

75-2-27

DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON **DESY**

DESY 75/02
January 1975



Color versus Charm

by

S. Kitakado and T.F. Walsh

2 HAMBURG 52 · NOTKESTIEG 1

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :

**DESY
Bibliothek
2 Hamburg 52
Notkestieg 1
Germany**

Color versus Charm

S. Kitakado*

and

T.F. Walsh

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

Abstract

We discuss the decay systematics (including inclusive decays) of the new mesons seen in e^+e^- collisions. Our aim is to show how one can substantiate or demolish the color scheme, which we contrast to the charm model.

* Address after March 1, 1975:

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, Japan

The discovery of two narrow resonances which decay to e^+e^- has caused much agitation^{1,2,3}. At present the nature of these states is unclear. Assuming that they are new hadronic levels, the immediate task is to settle their quantum numbers and to find the other expected new states.

We consider certain classes of e^+e^- experiments with these points in mind. As tools we use the SU(4) charm model⁴ and the color model (the Han-Nambu⁵ model exorcised of its baryon number 1/3 quark states). Both models have a complex level structure and may serve as prototypes of new schemes - SU(n) or SU(3) \times G. Both models may be demolished in a straightforward way. If neither is correct, one may obtain valuable hints about the proper structure in the course of the demolition work. We shall first discuss the classification of levels in the two schemes and then the decays of $\psi(3.1)$, $\psi(3.7)$ and the higher states. Perhaps the recently seen bump in $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons at $\sqrt{s} = 4.1$ GeV is one of these⁶.

In the charm scheme^{7,[1]} $\psi(3.1) = \psi = 1^3 S_1$ is the ground state $c\bar{c}$ system (quarks c, u, d, s). Higher states in e^+e^- are then radial excitations in the quark model, $\psi(3.7) = \psi' = 2^3 S_1$, etc. There may be $3^3 D_1$ states near them. The levels below ψ' in mass are $3^3 P_0$, $3^3 P_1$, $1^3 P_1$ and $3^3 P_2$ around 3.4 GeV (ignoring splittings)⁷, and a $1^1 S_0$ near the ψ . There are altogether ≈ 20 states below ψ'' ($3^3 S_1$), expected at 4.1 - 4.2 GeV for equal spacing in m^2 . These states can be reached by radiative or hadronic transitions (peaks in missing mass), and ought to be narrow. Hadronic transitions violate Zweigs rule and by analogy to $\phi \rightarrow \rho\pi$ and $\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ probably have widths comparable to those for radiative transitions ($10^1 - 10^2$ keV). Large mixing of $c\bar{c}$ with SU(3) singlet pseudoscalars (e.g. η') could make the level at 4.1 - 4.2 GeV broad even if it were below the $c\bar{c}$ threshold, $\psi'' \rightarrow (c\bar{u}) + (\bar{c}u), \dots$. Otherwise it could only be broad if above the charm threshold.

The classification of $\psi(3.1)$ and $\psi(3.7)$ in the color scheme is less straightforward. States can be labelled by an SU(3) index and an SU(3)_{color} index⁵. The electromagnetic current transforms as $(8, 1) + (1, 8)$ ^{5,8}. Octet color states are $(1, 8)$ and $(8, 8)$. We shall discuss no others. An appealing assignment is to assume $(1, 8) + (8, 8)$ mixing and take ideally mixed $\psi \leftrightarrow \omega_{\text{color}}$ ($u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$) and $\psi' \leftrightarrow \phi_{\text{color}}$ ($s\bar{s}$)^[2]. Then the color symmetry can be exact to the level of electromagnetism (of course, it need not be). It is then customary to assume dominant radiative decays of ω_c and ϕ_c . These must be suppressed^[3].

The level structure is complex. As an illustration, we took full nonet symmetry for the vector states and then further assumed (approximate) degeneracy of $q\bar{q}$ orbital and spin levels. This is only meant as a guide; in particular, mixing can considerably shift the masses. For η_c we took pure $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ and pure SU(3) $\mathbb{8}$ as extremes. The color index c runs over $c = \pi^\pm, \pi^0, \dots$. The charm and color levels are shown on the figure ($\eta_c = u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$).

Lastly, we remark on the degeneracy of $\omega_c = \omega_\eta$ and $\phi_c = \phi_\pi$ and ϕ_η . Because of the arbitrary orientation of the color isospin axis, one of these can be chosen to decouple from the photon in the symmetry limit. Then only ω_η and ϕ_η are produced and hadronic decays of higher $\omega_\eta^{(n)}, \phi_\eta^{(n)}$ feed only the η -level in color space.

