

81-4-264  
MAY 1981

# DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON **DESY**

DESY 81-015  
March 1981

## A DETERMINATION OF QUARK WEAK COUPLINGS AT PETRA ENERGIES

by

*JADE Collaboration*

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

**DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.**

**DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of apply for or grant of patents.**

**To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the  
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,  
send them to the following address ( if possible by air mail ) :**

**DESY  
Bibliothek  
Notkestrasse 85  
2 Hamburg 52  
Germany**

DESY 81-015  
March 1981

A DETERMINATION OF QUARK WEAK COUPLINGS AT PETRA ENERGIES

JADE Collaboration

W Bartel, D Cords, P Dittman, R Eichler, R Felst, D Haidt, H Krehbiel,  
B Naroska, L H O'Neill, P Steffen, H Wenninger<sup>1)</sup>, Y Zhang<sup>2)</sup>

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany

E Eisen, M Helm<sup>3)</sup>, A Petersen, P Warming, G Weber

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik der Universität Hamburg, Germany

S Bethke, H Drumm, J Heintze, G Heinzelmann, K H Hellenbrand, R D Heuer

J von Krogh, P Lennert, S Kawabata, H Matsumura, T Nozaki, J Olsson,

H Rieseberg, A Wagner

Physikalisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Germany

A Bell, F Foster, G Hughes, H Wriedt

University of Lancaster, England

J Allison, A H Ball, G Bamford, R Barlow, C Bowdery, I P Duerdoth,

J F Hassard, B T King, F K Loebinger, A A Macbeth, H McCann, H E Mills

P G Murphy, H B Prosper, K Stephens

University of Manchester, England

D Clarke, M C Goddard, R Marshall, G F Pearce

Rutherford Laboratory, Chilton, England.

M Imori, T Kobayashi, S Komamiya, M Koshiha, M Minowa, M Nosaki, S Orito  
A Sato, T Suda<sup>4)</sup>, H Takeda, Y Totsuka, Y Watanabe, S Yamada, C Yanagisawa

Lab. of Int. Coll. on Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics,  
University of Tokyo, Japan

1) On leave from CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

2) Visitor from Inst. of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Science  
Peking, Peoples' Republic of China

3) Present address: Texaco AG, Hamburg Germany

4) Present address: Cosmic Ray Laboratory, University of Tokyo, Japan

Abstract

Data on hadron production by  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at CM energies between 12 and 36.6 GeV have been collected using the JADE detector. They have been analysed in terms of single photon and weak neutral current exchange assuming production of quark-antiquark pairs with only d, u, s, c and b quarks to produce values for the quark weak neutral current couplings. A further analysis in terms of the Glashow-Salam-Weinberg theory produced the result,  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22 \pm 0.08$ . The theory has therefore been tested in a new energy domain and within the context of the neutral weak couplings of the first, second and third generation quarks.

The considerable research activity using  $e^+e^-$  storage rings during the last ten years has led to the conclusion that the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons can be regarded as quark-antiquark production via single photon exchange with subsequent fragmentation of the quarks into hadrons. At sufficiently high energies, the fragmentation appears as a collimated jet of particles. Moreover, the most recent experiments at PETRA [1] have demonstrated that the simple picture of  $q\bar{q}$  production has been modified to first order by taking gluon emission into account.

Another feature offered by the energies now available at PETRA ( $s \leq 1350 \text{ GeV}^2$ ) is the possibility of studying electroweak effects in  $e^+e^-$  collisions [2]. Depending on the structure of the weak neutral current, these effects appear as a modification to the angular distribution and the total cross-section for fermion-antifermion production ( $e^+e^-$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-$  or  $q\bar{q}$ ). To first order, an axial vector neutral current introduces an asymmetry into the angular distribution which cancels out in the total cross-section whereas a vector neutral current affects the total cross-section rather than the shape of the angular distribution.

A study of electroweak effects in the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  and  $\mu^+\mu^-$  has already been made [3]; this paper is concerned with an analysis of data collected using the JADE detector on the process

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} \rightarrow \text{hadrons} \quad (1)$$

in terms of the full electroweak picture of fig 1a-c, including both photon and  $Z^0$  exchange.

Detailed measurements of the total cross-section and event topologies at PETRA energies [1,4] have shown that five quark flavours, d,u,s,c and b are sufficient to describe the data and that if a sixth quark exists (viz t) then its threshold is above the energies presently available at PETRA. No resonant effects have been observed. For the purpose of this analysis therefore, it is assumed that only five quark flavours contribute to the hadronic cross-section and that there are no resonant effects from these quarks in the energy range where this set of data was taken ( $144 < s < 1350 \text{ GeV}^2$ ).

