



DESY 81-038
July 1981

DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON **DESY**

LIGHT COMPOSITE FERMIONS AND ANOMALY MATCHING REVISITED

by

Carl H. Albright

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

B. Schrempp and F. Schrempp

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of apply for or grant of patents.

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :

DESY
Bibliothek
Notkestrasse 85
2 Hamburg 52
Germany

DESY 81/038
July 1981

LIGHT COMPOSITE FERMIONS AND ANOMALY MATCHING REVISITED

Carl H. Albright^{*}

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

B. Schrempp^{**} and F. Schrempp^{**}

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der
Universität Hamburg

A physically unique and simple solution of 't Hooft's anomaly matching equations in composite models of the type $SU(3)_{MC} \times SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R$ is found for $N = 6$, if an additional selection criterion for composite "ground states" is introduced.

^{*} Permanent Address: Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, U.S.A.

^{**} Heisenberg Foundation Fellow

Two years ago 't Hooft¹ formulated a "naturalness" set of conditions whereby light composite fermions with dimensions of order Λ_{MC}^{-1} , much smaller than their inverse masses, can be formed at high energies by a new "metacolor" gauge interaction which becomes strong at an energy scale Λ_{MC} . Unfortunately the main conditions, triangle anomaly matching for preon and composite fields, the decoupling requirement and metaflavor independence proved to be so rigorous that no non-trivial solutions were found among $SU(3)$ metacolor gauge groups possessing a chiral $SU(N) \times SU(N)' \times U(1)$ metaflavor symmetry.

Since then many authors²⁻⁵ have carried out searches involving many different gauge groups for solutions of the anomaly-matching conditions, if need be relaxing the decoupling and N-independence conditions which are on a weaker footing than the first condition. Meanwhile it has been shown^{5,6} that the assumption of no phase transition is required in addition to the Appelquist-Carazzone⁷ decoupling theorem in order to justify the decoupling condition. Some of these searches have been carried out in the framework of superalgebras, or in the case of one of the authors, by using a valence-like 3-preon model³ for composite quarks and leptons. Many solutions have been found but none are particularly attractive;^{F1} either they are too simple or so complex as to admit many composite states which have not been observed.

In this letter we reexamine the anomaly conditions and decoupling requirement and show that by a suitable modification it is possible to obtain a physically unique solution of the anomaly conditions applied to $SU(3)_{MC} \times SU(N) \times SU(N)'$; this solution lies in the physically interesting metaflavour group $SU(6) \times SU(6)'$. Consider the general chirally-symmetric case in a left-handed formulation with N preons in the fundamental $\underline{3}$ and N in the $\bar{\underline{3}}$ of $SU(3)_{MC}$. The metaflavor group is then $SU(N) \times SU(N)' \times U(1)$ and the two kinds of preons are left-handed Weyl spinors belonging to the representations

$$P = (\underline{3}; N, 1) \equiv (\square, \square, 1)$$

$$P' = (\bar{\underline{3}}; 1, \bar{N}) \equiv (\bar{\square}, 1, \bar{\square})$$

(1)

To form (left-handed) composite states which are MC-singlets, we need only consider the 3-preon configurations PPP , $PP^\dagger P^\dagger$ and $P \leftrightarrow P'$. One arrives at the set of spin 1/2 composite fermions equivalent to those listed by 't Hooft¹

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_1 &= (1; \square, 1) \sim PPP & \psi'_1 &= (1; 1, \overline{\square}) \sim P'P'P' \\
 \psi_2 &= (1; \square, \square) \sim PP^\dagger P^\dagger & \psi'_2 &= (1; \overline{\square}, \overline{\square}) \sim P^\dagger P^\dagger P' \\
 \psi_3 &= (1; \square, 1) \sim PPP & \psi'_3 &= (1; 1, \overline{\square}) \sim P'P'P' \\
 \psi_4 &= (1; \square, \square) \sim PP^\dagger P^\dagger & \psi'_4 &= (1; \overline{\square}, \overline{\square}) \sim P^\dagger P^\dagger P' \\
 \psi_5 &= (1; \square, 1) \sim PPP & \psi'_5 &= (1; 1, \overline{\square}) \sim P'P'P'
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In the chirally-symmetric case, to each pair of states ψ_i and ψ'_i is associated the index ℓ_i which can assume integer values.

