



DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON **DESY**

AZIMUTHAL DEPENDENCE  
OF DEEP INELASTIC HEAVY RESONANCE PRODUCTION

by

J. G. Körner

*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg*

J. Cleymans and M. Kuroda

*University of Bielefeld*

G. J. Gounaris

*University of Thessaloniki*

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

**DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.**

**DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of apply for or grant of patents.**

**To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the  
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,  
send them to the following address ( if possible by air mail ) :**

**DESY  
Bibliothek  
Notkestrasse 85  
2 Hamburg 52  
Germany**

AZIMUTHAL DEPENDENCE  
OF  
DEEP INELASTIC HEAVY RESONANCE PRODUCTION

J.G. Körner  
DESY, Hamburg, Germany  
J. Cleymans and M. Kuroda<sup>+</sup>  
University of Bielefeld, Germany  
and  
G.J. Gounaris  
University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Abstract

The dependence on the azimuthal angle between the lepton-scattering plane and the plane defined by the virtual photon and the  $J/\psi$  is calculated in a photon-gluon fusion model in which the  $J/\psi$  is represented by the appropriate quark wave function. Our results differ substantially from models based on  $c\bar{c}$  production below charmed particle threshold thus providing a way to distinguish phenomenologically between these two models.

<sup>+</sup> Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)

The azimuthal dependence of the production of  $J/\psi$  resonances by muon beams has been the object of recent theoretical and experimental investigations<sup>1-3</sup>. It has been emphasized<sup>1,2</sup> that this dependence provides a sensitive test of the photon-gluon fusion model<sup>4,5</sup> and, beyond this, of the spin-parity assignment of the gluon. Because the photon-gluon fusion model has been criticized recently<sup>6</sup> (the  $c\bar{c}$  is not in a color singlet state and does not have  $J^P = 1^-$ ) we have reanalysed the azimuthal dependence in a model, first proposed by Berger and Jones<sup>6</sup> for the photoproduction case, in which the  $J/\psi$  is represented by the appropriate quark wave function. Our results for inelastic  $J/\psi$  production by muon beams differ substantially from those of reference 1), thus providing a way of distinguishing phenomenologically between the two models.

The general form of the cross-section we are interested in is (see figure 1):

$$\frac{d\sigma(\vec{\mu} + p \rightarrow \vec{\mu} + J/\psi + \dots)}{d\nu dQ^2 dp_{\perp}^2 d\phi dz} = A(1 + B \cos\phi + C \cos 2\phi) \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle between the lepton-scattering plane and the plane defined by the virtual photon and the  $J/\psi$  (see equation (10) below),  $z$  is, in the laboratory frame, the energy of the  $J/\psi$  divided by the energy of the exchanged virtual photon and  $p_{\perp}^2$  is the transverse momentum squared of the heavy resonance.

The model we are considering is described by the diagram of figure 2. It has been shown<sup>7,8</sup> that this model provides a good description of the  $t$ -dependence and of the  $Q^2$ -dependence (see reference 3). In the model the cross-section (1) is given explicitly by:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\vec{\mu} + p \rightarrow \vec{\mu} + J/\psi + \dots)}{d\nu dQ^2 dp_{\perp}^2 d\phi dz} = \frac{\pi G(\xi) \Sigma \text{Im}(\vec{\mu} + g_1 \rightarrow \vec{\mu} + g_2 + J/\psi)^2}{64(2\pi)^5 s_T^2 z(-t)} \quad (2)$$

where  $s_T$  is the total incoming energy  $s_T = (l_1 + p)^2$  and  $t$  is given by:

$$-t = \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{z} - Q^2(1-z) + M_{J/\psi}^2 \frac{1-z}{z} \quad (3)$$

$G(\xi)$  denotes the gluon distribution function inside the target particle, with

$$\xi = \frac{-t}{2\nu(1-z)} \quad (4)$$

The summation in (2) refers to spins and colours. The matrix element in (2) has the following general form (see figure 2):

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu} + g_1 \rightarrow \vec{\mu} + g_2 + J/\psi) = \frac{e}{Q^2} \bar{u}(1_2) \gamma_\mu u(1_1) T_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_J^*(J/\psi) \quad (5)$$

