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## Summary

The NESPMAN (New Species for Management) project is meant to improve the knowledge of the biology and the fisheries of the new species for management. Apart from highly priced turbot, brill, striped red mullet and sea bass, these 12 species comprise also 3 gurnard species and 4 flatfishes. This report presents information for these 12 species that are becoming increasingly important for fisheries in NW Europe, partly due to the generally poor state of some of the main commercial fish species.

The information presented in this report is based on analyses of data from research vessel surveys, landings statistics, data from on board observers, market sampling programmes and from biological sampling. Some economical analyses have been carried out as well. Through this project a better insight is gained in aspects such as distribution of the species, length- and sometimes age-composition of the catches, growth and maturity, ageing, stock ID etc.
The results of the NESPMAN project will be presented at, and used by, the ICES Working Group on the Assessment of New Species (WGNEW) at its next meeting that is scheduled for October 2010. During this meeting the basis will be laid to formulate ICES advice on fisheries for the NEW species to the European Commission.

## 1 Introduction

The Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) signed between the European Community (EC) and the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) in 2004 provided in its Annex I a list of species in the ICES fishing area for which recurring advice is requested by the Commission. In addition to the standard species for which advice has been requested within former agreements for many years (the main commercial species such as cod, plaice and herring), a list of species was added under a paragraph "New species".
In the following year, 2005, an ICES Working Group on the Assessment of New Species (WGNEW) was established to provide information on these new species. Two WGNEW meetings have since been held, in 2005 and 2007 (ICES 2006, and ICES 2007). The terms of reference for these meetings were to compile information on the biology and the fisheries on these species, to consider possibilities for fish stock assessments, to evaluate the status of the stocks as appropriate on the basis of existing information and develop a strategy that would further enable appropriate future assessments of these species.

The ICES working group considered the list of species and decided to add some species because they were thought to be of increasing commercial importance in (part of) the ICES area. The complete list of species that WGNEW is working on is as follows:

| sea bass | Dicentrarchus labrax |
| :--- | :--- |
| striped red mullet | Mullus surmuletus |
| red gurnard | Aspitrigla cuculus |
| tub gurnard | Trigla lucerna |
| grey gurnard | Eutrigla gurnardus |
| John dory | Zeus faber |
| dab | Limanda limanda |
| flounder | Platichthys flesus |
| witch flounder | Glyptocephalus cynoglossus |
| lemon sole | Microstomus kitt |
| turbot | Psetta maxima |
| brill | Scophthalmus rhombus |

During the two meetings of WGNEW, a lot of information on these species has been assembled. The members of WGNEW, however, were aware that many more data have been collected for several species but have not been analysed, or otoliths have been collected, but the ages have never been determined. Fisheries research institutes usually give priority to working on the major commercial species, and not to the new-comers as listed above. In its report (ICES 2007) WGNEW has identified the species/area combinations where more information was expected to be available from data that had not yet been analysed. The aim of the project NESPMAN - New Species for Management - was mainly to make these data available. WGNEW also proposed that some small scale sampling should be done to collect information in those cases where no sampling had been done before and data on length compositions of catches/landings, or on growth parameters for some of the species were completely lacking. Also this small scale sampling was incorporated in the proposal for the NESPMAN project.

The first objective of the NESPMAN project was to collate the available information on biological parameters, stock identity, and composition of catches and landings of the species dealt with by the ICES Working Group on the Assessment of New MoU Species (WGNEW). This is basic information when the state of a fish stock must be assessed.

Sources from which data have been compiled and analysed are:
Survey data: most recruit surveys with research vessels target one or more commercially important species, but most often data on all species (length and numbers) are being collected. As a standard, only the data of the target species have been analysed. Analysis of survey data for the NEW species provides information on e.g. the distribution of the species, nursery areas, length compositions, and trends in abundance.

Fisheries data: for most species, but not for all, data on landings are usually available. Sometimes some market sampling has been done in order to provide information on the length composition of the landings. In those cases where such information did not exist at all, some small scale sampling has been done. Where data on effort are relevant and not yet made available, such data have been extracted and analysed.

Discard data: in several countries on board sampling programmes have existed for some years. Also during on board sampling, data are as a standard collected on all species caught, but only data for the major species have been worked up. This report provides information from on board sampling for the NEW species.

Biological sampling: some sampling of the NEW species has over the years been done during certain research vessel surveys, but never in a systematic way. In a number of cases otoliths have been collected, and data on length, weight, sex and maturity have been recorded. Usually, however, the ages of these fish have not been determined, and the data are not analysed. This has been done under this project and results are presented here.

For some of the NEW species (e.g. red and tub gurnard) we do not know very much more than total landings and/or the time series data of abundance in research vessel surveys. In other cases much more information is available, but for none of the species analytical assessments seem possible. This is still due to a general lack of data: apart from landings data and research survey data no time series are available.

In the past an assessment has been attempted for North Sea turbot and brill (Boon \& Delbare 2000), but since then, data collection has been irregular and an analytical assessment covering a longer period is not possible.

For sea bass more work on French data is underway. If these data were to be made available by the beginning of August, work could be carried out to combine the data into an international dataset and for preliminary assessments to be undertaken. The results could then be presented to the meeting of the ICES Assessment Working Group on New Species (WGNEW) which is scheduled to meet in October 2010.
This report of the NESPMAN project should be considered as a data-report, that in the first place is meant to be used by the ICES Working Group on the Assessment of New Species (WGNEW). The results of the analyses carried out under this project will be presented to WGNEW.
In a number of cases work started under this project, but is not yet completely finished. For example small scale sampling started in some cases with the intention to continue during 12 months, and not the whole year-cycle has yet been covered. Some of this work will be continued between the formal end date of the project (April 2010) and the next meeting of WGNEW (October 2010) in order to be able to present all results to WGNEW and have these included in the 2010 report of WGNEW.

## 2 WP1 - Analysis of survey data

### 2.1 IMARES ${ }^{1}$

### 2.1.1 Methods

Six species were selected for the analysis. These are tub gurnard (Trigla lucerna), grey gurnard (Eutrigla gurnardus), turbot (Psetta maxima), flounder (Platichthys flesus), brill (Scophthalmus rhombus), and witch flounder (Glyptocephalus cynoglossus).

Data from three surveys have been analysed: the ICES-coordinated International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS), the Dutch contribution to the Beam Trawl Survey (BTS) and the Dutch contribution to the Demersal Young Fish Survey (DFS). The IBTS has been rather stable in methods and in coverage over the whole range of years used in this analysis. The third quarter IBTS started in 1991 and has also been stable in coverage and methods. The (Dutch) BTS started in 1983. Initially, the survey was carried out by one vessel ("Isis") only and coverage was limited to the southeastern North Sea until 1995. In 1996 a second vessel ("Tridens") started to participate and the survey area was expanded to include the western and central North Sea. The DFS survey is carried out by three vessels since 1970, one vessel ("Stern") fishes the stations in the Wadden Sea, a second vessel ("Schollevaar") fishes in the SW Delta-area, a third vessel (mainly "Isis") covers the stations along the Dutch coast.

The survey period covered different time series, namely IBTS Q1: 1970-2009; IBTS Q3: 1991-2008; BTS Q3: 1985-2008; DFS Wadden Sea Q3: 1970-2008; DFS Coastal Zone Q3: 1970-2008; DFS Delta area Q3: 19702008.

For each species, an overview is given for each survey by quarter of the catches in a temporal (time series) and spatial (distribution maps) context. Also, the length-frequency distributions are given to indicate size cohorts within the different surveys.

The time series of each species show the mean abundance per year, for each survey by quarter. The annual mean abundance was calculated by first averaging the catches by ICES-rectangle by year, then for the whole North Sea by year, i.e. ICES-division IV.
The distribution maps of each species illustrate the mean catch per ICES-rectangle by survey and quarter. The mean catch per ICES rectangle was calculated by first averaging the catches by ICES-rectangle by year, then for the entire survey period. The distribution maps for the IBTS and BTS are shown for juveniles and adults separately. The length split used is indicated in Table 2.1.1

Table 2.1.1 Length split between juveniles and adults

| Species |  | Length split | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tub gurnard | Trigla lucerna | $<20 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Knijn et al. (1993) Atlas of North Sea Fishes. ICES CRR 194. 268pp. |
| Grey gurnard | Eutrigla gurnardus | <20 cm | Damm U (1987) Growth of grey gurnard (Eutrigla gurnardus) in the North Sea. ICES CM 1987/G:55. 10pp. |
| Turbot | Psetta maxima | <35 cm | Heessen, HJL (1999) By-catch species in the North Sea flatfish fishery (data on turbot and brill) preliminary assessment (DATUBRAS), Study 97/078. C028/99. 62pp. |
| Flounder | Platichthys flesus | $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ | www.fishbase.org |
| Brill | Scophthalmus rhombus | $<29 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Heessen, HJL (1999) By-catch species in the North Sea flatfish fishery (data on turbot and brill) preliminary assessment (DATUBRAS), Study 97/078. C028/99. 62pp. |
| Witch flounder | Glyptocephalus cynoglossus | $<25 \mathrm{~cm}$ | www.fishbase.org |

The length frequency distribution of each species show the mean abundance (in percentage) per length class (1 cm below) for each survey by quarter. The length frequencies were calculated by first averaging the catches per

[^0]length class by ICES-rectangle by year, then for the whole North Sea (i.e. ICES-division IV) by year, and finally for the annual means by length class were averaged by survey and quarter for the entire survey period.

### 2.1.2 Tub gurnard in IV

Time series of abundance (Figure 2.1.1 and 2.1.2)
IBTS-1 : During quarter 1 the abundance is quite low. No clear trend is to be seen, although numbers (of overwintering fish) seem to increase in the last five years of the time series.
IBTS-3 : This time series is relatively short, and the first year clearly is an outlier, possibly due to a wrong identification (grey gurnard identified as tub gurnard?). Slightly higher values occur during the last three years.
BTS-3: Although a clear peak in abundance in the late 1980s and early 1990s can be seen in Figure 2.1.1 and a much lower level since around 1995 this is not seen at all when the time series for the two vessels that carry out the survey is shown in Figure 2.1.2. The abundance in the stations covered by RV Isis gradually increased since 1985, but in the stations fished by RV Tridens numbers remain at a low level. The area covered by the survey has changed (see 2.1.1) and using all available data for the whole time series gives a misleading picture.
DFS-3: the numbers caught in the Demersal Fish Survey are usually quite low. Apart from a possible, minor, increase in the coastal zone no clear trend can be seen.


Figure 2.1.1 - Time series of abundance of tub gurnard by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.


Figure 2.1.2 - Time series of abundance of tub gurnard in the BTS3 survey by vessel.

Conclusion: Tub gurnard is normally not present in the North Sea during winter, but enters the southern North Sea in spring, and leaves again in the autumn. The slight increase seen in IBTS1 may indicate an increase in the numbers of tub gurnard that remain in the North Sea in winter in recent years. This is similar to striped red mullet, another species that used to enter the North Sea in spring and leave in the autumn, but that now overwinters in the North Sea in increasing numbers. The most promising time series for tub gurnard seems to be from the Beam Trawl Surveys in quarter 3, and especially for the stations in the southeastern North Sea covered by RV Isis (Figure 2.1.2).

## Length composition (Figure 2.1.3)

IBTS-1: Fish caught were in the range of 8 to 50 cm , with no clear modes indicating age-groups.
IBTS-3: The range is from about 12 to 50 cm , with a very clear peak at about 23 cm .
BTS-3: The range is from 5 to around 50 cm . Two very clear modes can be seen, around 10 cm , and around 25 cm.

DFS-3: Catches seem to be limited to small fish in the range of 4 to 20 cm . In the coastal area and in the Delta area also some fish in the range of 20 to 35 cm are caught.

Conclusion: BTS-3 probably provides the most complete picture of the annual length compositions.


Figure 2.1.3 - Length frequency distribution of tub gurnard by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (19702009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

Distribution (Figure 2.1.4 and 2.1.5)
IBTS-1: The numbers of tub gurnard caught in the first quarter IBTS are very low. The highest abundance can be seen near the northwestern and southwestern boundaries of the survey area.
IBTS-3: In the third quarter the abundance is much higher. There is a tendency that adults are more abundant towards the southeastern part of the North Sea. In juveniles no pattern is to be seen.
BTS-3: In this survey a very clear pattern can be seen, especially in the adult distribution. The abundance is higher (but numbers caught are still low) along the southeastern edge of the survey area. Juveniles show the same pattern but less clearly.
DFS-3: No clear pattern in shallow waters can be seen. In some years the highest catches are made in the Wadden Sea, in other years in the coastal zone.


| Tub gurnard |
| :--- |
| IBTS-1 |
| N per hour |
| - 0 |
| - $0-0.25$ |
| - $0.25-0.50$ |
| - $0.50-1.00$ |
| - $\quad 1.00$ |
|  |



| Tub gurnard |
| :--- |
| BTS-3 |
| N per hour |
| - 0 |
| - $0-0.25$ |
| - $0.25-0.5$ |
| - $0.5-1$ |
| - $>1$ |
|  |

Figure 2.1.4 - Distribution of tub gurnard in IBTS-1 (average 1970-2009), IBTS-3 (average 1991-2008) and BTS-3 (average 1985-2008).


