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#### Abstract

We show that every 3 -uniform hypergraph with minimum vertex degree at least $0.8\binom{n-1}{2}$ contains a tight Hamiltonian cycle.


## §1. Introduction

In 1952 Dirac [6] proved that every graph $G=(V, E)$ with $|V| \geqslant 3$ and minimum vertex degree $\delta(G)$ at least $|V| / 2$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle. Moreover, this is optimal as there are graphs $G$ with $\delta(G)=\lceil|V| / 2\rceil-1$ not containing a Hamiltonian cycle. We study an analogous Dirac-type problem for 3 -uniform hypergraph, i.e., what minimum vertex degree in a 3-uniform hypergraph guarantees the existence of a (tight) Hamiltonian cycle? A lot of recent research concerning Dirac-type problems for hypergraphs originated in the work of Katona and Kierstead [16] (see also [26] for an overview).

A $k$-uniform hypergraph $H=(V, E)$ (or $k$-graph) consists of a finite set $V=V(H)$ of vertices together with a family $E=E(H)$ of $k$-element subsets of $V$, the so-called (hyper)edges. Whenever convenient we identify $H$ with $E(H)$. In particular, we denote by $|H|=|E(H)|$ the number of edges in $H$. For $k$-graphs with $k \geqslant 3$, a cycle might be defined in several ways (see, e.g., $[1,2,16,20]$ ). Here we restrict ourselves to $k=3$. For $\ell=1$ or 2 and an integer $n$ with $(3-\ell) \mid n$, define an $\ell$-overlapping cycle $C_{n}^{\ell}$ as an $n$-vertex 3 -graph with $\frac{n}{3-\ell}$ edges, whose vertices can be ordered cyclically in such a way that the edges are segments of that cyclic ordering and every two consecutive edges share exactly $\ell$ vertices. For $\ell=2$, we call the cycle tight and for $\ell=1$ we call it loose. A tight (resp. loose) Hamiltonian cycle in a 3 -graph $H$ is a spanning tight (resp. loose) cycle in $H$, that is, a subhypergraph of $H$ which is a tight (resp. loose) cycle that contains all vertices of $H$.

For a 3-graph $H=(V, E)$, in addition to having two types of cycles, there are also two natural notions of minimum vertex degree (see $\delta_{1}(H)$ and $\delta_{2}(H)$ below). For a vertex $v \in V$ we define $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)$ as the number of edges of $H$ containing $v$ and for every pair of distinct

[^0]vertices $u, v \in V$ we define the co-degree/pair degree of that pair, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u, v)$, by the number of edges of $H$ containing both $u$ and $v$. Clearly, for an $n$-vertex 3 -graph we have $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v) \leqslant\binom{ n-1}{2}$ while $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u, v) \leqslant n-2$. For a 3 -graph $H=(V, E)$ we denote by
$$
\delta_{1}(H)=\delta(H)=\min _{v \in V} \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)
$$
the minimum vertex degree of $H$ and by
$$
\delta_{2}(H)=\min _{\substack{u, v V \\ u \neq v}} \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u, v)
$$
the minimum co-degree of $H$.
We are now ready to define a crucial Dirac-type extremal parameter.
Definition 1.1. Let $d$, $\ell$, and $n$ be integers satisfying $1 \leqslant \ell, d \leqslant 2$, and $(3-\ell) \mid n$. The function $h_{d}^{\ell}(n)$ equals the smallest integer $h$ such that every $n$-vertex 3 -graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geqslant h$ contains a spanning $\ell$-overlapping cycle, that is, a loose Hamiltonian cycle for $\ell=1$ and a tight Hamiltonian cycle for $\ell=2$. In other words,
$$
h_{d}^{\ell}(n)=\min \left\{h \in[n]: \delta_{d}(H) \geqslant h \Longrightarrow H \supseteq C_{n}^{\ell}\right\} .
$$

For large $n$ and the following choices of $d$ and $\ell$ the function $h_{d}^{\ell}(n)$ is well understood. The case $d=\ell=2$ (co-degree forcing Hamiltonian tight cycles) was solved approximatively and exactly (for large $n$ ) in $[28,31]$, while the case $d=2$ and $\ell=1$ (co-degree forcing Hamiltonian loose cycles) was solved approximatively in [20]. In [4] an approximate formula for $h_{1}^{1}(n)$ (vertex degree forcing Hamiltonian loose cycles) was found, while an exact form of this result was obtained in [13]. The related problem concerning minimum degree conditions for perfect matchings was resolved for co-degrees approximately and exactly in $[28,30]$ and similarly for vertex degrees in $[11,18,21]$.

In particular, the results mentioned above resolve the asymptotic behaviour for all possible values of $d$ and $\ell$ with the exception of $d=1$ and $\ell=2$. It seems that more difficulties arise in that case, since $d<\ell$ and, hence, we are not in control of co-degrees, while it seems that large co-degrees are instrumental in building long tight paths and cycles. We will derive new bounds for $h_{1}^{2}(n)$ and for simplicity we set

$$
h(n)=h_{1}^{2}(n) .
$$

Some estimates on $h(n)$ were obtained over the last few years. While proving a more general result, Glebov, Person, and Weps [9] showed that

$$
h(n) \leqslant(1-\varepsilon)\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

where the numerical value of $\varepsilon$ is close to $5 \times 10^{-7}$. In [27] the first two authors improved upon that bound by showing that for every $\gamma>0$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that if $n \geqslant n_{0}$ then

$$
h(n) \leqslant\left(\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{3}+\gamma\right)\binom{n-1}{2} \approx .92\binom{n-1}{2} .
$$

Here we make a further improvement.
Theorem 1.2. There exists $n_{1.2}$ such that if $n \geqslant n_{1.2}$ then

$$
h(n) \leqslant .8\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

This upper bound on $h(n)$ seems to be far from optimal. Indeed, the best known constructions yield

$$
h(n) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

and we briefly mention three constructions achieving this bound.
(i) Consider a partition $X \cup Y=V$ of the vertex set $V$ of size $n$ with $|X|=\lceil(n+1) / 3\rceil$ and let $H$ be the 3 -graph containing all edges $e$ such that $|e \cap X| \neq 2$. It is not hard to show that $H$ contains no tight Hamiltonian cycle, since two consecutive vertices in $X$ cannot be connected to $Y$ (see, e.g., [27]). Moreover, we have $\delta(H) \geqslant(5 / 9+o(1))\binom{n-1}{2}$.
(ii) Similarly, one may consider a partition $X \cup Y=V$ with $|X|=\lceil 2 n / 3\rceil$ and let $H$ be the 3 -graph consisting of all hyperedges $e$ such that $|e \cap X| \neq 2$. Again $H$ has $\delta(H) \geqslant(5 / 9+o(1))\binom{n-1}{2}$ and it contains no tight Hamiltonian cycle.
(iii) The last example utilises the fact that every tight Hamiltonian cycle contains a matching of size $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$. Again we consider a partition $X \cup Y=V$ this time with $|X|=\lfloor n / 3\rfloor-1$ and let $H$ consist of all hyperedges having at least one vertex in $X$. Consequently, $H$ contains no matching of size $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ and, hence, no tight Hamiltonian cycle. On the other hand, $\delta(H) \geqslant(5 / 9+o(1))\binom{n-1}{2}$.
It might be possible that these constructions give the right asymptotic lower bound for $h(n)$, which leads to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3. $h(n)=\left(\frac{5}{9}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-1}{2}$.
However, we remark that recently Han and Zhao [14] showed that for $k \geqslant 4$ some Dirac-type thresholds for tight Hamiltonian cycle are strictly larger than the corresponding thresholds for perfect matchings, which may put some doubt on Conjecture 1.3. However, it seems unlikely that the upper bound given Theorem 1.2 is optimal and we shall return to the problem of determining the asymptotic behaviour of $h(n)$ in the near future.