The cross-section for the production of a fermion-antifermion pair of

electric charge  $Q_f$ , taking weak effects into account is as follows [5]:

$$R_f = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma, Z \rightarrow f\bar{f})}{\sigma_{pt}} = Q_f^2 - 2sQ_f v_e v_f \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{4(4\pi\alpha)} \left[ \frac{s}{M_Z^2} - 1 \right] + \frac{\Gamma_Z^2}{s-M_Z^2} \left[ -1 + s^2(v_e^2 + a_e^2)(v_f^2 + a_f^2) \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{4(4\pi\alpha)} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{s}{M_Z^2} - 1 \right]^2 + \frac{\Gamma_Z^2}{M_Z^2} \left[ -1 \right] \quad (2)$$

The total cross-section is obtained by summing over all the quark flavours and multiplying by a factor 3 for colour:  $R = 3\sum_f R_f$  (3)

Where  $\sigma_{pt} = 4\pi\alpha^2/3s$ ,  $G_F$  is the Fermi weak constant,  $v_e, v_f, a_e$  and  $a_f$  are the electron and fermion vector and axial vector weak couplings and  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant. The boson structure of the weak neutral current is represented as a single pole with mass  $M_Z$  and width  $\Gamma_Z$ . In the limit of  $s \ll M_Z^2$  and  $\Gamma_Z \ll M_Z$ , the square brackets in equation (2) become  $-1$  and  $+1$  respectively.  $\Gamma_Z$  was set to 2.5 GeV in the analysis, although the results did not vary for  $0 < \Gamma_Z < 10 \text{ GeV}$ . There is a corresponding equation for the forward-backward asymmetry in the angular distribution  $A_f = (F-B)/(F+B)$  but it has not yet been possible to assign the primary quark charge on the basis of measured hadron jet charges. First order QCD effects can be taken into account by multiplying the whole of equation (3) by a factor  $(1+\alpha_s/\pi)$  where  $\alpha_s$  is the strong running coupling constant. This assumes that gluon emission from the quark lines is the same for single photon or neutral current exchange. A detailed study of QCD effects in this electroweak process has been made by Jersák et al [6] who also included finite quark mass effects. Even including a mass of 5 GeV for the b quark, the difference between the simple multiplicative prescription and the correct procedure of Jersák et al is less than 0.2% at PETRA energies, and this is too small to be observed. A calculation of equation (3) at PETRA energies summed over all five quark flavours is shown in fig 1d for the case of the Glashow-Salam-Weinberg (GSW) model which has been shown to be correct at low  $q^2$ . In this model, the couplings can be expressed in terms of the single parameter  $\sin^2\theta_W$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v_e &= -1+4\sin^2\theta_W & a_e &= -1 \\ v_c &= v_u = 1-\frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta_W & a_c &= a_u = 1 \\ v_d &= v_s = v_b = -1+\frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta_W & a_d &= a_s = a_b = -1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } Q_D = Q_S = Q_b = -\frac{1}{3} \quad Q_C = Q_u = \frac{2}{3}$$

Fig 1 shows the value of R plotted as a function of  $\sin^2\theta_W$  for three values of s covered by our data. It can be seen that R varies between 2.1 and 4.1 at PETRA energies, depending on the values of s and  $\sin^2\theta_W$ . This functional dependence is sufficiently strong for a measurement of R(s) to be translated into a determination of  $\sin^2\theta_W$ .

A more model independent analysis can be carried out by making the assumption  $s \ll M_Z^2$  in equation (2). Then, summing over all flavours,

$$R = 3\sum_f R_f = 3\sum_f^2 + 6s \frac{\sqrt{2}GF}{4(4\pi\alpha)} V + 3s^2 \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}GF}{4(4\pi\alpha)} \right\}^2 W \quad (4)$$

where  $V = v \sum Q_f v_f$  and  $W = (v_e^2 + a_e^2) \sum (v_f^2 + a_f^2)$ . i.e., in the absence of the ability to separate R into its separate components from d,u,s,c and b quarks, a study of electroweak effects essentially leads to a measurement of V and W. The term in  $G_F^2$  becomes comparable to the first order term for values of  $\sin^2\theta_W$  close to 0.25 when  $v_e \rightarrow 0$ .