Figure 2.1.5 - Two examples of the distribution of tub gurnard in the DFS survey. Upper panel 1975, lower panel 1990.

### 2.1.3 Grey gurnard in IV

## Time series of abundance (Figure 2.1.6)

IBTS-1 : From 1970 to 1980 the abundance is quite low. In 1981 a sudden peak appears, followed by a gradual increase from the late 1980s. Since around 2000 the abundance fluctuates at a high level.
IBTS-3: Abundance shows an increase from the beginning of the time series in 1991 until around 2000.
BTS-3: Compared to the IBTS the abundance seen in the BTS is at a much lower level. A similar increase between 1990 and 2000 can be seen.
DFS-3: Catches in shallow waters are low, especially in the estuaries. In the coastal zone catches were at a comparatively higher level from 1970 to 1990, and have remained small since then.


Figure 2.1.6 - Time series of abundance of grey gurnard by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

## Length composition (Figure 2.1.7)

IBTS-1, IBTS-3 and BTS-3 all show rather similar length compositions from just below 10 to 40 or 45 cm and a peak around 20 cm . The catches in the Demersal Fish Survey represent the smaller fish in the range 5 to 20 cm .


Figure 2.1.7 - Length frequency distribution of grey gurnard by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (19702009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

Distribution (Figures 2.1.8 and 2.1.9)
The highest abundance in IBTS-1 is in the central western and north western North Sea. The distribution of adults and juveniles is broadly similar. In summer time (IBTS-3) grey gurnard is spread more widely to occupy also the eastern and southeastern North Sea. Again, the distribution of juveniles and adults are very similar.
In BTS-3 the highest abundance can be seen in a broad band spanning from the English coast to the coast of Jutland. Juveniles seem more abundant than adults. A clear patch of juveniles occurs in the northwestern part of the survey area.
In the DFS-3 survey the occurrence of small grey gurnards is quite variable. In some years small amounts are being caught, in other years the species is almost absent.


## Grey gurnard <br> IBTS-1

N per hour

- 0
- 0-50
- 50-100
- 100-500
- $>500$



## Grey gurnard

## IBTS-3

N per hour

- 0
- 0-50
- 50-100
- 100-500
- $>500$



## Grey gurnard

BTS-3
N per hour
0

- 0-5
- 5-10
- 10-25
- $>25$

Figure 2.1.8 - Distribution of grey gurnard in IBTS-1 (average 1970-2009), IBTS-3 (average 1991-2008) and BTS-3 (average 1985-2008).


Figure 2.1.9 - Two examples of the distribution of grey gurnard in the DFS survey. Upper panel 1981, lower panel 2004.

### 2.1.4 Flounder in IV

## Time series of abundance (Figure 2.1.10 and 2.1.11)

IBTS-1: The pattern is not very clear. Catches fluctuate and are possibly at a higher level during the most recent years.
IBTS-3: No clear pattern. A low abundance during quarter 3, with one peak in 1998.
BTS-3: No clear pattern. A low abundance. When the abundance for the stations of the two vessels that carry out the survey are taken into account (Figure 2.1.11) it is clear that only the abundance for stations fished by RV Isis are meaningful. The time series, however, does not show a clear pttern.
DFS-3: The pattern in the time series is not clear. The abundance is highest in the Wadden Sea and the Delta estuary.

Conclusion: a year class signal might be seen in the Wadden Sea and in the Delta estuary. Adults are best represented in the quarter 1 IBTS which is around the time that flounder spawns in the open sea. In quarter 3 most fish is found in shallow and less saline coastal waters. There does not seem to be a relation between the DFS catches in the Wadden Sea (Figure 2.1.10) and the BTS-3 catches in the southeastern North Sea (Figure 2.1.11).


Figure 2.1.10 - Time series of abundance of flounder by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.


Figure 2.1.11 - Time series of abundance of flounder in the BTS-3 survey by vessel.

## Length composition (Figure 2.1.12)

IBTS-1: The size range is from 10 to 50 cm , with one peak around 30 cm .
IBTS-3: The peak is again at 30 cm but a small percentage of 0 -group can be seen of about 10 cm .
BTS-3: Broadly similar to IBTS-3 with possibly a small mode at 20 cm representing 1-group fish.
DFS-3: In the Wadden Sea and the Delta estuary two size classes (year-classes) can clearly be distinguished, 0group and 1-group, with modes at around 10 and 20 cm . In the Wadden Sea the contribution of 0-group seems to be highest. The catches in the coastal area are smaller and no distinction between year classes can be made.

Conclusion: As an indication of year class strength, the DFS catches in the Wadden Sea and Delta estuary might be used. The catches of the IBTS-1 would provide a good picture of the adult component of the stock.


Figure 2.1.12 - Length frequency distribution of flounder by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

Distribution (Figures 2.1.13 and 2.1.14)
IBTS-1: During quarter 1 flounder are widely distributed over the North Sea, with a slightly higher abundance in the southeastern North Sea. Juveniles are also widespread, and are only found in small numbers.
IBTS-3: In the third quarter the abundance is lower, with only some coastal areas with a higher abundance.
BTS-3: In the beam trawl survey in quarter 3 adults are mainly found in shallow coastal parts of the southeastern North Sea. Juveniles have a similar distribution but occur in lower numbers.
DFS-3: Juvenile flounder are especially abundant in the Wadden Sea and the shallow southeastern Delta.




## Flounder

## IBTS-3

N per hour

- 0
- 0-2.5
- $2.5-5$
- 5-10
- > 10

Flounder
BTS-3
N per hour
- 0
• $\quad 0-1$
- $\quad 1-2.5$
- $2.5-5$
- $>5$

Figure 2.1.13 - Distribution of flounder in IBTS-1 (average 1970-2009), IBTS-3 (average 1991-2008) and BTS-3 (average 1985-2008).


Figure 2.1.14 - Two examples of the distribution of flounder in the DFS survey. Upper panel 1980, lower panel 2007.

### 2.1.5 Witch flounder in IV

Time series of abundance (Figure 2.1.15)
IBTS-1: The abundance of witch flounder has been fluctuating. A "maximum" was reached around 1995, and the abundance seems to have decreased since.
IBTS-3: No pattern can be detected in the abundance time series.
BTS-3: In this time series the change in survey coverage in 1996 is reflected. Only since that year part of the distribution area of witch flounder has been included. No clear trend is visible since 1996.
DFS-3: Witch flounder does not occur in the southern North Sea.
Conclusion: As a time series the catches of witch flounder during the IBTS seem most promising, and especially for the IBTS-1 since more stations are usually fished in quarter 1 , and the time series is longer.


Figure 2.1.15 - Time series of abundance of witch flounder by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (19702009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

Length composition (Figure 2.1.16)
Both IBTS-1, IBTS-3 and BTS-3 catch the whole size range of witch flounder from just below 10 cm to around 50 cm . The peak in the length range in both IBTS surveys is around 35 cm , in the BTS it is around 30 cm .


Figure 2.1.16 - Length frequency distribution of witch flounder by survey. From left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008).

Distribution (Figure 2.1.17)
IBTS-1 and IBTS-3: Witch flounder is a species that occurs in the deeper waters of the northern North Sea. There does not seem to be a significant difference in the distribution in winter and in summer. Whereas a tendency seems to exist for adults to occur mainly in offshore waters (certainly in IBTS-3) the juveniles may be more abundant towards the edges of the survey area.

The third quarter Beam Trawl Survey (BTS-3) just covers the southern range of witch flounder. Also in these data no obvious difference exists between adult and juvenile distribution.

Some specimens of witch flounder have incidentally been reported for the Demersal Fish Survey (DFS-3) but these catches are believed to stem from wrong identification of the species.
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Figure 2.1.17 - Distribution of witch flounder in IBTS-1 (average 1970-2009), IBTS-3 (average 1991-2008) and BTS-3 (average 1985-2008).

### 2.1.6 Turbot in IV

Time series of abundance (Figure 2.1.18)
IBTS-1: An increase can be seen from the late 1970s up to 1990, followed by a decrease to around 2000, which is then followed by another increase.
IBTS-3: The last part of the former graph is mirrored in the data for the quarter 3 IBTS.
BTS-3: The time series for the combined BTS data is misleading. Due to the specific distribution and the coverage by two vessels the time series for these vessels should be considered separately (Figure 2.1.19). The catches by RV Tridens in the central and western North Sea are almost zero. The time series for RV Isis, covering the southeastern North Sea, shows an abundance at approximately the same level since 1990.
DFS-3: The time series do not show a clear pattern. but only occasional peaks.
Conclusion: the time series from IBTS-1 and BTS-3 (RV Isis only) probably provide the most reliable information.


Figure 2.1.18 - Time series of abundance of turbot by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.


Figure 2.1.19 - Time series of abundance of turbot in the BTS3 survey by vessel


Figure 2.1.20 - Length frequency distribution of turbot by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

IBTS-1: The length range is from 20 to around 70 cm , with a peak at about 35 cm .
IBTS-3: Very similar to IBTS-1.
BTS-3: Most fish caught is between 12 and 40 cm in length. Two modes can be seen, one around 20 and the other one around 35 cm .
DFS-3: In shallow waters the specimens caught are clearly smaller than in the open sea. Catches consist probably mostly of 0 -group fish (mode around 8-9 cm) and 1-group fish (mode around 20 cm ).

Distribution (Figure 2.1.21 and 2.1.22)
IBTS-1: Turbot occurs widely distributed over the North Sea, with slightly higher abundance in the south-eastern North Sea. As brill, turbot is usually found in small numbers.
IBTS-3: The distribution pattern in IBTS1 and IBTS3 are broadly similar. In the eastern North Sea the abundance seems slightly higher. No obvious difference between adults and juveniles can be seen.
BTS-3: In the beam trawl survey there is a clear different pattern in juveniles, with higher numbers caught in the shallow continental zone in the south-east. There is no indication why the pattern in the juvenile distibution between the IBTS3 and BTS3 is so markedly different.
DFS-3: O-group turbot (and brill) occur in very shallow water, and are found in all areas covered by the Demersal Fish Survey, Wadden Sea, southwestern Delta and coastal waters.
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Figure 2.1.21 - Distribution of turbot in IBTS-1 (average 1970-2009), IBTS-3 (average 1991-2008) and BTS-3 (average 1985-2008).


Figure 2.1.22 - Two examples of the distribution of turbot in the DFS survey. Upper panel 1984, lower panel 2002.

### 2.1.7 Brill in IV

Time series of abundance (Figure 2.1.23 and 2.1.24)
IBTS-1: The abundance of brill is rather low, but is increasing since the beginning of the time series. A peak is visible in the early 1990s.
IBTS-3: The pattern broadly mirrors the pattern seen in IBTS-1.
BTS-3: The time series for the combined BTS data is misleading. Due to the specific distribution and the coverage by two vessels the time series for these vessels should be considered separately (Figure 2.1.24). The catches by RV Tridens in the central and western North Sea are very insignificant. The time series for RV Isis, covering the southeastern North Sea, shows an abundance that increases between 1985 and 1992, decreases for some years and more or less stabilises the last 13 years.
DFS-3: In shallow waters the pattern in the time series seems rather similar in the three areas. The good and poor years can be seen in all three series. No trend can be distinguished.

Conclusion: as time series probably the IBTS-1 and the BTS-3 (RV Isis only) provide the best information.


Figure 2.1.23 - Time series of abundance of brill by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS-3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.


Figure 2.1.24 - Time series of abundance of brill in the BTS3 survey by vessel.


Figure 2.1.25 - Length frequency distribution of brill by survey. Row above from left to right: IBTS-1 (1970-2009), IBTS3 (1991-2008), BTS-3 (1985-2008); row below from left to right: DFS quarter 3 (1970-2008) Wadden Sea, Coastal Zone and Delta area.

IBTS-1: The main catches are for brill in the size range from 20 to 60 cm . Some smaller fish are caught in the range 10 to 20 cm . The peak is at approximately $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$.
IBTS-3: This length composition is similar to IBTS-1 but fish smaller than 20 cm are missing.
BTS-3: Catches mainly consist of fish in the size range from 20-30 cm.
DFS-3: In the three shallow areas to size-groups can be distinguished: from about 8 to 20 cm and from 20 to 35 cm , probably representing 1 - and 2-group fish.

Distribution (Figures 2.1.26 and 2.1.27)
IBTS-1: Brill are found in small numbers only, and have a southerly distibution, although single specimens are found in the northernmost stations of the survey. No difference is apparent between adult and juvenile distribution.
IBTS-3: In the third quarter IBTS slightly higher abunances are observed in coastal waters.
BTS-3: In the beam trawl survey the distribution pattern is more outspoken. Adults are found in the southeastern half of the North Sea. Broadly the juveniles have the same distribution but here higher numbers are clearly found in the shallow parts of the German Bight. It is not clear what exactly causes the different patterns of juvenile distribution between the IBTS3 and BTS3 surveys.
DFS-3: 0-group brill are known to occur in extremely shallow water, even in the surf-zone. Also in the DFS young brill are widely distributed over the stations in the Wadden Sea, the SW Delta and the coastal area. The areas with the highest abundance change slightly from year to year.
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Figure 2.1.26 - Distribution of brill in IBTS-1 (average 1970-2009), IBTS-3 (average 1991-2008) and BTS-3 (average 1985-2008).


