stepping Stone to Great Power Status?	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Strüver, Georg	The Chinese Road to Power: (Cross-) Regional Networks and Influence in Global Politics" (working title)	Volkswagen Foundation	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Thormann, Vita	Managing Lootable Natural Resources: What Makes Successful Strategies of Reconstruction after Armed Conflict? (working title)	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Prof. Dr. Matthias Basedau (IAA)
Velosa, Eduardo	China´s influence on regional roles: the cases of Thailand and Colombia (working title)	DAAD	Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte (ILAS)
Wagner, Maren	Emergence in world politics - the case of East Asia (working title)	University of Hamburg	Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (University of Kiel)
Wodrig, Stefanie	Logics of regional interventions. Burundi and Zimbabwe as arenas of regional politics	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobeit (University of Hamburg)
Wojczewski, Thorsten	India in the World Order: Conceptions of 'Order' in the Foreign Policy Discourse	Heinrich Böll Foundation	Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (IAA)

Concluded Doctoral Studies 2013

Name	Doctoral Thesis	Funding	Supervisor
Abb, Pascal	China´s Foreign Policy and the Emergence of Institutional Multilateralism in East Asia	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Patrick Köllner (IAS)
Albrechts, Nicole	Die Effektivität verschiedener Initiativen und Konzepte zur Korruptionsbekämpfung in Staaten mit Erdölvorkommen. Ein Vergleich von Nigeria, Kamerun, Kasachstan und Ecuador	Procter & Gamble	Prof. Dr. Cord Jakobeit (University of Hamburg)
Giesbert, Lena	Responses to Risk: the role of insurance for the poor in Africa	GIGA Junior Research Fellow	Prof. Dr. Tilman Brück (SIPRI), Prof. Dr. Susan Steiner (DIW Berlin)
Plagemann, Johannes	On the Transformation of Sovereignty in Democratic Regional Powers. Normative Aspects of the Rise of the Rest -Political Science	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Dirk Nabers (IAS)
Prasad, Karolina	Ethnicity as the dependent variable in politics: A comparative study of Malaysian Sarawak and Indonesian West Kalimantan	Cusanuswerk	Prof. Dr. Joachim Betz (IAS), PD Dr. Andreas Ufen (IAS)
Scholvin, Sören	The Geopolitics of Regional Powers: How Do Geographical Conditions Influence South Africa´s Regional Economic and Political Relations?	Hamburg International Graduate School for the Study of Regional Powers	Prof. Dr. Joachim Betz (IAS), Prof. Dr. Jürgen Ossenbrügge (University of Hamburg)

// MEMBERS OF THE ACADEMIC ADVISORY BOARD >>

>> Members of the Academic Advisory Board

Prof Dr Jürgen Rüland (Chairman)	University of Freiburg, Department of Political Science
Prof Dr Sebastian Lentz (Deputy Chairman)	Leibniz Institute for Regional Geography (IfL), Leipzig University of Leipzig, Regional Geography
Prof Dr Michiel Baud	Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam Latin American Studies an der University of Amsterdam
Prof. Dr. Ulf Engel	University of Leipzig, Institute of African Studies
Prof. Dr. Sebastian Heilmann	University of Trier, Political Science Department
Dr. Anke Hoeffler	University of Oxford, Centre for the Study of African Economies (CSAE)
Prof. Andrew Hurrell, Ph.D.	University of Oxford, Balliol College, Department of Politics and International Relations (DPIR)
Prof. Dr. Eberhard Kienle	Politiques publiques, Action politique, Territoires (PACTE) – Institut d'Études Politiques de Grenoble (IEP)
Prof. Dr. Stephan Klasen, Ph.D.	University of Göttingen, Faculty of Economic Sciences Ibero-America Institute for Economic Research
Prof Anna Leander, Ph.D.	Copenhagen Business School, Department for Management, Politics and Philosophy
Prof. Dr. Christian Lequesne	CERI – Sciences Po, Paris
Prof. Antje Wiener, Ph.D.	University of Hamburg, Institute for Political Science, Centre for Globalisation and Governance (CGG)