We now go on to discuss inclusive spectra, chain and radiative decays of the lowest levels in e^+e^- .

Because of the high mass of $\psi(3.1)$ and $\psi(3.7)$, it is natural to consider inclusive decay spectra. Pion spectra in the charm model are familiar⁷: We expect $\pi^0 = \pi^+$ for final states without baryons or $K\bar{K}$. States with an even number of pions arise only via $\psi_s\psi' \rightarrow l\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$. For the color model the two lowest states should have dominant radiative decays. There will be $O(\alpha)$ effects arising from $\omega_c, \phi_c \rightarrow l\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$, and also non radiative decays due to virtual emission and absorption of a photon. From the example of $\rho - \omega$ mixing, we expect that the latter may well be bigger than the former. The only restriction on the final state is then $I \leq 1$. We discuss chain decays later. For radiative decays, the hadron system recoiling against the "colored" photon has $I = 0, G = +$ and the number of pions is even. Amusingly, the ratio $\Gamma(\phi_c \rightarrow \pi + X)/\Gamma(\omega_c \rightarrow \pi + X) \rightarrow 0$ as $2 p_\pi/m \rightarrow 1$ (the fastest particle in ϕ_c decay is always a K or η).

For K and η spectra in the charm model, we expect $K^+ = K_s^0 = \eta$ from SU(3) (pure octet η). The color case with $\psi(3.1) = \omega_c$ and $\psi(3.7) = \phi_c$ is interesting. If we assume dominance of radiative decays (or subtract the others), the hadron system has the same quantum numbers as ω_c or ϕ_c . From isospin alone $K^+ = K_s^0$. Besides this, we can get bounds from the parton model⁹ for decay of a heavy state $(\eta(\phi_c) \equiv \Gamma^{-1} d\Gamma_\eta/dp, \text{ etc.})$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_c: \quad 2/3 \leq \eta/K^+ \leq 4/3 \\ \phi_c: \quad 0 \leq \eta/K^+ \leq 4/3 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and from the ω_c distributions we get (approximately, ignoring mass differences or using $x_F = 2 p/m$) $\eta(\phi_c) = 6 K^+(\omega_c) - 5 \eta(\omega_c)$, $K^+(\phi_c) = 4 K^+(\omega_c) - 3 \eta(\omega_c)$. Of course, this all depends on SU(3). With luck, the above may be good to $\sim 20\%$. Near the kinematical limit $2 p/m \rightarrow 1$ familiar considerations give for ω_c , $\eta/K^+ \rightarrow 2/3$ and for ϕ_c , $\eta/K^+ \rightarrow 4/3$.

There are a number of chain decays common to the charm and color models, and some characteristic of the latter. In turn: $\psi(3.7) \rightarrow \psi(3.1) \pi\pi$. In the charm case this is a Zweig rule violating decay and is consistent with the order of magnitude $G_{\psi, \psi}^2 / G_{\rho, \rho}^2 \sim 10^{-2}$ expected for such decays. We used an ϵ -meson pole model for this estimate (see also J.D. Jackson¹⁰). The couplings are dimensional, so the discrepancy between this and the factor $\sim 10^3$ suppression of $\Gamma(\psi)$ compared to a typical hadron decay is not alarming. In the color model it is perhaps natural that such decays are suppressed to the level of radiative decays, as for the ϕ .

$\psi(3.7) \rightarrow \psi(3.1) \eta$ It is common knowledge that this is suppressed in the charm model because the η is mostly octet. Besides this, $\psi(3.7)$ is 2^3S_1 (a radial excitation), probably giving a further suppression. If we estimate this to be at least a factor ~ 10 from nonobservance of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho' \rightarrow \pi\pi$ relative to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho' \rightarrow \rho\epsilon$ we have $\Gamma(\psi' \rightarrow \psi\eta) \sim \sin^2\theta_{\eta 8-\eta_1} \times (0.1) \times \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \rho\pi) \sim 2 \text{ KeV}$. For the color case we include the (small) effect of relative phase space and find $\Gamma(\phi_c \rightarrow \omega_c\eta) \approx \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \rho\pi) p_{\eta}^3/p_{\pi}^3 \approx .9 \text{ MeV}$. This is way too big, but it indicates that a large $\phi_c \rightarrow \omega_c\eta$ branching ratio is to be expected.