Figure 2.1.27 - Two examples of the distribution of brill in the DFS survey. Upper panel 1981, lower panel 2005.

### 2.2 CEFAS ${ }^{2}$

### 2.2.1 Lemon sole in the Irish Sea, Bristol Channel, VIle, VIIId and North Sea

The abundance of lemon sole and dab was investigated for four Cefas surveys that are commonly used to provide tuning indices for other commercial species. These surveys are: the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E); eastern Channel Beam Trawl survey (BTS7d), the western Channel (VIle) (Carhelmar) Beam Trawl survey, and the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam Trawl survey (NWGFS). Together these surveys cover much of the area around the UK coast.

A full description of each survey series will not be given here, but briefly, the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E) has taken place in August since 1992, on the RV Cirolana (1992-2002) and the RV Cefas Endeavour (2003-2009). The survey uses a GOV trawl and fishing takes place in ICES Divisions IVa, b \& c. The survey is part of the ICES coordinated International Bottom Trawl Survey in the North Sea. The eastern Channel Beam Trawl survey (BTS7d) has taken place annually in July since 1990, on the RV Corystes (19902007) and the RV Cefas Endeavour (2008-2009). The survey is primarily a sole and plaice survey, using a 4 m beam trawl and fishing in ICES Divisions VIId and IVc. The western Channel (VIIe) (Carhelmar) Beam Trawl Survey has taken place annually in October since 1989. This survey takes place on a commercial beam trawler (the Carhelmar), using two 4 m beam trawls, primarily for sole and plaice. However, in 2002, 2003 and 2004, the survey was undertaken on the RV Corystes, using a single 4 m beam only. The Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam Trawl Survey (NWGFS) has taken place annually in September since 1989, on the RV Corystes (19892008) and the RV Cefas Endeavour (2009). The survey is primarily a juvenile sole and plaice survey, using a 4 m beam trawl and fishing in ICES Divisions Vlla, f and g.

For each survey series, data were extracted on the number of dab and lemon sole caught per length group by prime station, along with information on the prime station position and the distance covered during the tow. First, for each survey year, the total number of fish caught in all valid tows was calculated and the number of valid tows fished and the distance covered by the valid tows was determined. For the three beam trawl surveys an index of abundance was calculated as the number of fish caught per metre beam (number of valid stations * beam length) per nautical mile (total distance covered). For the North Sea (IBTS3E) survey, the index of abundance was calculated as the number of fish caught per nautical mile. Next, the abundance at length was calculated for each survey and the mean length of fish in each survey was calculated. Finally, the abundance at each station was calculated and plotted using the ArcGIS programme.

Annual indices of lemon sole abundance for each survey series are given in Table 2.2.1 and Figure 2.2.1. For lemon sole in the eastern Channel, abundance has been variable with a large peak observed in 1995 and smaller peaks in 2002, 2004 and 2008. In the Carhelmar survey lemon sole abundance was initially relatively high but decreased in the early 1990's until the early 2000's. This was followed by an increase to 2004, but abundance then decreased again. However, abundance increased again in 2008 and 2009. In the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel, lemon sole abundance steadily increased from the beginning of the time series to 2003, since when it has declined. In the North Sea, lemon sole abundance has generally increased through the time series.

Mean length of lemon sole in each survey year and a mean length for each survey series is given in Table 2.2.2. For lemon sole, mean length was relatively consistent through each survey series. However, the series average mean length of lemon sole caught in the Carhelmar survey ( $\sim 31 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{TL}$ ), was notably higher than that of the other three surveys ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 22 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 23 cm ).

Abundance at length is given for lemon sole by survey year in Figures 2.2.2-2.2.5, and abundance by station and survey is given in Figures 2.2.6-2.2.9.

[^1]
### 2.2.2 Dab in the Irish Sea, Bristol Channel, VIle, VIld and North Sea

Annual indices of dab abundance for each survey series are given in Table 2.2..1 and Figure 2.2.10. Dab abundance in the eastern Channel (BTS7D) appears to be relatively stable through the time series, though a peak in abundance was seen in 1994, after which it declined to 1998. In 2007, abundance was the second lowest of the survey series, but increased in 2008 and 2009. In the Carhelmar survey, abundance was relatively stable at the beginning of the survey series, but a large increase in abundance can be seen between 2000 and 2002, followed by a large decline in the following years, down to historical levels. In the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel survey (NWGFS), there has been an overall increase in dab abundance through the time series. In the North Sea (IBTS3E), abundance was relatively stable at the beginning of the time series, followed by a decline to the lowest observed level in 1990. In 1991, abundance was at the series high. Since that time, abundance has been relatively stable until 2009 when it declined again. In all surveys, dab abundance is significantly higher than that of lemon sole.

Mean length of dab in each survey year and a mean length for each survey series is given in Table 2.2.2. Mean length has remained relatively consistent throughout the survey series. Overall, mean lengths for dab in the North Sea and Carhelmar surveys were similar, and higher than the eastern Channel and Irish Sea/Bristol Channel surveys.

Abundance at length is given for dab by survey in Figures 2.2.11-2.2.14, and abundance by station and survey is given in Figures 2.2.15-2.2.18.

Table 2.2.1 - Indices of dab and lemon sole abundance in 4 Cefas surveys: the eastern Channel Beam Trawl survey (BTS7d), the western Channel (VIle) (Carhelmar) Beam Trawl survey, the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam trawl survey (NWGFS) and the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E). Abundance for the three beam trawl survey is given as number of fish per $m$ beam per $n m$ and abundance for the groundfish survey is given as number of fish per nm.

|  | Dab |  |  |  | Lemon sole |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | BTS7d | Carhelmar | NWGFS | IBTS3E | BTS7d | Carhelmar | NWGFS | IBTS3E |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0015 |  |
| 1989 |  | 0.0175 |  |  |  | 0.0009 | 0.0019 |  |
| 1990 | 0.0227 | 0.0089 |  |  | 0.0033 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 |  |
| 1991 | 0.0317 | 0.0098 | 0.0815 |  | 0.0020 | 0.0014 | 0.0016 |  |
| 1992 | 0.0367 | 0.0045 | 0.0767 | 128.43 | 0.0011 | 0.0003 | 0.0013 | 4.71 |
| 1993 | 0.0134 | 0.0053 | 0.0637 | 110.51 | 0.0039 | 0.0004 | 0.0025 | 4.18 |
| 1994 | 0.0436 | 0.0151 | 0.0968 | 124.71 | 0.0062 | 0.0004 | 0.0028 | 4.72 |
| 1995 | 0.0178 | 0.0113 | 0.0874 | 142.27 | 0.0074 | 0.0007 | 0.0031 | 8.72 |
| 1996 | 0.0215 | 0.0098 | 0.0731 | 164.91 | 0.0042 | 0.0006 | 0.0027 | 9.63 |
| 1997 | 0.0214 | 0.0099 | 0.1112 | 244.44 | 0.0031 | 0.0005 | 0.0027 | 6.94 |
| 1998 | 0.0102 | 0.0081 | 0.1232 | 138.14 | 0.0019 | 0.0006 | 0.0026 | 6.22 |
| 1999 | 0.0170 | 0.0100 | 0.1744 | 216.30 | 0.0027 | 0.0003 | 0.0022 | 8.23 |
| 2000 | 0.0143 | 0.0055 | 0.1773 | 86.17 | 0.0022 | 0.0004 | 0.0027 | 8.40 |
| 2001 | 0.0241 | 0.0232 | 0.1522 | 338.39 | 0.0033 | 0.0007 | 0.0029 | 9.63 |
| 2002 | 0.0217 | 0.0374 | 0.1019 | 253.84 | 0.0047 | 0.0012 | 0.0039 | 8.50 |
| 2003 | 0.0333 | 0.0254 | 0.1823 | 328.41 | 0.0050 | 0.0006 | 0.0052 | 10.92 |
| 2004 | 0.0207 | 0.0115 | 0.1764 | 265.49 | 0.0026 | 0.0021 | 0.0043 | 9.72 |
| 2005 | 0.0219 | 0.0089 | 0.1374 | 338.78 | 0.0061 | 0.0005 | 0.0027 | 12.06 |
| 2006 | 0.0315 | 0.0165 | 0.1329 | 286.86 | 0.0022 | 0.0007 | 0.0031 | 9.43 |
| 2007 | 0.0123 | 0.0057 | 0.1111 | 332.73 | 0.0018 | 0.0004 | 0.0039 | 15.73 |
| 2008 | 0.0197 | 0.0064 | 0.1238 | 322.52 | 0.0045 | 0.0014 | 0.0024 | 9.18 |
| 2009 | 0.0331 | 0.0085 | 0.1324 | 215.72 | 0.0022 | 0.0014 | 0.0021 | 10.93 |

Table 2.2.2 - Mean length (mm) of dab and lemon sole in four Cefas survey series: the eastern Channel Beam Trawl Survey (BTS7d), the western Channel (VIIe) (Carhelmar) Beam Trawl Survey, the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam Trawl Survey (NWGFS) and the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E).

|  | Dab |  |  |  | Lemon s |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BTS7d | Carhelmar | NWGFS | IBTS3E | BTS7d | Carhelmar | NWGFS | IBTS3E |
| 1989 |  | 191 |  |  |  | 318 | 229 |  |
| 1990 | 161 | 210 |  |  | 267 | 302 | 201 |  |
| 1991 | 164 | 201 | 146 |  | 283 | 324 | 226 |  |
| 1992 | 168 | 202 | 157 | 172 | 265 | 336 | 234 | 260 |
| 1993 | 170 | 188 | 135 | 169 | 186 | 323 | 188 | 254 |
| 1994 | 101 | 144 | 130 | 170 | 201 | 293 | 194 | 245 |
| 1995 | 143 | 174 | 142 | 167 | 202 | 305 | 202 | 240 |
| 1996 | 153 | 158 | 142 | 162 | 204 | 316 | 217 | 242 |
| 1997 | 147 | 165 | 143 | 160 | 250 | 322 | 218 | 239 |
| 1998 | 181 | 181 | 139 | 164 | 236 | 318 | 216 | 231 |
| 1999 | 128 | 120 | 128 | 158 | 179 | 327 | 209 | 233 |
| 2000 | 144 | 171 | 134 | 165 | 218 | 325 | 190 | 232 |
| 2001 | 123 | 110 | 145 | 158 | 206 | 311 | 216 | 225 |
| 2002 | 142 | 138 | 145 | 167 | 207 | 276 | 206 | 226 |
| 2003 | 107 | 151 | 139 | 160 | 232 | 317 | 207 | 221 |
| 2004 | 153 | 128 | 140 | 166 | 210 | 276 | 205 | 226 |
| 2005 | 160 | 102 | 142 | 169 | 239 | 311 | 195 | 225 |
| 2006 | 108 | 139 | 147 | 158 | 212 | 303 | 189 | 222 |
| 2007 | 154 | 181 | 148 | 171 | 185 | 318 | 187 | 225 |
| 2008 | 155 | 198 | 150 | 176 | 231 | 280 | 182 | 221 |
| 2009 | 149 | 158 | 149 | 179 | 239 | 302 | 202 | 227 |
| Series <br> Mean | 146 | 162 | 142 | 166 | 223 | 310 | 205 | 233 |



Figure 2.2.1 - Indices of abundance of lemon sole caught in 4 Cefas surveys: the eastern Channel Beam Trawl survey (BTS7d), the western Channel (VIle) (Carhelmar) Beam Trawl survey, the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam Trawl survey (NWGFS) and the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E). Abundances are given as number of fish per $m$ beam per $n m$ for the beam trawl surveys and as number of fish per $n m$ for the groundfish survey.


Figure 2.2.2 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) by length (cm) of lemon sole caught annually in the eastern Channel beam trawl survey, between 1990 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.3 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) by length (cm) of lemon sole caught annually in the Carhelmar survey, between 1990 and 2009


Figure 2.2.4-Abundance (no per m beam per nm) by length (cm) of lemon sole caught annually in the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel beam trawl survey, between 1991 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.5 - Abundance (no per nm) by length (cm) of lemon sole caught annually in the North Sea survey, between 1992 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.6 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) of lemon sole caught annually in the eastern Channel beam trawl survey, by fishing station, between 1989 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.6-Continued.


Figure 2.2.6-Continued.


Figure 2.2.6-Continued.


Figure 2.2.7 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) of lemon sole caught annually in the Carhelmar survey, by fishing station, between 1989 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.7-Continued.


Figure 2.2.7-Continued.


Figure 2.2.7-Continued.


Figure 2.2.8. Abundance (no per $m$ beam per $n m$ ) of lemon sole caught annually in the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel beam trawl survey, by fishing station, between 1988 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.8 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.8 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.8 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.9. Abundance (no per nm) of lemon sole caught annually in the North Sea survey, by fishing station, between 1992 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.9 - Continued.