When calculating the modulus squared of (4) we first take out constant factors corresponding to coupling constants and wave-function at the origin:

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{spins} \\ \text{colour}}} |\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu} + g_1 \rightarrow \vec{\mu} + g_2 + J/\psi)|^2 = \frac{e^2}{Q^4} C L_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\mu', \nu'} (-g_{\mu', \nu'} + \frac{p_{J\mu'} p_{J\nu'}}{M_J^2}) \quad (6)$$

where  $C = \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\alpha} (64\pi)^2 \Gamma(J \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) M_J^3$  and  $s, t$  and  $u$  correspond to the Mandelstam variables of the subprocess in figure 2 and

$H_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\mu', \nu'} = \frac{1}{(64m_c^2)} C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} C_{\mu'\nu'\alpha\beta}$  in the notation of reference 9).  $L_{\mu\nu}$  is the tensor arising from the leptonic part of the amplitude:

$$L_{\mu\nu} = l_{1\mu} l_{2\nu} + l_{1\nu} l_{2\mu} + \frac{Q^2}{2} g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (l_{1\mu} l_{1\nu} - Q_{\mu\nu}^0 + Q^2 g_{\mu\nu}) \quad \text{with } L = l_1 + l_2 \quad (7)$$

To calculate the specifically hadronic part in (5) we introduce the following gauge invariant decomposition

$$H_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\mu', \nu'} (-g_{\mu', \nu'} + \frac{p_{J\mu'} p_{J\nu'}}{M_J^2}) = H_1 \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + H_2 \hat{g}_{1\mu} \hat{g}_{1\nu} + H_3 \hat{g}_{2\mu} \hat{g}_{2\nu} + H_4 (\hat{g}_{1\mu} \hat{g}_{2\nu} + \hat{g}_{1\nu} \hat{g}_{2\mu}) \quad (8)$$

where the hatted quantities denote the following gauge invariant combinations:

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{Q_\mu Q_\nu}{Q^2} \quad (9a)$$

$$\hat{g}_{1\mu} = g_{1\mu} - \frac{Q g_{1\mu}}{Q^2} \quad (9b)$$

The explicit expressions for  $H_1, \dots, H_4$  are determined from the diagrams in figure 2 as being:

\*) Unless stated otherwise we use the notations of reference 9).

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{80} (t^2 + (s-M_J^2)(M_J^2-u))^2 + Q^2 t [2M_J^2 t + (3M_J^2-Q^2)(s-M_J^2)(M_J^2-u)/M_J^2] \quad (10a)$$

$$H_2 = -\frac{1}{40} ((u-Q^2)^2 (M_J^2-Q^2) + 2Q^2 t^2) \quad (10b)$$

$$H_3 = -\frac{1}{40} ((s-Q^2)^2 (M_J^2-Q^2) + 2Q^2 t^2) \quad (10c)$$

$$H_4 = -\frac{1}{40} (M_J^2-Q^2) ((s-M_J^2)(M_J^2-u)(M_J^2-Q^2)/M_J^2 + M_J^2 t) \quad (10d)$$

with  $D \equiv (s-M_J^2)^2 (M_J^2-u)^2 (t-M_J^2+Q^2)^2$

In order to exhibit the azimuthal dependence, we choose, in the virtual photon-gluon center of mass system, the momenta of the particles involved as follows:

$$g_1 = \frac{s-Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}} (1, 0, 0, -1) \quad (11a)$$

$$g_2 = \frac{s-M_J^2}{2\sqrt{s}} (1, -\sin\theta_J, 0, -\cos\theta_J) \quad (11b)$$

$$Q = (\frac{s+Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, 0, 0, \frac{s-Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}}) \quad (11c)$$

$$p_J = (\frac{s+M_J^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, \frac{s-M_J^2}{2\sqrt{s}} \sin\theta_J, 0, \frac{s-M_J^2}{2\sqrt{s}} \cos\theta_J) \quad (11d)$$

$$L = (\frac{s-Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}, \sqrt{-2Q^2} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}} \cos\phi, -\sqrt{-2Q^2} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}} \sin\phi, \frac{s+Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}) \quad (11e)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the usual depolarization factor.

