DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY 83-118
November 1983

ELECTROWEAK RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS TO THE $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ ASYMMETRY

by

M. Böhm

Physikalisches Institut, Universität Würzburg

W. Hollik

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg

ISSN 0418-9833

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY 83-118
November 1983

ISSN 0418-9833

Electroweak Radiative Corrections to the $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ Asymmetry

M. Böhm
Physikalisches Institut der Universität Würzburg
and
W. Hollik
II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

Abstract

The electromagnetic and purely weak 1-loop corrections to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ have been calculated in the SU(2)×U(1) standard model using an on-shell renormalisation scheme with finite Green functions. Their influence on the forward backward asymmetry A_{FB} together with soft and hard bremsstrahlung is discussed for PETRA-energies. Whereas the electromagnetic corrections to γ and Z^o exchange diminuish A_{FB}, the weak corrections increase A_{FB} almost compensating the QED correction to Z^o exchange. The main weak contribution comes from the Z^o self energy. The other diagrams give only small changes in A_{FB}.

The measurements of the angular distribution in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ at PETRA [1] and PEP [2] show a clear negative forward backward asymmetry A_{FB}, which is mainly due to the interference between photon and Z^o exchange amplitudes. In order to compare the measured value of A_{FB} with the prediction of the electroweak standard model [3], a careful investigation of radiative corrections is necessary. At the 1-loop level they can be separated into three classes:

A) Electromagnetic corrections to γ exchange, consisting of virtual photon and bremsstrahlung contributions together with the fermionic vacuum polarisation of the photon ("reduced QED corrections"),
B) Electromagnetic corrections to Z^o exchange, i.e. virtual and bremsstrahlung contributions in all possible ways in the Z^o exchange diagram ("full QED corrections"),
C) Weak (non-photonic) corrections to both γ and Z^o exchange amplitudes.

The reduced QED corrections A) are model independent and give a positive contribution to A_{FB} depending on the experimental energy and/or accolinearity cuts [4]. The corrections of type B) [5,6] give a further positive contribution to A_{FB} depending on the experimental cuts as well as on the model parameters. For $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ this part amounts to +0.6...0.9% at PETRA energies for realistic cuts [6]. The complete QED corrections A) and B) are infrared finite since the singularities from virtual and real photons cancel each other. As a consequence of the renormalisability of QED they are also ultraviolet finite if the renormalised QED quantities, photon propagator and electric charge, are used.

In contrast to these QED corrections the weak corrections C) contain further dynamical aspects of the electroweak model and are sensitive to its renormalizability. A precise knowledge of this part therefore allows a test of the model beyond the tree level. Since the standard model with a non-Abelian, non-simple gauge group and a spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism has besides the fermion masses four basic parameters, the renormalisation becomes already at the 1-loop level a non-trivial matter. The choice of the remormalized parameters and their definition via measureable quantities as well as the definition of $\sin^2\Theta_W$ is not unique beyond the tree level. This ambiguity, the use of different gauges, and the complexity of the formulas make it difficult to compare directly the results obtained in different schemes.

A first calculation of the corrections to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ was done by Passarino and Veltman [7]. However, they did not include hadronic contributions and effects coming from mass renormalisation of the vector bosons. More recent calculations [8,9] do not give a unique answer for the magnitude of the weak corrections to the forward-backward asymmetry. Also until now no complete work exists containing the electroweak 1-loop corrections together with soft and hard bremsstrahlung contributions.

In this paper we present our results for the 1-loop and bremsstrahlung corrections to the $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ asymmetry at PETRA/PEP energies. The calculations are performed in a renormalisible t'Hooft gauge involving unphysical degrees of freedom, but leading to UV finite propagators and vertex functions. Wave function renormalisation constants are introduced for the left and right handed fermions, the isovector and isoscalar bosons, Higgs, ghosts:

$$\Psi_{L,R} \to Z^{1/2}_{L,R}\Psi_{L,R}\ , \quad \vec{W}_\mu \to Z^{1/2}_W \vec{W}_\mu\ , \quad B_\mu \to Z^{1/2}_B B_\mu\ , \quad \phi_H \to Z^{1/2}_\phi \phi_H\ , \ldots \qquad (1)$$

for generating the correct counter terms respecting gauge invariance.