Figure 2.2.9 - Continued


Figure 2.2.10 - Indices of abundance of dab caught in 4 Cefas surveys: the eastern Channel Beam Trawl survey (BTS7d), the western Channel (VIle) (Carhelmar) Beam Trawl survey, the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam Trawl survey (NWGFS) and the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E). Abundances are given as number of fish per m beam per nm for the beam trawl surveys and as number of fish per nm for the groundfish survey.


Figure 2.2.11 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) by length (cm) of dab caught annually in the eastern Channel beam trawl survey, between 1990 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.12 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) by length (cm) of dab caught annually in the Carhelmar survey, between 1990 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.13 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) by length (cm) of dab caught annually in the lrish Sea/Bristol Channel beam trawl survey, between 1991 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.14 - Abundance (no per nm) by length (cm) of dab caught annually in the North Sea survey, between 1992 and 2009.


Figure 2.2.15 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) of dab caught annually in the eastern Channel beam trawl survey, by fishing station, between 1989 and 2009.
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Figure 2.2.15 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.16 - Abundance (no per m beam per nm) of dab caught annually in the Carhelmar survey, by fishing station, between 1989 and 2009.
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Figure 2.2.16 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.17 - Abundance (no per meam per nm) of dab caught annually in the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel beam trawl survey, by fishing station, between 1988 and 2009.
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Figure 2.2.17 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.17 - Continued.


Figure 2.2.18 - Abundance (no per nm) of dab caught annually in the North Sea survey, by fishing station, between 1992 and 2009.
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Figure 2.2.18 - Continued.

### 2.3 IFREMER ${ }^{3}$

In this section analyses are presented of survey data for striped red mullet, red gurnard, tub gurnard and John dory. The data that were used for the analyses presented in this section are from three French surveys:

## International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) in the North Sea

The IBTS surveys are one of the surveys to study the fish populations in the North Sea. Survey methods were standardised between countries involved in this programme: for example, the use of a standard GOV bottom trawl and the sampling of all the areas by two different research vessels. In order to determine indices of herring and sprat larvae (0 groups), each participating vessel operates a MIK (Methot Isaac Kidd) plankton net during the night.

For 20 years, the southern and central part of the North sea has been allocated to the French vessel. Since 2007, the eastern Channel has been added to the sampled area (Figure 2.3.1). As migration and exchange of stocks between these two areas are important, the eastern Channel is often combined with the North Sea for stock assessments. Herring for example which is exploited all year round in the North sea migrates into the Channel during November and December for reproduction.


Figure 2.3.1 - Station map of the IBTS in the North Sea in 2009, quarter 1.

## Channel Groundfish Survey (CGFS) in the eastern Channe/

The Channel Ground Fish Survey provides recruitment indices in response to the second criterion defined by the SGRN: to provide information for management decisions. The indices for whiting, plaice and cod from this survey are being used by the Working Group on "Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak" of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES).

[^2]The objectives of the CGFS are in accordance with the priorities of the Common Fisheries Policy, namely to acquire the necessary data allowing to estimate the state of the resources. The abundance of stocks and of their distribution is monitored by a research vessel survey, in combination with the biological sampling of commercial catches.

The objectives are to collect mainly the following data during these surveys:

- distribution and fish abundance;
- abundance indices by age for the main commercial species for the ICES working group on the "Assessment of Demersal Stock in the North Sea and Skagerrak";
- estimate of recruitment and its variations;
- ichthyologic knowledge of populations;
- growth parameters for the main commercial species;
- hydrological data (temperature and salinity);
- localisation of nurseries and estimation of their importance;
- management recommendations, expertise and advice to the local national and Community decision structures, mainly within the framework of the exploited stocks and coastal management.
- spatial distribution and abundance of benthic populations

The sampling area covers the whole eastern English Channel (ICES Subdivision VIId), extending from the southern part of the North Sea (northern latitude $51^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$, Belgian border) to the longitude of the Cotentin Peninsula (western $2^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ ) (Figure 2.3.2). The rocky seabed to the north of Cherbourg is not sampled because it is not possible to trawl ther. The survey area is divided into rectangles of $15^{\prime}$ latitude and $15^{\prime}$ longitude, and sampling design is of the systematic type. From 1997 to 2006, sampling of the zones which are potential whiting spawning grounds (bay of the Seine, bay of Veys and bay of Rye) was reinforced.


Figure 2.3.2 - Station map of CGFS survey in the eastern Channel.

The sampling scheme consists of at least 1 haul in each rectangle. 105 hauls are made with a small version of the GOV-trawl. Some hauls are done in sensitive areaa like nurseries (bay of Rye, bay of Seine and bay of Veys).

Hydrological parameters were recorded (salinity and temperature) during each haul. All species were sorted, weighted, counted and most of them are measured. In order to obtain age-length keys, otoliths and scales are collected from the main commercial species. These species are also sexed and the maturity stage is recorded as well.

## French EVHOE survey in the Bay of Biscay and the Celtic Sea

The French EVHOE demersal survey began in 1987. The survey area was first limited to the Bay of Biscay (ICES divisions VIIh, VIlla,b,c and d) and in 1990, the survey area was extended towards the north to cover the grounds of the Celtic Sea deeper than 100 m (ICES divisions VIle, f, g, h and j).

Between 1987 and 1996, the EVHOE survey was conducted in the Bay of Biscay on an annual basis with the exception of 1993 and 1996. It was conducted in the third or fourth quarter except in 1991, when it took place in May. In 1988, two surveys were conducted: one in May the other in October.

The Celtic Sea was surveyed from 1990 to 1994, but sampling was restricted to a small geographical area. Since 1997, the survey has covered all the Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay during the 4th quarter for 40 to 45 days depending on year and availability of ship time.

The survey has the following main objectives:

- construction of time-series of abundance indices for all commercial species in the Bay of Biscay and the Celtic Sea with an emphasis on the yearly assessed species where abundance indices at age are computed;
- to describe the spatial distribution of the species and to study their interannual variations;
- to estimate and/or update biological parameters (growth, sexual maturity, sex ratio).

Since 1997, the French survey has been carried out on the R/N Thalassa, a stern trawler of 73.7 m length by 14.9 m wide, gross tonnage of 3022 t . The fishing gear used is a GOV 36/47 without exocet Kite which is replaced by 6 additional floats. On average, the gear has a horizontal opening of 20 m and a vertical opening of 4 m . The doors are plane-oval with a weight of 1350 kg .

The sampling design is a stratified random allocation. The whole area surveyed has been separated in 5 geographical strata or sectors (Figure 2.3.3): southern Bay of Biscay (GS) and northern Bay of Biscay (GN), southern Celtic Sea (CS), central Celtic Sea (CC) and northern Celtic Sea (CN). In each sector a depth-stratified sampling strategy has been adopted with 7 depth ranges: 0-30m, $31-80 \mathrm{~m}, 81-120 \mathrm{~m}, 121-160 \mathrm{~m}, 161-$ $200 \mathrm{~m}, 201$ - 400 m and 401-600m.

The number of hauls per stratum was optimised by a Neyman allocation taking into account the most important commercial species in the area (hake, monkfish and megrim). A minimum of two stations per stratum is sampled and 155 fishing stations are planned every year. The stratification scheme adopted defines 6 depth strata within a geographic stratification that separates the Bay of Biscay in 2 areas and the Celtic Sea in 3 areas (Figure 2.3.3). This number of hauls is adjusted according to the ship time available at sea.

The catch is sorted by species, counted and weighted. In the case of a huge catch of one dominant species, only a fraction of the catch is sorted. All finfish and a selection of invertebrate (mainly Nephrops and squids) are measured. Since 2008, benthic species are also sorted. Biological parameters (length, weight, status of maturity among others) and hard structures (otoliths and illicia) are collected.


Figure 2.3.3 - Area covered, stratification used and an example of trawling positions in the EVHOE survey.

For striped red mullet, red and tub gurnard and John dory, the time series of abundance by size class, annual and average length frequencies, annual and average distribution by size/class are presented in the following sections.

### 2.3.1 Striped red mullet

Since 1988, striped red mullet abundance has increased in the Bay of Biscay (EVHOE survey), in the Celtic Sea especially from 2001 to 2004 (EVHOE survey), in the eastern Channel (CGFS survey) and in the southern part of the North Sea (IBTS survey). However, the increase is more significant in the eastern Channel and the southern North Sea.

During the last decade, three years with good recruitment (TL from 8 cm to 15 cm ) can be observed, particularly in the eastern Channel: 2003, 2007 and 2009 (Figure 2.3.4). In the Bay of Biscay, 2001, 2003 and 2005 are the years with the best recruitment.


Figure 2.3.4 - Time series of abundance of striped red mullet base on FR-Surveys from 1980 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.5 - Time series of abundance of striped red mullet in the North Sea based on FR-IBTS data ( $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) from 1980 to 2009 (upper panel) and in the eastern Channel based on FR-CGFS data ( $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) from 1988 to 2009 (lower panel). Lines are smoothed, $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.

Table 2.3.1 - Abundance index ( $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for striped red mullet for the International Bottom Trawl Survey (FR-IBTS, IVb,c) and Channel Ground Fish Survey (FR-CGFS, VIId).

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | IBTS Quarter 1 | IBTS Quarter 3 | CGFS |
| 1988 | 0.00 |  | 0.72 |
| 1989 | 0.00 |  | 28.14 |
| 1990 | 1.18 |  | 2.93 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 1.62 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 1.88 | 12.8 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 3.07 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 8.81 | 6.86 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 1.88 | 11.78 |
| 1996 | 0.29 | 27.71 | 11.84 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 4.66 | 29.19 |
| 1998 | 0.77 | 3.82 | 30.92 |
| 1999 | 0.63 | 2.69 | 10.7 |
| 2000 | 0.46 | 1.50 | 2.92 |
| 2001 | 0.64 | 5.54 | 11.04 |
| 2002 | 0.89 | 21.20 | 69.73 |
| 2003 | 1.95 | 12.79 | 17.69 |
| 2004 | 3.04 |  | 8.1 |
| 2005 | 2.97 |  | 12.34 |
| 2006 | 0.97 |  | 51.3 |
| 2007 | 6.26 |  | 3.45 |
| 2008 | 2.68 |  | 70.75 |
| 2009 | 1.14 |  |  |



Figure 2.3.6 - Abundance indices ( $\mathrm{N} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of striped red mullet per size class (length in cm .) during IBTS-Q1, all countries, from 1990 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.7 - Abundance indices ( $\mathrm{N} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of striped red mullet per size class (length in cm .) during FR-CGFS from 1988 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.7 - Continued

Table 2.3.2 - The average abundance (number and weight (kg) per 30 min ) of striped red mullet annually for the FREVHOE survey in the Celtic sea (VIIg, h, j) and in the Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b).

| Year | Celtic Sea (VIIg, $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{j})$ |  | Bay of Biscay (VIlla, b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number/30minutes | W(kg)/30minutes | Number/30minutes | W(kg)/30minutes |
| 1997 | 0,02 | 0,00 | 3,77 | 0,16 |
| 1998 | 0,02 | 0,00 | 4,68 | 0,09 |
| 1999 | 0,10 | 0,03 | 0,81 | 0,05 |
| 2000 | 0,16 | 0,03 | 3,13 | 0,14 |
| 2001 | 0,04 | 0,01 | 20,48 | 0,91 |
| 2002 | 0,29 | 0,08 | 2,85 | 0,08 |
| 2003 | 0,66 | 0,10 | 20,02 | 0,85 |
| 2004 | 1,40 | 0,26 | 1,16 | 0,15 |
| 2005 | 0,43 | 0,11 | 29,08 | 1,00 |
| 2006 | 0,14 | 0,01 | 4,89 | 0,24 |
| 2007 | 0,23 | 0,05 | 7,32 | 0,20 |
| 2008 | 0,36 | 0,11 | 7,95 | 0,47 |
| 2009 | 0,10 | 0,03 | 5,73 | 0,74 |



Figure 2.3.8 - Time series of abundance ( N ) and biomass (kg) per 30 minutes of striped red mullet in the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay during FR-EVHOE from 1997 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.9 - Distribution of striped red mullet in the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay during FR-EVHOE from 1997 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.10 - Abundance indices ( $\mathrm{N} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of striped red mullet per size class (length in cm ) during FR-EVHOE (Bay of Biscay ) from 1997 to 2009.

### 2.3.2 Red gurnard

Red gurnard abundance is very low in the North Sea in quarter 1. In the eastern Channel, abundance tends to increase since 1999. The spatial distribution of red gurnard in this area observed in October is mainly located in the center of the eastern Channel marked by strong sediments in relation with the potential habitat of this species during this period. There is no variability of mean lengths in the length distribution in which one can notice the complete absence of juveniles in catches.

In the Celtic Sea and the Bay of Biscay, survey indices are higher than in the north and in particular in the Celtic Sea. Since 2006, the abundance index increases gradually in the Celtic Sea with a value in 2009 of 38.7 similar to 2005. Ageing was done in 2006, 2008 and 2009 and for those years, abundance indices at age are provided (Figure 2.3.17).


Figure 2.3.11 - Time series of abundance of red gurnard base on FR-Surveys from 1980 to 2009.