// ANNEX

>> Cooperation Partners in Research Projects

1. Universities

- Aarhus University
- Bar-Ilan University in Ramat Gan, Israel
- Bogor Agricultural University
- Chiang Mai University, Thailand
- De La Salle University, Manila
- Delhi School of Economics
- Duke University
- East China Normal University, Shanghai
- European University Institute, Florence
- Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO), Quito, Santiago de Chile und San Jose
- Free University of Amsterdam
- Free University Berlin / Collaborative Research Center 700
- Graduate School of Public Administration and Public Policy (EGAP)
- Harvard University
- Hertie School of Governance
- International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Jacobs University Bremen
- Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka
- Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi
- Jinan University Guangzhou
- Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
- Leibniz University Hannover
- Murdoch University Australia
- Payap University, Chiang Mai
- Ponitifica Universidade Catolica, Rio de Janeiro
- Renmin University, Beijing
- School of Oriental and African Studies (London)
- Singapore Management University
- Tadulaku University
- Temple University, Philadelphia
- The Chinese University of Hong Kong
- UMB Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- Universidad de Chile, Santiago de Chile
- Universidad Torcuato di Tella, Buenos Aires
- Universidade de Brasilia
- Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brasil
- Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo
- Universidade National Timor-Lorosae
- Universität Duisburg-Essen
- Universität Frankfurt
- Universität Göttingen
- Universität Greifswald
- Universität Hamburg
- Universität Heidelberg
- Universität Kiel
- Universität Konstanz
- Universität Marburg
- Univeristät Passau
- Universität Trier
- Universität Tübingen
- Universität Wien
- Universität Würzburg
- Universität Zürich
- Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar
- Université d'Alger
- Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Benin
- Université d'Antananarivo, Madagascar
- Université d'Oran
- University College London, UK
- University of Bergen, Norway
- University of Birmingham
- University of Bristol
- University of British Columbia
- University of California at San Diego
- University of Cape Town
- University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka
- University of Denver
- University of Ghana
- University of Gothenburg, Sweden
- University of Johannesburg, Institute for International Affairs
- University of Liège
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- University of Otago, New Zealand
- University of Oxford
- University of Rotterdam
- University of Southern Denmark in Odense
- University of Stellenbosch, Südafrika
- University of Stockholm
- University of Texas at Austin
- Uppsala Universitatet
- Wake Forrest University, North Carolina, USA

2. Research Institutes

- Al-Ahram Centre for Political and Strategic Studies, Cairo
- Al-Sharq Center for Regional and Strategic Studies, Cairo
- Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung (BMZ)
- Centre for Basic Research (CBR), Uganda
- Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern
- Centre for Latin American Research and Documentation (CEDLA), Amsterdam
- Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS), Kathmandu
- Center for Rising Powers, Cambridge
- Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), Jakarta
- Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), Paris
- Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (unit in India)
- Centro de Estudios Judiciales del Paraguay, Asunción
- China Center for Comparative Politics and Economics, Beijing
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH (GIZ), Germany
- Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin
- East Asian Institute, National University of Singapore
- European University Institute, Italy
- Expertise pour le Développement du Sahel (EDS), Burkina Faso
- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
- International Development Institute, King's College
- Institut für Friedensforschung und Sicherheitspolitik (IFSH), Hamburg
- Institut für Weltwirtschaft (IfW), Kiel
- Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), Sri Lanka
- International Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Netherlands
- International Land Coalition (ILC), Rome
- InterPeace Guatemala
- Kiel Institute for the World Economy
- Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KfW)
- Land Matrix Partnership
- Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Education
- National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies (GRIPS), German Institute for Japanese Studies
- National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand
- Peace Research Institute Frankfurt (HSFK)
- Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO), Norway
- School of Development Economics, National Institute of Development Administration (NIDA), Bangkok
- Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), Berlin
- Southern Institute for Sustainable Development/Vietnamese Academy of Social Sciences (SISD), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
- The Graduate Institute Geneva, Centre of Conflict, Development and Peace-Building, Genf
- Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin (WZB)

>> Associations

Associations

- Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies (AEGIS)
- African Studies Association (ASA)
- American Political Science Association (APSA)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft Deutsche Lateinamerika-Forschung (ADLAF)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Pazifische Studien Aachen (APSA)
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft Kriegsursachenforschung (AKUF)
- Arbeitskreis sozialwissenschaftliche Chinaforschung (ASC)
- Arbeitskreis Politische Geographie
- Asia Europe Foundation (ASEF)
- Asociación Española de Ciencia Política y de la Administración (AECPA)
- Asociación Latinoamericana de Ciencia Política (ALACIP)
- Association for Korean Studies in Europe (AKSE)
- Association of Asian Studies
- British Association for Korean Studies (BAKS)
- British Association for Japan Studies
- British International Studies Association
- Chinesisch-Deutsche Gesellschaft
- Consejo Europeo de Investigaciones Sociales de América Latina / Europäischer Rat der sozialwissenschaftlichen Lateinamerika-Forschung (CEISAL)
- Deutsche Arbeitsgemeinschaft Vorderer Orient für gegenwartsbezogene Forschung und Dokumentation (DAVO)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Asienkunde (DGA)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Soziologie (DGS)
- Deutsche Vereinigung für Chinastudien
- Deutsche Vereinigung für Politische Wissenschaft (DVPW)
- Deutsch-Koreanisches Forum
- East Asian Economic Association
- EU-LAC Foundation
- Euro-Mediterranean Study Commission (EuroMeSCo)
- Europäische Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Lateinamerika-Information und -Dokumentation (REDIAL)
- European Alliance for Asian Studies (Asia Alliance)
- European Association for Chinese Studies (EACS)
- European Association for Japanese Studies (EAJS)
- European Association for Southeast Asian Studies (EASAS)
- European Association of Development Research and Training Institutions (EADI)
- European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR)
- European Economic Association (EEA)