Our framework of renormalisation can be characterised as follows:

1) The renormalised physical parameters are
   - the masses of the $W^\pm$ and Z^o bosons, M_W and M_Z, the Higgs boson mass M_H and the fermion masses m_f;
   - the electric charge $e = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}$, as measured in the Thomson limit $q^2 \to 0$.
2) The photon couples as a "usual" real photon to the electron in the limit $q^2 \to 0$ without an intermediate Z^o boson contribution.
3) The weak mixing angle is defined by

$$\cos\theta_W = M_W/M_Z\ . \qquad (2)$$

According to 1) the mass counterterms δM_Z, δM_W, δm_f, δM_H are fixed by the on-shell conditions that the poles of the propagators correspond to the physical masses. The charge counterterm δe is determined by the classical Thomson limit. Condition 2) implies that the renormalized propagator matrix for the Z^o and γ fields corresponding to fig. 1,2 becomes diagonal in the limit $q^2 \to 0$, if the Z^o and photon fields are

$$Z_\mu = \cos\theta_W W^o_\mu + \sin\theta_W B_\mu$$
$$A_\mu = -\sin\theta_W W^o_\mu + \cos\theta_W B_\mu \qquad (3)$$

with the isovector and isoscalar fields W^o_μ, B_μ, and the mixing angle defined by (2).

In order to fix the wave function renormalization constants in (1) we impose the additional conditions:

(i) the charged fermion propagators have residue 1 for $\not p = m_f$;
(ii) the photon propagator has residue 1 for $q^2 = 0$;
(iii) the Higgs propagator has residue 1 for $q^2 = M_H^2$.

The choice (i) and (ii) ensures that our scheme is a natural extension of the usual QED renormalisation, such that the photonic corrections in [5,6] can be taken over without modifications.

(iii) is listed for completeness; the 1-loop corrections to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ do not require Higgs wave function renormalisation for practical calculations. All the weak contributions have been calculated analytically for $m_{e,\mu} \ll M_{W,Z}$. Only for the massive box diagrams (fig. 4) an approximation of order $\alpha(s/M_Z^2)$, which is in agreement with the corresponding expression given by Wetzel [8], was used. A list of the explicitly calculated wave function renormalization constants, mass and charge counter terms, and the renormalized finite Green functions will be given in a detailed publication [10]. The forward-backward asymmetry in $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$

$$A_{FB}(|\cos\theta| < x) = \frac{\int_0^x \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\, d\cos\theta - \int_{-x}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\, d\cos\theta}{\int_0^x \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\, d\cos\theta + \int_{-x}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\, d\cos\theta} \qquad (4)$$

is in lowest order given by

$$A_{FB}^{(o)}(|\cos\Theta| < x) = \frac{x}{1 + x^2/3} \cdot \frac{2a^2 \mathrm{Re}(\chi) + 4v^2 a^2 |\chi|^2}{1 + 2v^2 \mathrm{Re}(\chi) + (v^2+a^2)^2 |\chi|^2} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\chi = s/(s - M_Z^2 + iM_Z\Gamma_Z) \ ,$$
$$a = -1/(4\sin\Theta_W \cos\Theta_W) \ , \quad v = (1 - 4\sin^2\Theta_W)\, a \ . \quad (6)$$

At the 1-loop level we have to take for the calculation of (4) the differential cross section

$$\frac{4s}{\alpha^2}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_\gamma\left[1 + C_{em}^\gamma + C_W^\gamma\right] + \sigma_{\gamma Z}\left[1 + C_{em}^{\gamma Z} + C_W^{\gamma Z}\right] + \sigma_Z\left[1 + C_{em}^Z + C_W^Z\right] \quad (7)$$

$\sigma_{\gamma,\gamma Z,Z}$ are the Born term expressions, $C_{em}^{\gamma,\gamma Z,Z}$ the electromagnetic and $C_W^{\gamma,\gamma Z,Z}$ the weak corrections to γ and Z^o exchange and their interference.

Including in (4) and (7) step by step the electromagnetic corrections with the photon vacuum polarisation, the Z^o self energy (fig. 1), the γZ-transitions (fig. 2), the non-photonic vertex corrections (fig. 3) and the massive box diagrams (fig. 4), we obtain the results given in table 1. Since $m_{e,\mu} \ll M_{W,Z}$, it is sufficient to deal with the transverse parts of the photon and boson propagators only. The various contributions in table 1 are therefore independent of the specific gauge by themselves. The magnitude of the seperate parts, however, depends on the renormalisation scheme, whereas their sum should essentially be scheme independent (differences of 2-loop order may occur). Table 1 shows that the absolute value of A_{FB} is reduced by the QED corrections both to γ and Z^o exchange and is increased again by the weak corrections, essentially by the Z^o self energy such that the photonic corrections to Z^o exchange are compensated. One has to keep in mind, however, that the latter depend on the experimental cuts and the model parameters. A model independent analysis of data including radiative corrections is therefore not possible.