Table 2.3.3 - The abundance index ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{h}$ ) of red gurnard for International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS, IVb,c) and Channel Ground Fish Survey (FR-CGFS, VIId).

| Year | IBTS Quarter 1 | CGFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 11.87 | 20.77 |
| 1987 | 1.17 | 19.24 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 12.33 |
| 1989 | 0.37 | 11.87 |
| 1990 | 4.91 | 16.35 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 10.12 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 23.71 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 12.89 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 9.56 |
| 1997 | 0.06 | 18.01 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 6 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 7.09 |
| 2000 | 0.11 | 9.83 |
| 2001 | 0.12 | 7.17 |
| 2002 | 0.05 | 11.18 |
| 2003 | 0.24 | 12.92 |
| 2004 | 0.22 | 7.34 |
| 2005 | 0.10 | 10.9 |
| 2006 | 0.00 | 13.56 |
| 2007 | 0.23 | 10.26 |
| 2008 | 0.00 | 18.64 |
| 2009 | 0.24 | 17.24 |



Figure 2.3.12 - Time series of abundance of red gurnard in the North Sea based on IBTS data (N/km²) from 1980 to 2009 (upper panel) and in the eastern Channel based on FR-CGFS data ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) from 1988 to 2009 (lower panel). Lines are smoothed, $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.


Figure 2.3.13 - Abundance index at length of red gurnard in the Eastern Channel from FR-CGFS surveys.

Table 2.3.4 - The average abundance (number and weight (kg) per 30 minutes) of red gurnard annually for FR-EVHOE survey in the Celtic sea (VIIg, h, j) and in the Bay of Biscay (VIlla,b).

| Year | Celtic Sea (VIlg, $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{j})$ |  | Bay of Biscay (VIlla, b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number/30minutes | W(kg)/30minutes | Number/30minutes | W(kg)/30minutes |
| 1997 | 23.29 | 2.24 | 5.34 | 0.43 |
| 1998 | 22.32 | 2.35 | 2.79 | 0.25 |
| 1999 | 25.22 | 2.35 | 0.9 | 0.09 |
| 2000 | 19.12 | 1.65 | 1.2 | 0.11 |
| 2001 | 39.11 | 3.03 | 8.02 | 0.7 |
| 2002 | 35.75 | 2.97 | 9.79 | 0.69 |
| 2003 | 37.62 | 2.8 | 2.61 | 0.21 |
| 2004 | 43.76 | 3.66 | 7.19 | 0.58 |
| 2005 | 38.84 | 3.39 | 6.7 | 0.57 |
| 2006 | 27.89 | 2.56 | 6.82 | 0.53 |
| 2007 | 36.41 | 3.18 | 10.59 | 0.81 |
| 2008 | 33.97 | 38.39 | 3.82 | 14.71 |
| 2009 |  |  | 6.04 | 0.53 |



Figure 2.3.14 - Time series of abundance ( N and $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{kg}) / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of red gurnard in the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay during FR-EVHOE from 1997 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.15 - Distribution of red gurnard in the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay during FR-EVHOE from 1997 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.16 - Length abundance index of red gurnard in the combined areas of Celtic Sea and bay of Biscay from FREVHOE surveys series.




Figure 2.3.17-Abundance index at age of red gurnard in the combined areas of Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay from FREVHOE surveys series for 2006, 2008 and 2009.

### 2.3.3 Tub gurnard

Tub gurnard abundance indexes are very low all along the series in the North Sea (especially during quarter 1) and the Eastern Channel. One can notice that this species is more regularly seen in the catches the last years in the North Sea. Concerning the abundance during the CGFS survey, the general trend is stable. The length distribution is stretched and sometimes shows two modes separating juveniles and adults. The abundance of tub gurnard in the area covered by the EVHOE survey is too low to provide meaningful information. This species belongs to the bycatch species and is mainly caught by demersal fisheries and more particularly by trawlers.


Figure 2.3.18 - Time series of abundance of tub gurnard based on FR-Surveys from 1980 to 2009

Table 2.3.5 - Abundance index ( $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of tub gurnard from International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS, IVb,c) and Channel Ground Fish Survey (FR-CGFS, VIId).

| Year | IBTS Quarter 1 | CGFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 0.00 |  |
| 1981 | 0.00 |  |
| 1982 | 0.00 |  |
| 1983 | 0.00 |  |
| 1984 | 0.00 |  |
| 1985 | 1.58 |  |
| 1986 | 0.00 |  |
| 1987 | 0.00 |  |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 2.84 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 2.52 |
| 1990 | 0.13 | 0.59 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 3.4 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 1.09 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 2.61 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 1.36 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 2.46 |
| 1998 | 0.10 | 0.84 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 1.44 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 2.11 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 1.09 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 1.72 |
| 2003 | 0.29 | 1.56 |
| 2004 | 0.5 | 1.61 |
| 2005 | 0.48 | 1.39 |
| 2006 | 0.00 | 1.64 |
| 2007 | 0.18 | 2.19 |
| 2008 | 0.36 |  |
| 2009 | 3.34 |  |
|  |  |  |



Figure 2.3.19-Time series of abundance of tub gurnard in the eastern Channel based on FR-CGFS data $\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ from 1988 to 2009. Line is smoothed, $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.






















Figure 2.3.20 - Length abundance indices of tub gurnard from FR-CGFS surveys in VIId.


Figure 2.3.20 - Continued

### 2.3.4 John Dory

John dory is almost absent in the IBTS Q1 catches until the beginning of the 21 st century. Similar to tub gurnard the indices for John dory are very poor in the North Sea, but abundance seems to increase. In the eastern Channel, indices are higher and one can notice an increase in the 10 last years. This abundance increase is due to a widening of the spatial distribution. It should be interesting to know if this phenomenon is just temporary or if it can be put in relation with more favourable environmental parameters. The species has also shown an increase in abundance in the EVHOE survey area, mostly in the Celtic Sea.


Figure 2.3.21 - Time series of abundance of john dory based on FR-Surveys from 1980 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.22-Time series of abundance of John dory in the North Sea base don IBTS data (N/km²) from 1980 to 2009 (upper panel) and in the eastern Channel base on FR-CGFS data ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) from 1988 to 2009 (lower panel). Lines are smoothed, $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.
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Figure 2.3.23 - Length abundance indices of john dory from FR-CGFS surveys in VIId.

Table 2.3.6 - The average abundance ( N and W (kg) per 30 minutes) of John dory annually for FR-EVHOE survey in the Celtic sea (VIIg, h, j) and in the Bay of Biscay (VIIla,b).

| Year | Celtic Sea (VIIg, $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{j})$ |  | Bay of Biscay (VIlla, b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number/30minutes | W(kg)/30minutes | Number/30minutes | W(kg)/30minutes |
| 1997 | 0.95 | 0.35 | 1.07 | 0.36 |
| 1998 | 2.11 | 1.35 | 0.89 | 0.51 |
| 1999 | 2.15 | 1.51 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| 2000 | 1.65 | 1.27 | 0.1 | 0.07 |
| 2001 | 1.51 | 1.28 | 2.56 | 0.88 |
| 2002 | 2.09 | 1.86 | 2.14 | 0.54 |
| 2003 | 2.54 | 2.91 | 2.67 | 1.71 |
| 2004 | 2.9 | 2.83 | 2.2 | 0.96 |
| 2005 | 2.13 | 2.15 | 1.82 | 0.71 |
| 2006 | 2.16 | 2.5 | 1.66 | 0.9 |
| 2007 | 4.52 | 2.85 | 2.12 | 0.82 |
| 2008 | 3.97 | 2.54 | 3.16 | 3.05 |
| 2009 | 2.81 | 2.65 | 2.27 | 1.45 |



Figure 2.3.24 - Time series of abundance ( N and $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{kg}) / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of John dory in the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay during FR-EVHOE from 1997 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.25 - Distribution of John dory in the Celtic Sea and in the Bay of Biscay during FR-EVHOE from 1997 to 2009.


Figure 2.3.26 - Length abundance index of John Dory in Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay from FR-EVHOE surveys series.

## $2.4 \quad$ vTI-SF: Dab ${ }^{4}$

## General biology

Dab Limanda limanda (Linnaeus, 1758) is a widespread demersal species on the Northeast Atlantic shelf and distributed from the Bay of Biscay to Iceland and Norway; including the Barents Sea and the Baltic Sea. Next to sandeel, it is the most abundant species in the North Sea (Daan et al., 1990). Its centre of distribution in the North Sea is located in the southern North Sea (Lozán, 1988; Daan et al., 1990).

With regard to growth parameters it is an intermediate species with a maximum life span of 12 years and a population doubling time of about 1.4-4.4 years (Froese and Pauly, 2004).

Spawning, pelagic development and settlement of postlarvae all occur within the spawning ground (Bohl, 1959). Settled 0-group specimens migrate to nearby nursery grounds (Bolle et al., 1994).

Recruitment success in terms of 0-group abundance in autumn is negatively related to spring water temperature (Henderson, 1998).

Regional migrations (<200 nm distance) occur. Tagging experiments show that German Bight spawners represent a transient aggregation from the entire North Sea (Rijnsdorp et al, 1992).

Sex- and age-dependent seasonal within-area migrations between spawning grounds, nursery areas and adult feeding grounds are triggered by changes of water temperature (Saborowski and Buchholz, 1997). Spatial aggregations and habitat selection do not occur, although very fine scale distribution patterns, i.e. patchiness, are present at scales $<2 \mathrm{~km}$ (Stelzenmüller et al., 2005a, 2005b).

The 0-group shows a general preference for sheltered areas, but not for particular depth or salinity zones (Riley et al., 1981). Correspondingly, dab appears to be euryhaline and eurytherm (Bohl 1959, Henderson and Holmes, 1991).

## Trends in abundance and biomass for the North Sea

Five surveys were used to analyse trends in abundance and biomass (Table 2.4.1) ${ }^{5}$. From these surveys the IBTS has an almost complete coverage of the ICES divisions Illa and IV. The beam trawl survey only covered selected parts.

The beam trawl survey (BTS) is conducted in the North Sea under participation from England, Belgium, Germany, France and the Netherlands, and is coordinated by the ICES Working Group on Beam Trawl Surveys (WGBEAM). The BTS is accomplished each year from July to September and has been carried out since 1985 in the southeastern North Sea. In 1996, it was further extended northward. Trawl speed is set at 4 knots over the ground; nominal haul duration is 30 minutes. Sampling strategy for age, sex and maturity differs between the countries. Analyses were restricted to the German, English and Dutch BTS.

The German survey started in 1991, covering areas off the Jutland coast. The year 2006 is missing in the German series as a result of technical failures. A light beam trawl is used with a width of 7.2 m and five tickler chains attached without modification. Since 1992 the cod-end mesh size is 40 mm .

The Dutch offshore beam trawl survey started in 1985 using an 8 m steel beam trawl. Mesh size in the cod-end is 40 mm . The English beam trawl survey for the eastern English Channel has been carried out since 1989 using a commercially rigged 4 m steel beam trawl. Mesh size in the cod-end is 40 mm .

The international bottom trawl survey (IBTS) is conducted in the North Sea and Skagerrak/Kattegat. It is coordinated by the ICES Working Group on International Bottom Trawl Surveys (WGIBTS), formerly known as the

[^3]International Young Fish Survey Working Group (WGIYFS). The IBTS is conducted each year in quarters 1 and 3. Data from both quarters were analysed.

IBTS methodology was gradually harmonized and in 1983 all participating nations used the GOV 36/47 as recommended standard gear. Due to that, the results for IBTS Q1 before 1983 should be considered with some care, as also the coverage of the sampling area was less and some countries did not report all species. The average horizontal net opening of the GOV is approximately 20 m with a 20 mm mesh cod-end. The vertical opening is of approximately 5 m . Standard fishing speed is 4 knots measured as trawl speed over the ground. Each haul lasts 30 minutes. The IBTS is conducted in the entire North Sea within the 200-m depth contour, including the Skagerrak and Kattegat. Usually each rectangle is fished by vessels of two different countries, so that at least two hauls are obtained per rectangle.

Table 2.4.1 - Survey characteristics.

|  | BTS <br> Germany | BTS <br> Netherlands | BTS <br> England | IBTS Q1 | IBTS Q3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | IVb | IV | IV, VIId | IV, Illa | IV, IIIa |
| Period | August | August-September | Aug.-Oct. | Jan.-March | Jul.-Sep. |
| First year | 1991 | 1987 | 1989 | 1965 | 1991 |
| Haul duration | 30 min | 30 min | 30 min | 30 min | 30 min |
| Average trawling speed | 4 kn | 4 kn | 4 kn | 4 kn | 4 kn |
| Gear | 7 m beam trawl | 8 m beam trawl | 4 m beam trawl | 36/47 GOV, BOT, <br> DHT, FOT, H12, <br> H18, HOB, HT, <br> SOV, VIN, KAB | 36/47 GOV |
| Horizontal net opening | 7.2 m | 8 m | 4 m | 20 m | 20 m |
| Mesh size | 40 mm | 40 mm | 40 mm | 20 mm | 20 mm |

## Survey Index (SI) calculation

To emphasise a better interpretation of survey CPUEs, area-based indices (SI) as swept area estimates were calculated by stratum. The SI is a stratified abundance estimate calculated from catch-per-tow data using the stratum areas as weighting factor (Cochran, 1953; Saville, 1977). Respective confidence intervals (CI) were set at the $95 \%$ level of significance of the stratified mean.