The data used for this analysis are all the hadronic data collected by the JADE experiment up to the time of the November 1980 shutdown, covering the energy range  $12 < 2E_{\text{beam}} < 36.6$  GeV. The details of the data collection, analysis, corrections and cross-sections have already been published [4]. Use is made in this analysis of subsequent careful studies of systematic effects in the detector and further analyses which have reduced the size of the systematic error on the total cross-section to the level of 7%. This represents the combined errors from all presently known sources. A further important factor is that the systematic error is believed to be independent of energy. This also allows the considerable s dependence (see fig 1d) in the theory to be used in the determination of V and W or  $\sin^2\theta_W$ .

The data exist in the form of 264 independent measurements of R throughout the above energy range. Since the overall size of the data sample is 3700 events, (~14 events per point on average) the distribution of the errors on individual data points is best described by Poisson statistics. The data were fitted to the functional forms by minimising the negative log of the likelihood which was obtained from the individual Poisson probabilities

$$-2 \log L = -\sum_{264} \log P_i(R_m, R_{th})$$

where  $P_i(R_m, R_{th})$  is the Poisson probability of obtaining a measurement  $R_m$  from a theoretical mean of  $R_{th}$  based on the known luminosity of this ith data point.  $R_{th}$  was either equation (3) or (4) multiplied by the factor  $(1+\alpha_s/\pi)$  where a value of  $\alpha_s = 0.17$  was used, determined from event shape topologies in the same data [7]. Corrections of order  $\alpha_s^2$  were neglected.

A simple fit to determine a mean value for R, averaged over the whole energy range gave the result  $\langle R \rangle = 3.96 \pm 0.064$ , although this figure no longer contains the information on the energy dependence between  $s = 144$  and  $s = 1350$  GeV<sup>2</sup>. Standard deviations in all cases were determined from an increase of 1 in  $-2 \log L$  from its minimum value.

The major sources of systematic error in the analysis are the uncertainty in the knowledge of  $\alpha_s$  and in the overall normalisation of the hadronic cross-sections ( $\pm 7\%$ ). In the case of  $\alpha_s$ , an uncertainty of  $\pm 20\%$  was propagated into the final fit values. The effect of the systematic error on the normalisation was taken into account (see ref [8]) by including an additional term in the likelihood:

$$-2 \log L' = -2 \sum \log P_i(R_m, R_{th}) + ((N-1.0)/\sigma)^2$$

where  $\sigma=0.07$  is the systematic uncertainty on the normalisation. Using this procedure, a model independent fit was carried out to the form of equation (4) to determine V and W, followed by a fit to the GSW model (equations (2) and (3)) to determine a value for  $\sin^2\theta_W$ . The best fits in both cases were obtained with a normalisation of  $N = 0.985 \pm 0.03$ . The 1.5% change from  $N = 1.0$  is well within the 7% normalisation uncertainty and provides confidence in the calculation of the systematic errors.

The determination of V and W is shown as a 1 sd ellipse in fig 2. Since V determines the sign of the interference term, it can in general be positive or negative. W on the other hand, is expected to be positive due to the positivity of the pure weak term, although our measurement of W extends into the negative region. The ellipse corresponds to a constraint on the quark vector and axial vector couplings in terms of the combinations  $V = v_e \sum Q_f v_f$  and  $W = (v_e^2 + a_e^2) \sum (v_f^2 + a_f^2)$ . The GSW model is shown as a curve in fig 2 plotted as a function of  $\sin^2\theta_W$ . The point corresponding to the present world average [9],  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$  lies within the ellipse, indicating that our data at s up to 1350 GeV<sup>2</sup> agree with the low  $q^2$  determination of  $\sin^2\theta_W$ .

The fit within the framework of the GSW model produced the result  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22 \pm 0.03$ , where the error is statistical only. The full correlation for  $\sigma=7\%$  is shown as the dashed line contour in fig 3 (increase of 1 in  $-2\log L$ ). Further fits were tried varying the value used for  $\alpha_s$  where it was found that a 20% change in  $\alpha_s$  altered the fitted value for  $\sin^2\theta_W$  by 0.03. Fig 3 also shows that in addition to the statistical error, there is a further systematic error due to the correlation with the normalisation of about  $\pm 0.10$ .

A second minimum exists in the log-likelihood function (see fig 3) at  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.56 \pm 0.03$  which cannot be excluded by this hadron data alone. An analysis of lepton pair data, however, which was collected simultaneously in the same apparatus produced the limits [3]  $0.10 < \sin^2\theta_W < 0.40$  at the 1 sd level. The use of all the JADE data therefore leads to the single solution at  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22$ .