The dependence of the purely weak corrections to A_{FB}, extrapolated to the full Θ range, on the renormalized boson masses M_W, M_Z is given in table 2. The corrections are always negative and go down with increasing M_Z and decreasing M_W (increasing $\sin^2\Theta_W$). The sensitivity with respect to the

Higgs boson mass is very small: a variation of M_H from 10 to 1000 GeV yields a shift in A_B by ca. -0.1%.

In conclusion we have presented the full electroweak 1-loop corrections to A_{FB} at PETRA energies in a scheme with physical masses as renormalized model parameters. We found that the expected values for A_{FB} are slightly higher than after applying only QED corrections.

References

[1] PLUTO-Collaboration, Ch. Berger et al., DESY 83-084
P. Grosse-Wiedemann, DESY 83-087
TASSO-Collaboration, M. Althoff et al., DESY 83-089
G. Herten, Talk given at the Brighton Conference 1983
E. Lohrmann, DESY 83-102; A. Böhm, DESY 83-103
B. Naroska, DESY 83-111

[2] E. Fernandez et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 1238
SLAC-PUB-3133, July 83

[3] S.L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22 (1961) 579
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264
A. Salam in: Elementary Particle Theory, N. Svartholm ed. (Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm 1968)

[4] F.A. Berends, K.J.F. Gaemers, R. Gastmans, Nucl. Phys. B57 (1973) 381; B63 (1973) 381
F.A. Berends, R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B177 (1981) 237

[5] M. Greco, G. Pancheri-Srivastava, Y. Srivastava, Nucl. Phys. B171 (1980) 118; E: B197 (1982) 543
M. Böhm, W. Hollik, Nucl. Phys. B204 (1982) 45

[6] F.A. Berends, R. Kleiss, S. Jadach, Nucl. Phys. B202 (1982) 63
M. Böhm, W. Hollik, DESY 83-060

[7] G. Passarino, M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 151

[8] W. Wetzel, Heidelberg Preprint HD-THEP-82-18, July 1982 and May 1983

[9] R. Decker, E.A. Paschos, R.W. Brown, Dortmund Preprint DO-TH 83/16

[10] M. Böhm, W. Hollik, H. Spiesberger, in preparation

	$\sqrt{s}$ = 34.5 GeV	$\sqrt{s}$ = 44 GeV
Born	- 7.62	- 13.63
reduced QED	- 5.80	- 11.82
full QED	- 5.28	- 10.85
Z self energy	- 5.89	- 11.93
γ-Z transition	- 5.89	- 11.94
vertex corr.	- 5.88	- 11.92
box diagrams	- 5.89	- 11.93

Table 1. The percentage forward-backward asymmetry for $|\cos\Theta| < 0.8$ with QED and weak contributions.
M_Z = 93 GeV, M_W = 82.1 GeV ($\hat{=} \sin^2\Theta_W = 0.22$), M_H = 100 GeV, m_t = 30 GeV.
For the bremsstrahlung an accollinearity angle of $\delta = 10°$ is used and the photon energy is restricted to $\Delta E < E_{beam}/2$.

M_Z/GeV \ M_W	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85
89	- 0.75	- 0.80	-0.87	- 0.94	- 1.03	- 1.12	- 1.22	-1.28
90	- 0.68	- 0.73	-0.75	- 0.85	- 0.93	- 1.01	- 1.10	-1.20
91	- 0.63	- 0.67	-0.72	- 0.78	- 0.84	- 0.91	- 0.99	-1.09
92	- 0.58	- 0.62	-0.66	- 0.71	- 0.76	- 0.83	- 0.90	-0.98
93	- 0.53	- 0.57	-0.61	- 0.65	- 0.70	- 0.75	- 0.81	-0.88
94	- 0.50	- 0.53	-0.56	- 0.60	- 0.64	- 0.69	- 0.74	-0.80
95	- 0.46	- 0.49	-0.52	- 0.55	- 0.59	- 0.63	- 0.68	-0.73
96	- 0.43	- 0.46	-0.48	- 0.51	- 0.55	- 0.58	- 0.62	-0.67
97	- 0.40	- 0.43	-0.45	- 0.48	- 0.51	- 0.54	- 0.57	-0.61
98	- 0.38	- 0.40	-0.42	- 0.45	- 0.47	- 0.50	- 0.53	-0.57

Table 2. Purely weak corrections to A_{FB} ($|\cos\Theta| < 1$) in % for $\sqrt{s}$ = 34.5 GeV (M_H = 100 GeV, m_t = 30 GeV).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4

Fig. 1 - 4 : Electroweak 1 - loop contributions to $e^+e^- \longrightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$