Sizes of the strata were calculated by ArcGIS 9.3.1 (Table 2.4.2) based on Mollweide projection. Four different stratification schemes were tested. By minimizing survey variance and applying kriging (exemplified by IBTS Q3) to delineate survey strata with homogeneous distribution of dab, (1) a dab stratification scheme was developed (Fig. 2.4.1 A). This scheme was further compared to the IBTS Q3 survey being (2) re-stratified according to ICES roundfish areas (roundfish stratification) (Figure 2.4.1 B) and according to (3) areas chosen for generating agelength keys for plaice (P-Pleuronectes platessa) and sole (S-Solea solea) (PS stratification) described in van Keeken et al., (2005) (Figure 2.4.1 C). Finally, (4) unstratified Sis were calculated.


Figure 2.4.1 - Stratification schemes tested for the analysis of dab. Dab stratification (A), ICES roundfish stratification (B) areas and PS stratification (C) according to van Keeken et al. (2005).

Table 2.4.2 - Areas [nm2] for dab stratification, roundfish stratification and PS stratification.

| stratification |  | Roundfish areas |  | PS stratification |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | $n \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Area | $n \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Stratum | nm ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | 34446.54 | 1 | 50833.73 | 701 | 11605.86 |
| 2 | 43227.10 | 2 | 24884.37 | 702 | 12277.08 |
| 3 | 24439.31 | 3 | 18713.77 | 703 | 9395.74 |
| 4 | 31614.74 | 4 | 11571.03 | 704 | 10767.47 |
| 5 | 14848.38 | 5 | 10413.95 | 705 | 20615.48 |
| 6 | 24849.86 | 6 | 35744.6 | 706 | 12463.28 |
| 7 | 13732.77 | 7 | 13610.16 | 707 | 20997.39 |
| 8 | 18096.21 | 8 | 10090.87 |  |  |
| 9 | 14637.25 | 9 | 5812.38 |  |  |

## Data sources

For IBTS Q1 and Q3 the "ICES DATRAS CPUE per length per haul" dataset was used. This dataset does not include sex separated data. The mean length by sex was estimated from the "ICES DATRAS exchange" data. For IBTS Q1 and Q3 no age data were available. Hence, abundance index, biomass index, length frequency and mean length by sex has been analysed for sexes combined only.
For the Dutch BTS the "ICES exchange data" were used with the missing year 2007 being included. The year 2007 was missing in the ICES dataset, but was provided by IMARES. The abundance index, biomass index, length frequency, age frequency and sex frequency has been analysed. Data on age and sex were only available for the years 2005 and 2007.
For the English BTS "ICES exchange data" were used. Complete data over the time series were available for stratum 5 and 9. In stratum 3 only three years (1990, 1995-1996) were sampled, wherefore it was excluded from the graphic charts in the appendix.
The German BTS was analysed for the years with complete data availability i.e. 1997-2008. In 2000 only stratum 6 was sampled and in 2006 the German BTS was not carried out. Abundance Index, biomass index, length frequency, length at age, age frequency, length at sex and sex frequency have been analysed. Age and sex data were available for 1999-2008, excluding 2005 and 2006.

## Swept area calculation

Different fishing gears vary in efficiency in catching individual species of fish, even in catching different sizes of fish within one species due to a multitude of factors (Gunderson, 1993). This is a big problem in comparing catch data from different surveys. Therefore, the analysis was restricted to using swept area for analysing catch rates. The area swept was estimated from the towing speed and the mean of the horizontal net opening for the IBTS surveys and the width of the beam trawl for BTS respectively. Mean towed distances were calculated by stratum and year. For the IBTS survey no proper weight data were available. For this reason the weight was determined from the length-weight relationship following:
$\mathrm{Tw}[\mathrm{g}]=\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~L}[\mathrm{~cm}]^{\mathrm{b}}$
$a=0.0103$
b=2.9661
Length measurements refer to total length (standard: 1 cm below). Mean length calculations were weighted according to the stratum abundance. Age determinations were based on length-stratified otolith (sagitta) collections. Age data were only available for the bottom trawl surveys. No maturity data were available.

## Stratification analysis

The dab stratification was chosen due to the best overall performance (Figure 2.4.2). In particular for the period 1998-2008 the dab stratification shows stability in Cl and is slightly better than the unstratified mean. Only in 1997 with its remarkable high confidence interval observed under all stratification schemes, the dab stratification did not perform well. Here, it reached a Cl of $42 \%$ as compared to the roundfish stratification with $28 \%$ and the PS stratification with $34 \%$.

The high value in 1997 was caused by a very large catch recorded in stratum 8 (Kattegat/Skagerrak) with more than 100,000 individuals in one tow (Figure 2.4.3 C). In all further sections, reference is made to the strata outlined in Figure 2.4.1 under the dab stratification scheme.


Figure 2.4.2 - Confidence intervals of the survey mean [\%] of the dab stratification (CI_DAB), unstratified (CI_DABUNW), roundfish stratification (CI_ROUND) and PS stratification according to age-length-key stratification from IMARES (CI_BTSALK). Confidence intervals (Cl) were set at the $95 \%$ level of significance of the stratified mean.


Figure 2.4.3 - Kriging of dab abundance for IBTS Q3, 1995-1998. In 1997 (C), one outlier in the Skaggerrak area (light yellow) caused considerable leverage and a correspondingly high survey Cl . Dark shading - low abundance, light shading - high abundance.

## Trends in abundance and biomass

Dab is widely distributed in the entire North Sea. Thus, with the complete coverage of the investigation area only IBTS Q1 and Q3 are capable to provide representative estimates of the stock abundance and biomass. All BTS surveys provide only local information of parts of the stock.
The results indicate a pronounced increase in abundance for IBTS Q1 and Q3 surveys for recent years (Figure 2.4.4 A, B). The abundance index for winter survey Q 1 is lower than in summer survey Q 3 . From the mid sixties to the mid eighties the abundance index was at a relative low level with a maximum of 3.5 billion individuals in 1974. Since 1985 the abundance index increased remarkably with a peak of over 6 billion individuals in the year 2007. The maximum value was more than 10.5 billion individuals in the year 2008. This increase in trend is only partly visible in the BTS surveys likely due to the different spatial coverage of the surveys. The Dutch BTS also shows an increase since 2005 (Figure 2.4.4 D). Hardly any trend is observed in the German BTS, probably due to the small area sampled in this survey. However, a sharp increase was indicated at the start of the survey period in 1997 (Figure 2.4.4 C). The English BTS shows no increase in dab abundance in recent years, but a decline in the early 1990s (Figure 2.4.4 E). This decline was also observed in the IBTS Q1 and Q3 and the Dutch BTS.

The stratified analysis shows that stratum 4 and 6 have high abundances (exemplified by IBTS Q3, Figure 2.4.5). These strata also had the highest increase in abundance in recent years. Stratum 8 (Kattegat area) in particular in IBTS Q3 also had high abundance but the abundance was lower than in stratum 4 and 6 . As mentioned before the abundance trend is influenced by the extreme value in 1997. The abundance of dab is low for stratum 9 and 5 (Figure App2.4.18). Stratum 9 refers to the area covered by the English BTS. Northwards, the abundance of dab decreases with lowest overall values for stratum 1. This is in accordance with findings from Bohl (1957) showing that dab abundance decreases with increasing depth. For stratum 2 and 3 the abundance is marginally higher than in the preceding strata.
In all surveys since 2005, higher abundances were observed in stratum 4 than in stratum 6, which inhabited the highest population density before. This is a likely westward shift.

Biomass indices for all surveys are presented in Figure 2.4.6. The biomass indices are linked to the abundance indices. Highest values were observed for the IBTS Q3 with a peak of over 535,000 t in the year 2008.
In stratum 5 and 9 the biomass indices were almost similar for the period of 1995 till 2002. Since then higher biomass indices were observed for stratum 5 (Figure App2.4.19), while there was no increase of the abundance index during the same period, except for the last two years (Figure App.2.4.18).


Figure 2.4.4a - Dab abundance indices ( $\mathrm{n}^{*} 1$ Mill) for IBTS Q3 (A) and IBTS Q1 (B). Confidence intervals (CI) were set at the $95 \%$ level of significance of the stratified mean.


Figure 2.4.4b - Dab abundance indices ( n * 1 Mill) for German (C), Dutch (D) and English BTS (E). Confidence intervals (CI) were set at the $95 \%$ level of significance of the stratified mean.


Figure 2.4.5 - IBTS Q3 Dab abundance indices (n*1 Mill.) by stratum for North Sea, 1991-2009.


Figure 2.4.6 - Dab biomass indices (kg*1Mill) for IBTS Q3 (A), IBTS Q1 (B) German (C), Dutch (D) and English BTS (E). Confidence intervals (CI) were set at the $95 \%$ level of significance of the stratified mean.

## Length composition

Survey mean lengths are presented in Figure 2.4.7. The population was dominated by specimens of 12.5 to 22.5 cm . In general, females were larger than males (exemplified by IBTS Q3, Figure 2.4.7 F). There is no evidence of a trend in mean length for IBTS Q1, IBTS Q3 and German BTS. Little trend is observed in the Dutch BTS, with a slight increase in recent years. A comparatively low mean length was found for stratum 6 for all surveys (exemplified by IBTS Q3, Figure 2.4.8). This is due to the fact, that the Wadden Sea is an important nursery ground for juvenile dab. For 0-group dab highest densities are in shallow waters $<20 \mathrm{~m}$, age 1 dab prefer the 10 20 m depth band and age 2 dab the 20-30 m depth band (Bolle et al. 2001). High values for the mean length were observed for the northern part of the North Sea (stratum 1 and 2), as well as for the central areas (stratum
4), southwesterly parts (stratum 9) and for the British coast (stratum 3). In stratum 5 comparatively high values were investigated during the winter period, while in summer the mean length was lower.


Figure 2.4.7 - Dab mean length [cm] for IBTS Q3 (A), IBTS Q1 (B) German (C), Dutch (D) and English BTS (E). Mean length by sex for IBTS Q3 (F). Confidence intervals (CI) were set at the $95 \%$ level of significance of the stratified mean.


Figure 2.4.8 - IBTS Q3 Dab mean length [cm] by stratum for the North Sea, 1991-2009.

Age frequency
It has to be mentioned that the results for the age were not representative, due to insufficiently low number age readings undertaken so far.

According to the results of the Dutch (Table 2.4.3) and English BTS (Table 2.4.5), highest abundance indices were observed for age-1 dab. Whereas, for the German BTS the most abundant age group was age 2 (Table 2.4.4). With increasing age lower abundance frequencies were observed. Also for the 0 -group the abundance indices were comparatively low, most lightly caused by the low catchability of the beam trawl.

Table 2.4.3 - BTS NED Dab age frequency (n*1 Mill.) for North Sea, 2005 and 2007.

| Age | 2005 | 2007 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 17.67 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 834.07 | 903.00 |
| 2 | 599.35 | 868.12 |
| 3 | 378.40 | 802.51 |
| 4 | 379.68 | 686.28 |
| 5 | 267.19 | 577.07 |
| 6 | 317.53 | 383.25 |
| 7 | 168.90 | 354.10 |
| 8 | 217.05 | 494.40 |
| 9 | 138.76 | 180.83 |
| 10 | 7.96 | 76.60 |
| 11 | 2.29 | 162.93 |
| 12 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 4.46 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 12.67 |
| Sum | 3329.43 | 5506.22 |

Table 2.4.4 - BTS GER Dab age frequency (n*1 Mill.) for east North Sea, 1999-2008.

| Year | Age0 | Age1 | Age2 | Age3 | Age4 | Age5 | Age6 | Age7 | Age8 | Age9 | Age10 | Agel1 | Sum |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 267.07 | 552.25 | 265.76 | 99.79 | 33.36 | 1.60 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1220.65 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 334.46 | 213.84 | 221.25 | 59.34 | 45.25 | 8.51 | 3.61 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 886.94 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 289.95 | 323.39 | 360.27 | 172.10 | 44.88 | 17.12 | 4.18 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1212.25 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 275.24 | 328.73 | 243.85 | 184.82 | 42.44 | 15.96 | 4.67 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1096.74 |
| 2003 | 50.70 | 368.49 | 343.42 | 299.46 | 105.24 | 24.09 | 2.75 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1194.38 |
| 2004 | 62.47 | 267.60 | 467.94 | 201.80 | 92.88 | 33.98 | 5.96 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1133.61 |
| 2005 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| 2006 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| 2007 | 6.83 | 272.74 | 229.43 | 281.52 | 179.47 | 163.17 | 59.22 | 29.25 | 13.47 | 2.30 | 0.85 | 0.38 | 1238.65 |
| 2008 | 3.41 | 307.95 | 347.72 | 191.25 | 105.71 | 61.54 | 47.31 | 11.95 | 2.66 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1079.91 |

Table 2.4.5 - BTS GBR Dab age frequency (n*1 Mill.) for stratum 3, 5 and 9, 1999-2008.