## §2. OUtline of proof and preliminaries

2.1. Outline. Our proof follows the absorbing path method developed in [28, 29, 31]. We begin with building an absorbing path $A$ and putting aside a small reservoir set $R$ selected randomly so that $H[R]$ preserves the degree properties of $H$. Then a long cycle $C$ containing $A$ is created in the remaining hypergraph (by first building a family of disjoint paths and then connecting them, as well as $A$, together via the reservoir $R$ ). Finally, utilising the absorbing property of $A$, the cycle $C$ is extended to a Hamiltonian cycle in $H$.

Our proof is founded on four pillars: the Connecting Lemma, the Absorbing Lemma, the Reservoir Lemma, and the Cover Lemma (replacing the Path Cover Lemma used earlier in $[27,29]$ ), and we will prove them all in the next section. The Connecting Lemma and the Absorbing Lemma are the bottlenecks here. In [27] we 'shaved' the hypergraph $H$ from edges containing pairs of small degree until all pairs of positive degree were large, that is, of degree a little bigger than $n / 2$. In the obtained subhypergraph $H^{\prime}$ proving a connecting lemma was easy, however we paid a high price for that: to prevent $H^{\prime}$ from becoming empty, we had to raise the minimum vertex degree of $H$ to about $.92\binom{n-1}{2}$. Here we refine that approach: we only dispose of the edges of $H$ with all three pairs of small degree and, at the same time, we lower the notion of "small" to only $n / 3$. Then both, the Connecting Lemma and the Absorbing Lemma, are a bit harder to prove, yet we manage to do so, keeping $\delta(H)$ at around $.8\binom{n-1}{2}$. The Reservoir Lemma, as usual, can be proved by a standard application of the probabilistic method. Finally, the proof of the Cover Lemma follows the lines of the approach from [27, 29] in that it relies on the Weak Regularity Lemma. Once the four lemmas are proved, the actual proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of five simple steps (stated below). For any $S \subset V(H)$, let $H-S$ denote the induced subhypergraph $H[V(H) \backslash S]$, that is, a subhypergraph obtained from $H$ by deleting all vertices in $S$ together with the edges they belong to.
(1) Find an absorbing path $A$ in $H$.
(2) Find a reservoir set $R$ in $H-V(A)$.
(3) Applying the Cover Lemma to a suitable selected sub-3-graph $H^{\prime}$ of $H$, find a collection of disjoint paths $\mathcal{P}$, covering most of the vertices of $H-(V(A) \cup R)$.
(4) Connect the paths in $\mathcal{P}$ and the absorbing path $A$, using vertices of $R$, to form a cycle $C$ which contains most of the vertices of $H$.
(5) Using the absorbing property of $A$, put all the remaining vertices on the cycle to form a Hamiltonian cycle in $H$.
2.2. Preliminaries. Here we collect basic tools needed in the subsequent proofs. We begin with a lower bound on the number of triangles in an $n$-vertex graph in terms of the number
of its edges. Although more refined results are available (see Razborov [25]), for us it will be sufficient to use an old bound of Nordhaus and Stewart [24] which is also attributed to Goodman [10] and Moon and Moser [23] (see [3, Corollary 1.6 in Chapter VI]).

Lemma 2.1. Every graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges contains at least $\frac{m}{3 n}\left(4 m-n^{2}\right)$ triangles. In particular, if for some $\varrho>0$ we have $m \geqslant \varrho \frac{n^{2}}{2}$ then the number of triangles in $G$ is at least $\varrho(2 \varrho-1) \frac{n^{3}}{6}$.

We will also need the following version of a result of Erdős [7]. A 3-graph $H$ is 3-partite if there is a partition $V(H)=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup V_{3}$ such that every edge of $H$ intersects each set $V_{i}$ in precisely one vertex. A 3-partite 3-graph with $\left|V_{1}\right|\left|V_{2}\right|\left|V_{3}\right|$ edges is called complete and denoted by $K_{h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}}$, where $h_{i}=\left|V_{i}\right|, i=1,2,3$..

Lemma 2.2. For every $d>0$ and an integer $h \geqslant 1$, there exist $c>0$ and $n_{2.2}$ such that every 3 -uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n \geqslant n_{2.2}$ vertices and with at least dn $n^{3}$ edges contains at least $\mathrm{cn}^{3 h}$ copies of $K_{h, h, h}$.

In the proof of the Cover Lemma we will also need the so-called weak hypergraph regularity lemma, which is the straightforward extension of Szemerédi's regularity lemma [33] from graphs to hypergraphs (see, e.g. [5, 8, 32]).

Given a 3-graph $H$ and three non-empty, disjoint subsets $A_{i} \subset V(H), i=1,2,3$, by $H\left[A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right]$ we denote the 3-partite 3 -graph with vertex set $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}$ which consists of all edges in $H$ with one vertex in each $A_{i}$. We set $e_{H}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$ for the number of edges of $H\left[A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right]$ and define the density of $H$ with respect to $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$ as

$$
d_{H}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)=\frac{e_{H}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)}{\left|A_{1}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{3}\right|}
$$

We say that a 3-partite 3-graph $H$ with 3-partition $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular if for all $A_{i} \subseteq V_{i}$ with $\left|A_{i}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right|, i=1,2,3$,

$$
\left|d_{H}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)-d_{H}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

Lemma 2.3 (Weak Regularity Lemma for 3 -graphs). For all $\varepsilon>0$ and every integer $t_{0}$ there exist $T_{0}$ and $n_{2.3}$ such that the following holds. For every 3 -graph $H$ on $n \geqslant n_{2.3}$ vertices there is for some $t$, with $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T_{0}$, a partition $V(H)=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{t}$ such that $\left|V_{1}\right| \leqslant\left|V_{2}\right| \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left|V_{t}\right| \leqslant\left|V_{1}\right|+1$ and for all but less than $\varepsilon\binom{t}{3}$ triplets of partition classes $\left\{V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right\}$, the the 3-partite 3-graph $H\left[V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right]$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.

Any partition guaranteed by Lemma 2.3 will be referred to as $\varepsilon$-regular.

For brevity, we will often write $u v$ instead $\{u, v\}$. The link graph of a vertex $u$ in a 3 -graph $H$ is defined as

$$
H(u)=\{v w:\{u, v, w\} \in H\} .
$$

Note that for every $v \neq u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}_{H(u)}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{H}(u, v) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each real $\alpha \in(0,1)$ we define

$$
G_{\alpha}=\left\{u v: \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u, v) \geqslant \alpha(n-2)\right\}
$$

and call a pair $\alpha$-large if it is in $G_{\alpha}$. The $1 / 3$-large pairs play a special role in our proof. However, also $G_{.33}$ will appear in our proof and should not be confused with $G_{1 / 3}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\left\{e \in H:\binom{e}{2} \cap G_{1 / 3}=\varnothing\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad H^{\prime}=H \backslash H_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $H^{\prime}$ is a spanning subhypergraph of $H$ with all edges of $H_{0}$ removed. Note that every edge of $H^{\prime}$ contains at least one pair from $G_{1 / 3}$.

We build a tight Hamiltonian cycle in $H$ from several small pieces. Tight paths are defined in the same way as tight cycles, but with respect to a linear ordering of the vertices. From now on we will refer to tight paths and cycles as paths and cycles, resp. If $P$ is a path with $t \geqslant 3$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ and $t-2$ edges $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{v_{t-2}, v_{t-1}, v_{t}\right\}$, then we call the ordered pairs $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{t}, v_{t-1}\right)$ the endpairs of $P$, and we say that $P$ connects its endpairs. The length of a path is defined as the number of its edges and the order denotes its number of vertices.

## §3. The four Pillars

In this section we prove the four crucial lemmas: the Connecting Lemma, the Absorbing Lemma, the Reservoir Lemma, and the Cover Lemma.
3.1. The Connecting Lemma. The connecting lemma in [29] assumes that $\delta_{2}(H)$ is large and guarantees a short path between any two ordered pairs of vertices. There is no hope for such a result here, as some pairs may have very small degree, even zero. So, we must be content with connecting just the pairs with large degrees. As a first step we establish a numerical relation between $\delta(H)$ and $\delta\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$. To this end, for all $0<\alpha<c<1$, define

$$
g_{c}(\alpha)=\frac{c-\alpha}{1-\alpha} .
$$

Claim 3.1. Let $0<\alpha<c<1$. If $\delta(H) \geqslant c\binom{n-1}{2}$ then $\delta\left(G_{\alpha}\right) \geqslant g_{c}(\alpha)(n-1)$.