The correlation can be reduced if the  $\chi^2$  contour from the lepton pair data is added to  $-2\log L$ . The result is shown as the solid ellipse in fig 3 (increase of 1 in  $-2\log L$ ) and represents a fit to the combined fermion-anti-fermion data measured in the JADE apparatus. The result is then:  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22 \pm 0.08$  where the error consists of  $\pm 0.03$  (statistical),  $\pm 0.03$  ( $\alpha_s$ ) and  $\pm 0.07$  (systematic).

It seems curious that the value of  $\sin^2\theta_W$  turns out to have just that value which makes the lepton vector coupling essentially vanish, together with observable effects in the interference term in the total cross-section for the production of fermion-antifermion pairs. The measurement of a statistically significant angular asymmetry in the production of  $\mu^+\mu^-$  at PETRA, consistent with  $a_e = a_u = -1$  becomes an even more crucial test of the GSW model at these energies.

To summarise, we have made the first check of the weak quark couplings in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at high energies, a process which includes the production of heavy quarks. A model independent determination of the weak couplings was found to be consistent with the values expected in the GSW model on the basis of the low  $q^2$  world average value for  $\sin^2\theta_W$ . The data were also used to determine a value of  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22 \pm 0.08$  at  $\langle s \rangle = 1200 \text{ GeV}^2$ .

The theory has therefore been successfully tested in a new domain of high  $q^2$  and in addition, in processes which involve the production of first, second and third generation quarks.

We are indebted to the PETRA machine group for their excellent support and to all the engineers and technicians who have participated in the construction and maintenance of the apparatus. This experiment was supported by the UK Science Research Council through the Rutherford Laboratory, by the Education Ministry of Japan and by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie. The visiting groups wish to thank the DESY directorate for their hospitality.

## Figure Captions

### Figure 1

a, b, c,  $e^+e^-$  annihilation into quark and gluon final states.  
d, The variation of the total cross-section R with s and  $\sin^2\theta_W$  as predicted by the Glashow-Salam-Weinberg model.  
The horizontal line at  $R=3.87$  corresponds to  $\gamma$  exchange only.

### Figure 2

The log-likelihood function contour (68% CL) in the V-W plane. The dashed curve shows the locus of points for the Glashow-Salam-Weinberg model.

### Figure 3

The log-likelihood function contours in the  $\sin^2\theta_W$ -normalisation plane for hadron data (dashed line) and hadron plus lepton data (solid line). The horizontal error bar indicates the magnitude of the statistical error.

## References

- 1 TASSO Collab., R Brandelik et al., Phys Lett 86B (1979) 243  
JADE Collab., W Bartel et al., Phys Lett 91B (1980) 142  
MARK J Collab., O P Barber et al., Phys Rev Lett 43 (1979) 830  
PLUTO Collab., Ch Berger et al., Phys Lett 86B (1979) 418
- 2 R Marshall, Rutherford Lab preprint RL-80-029; and Proc XV Rencontre de Moriond (Les Arcs, March 1980).  
Tasso Collab., R Brandelick et al., Phys Lett 94B (1980) 259  
Mark J Collab., D P Barber et al., Phys Lett 95B (1980) 149  
JADE Collab., W Bartel et al., Phys Lett 99B (1981) 281  
PLUTO Collab., Ch Berger et al., DESY preprint DESY 80/116, November 1980
- 3 JADE Collab., W Bartel et al., Phys Lett 99B (1981) 281
- 4 JADE Collab., W Bartel et al., Phys Lett 88B (1979) 171 and DESY preprint 80-006, January 1981, to be published in Phys Lett.
- 5 C Jarlskog and F J Ynduráin, Phys Lett 63B (1976) 215  
J Ellis and M K Gaillard, Physics with very high energy  $e^+e^-$  colliding beams. CERN 76-18 November 1976.
- 6 J Jersák et al., Phys Lett 98B (1981) 363
- 7 S Yamada, Proc. XX Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics, August 1980 University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- 8 JADE Collab., W Bartel et al., Phys Lett 92B (1980) 206
- 9 J E Kim et al, University of Pennsylvania preprint UPR 0158T, to be published in Rev Mod Phys.

Footnote: A similar analysis of Mark J data was described by A Böhm, Tagung der Physikalische Gesellschaft, Hamburg, March 1981.




Figure 1




Figure 2






Figure 3