| Year | Age0 | Age1 | Age2 | Age3 | Age4 | Age5 | Age6 | Age7 | Age8 | Sum |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1990 | 10.61 | 75.11 | 61.23 | 28.19 | 37.17 | 2.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 214.67 |
| 1991 | 2.59 | 9.80 | 8.85 | 7.58 | 2.24 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31.69 |
| 1992 | 3.09 | 30.80 | 20.32 | 17.14 | 5.99 | 2.04 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.93 |
| 1993 | 0.73 | 8.64 | 4.50 | 2.49 | 1.79 | 1.04 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 19.76 |
| 1994 | 12.78 | 10.86 | 6.85 | 2.88 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34.27 |
| 1995 | 1.47 | 16.57 | 4.34 | 6.48 | 1.60 | 1.92 | 1.01 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 33.66 |
| 1996 | 6.00 | 21.00 | 16.15 | 7.24 | 1.45 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 51.91 |
| 1997 | 0.65 | 3.75 | 4.42 | 4.18 | 1.77 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| 1998 | 6.05 | 5.81 | 4.62 | 3.34 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.94 |

## Sex frequency

Results for sex frequency are not representative, due to the low amount of collected data. In general, the population was dominated by females (Table 2.4.6-8). This is in agreement with results from Saborowski and Buchholz (1997)

Table 2.4.6 - BTS NED Dab sex frequency (n*1 Mill.) for North Sea, 2005 and 2007.

| Year | Male | Female | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 1590.383 | 1739.046 | 3329.429 |
| 2007 | 1825.141 | 3681.081 | 5506.222 |

Table 2.4.7 - BTS GER Dab sex frequency (n*1 Mill.) for east North Sea, 1999-2008.

| Year | Male | Female | Sum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1999 | 606.14 | 614.51 | 1220.65 |
| 2000 | 427.27 | 459.67 | 886.94 |
| 2001 | 601.47 | 610.78 | 1212.25 |
| 2002 | 531.25 | 565.49 | 1096.74 |
| 2003 | 579.05 | 615.33 | 1194.38 |
| 2004 | 604.03 | 529.58 | 1133.61 |
| 2005 | . | . | . |
| 2006 | . | . | . |
| 2007 | 559.25 | 679.40 | 1238.65 |
| 2008 | 519.49 | 560.42 | 1079.91 |

Table 2.4.8 - BTS GBR Dab sex frequency (n*1 Mill.) for west North Sea, 1990-2001.

| Year | Male | Female | Sum |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1990 | 58.48 | 156.20 | 214.67 |
| 1991 | 3.48 | 28.21 | 31.69 |
| 1992 | 29.15 | 50.78 | 79.93 |
| 1993 | 8.59 | 11.17 | 19.76 |
| 1994 | 7.19 | 27.08 | 34.27 |
| 1995 | 16.84 | 16.82 | 33.66 |
| 1996 | 26.13 | 25.78 | 51.91 |
| 1997 | . | . | . |
| 1998 | 6.87 | 8.13 | 15.00 |
| 1999 | 8.00 | 11.93 | 19.94 |
| 2000 | . | . | . |
| 2001 | 9.49 | 46.31 | 55.80 |

## Trends in abundance for the Baltic Sea

Two surveys were used to analyse trends in abundance and biomass in the Baltic Sea. To estimate the trend in abundance and biomass, the Baltic International Trawl Survey (BITS) for the first (Q1) and the fourth quarter (Q4) were used.
The Baltic cod stock has been monitored annually since 1982 through bottom trawl surveys carried out by most countries surrounding the Baltic. Different gears and design were applied and in 1985 ICES established a Study Group on Young Fish Surveys in the Baltic in order to standardize the surveys. After agreement a common standard gear (TV3) and standard sampling procedures were implemented from 2000 onwards. To calibrate the national surveys from before 2000 with the new gear, a set of conversion factors was produced by making comparative hauls. The TV3 trawl is used in two sizes for different sized research vessels. One has 520 meshes in circumference and one 930 meshes. The BITS is conducted as a depth-stratified survey. The strata are based on Subdivisions and depth layers. Standard haul duration is 30 minutes with a towing speed of 3 knots.

## Data sources

To estimate the abundance and biomass indices for the Baltic Sea the "ICES DATRAS CPUE per length per haul" dataset was used. This dataset does not include sex separated data, since no sex analysis is done. Therefore, abundance index, biomass index and mean length has been analysed. The analysis was restricted to the ICES fishing areas c22 and d24.

## Swept area calculation

Different fishing gears vary in efficiency in catching individual species of fish, even in catching different sizes of fish within one species due to a multitude of factors (Gunderson, 1993). Therefore, the analysis was restricted to using the swept area method for analyzing catch rates. The area swept by the gear was estimated from the towing speed and the mean of the horizontal net opening. Mean towed distances were calculated by stratum and year.

For the BITS survey no proper weight data were available. For this reason the weight was determined from the length-weight relationship following:
$T w[g]=a^{*}\left[[\mathrm{~cm}]^{b}\right.$
$a=0.0103$
$b=2.9661$
Length measurements refer to total length (standard: 1 cm below). Mean length calculations were weighted according to the stratum abundance. No proper age, sex and maturity data were available.

## Trends in abundance and biomass

The results indicate a pronounced increase in abundance since 1995 for the Baltic Sea. The abundance of the first quarter is lower than of the fourth quarter (Figure 2.4.9 A, B). Since 2007 a decline in abundance was observed. The increase of dab abundance occurred mainly in ICES fishing area c22, while abundance in ICES fishing area d24 was very low over the time period. Only a slight increase was indicated since 2002. The high value for BITS Q1 (Figure 2.4.9 A) is mainly caused by a very large catch with almost 40,000 individuals in one tow.

The biomass indices are linked to the abundance indices. Highest values were observed for the year 2009 in the fourth quarter with a peak of over 45,000 tons. While the abundance index is still comparatively low for ICES area c22 in the year 2010, the biomass index shows an increase for the same year.

## Length composition

Survey mean lengths are presented in Figure 2.4.9 E and F. The population was dominated by specimens of 14.5 to 24.5 cm . A weak trend was observed with a slight increase in recent years. From 1991 to 1998 the mean length does not differ significantly between the two areas. Since 1999 a lower mean length was observed for ICES area c22 than for ICES area d24.
(

Figure 2.4.9 - Dab abundance indices ( $n * 1$ Mill) (A, B), biomass indices (kg*1 Mill) ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ) and mean length [cm] (E, F) by ICES fishing areas c22 and d24 for BITS Q1 and Q4.

## Conclusions

For the North Sea the population size has increased in the long term and had a considerably high level in recent years. High abundances can be found in the southeast along the German and Dutch coast and in the centre of the North Sea in the Doggerbank area. Biomass indices are linked to the abundance indices. Length composition is stable over the years, with a slight increase in recent years. Age 1 and age 2 dab are most abundant. The abundance decreases with increasing age. Female dab are more abundant than male dab.

In the Baltic, the dab population increased in abundance and biomass over the last years. High abundances can be found for the western Baltic, while abundance gets very low in the east. Biomass indices are linked to the abundance indices. In recent years a slight increase in mean length can be found. In the western Baltic the dab population has a lower mean length than in easterly parts.
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### 2.5 ILVO6

EV ILVO collected survey-data on turbot and brill from the Skagerrak (Illa), the English Channel (VIId,e) and the Irish (VIla) and Celtic Seas (VIlf,g,h) that were contained in its own database with information from the North Sea Beam Trawl Survey (BTS), and databases from other project partners. These combined survey-data enable the construction of time series of abundance (over all sizes and by size-class) and length frequency distributions (annual and average) for both species in all areas covered in this study. But, catches of turbot and brill are generally very low on surveys. A relatively low trawling speed allows bigger fish like turbot and brill to actively escape the nets more easily than smaller fish can. Also the generally short trawl durations on bottom trawl surveys add to a decrease in the chance to encounter an individual turbot or brill. Their piscivorous habits classify them as predators, that typically are distributed scattered over an area more than other species that target food resources that are more widely available (worms, molluscs, ....). Unfortunately, these low catch numbers very often result in an underrepresentation of some year-classes (mainly the older ones), leading to a poor quality of the resulting survey abundance series and indices, and poor agreement among different surveys.

[^4]
### 2.6 IMR: Witch flounder in Illa ${ }^{7}$

The survey data used in this study were collected during the Swedish International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) since 1972, during the first (Q1) and third (Q3) quarter of the year. Previous studies showed that witch flounder are caught at different depths throughout the year and appear to follow fluctuations in temperature and salinity (Molander, 1935). In autumn, when temperatures rise in deeper waters (100-300 m) witch flounder move to shallower areas ( $50-150 \mathrm{~m}$ ), only to return to deeper waters again in late winter/ spring (Molander,1925). Unfortunately, the majority of the tows during the Swedish IBTS are taken at depths between 26-165 meters and 205-265 with sporadic ones outside these ranges. Therefore, the survey does not fully cover the whole natural range of this species. A first screening analysis investigated the distribution of different length classes at different depths. Individual data have been divided in four length classes and the depth at which they were caught was averaged within each length class (Figure 2.6.1). Results show that small individuals ( $<15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) tend to be found together with the largest ones ( $>31 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in deeper water, while individuals of medium size (between 16 and 30 cm ) are found at lower depths. This pattern is shown in both quarters of the year, although shifted at shallower waters during the autumn (Q3), confirming the results from the study by Molander (1925).


Figure 2.6.1 - Occurrence of different length classes at different depths. Bars represent standard errors.

A second aim of this study was to explore the possible variation in the Catch per Unit of Effort (CPUE) in different depth strata. The CPUE was calculated as number of individuals caught per hour divided by the number of hauls performed at a certain depth stratum in a certain year, in order to scale the effect of the unequal number of hauls between years.

The results from the first quarter surveys show an increase in CPUE with depth as well as an increase during the period 1998-2003 when the stock started to decrease again, at all depth strata (Figure 2.6.2).
Interestingly, the Q1 trend corresponds with a decrease in average length during the same period, investigated through a general linear model (GLM), with normal distribution (Figure 2.6.3). In the model, length was the dependent and year the independent variable, while depth and quarter were used as covariate, in order to scale their possible effects.
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Figure 2.6.2 - Time series of CPUE at different depth strata in quarter 1.


Figure 2.6.3 - Time series of average length distribution. Vertical bars denote 0.95 confidence intervals.

Furthermore a regression between CPUE and average length shows that there is a significant inverse relationship between the two variables (Figure 2.6.4).

During the same period an increase in effort and therefore in landings occurred (see Section 3.8). The observed trend could, therefore, be interpreted as either a result of fishing pressure, withdrawing larger individuals, or a consequence of a density dependent effect. The latter would occur as an outcome of increased stock size and thus increased competition for food, which reduces the per capita resources and consequently growth.


Figure 2.6.4 - Regression between yearly CPUE and average length.
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## 3 WP2 - Analysis of fisheries data

### 3.1 IMARES8

### 3.1.1 Observer data from the Dutch beam trawl fleet >300hp

The Dutch beam-trawl fishery is a bottom trawling mixed fishery, fishing with $80-89 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh size in the cod-end, targeting a limited number of demersal species that are of commercial interest, in particular sole (Solea solea) in the southern part of the North Sea and plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) in the central North Sea. Consequently, a major part of the catch consists of other species that live on or near the seabed. In general part of the catch is of no commercial interest and is thrown overboard (discarded).
From 2002 onwards discard data for the 80 mm beam-trawl-fleet ( $>300 \mathrm{hp}$ ) have been collected by on-board observers under the DCR. The results of this programme have annually been reported (e.g. van Helmond \& Overzee, 2010). These data have so far only been analysed for a collection of commercial species: sole, plaice, dab (Limanda limanda), cod (Gadus morhua) and whiting (Merlangius merlangus). For this report the data for all WGNEW species were extracted from the database.

## Methods

The number of sampled vessels and the number of sampled hauls per year were:

| year | vessels sampled | hauls sampled | total days at sea | sampled days at <br> sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 10 | 310 | 20,170 | 34 |
| 2005 | 9 | 300 | 20,485 | 34 |
| 2006 | 9 | 263 | 17,995 | 36 |
| 2007 | 10 | 250 | 19,034 | 43 |
| 2008 | 10 | 293 | 14,208 | 43 |

Figure 3.1.1 shows the spatial distribution of the discard observations per year.

## Raising procedures, per trip

The sampled number per length and haul were raised per species to total number per length and haul

$$
D N_{l, h, s}=\frac{V_{h}}{v_{h}} D n_{l, h, s}
$$

where $D N_{l, h, s}$ is the total number discarded at length (I) in haul (h) for species (s), $V_{h}$ is total volume of haul (h), $v_{n}$ is sampled volume of haul ( h ) and $D n_{l, h, s}$ sampled number discarded at length (I) in haul (h) for species (s).