$\phi_c \rightarrow \rho_c\pi$ This decay is characteristic of color: The ground state with $I = 0$ (ω_c) is degenerate with an $I = 1$ state ρ_c which does not couple to a single photon. The decay $\phi_c \rightarrow \rho_c\pi$ violates Zweig's rule. Comparing to $\phi \rightarrow \rho\pi$ we would expect $\Gamma(\phi_c \rightarrow \rho_c\pi) \sim (p_{\rho_c}/p_{\rho})^3 \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \rho\pi) \approx 16 \text{ MeV}$; but a remark is in order: The large suppression of radiative decays in the color model may even require that such hadronic vertices be smaller than our estimate uses. In any event, the existence of such a decay would eliminate charm.

Chain decays of the higher states are even more interesting. The $\psi''(4.2)$ in the charm model is 3^3S_1 . For a simple harmonic potential there are $20 c\bar{c}$

states below it in mass, and of these $c = -$ states can be reached by s-wave $\pi\pi$ emission and $c = +$ states by 3π or photon emission (and maybe $J = 1 \overline{K\overline{K}}$). A detailed model is needed to estimate widths, and this is not our aim. We just note that there seems to be no reason to expect individual decays of this class to be much bigger than a few hundred KeV, with perhaps one sort of exception; $\psi'' \rightarrow \psi\eta'$ can be a strong decay if η' has a large mixing with $\overline{c\overline{c}}$. We ought to note, however, that $\psi'' \rightarrow \psi\eta'$ involves dropping two radial nodes and could be suppressed. It might be that $\psi'' \rightarrow \psi S^*$ is also a strong decay. Then one has to keep $\psi' \rightarrow \psi\epsilon \rightarrow \pi\pi$ small, perhaps by making the ϵ nearly pure octet (mixing angle with the singlet $\theta < .1$). A broad resonance near 4.2 GeV may be a difficulty for this scheme, perhaps even if the ψ'' is above the $\overline{c\overline{c}}$ threshold.

In the color scheme a state near 4.2 GeV would be broad (ω'_c) (with ϕ'_c near 4.6 GeV). To get crude estimates for the decays we did the following. All levels kinematically accessible from ω'_c are counted (see the classification schemes). Decays like $\rho' \rightarrow \rho\epsilon$ are normalized to this process and symmetry relations like $G_{\omega'_c\omega_c\epsilon} = G_{\rho'\rho\epsilon}$ are used to get the colored decay widths (we chose ϵ , δ^0 and η_c pure $u\overline{u} + d\overline{d}$). Then $\Gamma(\omega'_c \rightarrow \omega_c\epsilon) \simeq 90$ MeV, $\Gamma(\omega'_c \rightarrow \rho_c^0\delta^0) \simeq 27$ MeV, $\Gamma(\omega'_c \rightarrow K_c^{*0}\overline{\kappa^0}) \simeq 80$ MeV.^[4] Another set is $\omega'_c \rightarrow \rho_c\pi$, $\rho_c\pi_c$, $\omega_c\eta$, $\omega\eta_c$, $K_c^*\overline{K}$, $K_c^*\overline{K}_c$ (and charge conjugates), which would have to be normalized to the unknown (small?) $\rho' \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$. Finally, $\omega'_c \rightarrow \pi\pi_c$, $K_c^*\overline{K}$, $\overline{K}_c K$.

Such decays provide a copious source of new mesons; some dramatic effects such as narrow spikes in inclusive spectra $\omega'_c \rightarrow \pi^\pm + X$, $K^\pm + X$ are expected.

Finally, a remark on radiative decays. In the charm model these are only significant when the decay is to $\gamma + (c\overline{c}$ state).^[5] In the color quark model scheme, decays to colored and uncolored mesons are related. Further, there are two colored pseudoscalars, etc., compared to the $c\overline{c}$ case where there is only one. Defining $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma(V \rightarrow P\gamma) p_\gamma^{-3}$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}(\omega_c \rightarrow \eta\gamma) : \tilde{\Gamma}(\omega_c \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) : \tilde{\Gamma}(\phi_c \rightarrow \eta\gamma) : \tilde{\Gamma}(\phi_c \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = 1 : 2 : 2 : 1$ ignoring the small mixing angles. For a $u\overline{u} + s\overline{s}$ η_c and $s\overline{s}$ η'_c , $\phi_c \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$ and $\phi_c \rightarrow \eta'_c\gamma$ if both decays are allowed by kinematics. With the same proviso, an octet (singlet) η_c (η'_c) satisfy $\tilde{\Gamma}(\omega_c \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma) : \tilde{\Gamma}(\omega_c \rightarrow \eta'_c\gamma) : \tilde{\Gamma}(\phi_c \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma) : \tilde{\Gamma}(\phi_c \rightarrow \eta'_c\gamma) = 1/8 : 1/4 : 4 : 2$ ($\tilde{\Gamma}(\omega_c \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ normalized to unity). Similar arguments can be applied to colored $J^{PC} = 0^{++}, 2^{++}$ states. If a vector meson radiative decay does not occur because of kinematics, it may be possible to observe the radiative pseudoscalar (scalar, tensor) decay to vector plus photon.

