



ANOMALY FREE CONDITION AND $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ REALITY
IN GRAND UNIFIED THEORIES

by

K.S. Soh

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg

ISSN 0418-9833

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of apply for or grant of patents.

**To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :**

**DESY
Bibliothek
Notkestrasse 85
2 Hamburg 52
Germany**

Anomaly Free Condition and $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ Reality
in Grand Unified Theories.

K.S. Soh*

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

Grand unification of strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions has been a subject of intensive studies since the simplest model based upon $SU(5)$ was introduced by Georgi and Glashow [1]. One of the main efforts to extend the simple theory is to enlarge the unifying group in order to explain multiple families of fermions. For this purpose various authors have proposed sets of plausible assumptions to find a family unifying group out of many possibilities [2-4].

The following four assumptions are frequently used:

- (A1) Unifying group G is a simple compact group and representation of left handed (LH) fermions is complex with respect to G .
- (A2) The LH fermion representation contains only singlet, triplet, and anti-triplet representation of the color $SU(3)$ subgroup.

Abstract

If fermions are assigned to totally antisymmetric representation of $SU(N)$, $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ reality is a sufficient condition for vanishing anomaly. The anomaly free condition and the $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ reality become equivalent if and only if $Q = \text{diag.} (\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

- (A3) The representation of the LH fermions must be real with respect to the $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ subgroup.

- (A4) The representation of the LH fermions should be anomaly free.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the above conditions are not all independent, i.e., the condition (A4) follows from the other three. Before we present this as a theorem, we explain each assumption briefly. As a consequence of (A1) and (A2) G is limited to $SU(N)$, $SO(4N+2)$ and E_6 , whose admissible representations are totally antisymmetric tensors of $SU(N)$, spinors of $SO(4N+2)$, and 27 dimensional representation of E_6 [5]. Since E_6 is too small to accommodate multiple families of fermions, we will consider $SU(N)$ and $SO(4N+2)$ only.

A slight modification of Georgi's first law [3] was introduced by Kim et al. [6] in the form of the assumption (A3), which was used to determine possible electromagnetic charge operator in $SU(N)$ and $SO(4N+2)$. The assumption (A3) is motivated

* Alexander von Humboldt Fellow

$$\sum \mathcal{Q} = 0 \quad (2)$$

(sum over all color singlet fermions)

$$\sum \mathcal{Q} = 0 \quad (3)$$

(sum over all triplet and antitriplet fermions)

where \mathcal{Q} is the electromagnetic charge of each LH fermion. This necessary condition which we call linear condition will be shown to be equivalent to the anomaly free condition.

First we proceed with the color singlet sector by computing total charge in the representation $[m]$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{[m]}$ be the total charge obtained by adding charges of the color singlet fermions in $[m]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{[m]} &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-3}} (b_{i_1} + \dots + b_{i_m}) + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-3}} (3a + b_{i_1} + \dots + b_{i_{m-3}}) \\ &= b \frac{m}{N-3} \binom{N-3}{m} + b \frac{m-N}{N-3} \binom{N-3}{m-3} \\ &= b \frac{(N-3)! (N-2m)}{(m-1)! (N-m-1)!} = b A_{N,m} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that the final form is proportional to $A_{N,m}$ which is the anomaly of the representation $[m]$. In the cases of $m = 1$, and 2 the second term of the first line does not exist, but the final form is still correct. Now the charge summed over all the color singlet fermions must satisfy

$$\sum C_m \mathcal{Q}_{[m]} = b \left(\sum C_m A_{N,m} \right) = 0 \quad (5)$$

which is exactly the anomaly free condition. Similar computations on the color triplet-antitriplet sector lead to the same formula except b is replaced by $(-b)$.

by the non-observation of leptons and quarks whose representations are complex with respect to $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$. Even though this law is not without theoretical supports, we emphasize (A3) as a phenomenological statement without concerning its theoretical justification.

While the motivation behind (A2) and (A3) is to extrapolate the ideas extracted from low energy observation, the assumption (A4) is called for by theoretical needs to make grand unifying gauge theory renormalizable. Therefore it is quite surprising that a purely technical requirement (A4) is guaranteed by the apparently unrelated phenomenological conditions (A2) and (A3).

Now, we state the theorem.

THEOREM: Let the LH fermions be assigned to the totally antisymmetric representation of $SU(N)$, and the color subgroup be a regular subgroup of $SU(N)$. Then the reality of the LH fermion representation with respect to $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ implies that the representation is anomaly free.