Proof. Set $G:=G_{\alpha}$. Let $u_{0} \in V(H)$ satisfy $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{0}\right)=\delta(G)$. Then, by (1) we have

$$
2 \delta(H) \leqslant 2\left|H\left(u_{0}\right)\right|=\sum_{u \neq u_{0}} \operatorname{deg}_{H\left(u_{0}\right)}(u)=\sum_{u \neq u_{0}} \operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(u, u_{0}\right) .
$$

Breaking the latter sum into two parts: over $u u_{0} \in G$ and over $u u_{0} \notin G$, and recalling that $\left|\left\{u: u u_{0} \in G\right\}\right|=\delta(G)$, we obtain the inequality

$$
c(n-1)(n-2) \leqslant 2 \delta(H) \leqslant \sum_{u \neq u_{0}} \operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(u, u_{0}\right) \leqslant \delta(G)(n-2)+(n-1-\delta(G)) \alpha(n-2)
$$

from which the required bound follows.
We also need a simple combinatorial inequality which was observed already in [27, Fact 1]).
Claim 3.2. For any two finite sets $B$ and $R$, with $|B| \leqslant|R|$, the set

$$
\Pi(B, R)=\{\{b, r\}: b \in B, r \in R\}=\left\{e \in\binom{B \cup R}{2}: e \cap B \neq \varnothing \text { and } e \cap R \neq \varnothing\right\}
$$

has size

$$
|\Pi(B, R)| \geqslant\binom{|B|}{2}
$$

Proof. Let $c=|B \cap R|$. Then, as $|R| \geqslant|B| \geqslant c$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Pi(B, R)|=\binom{|B|+|R|-c}{2} & -\binom{|B|-c}{2}-\binom{|R|-c}{2} \\
& =|B| \cdot|R|-\binom{c+1}{2} \geqslant|B|^{2}-\binom{|B|+1}{2}=\binom{|B|}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now ready to prove the Connecting Lemma.
Lemma 3.3 (Connecting Lemma). There exists $n_{3.3}$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{3.3}$ the following holds. Let $H$ be an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta(H) \geqslant .799\binom{n-1}{2}$. Then, for all $e=u_{0} u_{1} \in G_{.33}$ and $f=v_{0} v_{1} \in G_{.33}$ with $e \cap f=\varnothing$ there exists a path in $H$ of length 12 connecting the endpairs $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$,

Proof. We build a connecting path by making our way from each side, increasing the degrees of the pairs as we go, until they both reach $65(n-2)$, a quantity that guaranties an immediate connection of the two paths. In doing so we will use a sequence of numbers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{5}$ such that $\alpha_{1}=.33, \alpha_{5}=.65$, and for each $i=2, \ldots, 5$, with $c=.799$, we have

$$
\alpha_{i}+g_{c}\left(\alpha_{i+1}\right)>1
$$

By inspection we found that $\alpha_{2}=.39, \alpha_{3}=.48$, and $\alpha_{4}=.58$ satisfy these requirements. Below we use Claim 3.1 repeatedly. For brevity, we write $g(\alpha)$ for $g_{.799}(\alpha)$. Moreover, for a graph $G$ and a vertex $v$ we denote by $N_{G}(v)$ the neighbourhood of $v$ in $G$ and, similarly,
we denote by $N_{H}(u, v)=\{x \in V(H):\{x, u, v\} \in E(H)\}$ the neighbours of the pair $u v$ in the 3 -graph $H$.

- Observe that, in view of Claim 3.1,

$$
\left|N_{G .39}\left(u_{1}\right)\right| \geqslant \delta\left(G_{.39}\right) \geqslant g(.39)(n-1)=\frac{409}{610}(n-1) \geqslant .67(n-2)+20,
$$

where the last inequality holds for sufficiently large $n$. Thus,

$$
\left|N_{H}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right|+\left|N_{G .39}\left(u_{1}\right)\right| \geqslant .33(n-2)+.67(n-1)+20>n+18,
$$

implying that

$$
\left|N_{H}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \cap N_{G .39}\left(u_{1}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|N_{H}\left(u_{0} u_{1}\right)\right|+\left|N_{G .39}\left(u_{1}\right)\right|-(n-1) \geqslant 20 .
$$

Consequently, there exists a vertex $u_{2} \notin\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ such that $\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \in H$ and $u_{1} u_{2} \in G .39$.

- Next, since

$$
\delta\left(G_{.48}\right) \geqslant g(.48)(n-1)=\frac{319}{520}(n-1) \geqslant .61(n-2)+20,
$$

a similar argument yields that

$$
\left|N_{H}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \cap N_{G .39}\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant 20,
$$

implying the existence of a vertex $u_{3} \notin\left\{u_{0}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ such that $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \in H$ and $u_{2} u_{3} \in G_{.48}$.

- Analogously, since

$$
\delta\left(G_{.58}\right) \geqslant g(.58)(n-1)=\frac{219}{420}(n-1) \geqslant .52(n-2)+20,
$$

there exists a vertex $u_{4} \notin\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ such that $\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \in H$ and $u_{3} u_{4} \in G .58$.

- Finally, since

$$
\delta\left(G_{.65}\right) \geqslant g(.65)(n-1)=\frac{149}{350}(n-1) \geqslant .42(n-2)+20,
$$

there exists a vertex $u_{5} \notin\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ such that $\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}\right\} \in H$ and $u_{4} u_{5} \in G_{.65}$.

Hence, we have created a 4-edge path $P_{u}=u_{0} u_{1} \ldots u_{5}$ in $H$ with $V\left(P_{u}\right) \cap f=\varnothing$ and $u_{4} u_{5} \in G_{.65}$.

In a similar fashion we build a path $P_{v}=v_{0} \ldots v_{5}$ which avoids all vertices of $P_{u}$ and such that also $\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}\right\} \in G_{.65}$. The additional +20 guarantees, with a margin, that even


Figure 1. Underlying pairs of the tight path of length 12 in $H$ connecting the pairs $u_{0} u_{1}$ with $v_{1} v_{0}$ from $G_{.33}$.
when choosing the last vertex, $v_{5}$, we can still avoid the already selected vertices. To connect the two paths together, let us consider the intersection of link graphs of $u_{5}$ and $v_{5}$

$$
I=H\left(u_{5}\right) \cap H\left(v_{5}\right) .
$$

Owing to the assumption $\delta(H) \geqslant .799\binom{n-1}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I| \geqslant\left|H\left(u_{5}\right)\right|+\left|H\left(v_{5}\right)\right|-\left|H\left(u_{5}\right) \cup H\left(v_{5}\right)\right| \geqslant 2 \delta(H)-\binom{n}{2} \geqslant .598\binom{n}{2}+O(n) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
B=N_{H}\left(u_{4}, u_{5}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad R=N_{H}\left(v_{4}, v_{5}\right)
$$

and assume as we may that $|B| \leqslant|R|$. Let $F$ be the set of pairs $x y \in\binom{V(H)}{2}$ such that $\left\{u_{4}, u_{5}, x\right\} \in H$ and $\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}, y\right\} \in H$, that is, the set of pairs of vertices with one vertex belonging to $B$ and the other to $R$. By Claim 3.2, $|F| \geqslant\binom{|B|}{2}$ and, since $u_{4} u_{5} \in G_{.65}$, we have $|B| \geqslant .65(n-2)$. Consequently,

$$
|F| \geqslant\binom{|B|}{2} \geqslant(.65)^{2}\binom{n}{2}+O(n) .
$$

Since $.598+(.65)^{2}>1.02$, it follows from (3) and the above bound that

$$
|F \cap I| \geqslant|F|+|I|-\binom{n}{2}>.02\binom{n}{2}+O(n)
$$

which, for sufficiently large $n$, is greater than $8 n$, which is an upper bound on the number of pairs $\{x, y\} \in F \cap I$ with $\{x, y\} \cap V\left(P_{u} \cup P_{v}\right) \neq \varnothing$. We conclude that there exist two vertices $x=u_{6}$ and $y=v_{6}$ different from all $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{5}, v_{0} \ldots, v_{5}$, such that all four triples $\left\{u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right\},\left\{u_{5}, u_{6}, v_{6}\right\},\left\{u_{6}, v_{6}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{6}, v_{5}, v_{4}\right\}$ are edges of $H$. Hence, a path between the endpairs $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$ of length 12 can be completed (see Figure 1).