It is useful to remark that a predominance of directly produced inclusive single photons at large p (compared to photons from π^0 , η , ω decay) would be evidence that the color scheme is correct. The ratio of directly produced photons to photons from decay of light states in the charm case is expected to be $O(\alpha)$. Of course, one needs to subtract photons from pseudoscalar, scalar and tensor $c\bar{c}$ decays to $\gamma\gamma$.

In order to substantiate any new scheme it is obviously necessary to find the hadronic states characteristic of it and of no other model. In the case of color or charm this process is straightforward as we have seen. Of course, both models contain states we have not mentioned - charmed or colored baryons and (in the color model) doubly charged meson states and perhaps even triply charged baryon states.

It may even happen that the charm model turns out to be correct at low ($\sqrt{s} \sim 3 - 10$ GeV) energies and a color degree of freedom (three quartets) appears at very high energy.

Acknowledgement

We want to thank S. Orito for comments. After this was worked out we were informed by V. Rittenberg that some of our points have also occurred to others.

Added Note

Recently a number of papers on color have come to our attention.⁽¹²⁾

Footnotes

[1] We limit the treatment of this option since we have already discussed it⁷.

[2] This assignment has the nice feature that $\Gamma(\phi_c \rightarrow e^+e^-) \sim (1/2) \Gamma(\omega_c \rightarrow e^+e^-)$. The identification $\psi(3.1) \leftrightarrow \omega_c$; $\psi(3.7) \leftrightarrow \phi_c$ must have occurred to many people.

[3] This has been discussed by M. Kramer, D. Schildknecht and F. Steiner (private communication) who also take ω_c , ϕ_c .

[4] Here and elsewhere $\Gamma(V' \rightarrow V\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2M_{V'}} \frac{G^2}{4\pi} \frac{p_{cm}}{M_{V'}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{p_{cm}^2}{M_V^2}\right)$ also, $\Gamma(\rho' \rightarrow \rho\epsilon) = 350$ MeV.

[5] For an attempt to estimate radiative widths, see Ref.11.

References

- 1) J.J. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1404 (1974).
- 2) J.E. Augustin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1406 (1974).
G.S. Abrams et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1453 (1974).
- 3) C. Bacci et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1408 (1974), DASP collaboration,
DESY reports 74/59, 74/62 (1974), submitted to Phys. Letters.
L. Criegee et al., submitted to Phys. Letters.
- 4) M.K. Gaillard, B.W. Lee and J.L. Rosner, Fermilab 74/86 and references
there.
- 5) M.-Y. Han and Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. 139, B1006 (1965).
Y. Nambu and M.-Y. Han, Phys. Rev. D10, 674 (1974).
- 6) J.E. Augustin et al., SLAC 1520 (1975).
- 7) T. Appelquist and H.D. Politzer, Phys. Lett. 34, 43 (1975).
S. Kitakado, S. Orito and T.F. Walsh, DESY 74/54 (November 1974).
A. De Rujula and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 46 (1975).
C.G. Callan, R.L. Kingsley, S.B. Treiman, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev.
Lett. 34, 52 (1975).
H. Harari, "Psi-Chology" (unpublished) 1974,
CERN Workshop preprint (unpublished) 1974, and many more.
- 8) H. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 63 (1972).
- 9) R.P. Feynman, Photon-Hadron Interactions (Benjamin, 1973).
- 10) J.D. Jackson, LBL Physics Notes, 1974 (unpublished).
- 11) E. Eichten et al., CORNELL preprint.
- 12) B. Stech, Heidelberg preprint,
S. Y. Tsai, Nihon University preprint,
S. Hori, T. Suzuki, A. Wakasa, E. Yamada, Kanazawa University preprint,
M. Kramer, D. Schildknecht and F. Steiner, DESY 74/64.

Figure Caption

Levels in the charm and color schemes. For charm we took a quadratic mass formula for vector states plus degeneracy of L-levels in the quark model. Some states have been omitted at the ψ' level to avoid confusion. For color we took $\psi(3.) \leftrightarrow \omega_c$, $\psi(3.7) \leftrightarrow \phi_c$ and nonet symmetry for the other states with $\epsilon_c, \delta_c, \eta_c$ pure $n\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$. Both level schemes should be taken only as a guide; states may easily be drifted by several hundred MeV with respect to our estimates.