>> International Cooperation Agreements

- European Sociological Association
- Forum Euroméditerranéen des Instituts de Sciences Economiques (Femise)
- German Academic Publishers e.V. (GAP)
- German Economists Association
- Human Development and Capabilities Association
- Inter-American Dialogue
- Interdisziplinärer Arbeitskreis für Entwicklungsländerforschung (IAfEF)
- International Institute of Sociology
- International Network of Economic Research (INFER)
- International Society for Cultural History
- International Studies Association (ISA)
- Latin American and Caribbean Economic Association
- Latin American Studies Association (LASA)
- Latin American Studies Association / Europe and Latin America Section (ELAS)
- Leibniz-Gemeinschaft (WGL)
- Middle East Economic Association (MEEA)
- Middle East Studies Association (MESA)
- Midwest Political Science Association (MPSA)
- Ostasiatischer Verein e.V. (OAV)
- Pazifik-Netzwerk e.V.
- Philippine Political Science Association (PPSA)
- Political Geography Research Group
- Poverty Reduction, Equity and Growth Netwok (PEGNet)
- Prasad Adhikari Centre for Nepal and Asian Studies (CNAS)
- Red Euro-Latinoamericana de Gobernabilidad para el Desarrollo (RedGob)
- Red de Seguridad y Defensa de América Latina
- Regional Powers Network (RPN)
- Religion and Conflict Network
- Social Science History Association (SSHA)
- Societé des Africanistes
- Society of International Economic Law
- The Academy of Political Science (APA)
- Verein für Socialpolitik
- Vereinigung für Afrikawissenschaften in Deutschland (VAD)
- Vereinigung für sozialwissenschaftliche Japanforschung (VSJF)
- Wissenschaftliche Vereinigung für Entwicklungstheorie und Entwicklungspolitik

- Al-Ahram Centre for Political and Strategic Studies (ACPSS), Cairo, Egypt
- Al Sharq Center for Regional and Strategic Studies (SCRSS), Beirut, Lebanon
- Centre for International Conflict Analysis and Management (CICAM), Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- Centro Brasileiro de Relações Internacionais (CEBRI), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE), Mexico City, Mexico
- China Foreign Affairs University (CFAU), Beijing, China
- Dag Hammarskjöld Foundation, Uppsala, Sweden
- Department of Comparative Politics, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- Fundaçao Getúlio Vargas (FGV), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Fundación Global Democracia y Desarrollo (FUNGLODE), Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic
- Fundación para las Relaciones Internacionales y el Diálogo Exterior (FRIDE), Madrid, Spain
- Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD), Accra, Ghana
- Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses (IDSA), New Delhi, India
- Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies (IAPS), Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing, China
- Institute of International Relations (IIR), National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan
- Instituto de Ciências Sociais da Universidade de Lisboa (ICS-UL), Lisbon, Portugal
- Instituto de Estudios Internacionales (IEI), Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- L'Institut Royal des Études Stratégiques (IRES), Rabat, Morocco
- L'Observatoire Tunisien de la Transition Démocratique (OTTD), Tunis, Tunisia
- Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (PUC-Chile), Santiago, Chile
- Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Javeriana), Bogotá, Colombia
- School of International Relations and Public Affairs (SIRPA), Fudan University, Shanghai, China
- School of Political Science and International Relations (SPSIR), Tongji University (TU), Shanghai, China
- Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (UAEM), Toluca, Mexico
- Universidad de la República (UdelaR), Montevideo, Uruguay
- Universidad de Salamanca (USAL), Salamanca, Spain
- Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá, Colombia
- Universidad del Salvador (USAL), Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Universidad Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile
- Universidad Santiago de Cali (USC), Cali, Colombia
- Universidad Torcuato Di Tella (UTDT), Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Universidade de Brasília (FUB), Brasília, Brazil
- Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, Brazil
- Université Gaston Berger (UGB), Saint Louis, Senegal
- University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, South Africa
- University of Virginia, Charlottesville, US
- White Rose East Asia Centre (WREAC), University of Leeds and University of Sheffield, Leeds and Sheffield, UK

>> GIGA Organisational Chart



The GIGA is one of the leading European research institutes for area studies and comparative area studies. It is a publicly funded institute and a foundation under the civil law of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg. As a member of the Leibniz Association, the GIGA is committed to academic excellence and research-based knowledge transfer.

The GIGA stands for:

- Excellent research on political, economic and social developments in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Middle East, combined with
- Innovative comparative approaches along cross-cutting themes
- Active knowledge transfer to decision-makers in the political, economic and societal spheres and to the general public
- Extensive provision of information through the GIGA information centre, the largest non-university information centre for area studies and comparative area studies in Germany

The GIGA is a member of the Leibniz Association

Acting President: Prof. Dr. Detlef Nolte
Chairman of the Board of Trustees: State Councillor Dr. Horst-Michael Pelikahn
Chairman of the Academic Advisory Board: Prof. Dr. Jürgen Rüland

GIGA
German Institute of Global and Area Studies
Leibniz-Institut für Globale und Regionale Studien

Institute of African Affairs
Institute of Asian Studies
Institute of Latin American Studies
Institute of Middle East Studies

Neuer Jungfernstieg 21
D-20354 Hamburg
Phone: +49 (0)40 / 42825-593
Fax: +49 (0)40 / 42825-547
info@giga-hamburg.de
www.giga-hamburg.de