Figure 2. An $x$-absorber of order 5 on $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{5}$ with $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $v_{4} v_{5}$ from $G_{1 / 3}$.
3.2. The Absorbing Lemma. We first define absorbing path and introduce the notion of an absorber in this context.

Definition 3.4. We call a path $A$ in $H$-absorbing if for every subset $U \subset V(H) \backslash V(A)$ of size $|U| \leqslant m$ there is a path $A_{U}$ in $H$ with $V\left(A_{U}\right)=V(A) \cup U$ and with the same endpairs as $A$.

Recall that a pair of vertices in $H$ is called $\alpha$-large if it belongs to $G_{\alpha}$.
Definition 3.5. Given a vertex $x \in V(H)$, an $x$-absorber of order $i$ is a path $P=v_{1} \ldots v_{i}$ in $H$ such that the graph path $Q=v_{1} \ldots v_{i}$ is a subgraph of the link graph $H(x)$ and the endpairs $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $v_{i} v_{i-1}$ of $P$ belong to $G_{1 / 3}$ (see Figure 2).

The Absorbing Lemma states that every 3 -graph $H$ with sufficiently large minimum degree contains a relatively short absorbing path which may absorb a small fraction of the vertices of $H$.

Lemma 3.6 (Absorbing Lemma). There exists $\gamma_{0}>0$ and $n_{3.6}$ such that for every $0<\gamma<\gamma_{0}$ and every $n \geqslant n_{3.6}$ the following is true. If $\delta(H) \geqslant .8\binom{n-1}{2}$, then there exists in $H$ a $\gamma^{2} n$-absorbing path $A$ with (1/3)-large endpairs and with $|V(A)| \leqslant \gamma n$.

We will build an absorbing path $A$ by connecting, via Lemma 3.3, disjoint absorbers of order 4 or 5 , by paths of length 12 . For the proof of Lemma 3.6 we need Claims 3.7 and 3.9 stated below.

Recall the definition of the subhypergraph $H^{\prime} \subseteq H$ in (2). Fix a vertex $x \in V$ and let $T^{x}$ stand for the family of the vertex sets of all triangles in the link graph $H^{\prime}(x)$.

Claim 3.7. There exists some $c>0$ such that for every $x \in V$ with $|V|=n$ sufficiently large we have
(a) $\left|T^{x}\right| \geqslant .296262\binom{n-1}{3}$,
(b) $\left|T^{x} \cap H^{\prime}\right| \geqslant 0.005\binom{n-1}{3}$, and
(c) $T^{x} \cap H^{\prime}$ contains at least cn ${ }^{6}$ copies of $K_{2,2,2}$.

Proof. By the definition of $H^{\prime} \subseteq H$ and by Claim 3.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \delta(H)-\binom{n-1-\delta\left(G_{1 / 3}\right)}{2} & \geqslant \delta(H)-\binom{n-1-g_{.8}(1 / 3)(n-1)}{2} \\
& \geqslant .8\binom{n-1}{2}-\binom{.3(n-1)}{2} \geqslant .709 \frac{n^{2}}{2}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for large $n$. The link graph $H^{\prime}(x)$ has at least $\delta\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geqslant .709 n^{2} / 2$ edges and by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\left|T^{x}\right| \geqslant .709(2 \cdot(.709)-1) \frac{n^{3}}{6} \geqslant .296262\binom{n}{3} .
$$

As

$$
\left|H^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \delta\left(H^{\prime}\right) \frac{n}{3} \geqslant .709 \frac{n^{3}}{6} \geqslant .709\binom{n}{3}
$$

we have

$$
\left|T^{x} \cap H^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left|T^{x}\right|+\left|H^{\prime}\right|-\left|T^{x} \cup H^{\prime}\right| \geqslant(.296262+.709-1)\binom{n}{3}>0.005\binom{n}{3} .
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 with $d=0.005$ and $h=2$, there exists a constant $c$ such that for $n \geqslant n_{2.2}$ there are at least $c n^{6}$ copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $T^{x} \cap H^{\prime}$.

Recall that $e \in H^{\prime}$ if $\binom{e}{3} \cap G_{1 / 3} \neq \varnothing$, and $e \in T^{x}$ if the vertices of $e$ form a triangle in $H^{\prime}(x)$. We will need the following notions.

Definition 3.8. Let $x$ be a vertex of $H$.

- Every copy of $K_{2,2,2}$ contained in the 3-graph $T^{x} \cap H^{\prime}$ is called $x$-friendly.
- For a copy $K$ of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $H^{\prime}$, let $S_{K}$ denote the set of all vertices $x \in V(H)$ for which $K$ is $x$-friendly.

Claim 3.9. Every copy $K$ of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $H^{\prime}$ contains a path of order 4 or 5 , which is an $x$-absorber for every $x \in S_{K}$.

Proof. Let the three partition classes of $K$ are $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, and $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. We have to find a path of order 4 or 5 in $K$ whose endpairs are in $G_{1 / 3}$. Consider the two disjoint hyperedges $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right\}$ of $K$. By definition of $H^{\prime}$ each of these two hyperedges must contain at least one pair from $G_{1 / 3}$. By symmetry, it suffices to consider the following two cases.
Case $1\left(\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\} \in G_{1 / 3}\right.$ and $\left.\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\} \in G_{1 / 3}\right)$. Then $u_{2} v_{1} w_{1} u_{2} v_{2}$ is an $x$-absorber of order 5 for all $x \in S_{K}$.
Case $2\left(\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\} \in G_{1 / 3}\right.$ and $\left.\left\{u_{2}, w_{2}\right\} \in G_{1 / 3}\right)$. Then $u_{1} v_{1} w_{2} u_{2}$ is an $x$-absorber of order 4 for all $x \in S_{K}$.

With these preparations we can prove the Absorbing Lemma.
Proof of Lemma 3.6. Set

$$
\gamma \leqslant \gamma_{0}=\min \left\{\frac{c}{61}, 0.001\right\}
$$

where $c$ is given by Claim 3.7. Let $\gamma \leqslant \gamma_{0}$ and $n$ be sufficiently large. Select randomly a family $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $H^{\prime}$, independently and with probability $p=\frac{1}{30} \gamma n^{-5}$. By Markov's inequality, with probability at least $1-0.5-0.4=0.1, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ satisfies:

- $\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 2 n^{6} p=\frac{\gamma}{15} n$,
- there are at most $\frac{5}{2} \times n^{6} \times 6 \times n^{5} p^{2}=15 n^{11} p^{2}$ pairs of vertex-intersecting copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$,
and, for large $n$, by Chernoff's inequality and using Claim 3.7, with probability greater than 0.9,
- for every vertex $x$, there are at least $\frac{1}{2} c n^{6} p x$-friendly copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.