Combining equations (6), (7), (8), (9) and (11) we obtain for the dependence of the modulus squared of the matrix element:

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{spins} \\ \text{colour}}} |\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu} + g_1 \rightarrow \vec{\mu} + g_2 + J/\psi)|^2 = \frac{e^2 C (-Q^2)}{Q^4 (1-\epsilon)} (\Sigma_U + \epsilon \Sigma_L + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \Sigma_T \cos\phi + \epsilon \Sigma_T \cos 2\phi) \quad (12)$$

where  $\Sigma_U, \dots, \Sigma_T$  are expressed in terms of the quantities  $H_1, \dots, H_4$  introduced in equation (8) in the following way:

$$\Sigma_U = -H_1 + \frac{(s-M_J^2)^2}{8s} \sin^2\theta_J H_3 \quad (13a)$$

$$\Sigma_L = H_1 - \frac{(s-Q^2)^2}{4Q^2} H_2 - \frac{1}{4Q^2} (Q^2 - u + \frac{2Q^2 t^2}{s-Q^2}) H_3 \quad (13b)$$

$$\Sigma_I = -\frac{s-M_J^2}{4\sqrt{-Q^2} s} \sin\theta_J [(Q^2 - u + \frac{2Q^2 t}{s-Q^2}) H_3 + (s-Q^2) H_4] \quad (13c)$$

$$\Sigma_T = \frac{1}{8} \sin^2\theta_J \frac{(s-M_J^2)^2}{s} H_3 \quad (13d)$$

In equations (13b) and (13c) the singularities  $1/Q^2$  and  $1/\sqrt{-Q^2}$  are actually artifacts of the gauge invariant decomposition of equation (8) and after equations (10) are inserted into equations (13b) and (13c) one can see that the artificial singularities cancel so that finally one obtain:

$$\Sigma_L \sim Q^2 \quad (14)$$

$$\Sigma_I \sim \sqrt{-Q^2}$$

These factors are of purely kinematic origin. This point is more easily seen in the helicity approach, which is described in detail in reference 9. Integrating equation (16) of reference 9 over the variables corresponding to the decay products of the heavy resonance one finds:

$$\Sigma_U = \frac{1}{8^2 M_J^2} \sum_{\lambda_2, J, \lambda_1} |H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1}|^2 \quad (15a)$$

$$\Sigma_L = \frac{1}{8^2 M_J^2} \sum_{\lambda_2, J, \lambda_1} |H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1, 0}|^2 \quad (15b)$$

$$\Sigma_T = \frac{1}{8^2 M_J^2} \sum_{\lambda_2, J, \lambda_1} |H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1} + H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1}^*|^2 \quad (15c)$$

$$\Sigma_I = \frac{1}{8^2 M_J^2} \sum_{\lambda_2, J, \lambda_1} \text{Re}(H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1} + H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1}^*) \quad (15d)$$

where the s-channel helicity amplitudes  $H_{\lambda_2, J; \lambda_1}$  are given in equation (29) of reference 9 and their expressions are given in Table 1.  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  refer to the helicities of gluons  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  respectively while  $J$  and  $\lambda$  refer to the helicities of the  $J/\psi$  and the virtual photon respectively. The final results for the azimuthal dependence are identical to those of the previous approach. The advantage of the helicity approach is that the

dependence on certain kinematical factors is now more apparent: by inspection of Table 1 one immediately sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_L &\sim Q^2 \\ \Sigma_T &\sim \sin^2\theta_J \sim p_{\perp}^2 \\ \Sigma_I &\sim \sqrt{-Q^2} \sin\theta_J \sim \sqrt{-Q^2} p_{\perp} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus the coefficient of  $\cos 2\phi$  goes to zero as the transverse momentum of the  $J/\psi$  goes to zero while the coefficient of  $\cos\phi$  goes to zero both when  $Q^2$  or  $p_{\perp}^2$  goes to zero.

The numerical results on B and C are given in figure 3 (real lines) where the integrals over  $\nu$ ,  $p_{\perp}^2$  and  $z$  are done under the kinematical constraints  $p_{\perp}^2 \geq 0.5$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> and  $z \leq 0.95$ .