Anomaly matching on the preon and composite levels leads to the two well-known 't Hooft constraint equations¹

$$\begin{aligned}
 (N^2-9)\ell_1 - \frac{1}{2}N(N-7)\ell_2 + \frac{1}{2}(N-6)(N-3)\ell_3 \\
 - \frac{1}{2}N(N+7)\ell_4 + \frac{1}{2}(N+6)(N+3)\ell_5 = 3; \quad N > 2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3a}$$

from matching $[SU(N)]^3$ anomalies and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (N^2-3)\ell_1 - \frac{1}{2}N(N-3)\ell_2 + \frac{1}{2}(N-2)(N-3)\ell_3 \\
 - \frac{1}{2}N(N+3)\ell_4 + \frac{1}{2}(N+2)(N+3)\ell_5 = 1; \quad N > 1
 \end{aligned} \tag{3b}$$

from matching $[SU(N)]^2 \times U(1)$ anomalies. There are a number of solutions to these equations for any N with the exception of $N = 3, 6, 9, \dots$, where there exists none at all. 't Hooft's additional requirement of simultaneous decoupling of preons (if made virtually heavy) and their associated composites proved too strong a constraint

leaving only $N = 2$ as a solution. We feel that Preskill and Weinberg's observation⁶ mentioned in the introduction justifies dropping the decoupling conditions. The purpose of this paper is to look for alternative constraints and/or modifications of 't Hooft's program as applied to $SU(3)_{MC} \times SU(N) \times SU(N)' \times U(1)$.

In the following we discuss two such modifications which, taken separately, do not change matters in a satisfactory way. Only if combined do they lead to a practically unique, simple and appealing solution.

1) The first modification is to introduce an additional strong constraint on the set of admitted composites. We single out candidates for "ground state" composites by simply combining the spins of the three preons to spin $1/2$ and by applying^{3,8} the Fermi principle to identical preons in the following restricted sense: we require total antisymmetry with respect to metacolor, metaflavor and Lorentz structure^{F2} (implying spatial symmetry). This reduces the list (2) of composites to the four composites ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_1' and ψ_2' with non-negative indices only. We immediately lose all solutions of 't Hooft's anomaly equations (3a,b) except the one for the trivial case of $N = 2$ where only Eq. (3b) applies. It seems rather remarkable that our ground state criteria reduce the plethora of solutions down to that singled out by 't Hooft's decoupling requirement.

2) As a second modification of 't Hooft's program for $SU(3)_{MC} \times SU(N) \times SU(N)' \times U(1)$ we propose to break spontaneously the conserved $U(1)$ symmetry^{F3} well above the binding energy Λ_{MC} . The important observation is the following. 't Hooft's idea of keeping composites massless on the scale Λ_{MC} is to leave the full chiral metaflavor group unbroken. This idea is not invalidated by retaining only the chiral part $SU(N) \times SU(N)'$. Spontaneous breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry above Λ_{MC} does not affect the masslessness of the preons, but it obviously has dramatic effects on the composite level, in so far as the $[SU(N)]^2 \times U(1)$ anomaly matching condition (3b) gets lost.

Of course in dropping this condition we obtain a real proliferation of solutions to the anomaly Eq. (3a), including now also solutions for $N = 3, 6, 9, \dots$. We remark in passing that imposition of the decoupling conditions would again eliminate all solutions except for $N = 2$ as noted from a straight-forward computer search.

One realizes that the two modifications of the conventional anomaly-matching scenario, Eqs. (2) and (3a,b) have opposite effects: restriction to the "ground state" composites strongly reduces the number of solutions, whereas the spontaneous breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry increases it. This leads us to combine both modifications, i.e., we consider the $SU(N)^3$ anomaly equation (3a) for the "ground state" composites ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_1' and ψ_2' (with non-negative indices). The anomaly equation (3a) then simplifies to

$$(N^2-9)\ell_1 - \frac{1}{2}N(N-7)\ell_2 = 3 \quad (4)$$

It is straightforward to see that there is no solution at all for $N = 3, 4$, and 5 . For $N = 6$ there is a unique and simple solution

$$SU(6) \times SU(6)' \text{ with } \ell_1 = 0 \text{ and } \ell_2 = 1 \quad (5)$$