Hence, there exists a family $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $H^{\prime}$ satisfying all three above conditions. By removing from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ one copy of each intersecting pair, we obtain a subfamily $\mathcal{F}$ such that

- $|\mathcal{F}| \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{15} n$,
- $\mathcal{F}$ consists of disjoint copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $H^{\prime}$,
- for every vertex $x$, there are at least

$$
\frac{1}{2} c n^{6} p-15 n^{11} p^{2} \geqslant \frac{c}{60} \gamma n-\frac{15}{900} \gamma^{2} n \geqslant \gamma^{2} n
$$

$x$-friendly copies of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $\mathcal{F}$,
where for the last inequality we used the bound $\gamma \leqslant c / 61$.
Recall that by Claim 3.9 each copy $K$ of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $H^{\prime}$ contains a path of order 4 or 5 which is an $x$-absorber for all $x \in S_{K}$. We now select one absorber from each copy of $K_{2,2,2}$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Let us denote the resulting family of paths by $\mathcal{P}$ and note that $|\mathcal{P}|=|\mathcal{F}| \leqslant \gamma n / 15$. Using Lemma 3.3, we will connect all paths in $\mathcal{P}$ into one path $A$ of order at most

$$
(5+10)|\mathcal{P}|-10 \leqslant 15 \times \frac{\gamma}{15} n \leqslant \gamma n .
$$

(There are at most 5 vertices on a path in $\mathcal{P}$ and the number of new vertices connecting this path with another one is, by Lemma 3.3, 14-4=10, since 4 of the 14 vertices belong to the paths in $\mathcal{P}$.)

Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}, t \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{15} n$, be the paths (of order 4 or 5) in $\mathcal{F}$. Assume that, for some $i=1, \ldots, t-1$, we have already connected $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}$ into a path $A_{i}$ of order at most $15 i$. Let $H_{i}$ be the subhypergraph of $H$ obtained by removing from $H$ all vertices of $A_{i}$ along with all vertices of $P_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{t}$, except for one endpair $e_{i+1}=w_{i+1} w_{i+1}^{\prime}$ of $P_{i+1}$ and the
endpair $e_{i}=w_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}$ of $P_{i}$ (which is also an endpair of $A_{i}$ ). Since $\gamma \leqslant \gamma_{0} \leqslant 0.001$, assuming $n_{3.6} \geqslant n_{3.3} /\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|V\left(H_{i}\right)\right| \geqslant n-15 t \geqslant\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) n \geqslant n_{3.3} \\
\delta\left(H_{i}\right) \geqslant \delta(H)-15 t n \geqslant \delta(H)-\gamma n^{2} \geqslant .799\binom{n-1}{2} \geqslant .799\binom{\left|V\left(H_{i}\right)\right|-1}{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{H_{i}}\left(w_{i}, w_{i}^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{H_{i}}\left(w_{i+1}, w_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} n-15 t n \geqslant .33 n \geqslant .33\left|V\left(H_{i}\right)\right|
$$

Hence, the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied, and so there is a path $Q_{i}$ of length 12 connecting $e_{i}$ and $e_{i+1}$ in $H_{i}$. The concatenation of the paths $A_{i}, Q_{i}$, and $P_{i+1}$ constitutes the path $A_{i+1}$. Finally, set $A=A_{t}$.

To see that $A$ is indeed a $\gamma^{2} n$-absorbing path in $H$, consider an arbitrary subset $U \subseteq V \backslash V(A)$ of size $|U| \leqslant \gamma^{2} n$. Since for every $x \in U$ there are at least $\gamma^{2} n x$-absorbers $P_{i}$ in $A$, there is a one-to-one mapping $f: U \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that for every $x \in U, P_{f(x)}$ is an $x$-absorber. Let $\left(v_{1}^{x}, \ldots, v_{i}^{x}\right)$ be the vertices of the path $P_{f(x)}(4 \leqslant i \leqslant 5)$. Then the path obtained from $A$ by replacing, for each $x \in U$, the edges $\left\{v_{1}^{x}, v_{2}^{x}, v_{3}^{x}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{2}^{x}, v_{3}^{x}, v_{4}^{x}\right\}$ with $\left\{v_{1}^{x}, v_{2}^{x}, x\right\},\left\{v_{2}^{x}, x, v_{3}^{x}\right\}$, and $\left\{x, v_{3}^{x}, v_{4}^{x}\right\}$, is the desired path $A_{U}$.
3.3. The Reservoir Lemma. The next preparatory step toward the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to put aside a reservoir set $R$ which should be small, quickly reachable from any pair in $G_{1 / 3}$, and, moreover, the induced subhypergraph $H[R]$ should satisfy the assumption of Lemma 3.3 with some margin. We state this lemma in a general form.

Lemma 3.10. Let $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{s}$ be subsets of an n-element set $V$ and let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{g}$ be graphs on $V$, where $s$ and $g$ are both polynomials in $n$ and such that for constants $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in(0,1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$ and $j=1, \ldots, g$ we have $\left|U_{i}\right| \geqslant \alpha_{i} n$ and $\left|L_{j}\right| \geqslant \beta_{j}\binom{n}{2}, j=1, \ldots, g$.

Then for every constant $p, 0<p<1$ there is $n_{3.10}=n_{3.10}(p)$ such that if $n \geqslant n_{3.10}$ then there exists a subset $R \subset V$ satisfying
(a) $||R|-p n| \leqslant p n^{2 / 3}$,
(b) for all $i=1, \ldots, s$, we have $\left|U_{i} \cap R\right| \geqslant\left(\alpha_{i}-2 n^{-1 / 3}\right)|R|$, and
(c) for all $i=1, \ldots, g$, we have $\left|L_{j}[R]\right| \geqslant\left(\beta_{j}-3 n^{-1 / 3}\right)\binom{|R|}{2}$.

Proof. Select a binomial random subset $R$ of $V$ by including to $R$ every element of $V$, independently, with probability $p$. The random variable $|R|$ has the binomial distribution with expectation $n p$. By Chebyshev's inequality, with probability tending to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, part (a) holds.

For every $i$, the random variable $X_{i}=\left|U_{i} \cap R\right|$ is also binomially distributed, with expectation

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=\left|U_{i}\right| p \geqslant \alpha_{i} n p .
$$

Thus, by a standard application of Chernoff's bound (see [15, inequality (2.6)]) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: X_{i} \leqslant n p\left(\alpha_{i}-n^{-1 / 3}\right)\right) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: X_{i} \leqslant\left|U_{i}\right| p-p n^{2 / 3}\right) \leqslant s \cdot \exp \left(-(p / 2) n^{1 / 3}\right)=o(1)
$$

where in the last step we used, in passing, the trivial bound $\left|U_{i}\right| \leqslant n$. Hence, using the estimate in (a), for large $n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: X_{i} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{i}-2 n^{-1 / 3}\right)|R|\right) & =o(1)+\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: X_{i} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{i}-2 n^{-1 / 3}\right)|R|,|R| \leqslant n p\left(1+n^{-1 / 3}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant o(1)+\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: X_{i} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{i}-2 n^{-1 / 3}\right) n p\left(1+n^{-1 / 3}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant o(1)+\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: X_{i} \leqslant n p\left(\alpha_{i}-n^{-1 / 3}\right)\right) \\
& =o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the randomly chosen set $R$ satisfies condition (b) with probability tending to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For part (c), fix $i$ and consider a random variable $Y_{i}=\left|L_{i}[R]\right|$ counting the number of edges $\{u, w\} \in L_{i}$ with $\{u, w\} \subseteq R$. Note that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]=\left|L_{i}\right| p^{2} \geqslant \beta_{i}\binom{n}{2} p^{2} .
$$

We apply to $Y_{i}$ Janson's inequality (see, e.g., [15, Theorem 2.14]), which states that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{i} \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]-t\right) \leqslant \exp \left\{-t^{2} / \bar{\Delta}\right\} .
$$

Here $\bar{\Delta}=\sum \sum \mathbb{E}\left[I_{e} I_{f}\right]$, where the summation runs over all ordered pairs of not necessarily distinct edges of $L_{i}$ which share at least one vertex, while $I_{e}=1$ when $e \subset R$ and $I_{e}=0$ otherwise. Observe that, up to the order of magnitude, $\bar{\Delta}$ is equal to the expected number of pairs of edges of $L_{i}$, sharing a vertex, whose all three vertices are included in $R$. Thus, $\bar{\Delta}=\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$, and, consequently, with $t=n^{-1 / 3}\binom{n}{2} p^{2}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: Y_{i} \leqslant\left(\beta_{i}-n^{-1 / 3}\right)\binom{n}{2} p^{2}\right) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: Y_{i} \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]-t\right) \leqslant g \exp \left\{-\Theta\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)\right\}=o(1) .\right.
$$