From figure 3 one sees that the coefficient of the  $\cos\phi$  term is negative. For  $Q^2 = 0$  it must be zero as was discussed above, for increasing values of  $Q^2$  it decreases continuously to reach  $-0.35$  at  $Q^2 = -30$  GeV<sup>2</sup>. The coefficient of the  $\cos 2\phi$  term does not have a kinematic zero for  $Q^2 = 0$  but is always very small even for the largest values of  $Q^2$  considered. On this point our results disagree with the photon-gluon fusion model considered in reference 1 where a very large value for this term was found. Experimental absence of a  $\cos 2\phi$  term would clearly favour the model considered here. Concerning the  $\cos\phi$  term, we see from figure 3 that it will dominate at large values of  $Q^2$  over the  $\cos 2\phi$  term. On this point our results are similar to those of Levelle and Weiler for the diffractive  $J/\psi$  production process. These authors found a dominating  $\cos\phi$  term for the elastic cross-section. We checked that our results are not sensitive to the precise value of the mass of the charmed quark. In our calculations we took  $m_c = M_J/2$ , changing  $M_J$  from 3.09 to 2.7, thus effectively changing the charmed quark mass, modifies our results for B by about 5% while C becomes smaller by about 10%.

For comparison we have also calculated the azimuthal distribution in the scalar gluon model. In this case, the corresponding  $H_i$  ( $i = 1 \sim 4$ ) are given as follows

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{160} M_J^4 \{ (M_J^2 - Q^2)(M_J^2 + Q^2 - t) + (s - Q^2)(Q^2 - u) \} \quad (17a)$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{160} (M_J^2 - Q^2) \{ (u - Q^2)^2 (3M_J^2 + Q^2)/M_J^2 + 4Q^2(M_J^2 - Q^2) \} \quad (17b)$$

$$H_3 = \frac{1}{160} (M_J^2 - Q^2) \{ (s - Q^2)^2 (3M_J^2 + Q^2)/M_J^2 + 4Q^2(M_J^2 - Q^2) \} \quad (17c)$$

$$H_4 = \frac{1}{160} (M_J^2 - Q^2) \{ -(s - Q^2)(Q^2 - u)(3M_J^2 - Q^2)/M_J^2 + 4Q^2 t \} \quad (17d)$$

with  $D \equiv (s - M_J^2)^2 (M_J^2 - u)^2 (t - M_J^2 + Q^2)^2$  as before.

The numerical results for B and C are included in Figure 3 (dash-dot lines). B is positive, while C is negative and rather small in the scalar gluon case.

The dependence on azimuthal angle would thus provide a phenomenological way of distinguishing between the two versions of the gluon-fusion model.

#### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank C. Gössling and R. Baier for discussions.

#### References

- 1) D.W.Duke and J.F.Owens, Phys.Rev. D23, 1671 (1981)
  - 2) J.P.Leveille and T.Weiler, Phys.Rev. D24, 1789 (1981)
  - 3) A.R.Clark et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 43, 187 (1979)
- M.Strovink, Proceedings of the 1981 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Ed. W.Pfeil, University of Bonn (1981)
- 4) L.Jones and W.Nyld, Phys.Rev. D17, 2332 (1978)
  - M.Gluck and E.Reya, Phys.Lett. 79B, 453 (1978); 83B, 98 (1979)
  - J.P.Leveille and T.Weiler, Nucl.Phys. B147, 147 (1979)
  - V.Barger, W.Y.Keung and R.J.N.Phillips, Phys.Rev. D20, 630 (1979); Phys.Lett. 91B, 253 (1980); 92B, 179 (1980)
  - 5) H.Fritzsch and K.-H.Streng, Phys.Lett. 72B, 385 (1978)
  - 6) E.L.Berger and D.Jones, Phys.Rev. D23, 1521 (1981)
  - 7) W.Y.Keung, Talk presented at the  $z^0$  Workshop, Cornell University, February 1981
  - 8) R.Baier and R.Rückl, University of Bielefeld preprint BI-TP 81/30
  - 9) J.G.Körner, J.Cleymans, M.Kuroda and G.J.Gounaris, DESY preprint, DESY 82-003

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Deep inelastic leptonproduction of  $J/\psi$  resonance.