PROOF: Let the electromagnetic charge operator be

$$Q = \text{diag.} (a, a, a, b_1, \dots, b_{N-3}), \quad a \neq 0, \quad (1)$$

where $b \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N-3} b_i = -3a$, and the first three elements belong to the color group. Let $[m]$ be the m th order totally antisymmetric representation of $SU(N)$, and all the LH fermions are assigned to some superposition of them $\sum C_m [m]$.

The reality with respect to $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ means that each fermion in the representation $(\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{Q})$ must be paired with another fermion $(\frac{1}{2}, -\mathcal{Q})$ and each fermion $(\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{Q})$ must be paired with another $(\frac{1}{2}, -\mathcal{Q})$. A necessary condition for this pairing is that

To sum up we have proved that vanishing anomaly is a necessary condition for $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ reality in $SU(N)$ when the assumptions (A1) and (A2) are given as premises.

Few remarks are in order: (R1) The above theorem holds when $SU(3)_c$ is replaced by $SU(n)_c$ for $n = 2$ or 3 only. This is somewhat interesting in connection with symmetry breaking pattern because $SU(3)$ has almost unique properties in relation with anomaly, which is not shared by other $SU(n)$. (See also K3.) So, at least partially the symmetry breaking patterns may be constrained by group theory itself without detail dynamics. (R2) In the case of $SO(4N+2)$, the spin representation is anomaly free and broken down to $SU(2N+1)$ representations as [7]

$$\chi_+ = [0] + [2] + [4] + \dots + [2N]. \quad (6)$$

The charge operator Q can be of two components, in general,

$$Q = Q_{SU(2N+1)} + Q_{U(1)} \quad (7)$$

The $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ linear reality is satisfied for the $Q_{SU(2N+1)}$ part. The rest $Q_{U(1)}$ part has charge for each $[2m]$,

$$b_{[2m]} = (2N+1 - 4m)z, \quad (8)$$

where z is a real number, and $m = 0, 1, \dots, N$. It is a straightforward calculation to show that the linear reality condition is also satisfied for any z in the case of $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$, or $SU(2)_c \times U(1)_{em}$, which can be checked in $SO(10)$ or $SO(14)$. (R3) Now, we consider when the vanishing anomaly becomes a sufficient condition for $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ reality. For this we investigate quadratic reality on sum over all charge squared of each fermion, which provides a new constraint for the color triplet-antitriplet sector. There are no such constraints in the color singlet sector or in the $SU(2)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ case. The quadratic reality is defined by

$$\sum \tilde{f}^2 = \sum \tilde{f}^2 \quad (9)$$

(sum over all triplet fermions) (sum over all antitriplet fermions)

By explicit evaluations we obtain

$$a^2 \left(\sum C_m A_{N,m} \right) + x^2 \left(\sum C_m B_{N,m} \right) = 0, \quad (10)$$

where $A_{N,m}$ is the anomaly of $[m]$, and $B_{N,m} = (N-5)!(N-2m) / \{(m-2)!(N-m-2)!\}$. Here $x^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+3} b_i^2 \right) - 9a^2$. Eqs. (10) means that the anomaly free condition is equivalent to the $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ reality (up to quadratic) if and only if $x^2 = 0$. Since $\sum b_i = -3a$, $\sum b_i^2 = 9a^2$ has a unique solution $b_4 = -3a$, $b_1 = 0$, $i > 4$ (modulo permutation), we obtained a unique (up to scale) charge operator

$$Q_0 = \text{diag.} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0 \right). \quad (11)$$

Usually in grand unified theories, Q_0 is an input to fit the known charges of quarks and leptons. It is remarkable that pure group theoretical consideration leads to the unique charge operator. This means that charges of quarks, leptons and W bosons, and bare Weinberg angle are in a sense derivable rather than being phenomenological inputs. Of course, Q does not have to be Q_0 . Then the extra condition ($C_{mN,m} = 0$) besides the anomaly free condition provides useful constraints in model building. An application in $SU(9)$ will be presented elsewhere. It is easy to see that if eqs. (11) is satisfied the vanishing anomaly is equivalent to $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em}$ reality (not only up to quadratic).

In this paper everything is proved by explicit computations. There may be simpler and more manifest way of proving the theorem, which could reveal some deep reasons in these apparently coincidental relations.

I am grateful to Professor G. Kramer, and Drs. B. and F. Schrempf for their kind hospitality at Hamburg University. I also thank Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for financial support.

References

- 1 H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 438
- 2 M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. 50 (1978) 721
- 3 H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B156 (1979) 126
- 4 P. Frampton, Phys. Lett. 89B (1980) 352
C.W. Kim and C. Roisnel, Phys. Lett. 93B (1980) 343
- 5 P. Langacker, Phys. Report 72C (1981) 185
- 6 J. Kim et al., Nucl. Phys. B181 (1981) 531
- 7 F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 553