Using part (a) again, for large $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: Y_{i} \leqslant\left(\beta_{i}-3 n^{-1 / 3}\right)\binom{X}{2}\right) \\
&=o(1)+\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: Y_{i} \leqslant\left(\beta_{i}-3 n^{-1 / 3}\right)\binom{X}{2}, X \leqslant n p\left(1+n^{-1 / 3}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant o(1)+\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: Y_{i} \leqslant\left(\beta_{i}-3 n^{-1 / 3}\right)\binom{n}{2} p^{2}\left(1+n^{-1 / 3}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant o(1)+\mathbb{P}\left(\exists i: Y_{i} \leqslant\left(\beta_{i}-n^{-1 / 3}\right)\binom{n}{2} p^{2}\right) \\
&=o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that the random set $R$ satisfies the condition of part $(c)$ with probability tending to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In summary, for sufficiently large $n$, the probability that at least one of conditions $(a),(b)$, or $(c)$ fails is less than 1 , and thus, there exists a set $R \subset V$ satisfying all three properties $(a),(b)$, and $(c)$.
3.4. The Cover Lemma. Recall that $K_{L, L, L}$ denotes the complete 3-partite 3-graph on vertex classes of size $L$.

Lemma 3.11 (Cover Lemma). For every $\varrho>0, \lambda>0$, and an integer $L$, there exists an integer $n_{3.11}$ such that every 3-graph $H$ with $n \geqslant n_{3.11}$ vertices and $\delta(H) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\lambda\right)\binom{n-1}{2}$, contains a family of vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{L, L, L}$, which together cover at least $(1-\varrho) n$ vertices of $H$.

In the proof of Lemma 3.11 we will need the following result from [11].
Theorem 3.12. For every $\beta>0$ there exists $t_{1}$ such that every 3 -graph $H$ with $t \geqslant t_{1}$ vertices, $3 \mid t$, and with $\delta(H) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\beta\right)\binom{t-1}{2}$ contains a perfect matching.

The proof of Lemma 3.11 consists of several short steps. We begin by applying the Weak Regularity Lemma (Lemma 2.3) to $H$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4} \varrho^{2}, \frac{1}{400} \lambda^{2}\right\}, \quad t_{0} \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{13}{\lambda}, 2 t_{1}\right\} \quad n_{3.11} \geqslant \max \left\{n_{2.3}, T_{0} n_{2.2}\right\}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}$ is given by Lemma 2.3. We apply Lemma 2.3 to $H$, obtaining an $\varepsilon$-regular partition $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}\right)$, where $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T_{0}$. Let us call the sets $V_{i}$ clusters and below we consider the cluster 3 -graph $K=K(\lambda / 12, \varepsilon)$ on the vertex set $[t]=\{1, \ldots, t\}$. First, we define two auxiliary 3 -graphs on $[t]$ :

- $D(\lambda / 12)$ consisting of all triples $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\} \subset[t]$ such that $d_{H}\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right) \geqslant \lambda / 12$, and
- $R(\varepsilon)$ consisting of all triples $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\} \subset[t]$ such that $H\left[V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right]$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.

Having defined $D$ and $R$ we define $K=K(\lambda / 12, \varepsilon)$ as the intersection

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=D(\lambda / 12) \cap R(\varepsilon) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the edges of $K$ are all triples of indices $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}$ such that $d_{H}\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right) \geqslant \lambda / 12$ and $H\left[V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right]$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.

Claim 3.13.

$$
\delta(D) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{2}{3} \lambda\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}
$$

Proof. Assume for simplicity that $t \mid n$ so that $\left|V_{j}\right|=n / t$ for every $j$. Fix $i \in[t]$ and set $N_{i}$ for the number of edges in $\bigcup_{j, \ell}\left|H\left[V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{\ell}\right]\right|$, where the union runs over all pairs $j, \ell$ such that $\{i, j, \ell\} \in D$. Then, on one hand,

$$
N_{i} \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{D}(i) \cdot(n / t)^{3},
$$

while on the other hand, we can bound $N_{i}$ from below as follows. We have

$$
\sum_{u \in V_{i}} \operatorname{deg}_{H}(u) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\lambda\right)(n / t)\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

but this sum counts the edges within $V_{i}$ three times and the edges with two vertices in $V_{i}$ twice. Thus, the difference

$$
\left(\frac{5}{9}+\lambda\right)(n / t)\binom{n-1}{2}-3\binom{n / t}{3}-2\binom{n / t}{2}(t-1)(n / t)
$$

sets a lower bound on the number of edges of $H$ with exactly one vertex in $V_{i}$. To get a lower bound on $N_{i}$ we need to further exclude the at most $\binom{t-1}{2}(\lambda / 12)(n / t)^{3}$ edges belonging to sub-3-graphs $H\left[V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{\ell}\right]$ with $\{i, j, \ell\} \notin D$, as well as, the at most $\binom{n / t}{2}(t-1)(n / t)$ edges with two vertices in the same set $V_{j}, j \neq i$. Altogether, we arrive at the inequality

$$
N_{i} \geqslant\left(\begin{array}{c}
5 \\
9
\end{array}+\lambda\right)(n / t)\binom{n-1}{2}-\frac{\lambda}{12}\binom{t-1}{2}(n / t)^{3}-3\binom{n / t}{3}-3\binom{n / t}{2}(t-1)(n / t)
$$

whose right-hand side, for large $n$ and using the bound on $t_{0}$, can be further bounded from below by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{5}{9}+\lambda-\frac{\lambda}{12}-\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{3}{t}\right) \frac{n^{3}}{2 t}+O\left(n^{2}\right) & \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{11 \lambda}{12}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{169}-\frac{3 \lambda}{13}+o(1)\right) \frac{n^{3}}{2 t} \\
& \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{2}{3} \lambda\right) \frac{n^{3}}{2 t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the upper and lower bound on $N_{i}$, we obtain the desired estimate.
The just established lower bound on the minimum degree in $D$ is essentially valid for the 3-graph $K$ as well, and thus, allows one to find in $K$ an almost perfect matching.

Claim 3.14. There exists a matching $M$ in $K$ with $|V(M)| \geqslant(1-\sqrt{\varepsilon}) t$.
Proof. We will find a sub-3-graph $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ with $\left|V\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right|:=t^{\prime} \geqslant(1-\sqrt{\varepsilon}) t$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(K^{\prime}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda\right)\binom{t^{\prime}-1}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once we are done with this task, the claim will follow from Theorem 3.12 with $\beta=\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ (note that, by (5), $\varepsilon \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $t^{\prime} \geqslant t / 2 \geqslant t_{0} / 2 \geqslant t_{1}$ ).

Since the number of $\varepsilon$-irregular triples is less than $\varepsilon\binom{t}{3}$, the set $W$ of vertices $i \in[t]$ incident in $D$ to more than $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t-1}{2}$ of them has size $|W| \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon} t$. For every vertex $i \in[t] \backslash W$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{K}(i) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{2}{3} \lambda\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t-1}{2}
$$

Let $W^{\prime} \supset W$ be such that $t-\left|W^{\prime}\right|$ is divisible by 3 and $\left|W^{\prime}\right| \leqslant|W|+2$. As for every $i \in[t] \backslash W^{\prime}$ and $j \in W^{\prime}$ there are at most $t-2$ edges in $K$ containing both these vertices, the induced sub-3-graph $K^{\prime}=K-W^{\prime}$ has minimum degree at least

$$
\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{2}{3} \lambda\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\binom{t-1}{2}-(\sqrt{\varepsilon} t+2)(t-2) \geqslant\left(\frac{5}{9}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\binom{t-1}{2}
$$

where the first inequality follows from the bound $\varepsilon \leqslant \lambda^{2} / 400$. Since $t \geqslant t^{\prime}$, we have also (7) which, as explained above, completes the proof of Claim 3.14.

Claim 3.15. For every $\{i, j, \ell\} \in M$ the 3-graph $H\left[V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{\ell}\right]$ contains a family $\mathcal{Q}_{i j \ell}$ of vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{L, L, L}$ such that $\left|\mathcal{Q}_{i j \ell}\right| L \geqslant(1-\varepsilon) n / t$.