Figure 2: One of the diagrams contributing to deep inelastic leptonproduction of the  $J/\psi$  resonance. There are 5 more diagrams corresponding to all possible ways of attaching the gluon lines to the quark loop.

Figure 3: The coefficient of  $\cos\Phi$  (B) and the coefficient of  $\cos 2\Phi$  (C) as a function of  $Q^2$ , all other variables are integrated over. The kinematical constraints  $z \leq 0.95$  and  $p_{\perp}^2 \geq 0.5$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> were applied on the integration region.

The real-lines are for the vector gluons (QCD) and the dash-dot lines are for the scalar gluons.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{++;++} &= H_{--;--} = 8M_J [-(s - Q^2 - M_J^2) t(1 + \cos \theta_J) + 2t(Q^2 + t)] \\
 H_{++;+-} &= H_{--;+-} = 4M_J^3 (s - M_J^2)(s - Q^2) \sin^2 \theta_J / s \\
 H_{++;+0} &= H_{--;+0} = 4M_J^3 (s - M_J^2)(s - Q^2) \sin^2 \theta_J / s \\
 H_{++;+-} &= H_{--;+-} = -8M_J^3 t Q^2 (1 - \cos \theta_J) / s \\
 H_{+-;++} &= H_{+-;--} = 4M_J Q^2 (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) \sin^2 \theta_J / s \\
 H_{+-;+-} &= H_{+-;+-} = 4M_J (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2)(1 + \cos \theta_J)^2 \\
 H_{+-;+0} &= H_{+-;+0} = 4M_J (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2)(1 - \cos \theta_J)^2 \\
 H_{+-;+-} &= H_{+-;+-} = 4M_J Q^2 (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) \sin^2 \theta_J / s \\
 H_{+0;++} &= H_{-0;--} = -8\sqrt{2} Q^2 [(s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) + M_J^2 t] \sin \theta_J / \sqrt{s} \\
 H_{+0;+-} &= H_{-0;+-} = 4\sqrt{2} M_J^2 (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) \sin \theta_J (1 + \cos \theta_J) / \sqrt{s} \\
 H_{+0;+0} &= H_{-0;+0} = -4\sqrt{2} M_J^2 (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) \sin \theta_J (1 - \cos \theta_J) / \sqrt{s} \\
 H_{+0;+-} &= H_{-0;+-} = -8\sqrt{2} Q^2 M_J^2 t \sin \theta_J / \sqrt{s} \\
 H_{++;+0} &= H_{--;+0} = -8\sqrt{2} M_J \sqrt{-Q^2} / s [(s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) + M_J^2 t] \sin \theta_J \\
 H_{+-;+0} &= H_{+-;+0} = 4\sqrt{2} M_J \sqrt{-Q^2} / s (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) \sin \theta_J (1 + \cos \theta_J) \\
 H_{+-;+0} &= H_{+-;+0} = -4\sqrt{2} M_J \sqrt{-Q^2} / s (s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) \sin \theta_J (1 - \cos \theta_J) \\
 H_{+-;+0} &= H_{+-;+0} = -8\sqrt{2} M_J \sqrt{-Q^2} / s M_J^2 t \sin \theta_J \\
 H_{+0;+0} &= H_{-0;+0} = -8 \sqrt{-Q^2} [(s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) + 2M_J^2 t] (1 + \cos \theta_J) \\
 H_{+0;+0} &= H_{-0;+0} = -8 \sqrt{-Q^2} [(s - Q^2)(s - M_J^2) + 2s t] (1 - \cos \theta_J)
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 1

Table Caption:

Table 1 s-channel helicity amplitudes  $H_{\lambda_2 J; \lambda_1 J}$  for the constituent scattering process  $\gamma^* g_1 \rightarrow g_2 J$ ,  $J$  being a heavy resonance ( $J = J/\psi, Y, \dots$ ). Entries are to be multiplied by common denominator  $[(s - M_J^2)(M_J^2 - u)(t - M_J^2 + Q^2)]^{-1}$ .




Figure 3




Fig.1




Fig.2