Within a physically acceptable range of N and ℓ_1, ℓ_2 there is no further solution. To quantify this statement, a computer search for $N \leq 100$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \leq 20$ was performed, and only three additional but unacceptable solutions were found, namely

$$\begin{aligned} SU(8) \times SU(8)' & \quad \text{with } (\ell_1, \ell_2) = (1, 13) \\ SU(10) \times SU(10)' & \quad \text{with } (\ell_1, \ell_2) = (3, 18) \\ SU(20) \times SU(20)' & \quad \text{with } (\ell_1, \ell_2) = (3, 9) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Hence we have singled out a physically unique solution. Not only is $N = 6$ physically appealing, but also the composite structure is especially simple:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_2 &= (1; \square, \bar{\square}) = (1; 6, 15) = PP'^{\dagger}P'^{\dagger} \\ \psi_2' &= (1; \bar{\square}, \square) = (1; \bar{6}, \bar{15}) = P^{\dagger}P^{\dagger}P'\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

both states appearing with unit multiplicity. $SU(6) \times SU(6)'$ is obviously big enough to contain physically interesting subgroups like $SU(3)_C \times [SU(2) \times U(1)]_{W-S}$. In subsequent papers we shall explore spontaneous dynamical symmetry breaking chains such as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & SU(6)_L \times SU(6)_R & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & SU(5)_L \times SU(5)_R \times U(1)'_{L+R} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ SU(4)_L \times SU(4)_R \times U(1)^2_{L+R} & | & SU(3)_{L+R} \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)'_{L+R} \\ \cdot & | & \\ \cdot & | & \\ \cdot & | & \\ U(1)^5_{L+R} & | & \end{array}\tag{8}$$

arising due to $SU(3)_{MC}$ singlet condensates caused by the strong metacolor force. The left-handed chain represents an interesting laboratory for studying successive decouplings of metaflavor degrees of freedom by giving dynamical masses to some of the preons and composites via spontaneous symmetry breaking at each step. Such a mechanism may be contrasted to 't Hooft's original decoupling requirement. The possible connection to reality of the right-hand chain is obvious.

Finally let us briefly speculate on how a spontaneous breakdown of the $U(1)$ symmetry could be achieved dynamically for $N = 6$. An interesting candidate is a six preon condensate $PPPPPP$ or $P'P'P'P'P'P'$ which breaks the $U(1)$ symmetry but can be made a singlet under $SU(3)_{MC} \times SU(6) \times SU(6)'$ due to the fact that 6 is a multiple of 3.

After completion of this paper we received a preprint by Casalbuoni and Gatto⁹, which also discusses spontaneous breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry.

We acknowledge helpful conversations with L. Abbott, W.A. Bardeen, R. Barbieri, I. Montvay and P. Sikivie. One of us (C.H.A.) is grateful for the kind hospitality of Professor H. Joos and the DESY Theory Group in Hamburg, where this work was carried out. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY 79-10639.

Footnotes

- F1. Among the more interesting solutions are models reported by Bars and Yankielowicz⁴, which satisfy the decoupling condition as well. Albright and Sikivie⁵ found a particular example of this type based on $SU(4)_{MC} \times SU(N) \times SU(N)' \times U(1)^2$.
- F2. The same type of Fermi principle was applied³ in a search of composite models with the result that known solutions were recovered as well as new solutions found.
- F3. We tacitly assume that the broken $U(1)$ is gauged.

References

1. G. 't Hooft, lecture at the Cargèse Summer Institute, Utrecht preprint (1979).
2. R. Barbieri, L. Maiani and R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. 96B (1980) 63; T. Banks, S. Yankielowicz and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. 96B (1980) 67; T. Banks and A. Schwimmer, ICTP preprint, to be published.
3. C.H. Albright, Max-Planck preprint MPI-PAE/PTh 7/81, to be published in Phys. Rev. D.
4. I. Bars and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. 101B (1981) 159.
5. C.H. Albright and P. Sikivie, unpublished.
6. J. Preskill and S. Weinberg, Texas preprint, to be published.
7. T. Appelquist and J. Carazzone, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 2856.
8. R. Casalbuoni and R. Gatto, Phys. Lett. 90B (1980) 81.
9. R. Casalbuoni and R. Gatto, Invited talk at the Johns Hopkins Workshop on Current Problems in Particle Theory, University of Geneva preprint (1981).