Proof. The claim will follow if we show that for all $W_{k} \subset V_{k},\left|W_{i}\right|=\varepsilon n / t, k=i, j, \ell$, the induced subhypergraph $H\left[W_{i}, W_{j}, W_{\ell}\right]$ contains a $K_{L, L, L}$. Indeed, then a maximal family of vertex disjoint copies of $K_{L, L, L}$ in $H\left[V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{\ell}\right]$ may miss only less than $\varepsilon n / t$ vertices in each set $V_{k}$ for $k=i, j, \ell$.

By $\varepsilon$-regularity of $H\left[V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{\ell}\right]$ we have

$$
\left|H\left[W_{i}, W_{j}, W_{\ell}\right]\right| \geqslant(\lambda / 12-\varepsilon)(n / t)^{3}=\frac{1}{27}(\lambda / 12-\varepsilon)(3 n / t)^{3} .
$$

Recalling that $t \leqslant T_{0}, n \geqslant n_{3.11}$, and in view of (5), observe that

$$
n / t \geqslant n / T_{0} \geqslant n_{3.11} / T_{0} \geqslant n_{2.2}
$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to $H\left[W_{i}, W_{j}, W_{\ell}\right]$ with $d=\frac{1}{27}(\lambda / 12-\varepsilon)$ and $h=L$, and conclude that there is in $H\left[W_{i}, W_{j}, W_{\ell}\right]$ a copy of $K_{L, L, L}$ (in fact, as many as $c(3 n / t)^{3 L}>0$, for some $c>0$ ).

Proof of Lemma 3.11 (conclusion). Consider the union of all the families guaranteed by Claim 3.15, $\mathcal{Q}=\bigcup_{\{i, j, \ell\} \in M} \mathcal{Q}_{i j \ell}$. Since, clearly, $|M| \leqslant t / 3$ and, by Claim 3.14, at most $\sqrt{\varepsilon} t(n / t)=\sqrt{\varepsilon} n$ vertices of $H$ are not covered by the clusters of $M$, we conclude that $\mathcal{Q}$ covers all but at most

$$
|M| \times 3 \varepsilon n / t+\sqrt{\varepsilon} n \leqslant(\varepsilon+\sqrt{\varepsilon}) n \leqslant \varrho n
$$

vertices of $H$, where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $\varepsilon \leqslant \varrho^{2} / 4$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.11.

Remark 3.16. As it will become clear in the next section, for our purposes it would be sufficient to prove Lemma 3.11 under the stronger assumption $\delta(H) \geqslant .7\binom{n-1}{2}$ and then the proof of Claim 3.14 would be quite straightforward, in particular, we would not need Theorem 3.12. But with Theorem 3.12 at hand, the strengthening of Lemma 3.11 comes for free and may be useful in the future work towards Conjecture 1.3.

## §4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $H$ be a 3-graph with $\delta(H) \geqslant .8\binom{n-1}{2}$ and $n \geqslant n_{1.2}$. To find a Hamiltonian cycle in $H$ we follow the five step outline presented in Section 2.1., and use Lemmas 3.6, 3.10, 3.11 , and 3.3 along the way. To facilitate their application, we begin with setting up the constants.

Let $\gamma_{0}$ be given by Lemma 3.6 and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\min \left\{\gamma_{0}, 10^{-6} / 3\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let $n_{3.10}=n_{3.10}\left(\gamma^{2} / 3\right)$ and $n_{3.11}$ come from Lemma 3.11 with $\varrho=\gamma^{3}, L=\left\lceil\frac{1}{3} \gamma^{-3}\right\rceil$, and, say, $\lambda=1 / 9$. Finally, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1.2}=\max \left(\frac{n_{3.3}}{\gamma^{2} / 4-14 \gamma^{3}}, n_{3.6}, \frac{n_{3.10}}{1-\gamma}, \frac{n_{3.11}}{1-\gamma-\gamma^{2} / 2}, 10^{12}, \frac{2}{\gamma^{3}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.1. Finding an absorbing path $A$ in $H$. By Lemma 3.6 there exists in $H$ a $\gamma^{2} n$ absorbing path $A$ with $1 / 3$-large endpairs and with $|V(A)| \leqslant \gamma n$. Recall that the powerful absorbing property of $A$ asserts that for every subset $U \subset V(H) \backslash V(A)$ of size $|U| \leqslant \gamma^{2} n$ there is a path $A_{U}$ in $H$ with $V\left(A_{U}\right)=V(A) \cup U$ and with the same endpairs as $A$ (see Definition 3.4). We are going to use this property at the very end of the proof.
4.2. Finding a reservoir set $R$ in $H-V(A)$. We need a small reservoir set $R$ which can be quickly reached from any $1 / 3$-large pair of vertices in $H$ and which satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.3. The next claim is a simple corollary of Lemma 3.10.

Claim 4.1. There exists a set $R \subset V(H) \backslash V(A)$ such that
(a) $\gamma^{2} n / 4 \leqslant|R| \leqslant \gamma^{2} n / 2$,
$\left(b_{1}\right)$ every $1 / 3$-large pair e of $H$ has at least $.333|R|$ neighbours in $R$,
$\left(b_{2}\right)$ every vertex $v$ of $H$ has at least $.697|R|$ neighbours in $G_{1 / 3}$ which belong to $R$,
(c) $\delta(H[R]) \geqslant .7994\binom{|R|}{2}$.

Proof. Note that $|V(H) \backslash V(A)| \geqslant(1-\gamma) n \geqslant n_{3.10}$ and apply Lemma 3.10 to $V(H) \backslash V(A)$ with $p=\gamma^{2} / 3$ and with the following choice of sets $U_{i}$ and graphs $L_{j}$ :
(b) The sets $U_{i}$ are $\left(b_{1}\right)$ the sets $N_{H}(e) \backslash V(A)$, over all $e \in G_{1 / 3}$,
$\left(b_{2}\right)$ the sets $N_{G_{1 / 3}}(v) \backslash V(A)$, over all $v \in V$,
(c) The graphs $L_{j}$ are the graphs $H(v)-V(A), v \in V$, obtained from the link graphs by removing the vertices on $A$.

The corresponding coefficients $\alpha_{i}$ for sets in group $\left(b_{1}\right)$ are $\alpha_{e}=1 / 3-\gamma$, while in group $\left(b_{2}\right)$ they are, by Claim 1, with $\alpha_{v}=h_{.8}(1 / 3)-\gamma=.7-\gamma$. The coefficient $\beta_{j}$ for graphs (part ( $c$ ) ) are $\beta_{v}=.8-3 \gamma$, because every vertex $v$ belongs to at most $|V(A)|(n-2)<3 \gamma\binom{n-1}{2}$ edges intersecting $V(A)$.

In the short argument below we use the bounds on $\gamma$ and $n$ stemming from (8) and (9). Because $n \geqslant 10^{12} \geqslant 364$, part (a) follows from Lemma $3.10(a)$. Parts $\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{2}\right)$ follow from Lemma $3.10(b)$, because $\gamma \leqslant 0.001$ and $n^{-3} \leqslant 0.001$. Finally, part $(c)$ follows from Lemma $3.10(c)$, using $\gamma \leqslant 0.0001$ and $n^{-3} \leqslant 0.0001$, and the relation $\delta(H[R])=\min _{v \in R}|H(v)[R]|$.
4.3. Finding a collection of disjoint paths $\mathcal{P}$, covering most of the vertices. Our goal here is to find a collection of disjoint paths in $H$ which are disjoint from $V(A) \cup R$, cover almost all vertices in $V(H) \backslash(V(A) \cup R)$, and, most importantly, have 1/3-large endpairs. This last condition is needed in the next step of the proof where we connect all these paths together.

Recall that $H^{\prime}$ is a sub-3-graph of $H$ consisting of all edges containing at least one $1 / 3$-large pair, that is, an edge of the graph $G_{1 / 3}$ (see (2)). We have already shown that $\delta\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geqslant .709 n^{2} / 2$ (see (4)). Setting

$$
H^{\prime \prime}:=H^{\prime}-(V(A) \cup R)
$$

and noting that, by (8), $\gamma<0.0005$, we thus have

$$
\delta\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant .709 n^{2} / 2-|V(A) \cup R| n \geqslant .709 n^{2} / 2-2 \gamma n^{2} \geqslant .708 n^{2} / 2 \geqslant .708\binom{n-1}{2} .
$$

By (9), we also have $\left|V\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \geqslant n_{3.11}$. We apply Lemma 3.11 to $H^{\prime \prime}:=H^{\prime}-(V(A) \cup R)$ with $\varrho=\gamma^{3}, L=\left\lceil\frac{1}{3} \gamma^{-3}\right\rceil$, and, say, $\lambda=1 / 9$, obtaining a family $\mathcal{Q}$ of vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{L, L, L}$ which together cover at least $\left(1-\gamma^{3}\right)\left|V\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ vertices of $H^{\prime \prime}$.

By the definition of $H^{\prime}$, every copy $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ of $K_{L, L, L}$ contains a path $P$ of length at least $3 L-1$ with both endpairs in $G_{1 / 3}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the family of all these paths. Note that

$$
|\mathcal{P}|=|\mathcal{Q}| \leqslant n / 3 L<\gamma^{3} n
$$

Unlike in [27], the path cover $\mathcal{P}$ we have gotten consists of $\Theta(n)$ paths of length $O(1)$. Yet, the number of these paths, $|\mathcal{P}|$, is much smaller than $|R|$ (compare the above bound with the lower bound in part ( $a$ ) of Claim 4.1.) This allows us to glue them all together using the reservoir set.
4.4. Connecting the paths in $\mathcal{P}$ and the absorbing path $A$ into a long cycle. Our task is to connect all the paths in $\mathcal{P}$, as well as the absorbing path $A$, into one cycle. Let $m=|\mathcal{P}|+1$ be the number of these paths and let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m-1}\right\}$. Further, let $e_{i}$ and $f_{i+1}$ be the endpairs of $P_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, where we set $P_{m}=A$ and $f_{m+1}=f_{1}$ for convenience. Recall that all these endpairs are ordered pairs of vertices and, treated as unordered pairs, they belong to $G_{1 / 3}$.

Then, the following claim is all what we need. It states that all pairs $\left\{e_{i}, f_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, m$, can be simultaneously connected by short, mutually vertex-disjoint paths whose all inner vertices (other than those in $e_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ ) belong to $R$. Of course, this connecting scheme results in a cycle $C$ in $H$ containing all paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m-1}$ and $A$ as sub-3-graphs.

Claim 4.2. Let $\delta(H) \geqslant .8\binom{n-1}{2}$ and let $R$ satisfy properties (a)-(c) of Claim 4.1. Further, let $m$ be an integer, $m \leqslant \gamma^{3} n+1$, and let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}$ and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ be disjoint ordered pairs in $V \backslash R$ which belong to $G_{1 / 3}$. Then, there are disjoint paths $\Pi_{1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}$, each of length 16, where, for $i=1, \ldots, m, \Pi_{i}$ has endpairs $e_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ and $V\left(\Pi_{i}\right) \backslash\left(e_{i} \cup f_{i}\right) \subset R$.

Proof. We show by induction on $i$ that the paths $\Pi_{1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}$ exist and that $\left|V\left(\Pi_{i}\right) \cap R\right|=14$. Suppose that for some $0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$ we have already found paths $\Pi_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, i$. Together these paths occupy $14 i \leqslant 14(m-1) \leqslant 14 \gamma^{3} n$ vertices of $R$. Let $R_{i}$ be the set of all the remaining vertices of $R$. Thus, by part (a) of Claim 4.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{i}\right| \geqslant|R|-14 \gamma^{3} n \geqslant n_{3.3} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties $(a)-(c)$ of $R$ established in Claim 4.1 imply the following, a bit weaker, properties of every subset $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$, with $\left|R^{\prime}\right| \geqslant|R|-15 \gamma^{3} n$ :
$\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ every $1 / 3$-large pair $e$ of $H$ has at least $.33\left|R^{\prime}\right|$ neighbours in $R^{\prime}$,
$\left(b_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ every vertex $v$ of $H$ has at least $.69\left|R^{\prime}\right|$ neighbours in $G_{1 / 3}$ which belong to $R^{\prime}$,
$\left(c^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(H\left[R^{\prime}\right]\right) \geqslant .799\binom{\left|R^{\prime}\right|-1}{2}$.
Indeed, to see, for instance, that ( $c^{\prime}$ ) holds, observe that

$$
0.7994\binom{|R|}{2}-15 \gamma^{3} n(|R|-2) \geqslant 0.799\binom{|R|-1}{2}
$$

follows from $0.0004|R| \geqslant 30 \gamma^{3} n$, which, in turn, follows by the lower bound in (a) and by (8).

We shall use these properties to connect the pairs $e_{i+1}=(v, u)$ and $f_{i+1}=(y, x)$. Since $.33+.69>1$ and $\{u, v\} \in G_{1 / 3}$, by $\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(b_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ applied to $R^{\prime}=R_{i}$, there exists a vertex $w \in R_{i}$ such that $u v w \in H$ and $v w \in G_{1 / 3}$. This, in turn, implies that there is also a vertex $w^{\prime} \in R_{i}$ such that $v w w^{\prime} \in H$ and $w w^{\prime} \in G_{1 / 3}$. Note that

$$
\left|R_{i} \backslash\left\{w, w^{\prime}\right\}\right| \geqslant|R|-14 \gamma^{3} n-2 \geqslant|R|-15 \gamma^{3} n
$$



Figure 3. Path $\Pi_{i+1}$ of length 16 from $e_{i+1}$ to $f_{i+1}$.

Similarly, this time applying $\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(b_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ to $R^{\prime}=R_{i} \backslash\left\{w, w^{\prime}\right\}$, we argue that there exist two other vertices in $R_{i}, z$ and $z^{\prime}$, such that $x y z, y z z^{\prime} \in H$, while $z z^{\prime} \in G_{1 / 3}$.

By $\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ applied again to $R^{\prime}=R_{i}$, both $w w^{\prime}$ and $z z^{\prime}$ are .33 -large in $H\left[R_{i}\right]$, the 3-graph induced in $H$ by $R_{i}$. Also, by $\left(c^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\delta\left(H\left[R_{i}\right]\right) \geqslant .799\left(\begin{array}{c}\left|R_{i}\right|-1\end{array}\right)$. Hence, recalling also (10), we are in position to apply Lemma 3.3 to $H\left[R_{i}\right]$ and conclude that there is a path $\pi_{i+1}$ of length 12 between $\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$. This path, together with the previously constructed four edges, forms a desired 18-vertex path $\Pi_{i+1}$ between $e_{i+1}$ and $f_{i+1}$ (see Figure 3).
4.5. Creating a Hamiltonian cycle in $H$. Let us denote by $T$ the set of vertices of $H^{\prime \prime}$, not covered by the paths in $\mathcal{P}$. It consists of up to $\gamma^{3} n$ vertices not covered by the copies of $K_{L, L, L}$ in $\mathcal{Q}$ plus up to $|\mathcal{Q}|$ vertices dropped from the each $Q_{i}$ whenever the path $P_{i}$ had $3 L-1$ vertices and not all $3 L$. Therefore,

$$
|T| \leqslant \gamma^{3} n+|\mathcal{Q}| \leqslant 2 \gamma^{3} n
$$

Observe further that, since $C \supset A$, we have $V \backslash V(C) \subset R \cup T$ and thus

$$
|V \backslash V(C)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2} n+2 \gamma^{3} n \leqslant \gamma^{2} n .
$$

Since the path $A$ forms a segment of $C$, we can employ the $\gamma^{2} n$-absorbing property of $A$ to the set $U:=V \backslash V(C)$. By replacing in $C$, the path $A$ by a path $A_{U}$, we finally obtain a Hamiltonian cycle in $H$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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