The total number discarded at length per haul and species was summed over the sampled hauls to obtain the total sampled number discarded at length (I) for species (s) over all sampled hauls (h). The total number discarded ( $D N_{t, t, s}$ ) at length (I) per trip (t) and species (s) was calculated by multiplying the total number discarded $\left(D N_{l, h, s}\right)$ over all sampled hauls with the ratio of total trip duration $\left(U_{t}\right)$ and duration of all sampled hauls $\left(\Sigma u_{h}\right)$.

$$
D N_{l, t, s}=\frac{U_{t}}{\sum u_{h}} \sum_{h=i}^{h} D N_{l, h, s}
$$
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Figure 3.1.1 - The Dutch beam trawl fisheries > 300 hp : sampled number of hauls per year and by ICES rectangle.


Figure 3.1.1 - Continued.
The number discarded at length per hour and species ( $D N_{p, 0, t, s}$ ) was calculated by dividing the total number at length per trip ( $D N_{i, t s}$ ) by total trip duration $\left(U_{t}\right)$.

$$
D N_{l, o, t, s}=\frac{D N_{l, t, s}}{U_{t}}
$$

Explanation of the abbreviations used in the formulas:

|  | explanation | sub-script | explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| n | sampled number | l | length |
| N | total number | h | haul |
| w | sampled weight | o | hour |
| W | total weight | t | trip |
| v | sampled discards volume | p | period |
| V | total discards volume | y | year |
| u | sampled duration | s | species |
| U | total duration | f | fleet |
| wt | sampled landings weight |  |  |
| WT | total landings weight |  |  |
| e | sampled fleet effort in number of trips |  |  |
| E | total fleet effort in number of trips |  |  |
| T | Number of trips |  |  |
| DN | total discard number |  |  |
| LN | total landings number |  |  |
| CN | total catch number (landings and discards combined) |  |  |

## Results

The number of fish discarded, per hour and per length, are given in Figure 3.1.2 to 3.1.13.
Dab is the most common species in the discards of the Dutch beam-trawl fishery for flatfish. In 2008 95\% of the number of dab caught was discarded. Per hour on average 49 kg was discarded compared to 8 kg landed (van Helmond \& Overzee, 2010). The length compositions in the five years shown are all very similar.
The discarded numbers of sea bass, red gurnard, John dory, witch flounder, turbot and brill are all very low and the information is probably not very useful.

The number discarded for grey gurnard vary considerably between years with a factor of 4 . In 2005 a peak in the length distribution can be seen between 10 and 15 cm , possibly due to a good year class. In 2007 the main amount of discards were between 15 and 25 cm in length, but also a smaller size group between 10 and 15 cm is visible.

The numbers of discards of tub gurnard show less variation between years than those for grey gurnards. The size range of the discards is from 5 to 30 cm .
Discards of flounder vary by a factor of 3 , but the length distributions are broadly similar between years.
In lemon sole the numbers discarded vary by a factor of 5 between years, and the length composition of the discarded fraction varies considerably between years: e.g. in 2007 a peak occurs at a length of 13 cm , whereas in 2005 and 2008 the peak may be seen at around 20 cm .
In striped red mullet the numbers discarded vary by almost a factor of 37 ! The length compositions are quite different between years. In 2004 and 2005 two length groups can be distinguished, but only one in 2006 and 2007. In 2008 hardly any mullet was discarded.
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## SEA BASS



Figure 3.1.2 - Sea bass: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## STRIPED RED MULLET



Figure 3.1.3 - Striped red mullet: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## RED GURNARD

| length class | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  | 0.033 |  | \％ 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | 0.017 |  | 0.047 |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 0.047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 0.033 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 0.036 |  | 0.0 |  |  | ， | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 0.025 |  | 0.036 | 0.040 | 0 | 5 | 10 |  | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.047 | 0.025 | 0.048 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 0.273 | 0.102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 0.796 |  |  | ${ }^{0.8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  | 0.255 |  |  | ¢ 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 0.034 | 0.606 | 0.016 |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.037 | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 0.017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 29 |  | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  | ） 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 產 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 |  | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  | ఫ્̣ 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  | ¢亠凶禸 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| sum | 0.084 | 0.155 | 1.978 | 0.351 | 0.040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3．1．4－Red gurnard：number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## TUB GURNARD



Figure 3.1.5 - Tub gurnard: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## GREY GURNARD



Figure 3.1.6 - Grey gurnard: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

JOHN DORY


Figure 3.1.7 - John dory: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

DAB


Figure 3.1.8 - Dab: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## FLOUNDER



Figure 3.1.9 - Flounder: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## WITCH FLOUNDER



Figure 3.1.10 - Witch flounder: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## LEMON SOLE

| length class | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\%^{2.0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 꾸 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | － 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.053 |  |  | 0.050 |  |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 0.014 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  | 0.057 | 0.032 |  |  |  |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0.026 | 0.102 | 0.156 | 2.357 | 0.041 | 㐫 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0.024 | 0.144 |  | 2.174 | 0.106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0.512 | 0.308 | 0.075 | 1.541 | 0.222 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.653 | 0.380 | 0.058 | 1.202 | 0.521 | 0.0 |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0.400 | 0.958 | 0.179 | 0.615 | 0.662 | 0 | 5 | 10 |  | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 18 | 0.476 | 1.464 | 0.701 | 0.236 | 0.655 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.659 | 1.685 | 0.358 | 0.114 | 0.828 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.476 | 2.130 | 0.398 |  | 0.991 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0.484 | 1.646 | 0.246 |  | 0.580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 0.657 | 2.016 | 0.079 | 0.047 | 0.442 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0.449 | 1.089 | 0.096 | 0.002 | 0.230 | 히 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 0.084 | 0.639 | 0.079 |  | 0.183 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.017 | 0.244 |  |  | 0.155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 0.020 |  |  |  | 0.037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 0.022 |  |  | 0.017 |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | 7 m |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 29 |  | 0.088 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2.0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  | （⿳亠二口犬土 1.5 |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ } 1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 |  | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 畗 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Ol}_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 10 |  | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| sum | 5.0 | 12.9 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3．1．11－Lemon sole：number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

TURBOT


Figure 3.1.12 - Turbot: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

## BRILL

| length class | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 흘 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{⿺ 辶}^{0.10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{0.05}$ |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 15 | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0} 0.15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}{ }^{0.10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{0.05}$ |  |  |  |  | $T$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 0.052 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 0.044 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 18 | 0.058 | 0.023 | 0.083 |  | 0.050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  | 0.037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.168 | 0.016 | 0.073 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 0.034 |  | 0.068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 0.014 |  | 0.025 | 0.002 |  | ${ }^{0.15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 0.083 |  | 0.003 | 0.051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 0.138 |  | 0.033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{0.05}$ |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 717 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0} 0.15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 020 |  |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{0.05}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 15 | $20 \quad 25$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| sum | 0.378 | 0.166 | 0.285 | 0.005 | 0.221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.1.13 - Brill: number at length discarded per fishing hour in the Dutch beam trawl fishery in the years 2004 to 2008.

### 3.1.2 Spatial catch statistics on turbot and brill

Dutch fisheries contribute a significant part to the total international landings for turbot and brill from the North Sea. In this section spatial landings data on the level of ICES rectangles are shown for two time periods: 19671983 and 1995-2009.

The data come from two different sources. The source for the oldest data (1967-1983) is the CBS (Central Bureau for Statistics) dataset. Data from 1995-2008 come from EU logbooks collected in VIRIS (Catch Information and Registration Input System). The years in-between these two sources have not been adequately registered, owing to misreporting problems and a change in registration system.

All data are recorded by trip, on the spatial level of the ICES rectangle. The data are aggregated to obtain annual total landings by ICES rectangle. The annual landings for brill and turbot per ICES rectangle are shown in Figures 3.1.14 and 3.1.15 respectively.

The Dutch brill landings are located mainly in the southern North Sea, with concentrations in the Southern Bight, the northern part of the German Bight, and a minor part of the catch comes from off shore areas such as the Oyster Grounds. The vast majority of the Dutch North Sea turbot landings also originate from the southern North Sea, though the largest landings are made more northerly, with concentrations of catches in the German Bight, the Southern Bight and the Oyster Grounds. The difference in spatial distribution of the total landings suggests that brill is a more southern and coastal species than turbot.

The inter-annual variability in the spatiotemporal distribution of the two species is visible as shifts between the main landings areas. For brill, the contribution of the German Bight to the total landings is larger than usual in the years 1973, 1974, 1982, 1999, 2000, 2007 and 2008. For turbot, landings have become more concentrated in the southern North Sea from 1967 onwards. In the most recent period (from 2005 onwards) the landings have concentrated in the eastern part of the German Bight.

The Dutch landings for turbot and brill show a strong spatial pattern, which differs for the two species. Because the Dutch landings encompass the majority of the total international landings for both species, they likely represent the spatial distribution of total international turbot and brill landings.


Figure 3.1.14 - Spatial distribution of Dutch brill landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008.


Figure 3.1.14 - Spatial distribution of Dutch brill landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.14 - Spatial distribution of Dutch brill landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.14 - Spatial distribution of Dutch brill landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.14 - Spatial distribution of Dutch brill landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.14 - Spatial distribution of Dutch brill landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.15 - Spatial distribution of Dutch turbot landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008.


Figure 3.1.15 - Spatial distribution of Dutch turbot landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.15 - Spatial distribution of Dutch turbot landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.15 - Spatial distribution of Dutch turbot landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.15 - Spatial distribution of Dutch turbot landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).


Figure 3.1.15 - Spatial distribution of Dutch turbot landings in the North Sea in the period 1967-2008 (continued).

### 3.2 CEFAS

### 3.2.1 Lemon sole: L and W at age, CPUE, age and size at maturity ${ }^{9}$

## UK commercial lemon sole landings

Landings of lemon sole between 1985 and 2008 by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales vessels landing outside the UK are given by ICES Division in Table 3.2.1 and Figure 3.2.1 and by gear in Figure 3.2.2. Landings were at their highest at the beginning of the time series when they exceeded 3800 t in 1985. In general, there has been a decline in landings since that time and the landings for 2008 ( 981 t ), were the lowest of the time series. For the UK fleet, the majority of lemon sole are landed from ICES Divisions IVb and VIle and caught by vessels using beam trawls, heavy otter trawls and unspecified otter trawls.
Annual catches of lemon sole between 1982 and 2008, by the UK fleet are plotted by ICES rectangle in Figure 3.2.3. It can be seen through the time series that catches in the North Sea in particular have become more confined to rectangles closer to the UK coast. In recent years, the majority of landings in the North Sea have come from only a few rectangles off the northeast English coast (around Scarborough). In the southwest, the majority of catches are made off the south Devon and Cornwall coasts in the Western English Channel, generally in mixed fisheries for other flatfish species.

## Lemon sole in ICES Area IV and Division VIld (the North Sea and eastern Channel)

Annual catch numbers at length for lemon sole in the North Sea and eastern Channel (ICES Area IV and Division VIId) between 1985 and 2008 are given in Table 3.2.2 and Figure 3.2.4. Data for 1986, 1987 and 1992-1997 are missing due to a lack of market sampling.
Mean length in catches is given in Table 3.2.3. In general, mean length declined at the beginning of the time series from 31.9 cm TL in 1985 to 28.3 cm in 1991. Since 1998, mean length has been relatively stable.

Annual catch numbers at age for lemon sole in the North Sea and eastern Channel for the years 2005-2008 are given in Table 3.2.4 and Figure 3.2.5. Cefas only started to age lemon sole otoliths in 2005, so the time series of age information is short.
Cefas routinely calculates the LPUE (kg/h) of lemon sole in ICES Divisions VIle-k for otter trawlers and beam trawlers of <24 m length. Similar processing is run in the North Sea for several species, but lemon sole has historically not been among them. For this project the North Sea processing routine was amended to include lemon sole and was run for the time period 1983-2008. For the North Sea, LPUE was processed in 10 rectangle groups (Figure 3.2.6), and beam trawlers and otter trawlers were processed separately. Full results (by rectangle group) are given in Table 3.2.5 for the North Sea. However, not all results were plotted, rather results for the rectangle groups representing areas from which the majority of the lemon sole catch is landed were plotted. For the North Sea, results for rectangle groups 1, 2, 8 and 10 are given in Figure 3.2.7.
Trends in the otter trawl LPUE for rectangle groups 1,2 and 8 were similar, showing a slight decline throughout the time series. These three rectangle areas cover much of ICES Division IVb, in which landings have also decreased during the same time. In rectangle group 10 however, which covers the eastern Channel (ICES Division VIId), the LPUE trend is more of an upward one. For beam trawlers, LPUE is generally less than that of otter trawlers.

## Lemon sole in ICES Divisions VIle-k ('westerly', the southwest')

Annual catch numbers at length for 'westerly' lemon sole (ICES Divisions VIle-k) between 1983 and 2008 are given in Table 3.2.6 and Figure 3.2.8. Mean length in catches for 'westerly' lemon sole are given in Table 3.2.3. Mean length has decreased from 32.4 cm TL in 1983 to 27.6 cm TL in 2008. Annual catch numbers at age for 'westerly' lemon sole for the years 2005-2008 are given in Table 3.2.7 and Figure 3.2.9.

The LPUE of 'westerly' lemon sole was updated to 2008. Processing was carried out by ICES Division, and Divisions were further split geographically (north, south, east or west) (Figure 3.2.10). As with the North Sea, beam trawlers and otter trawlers were processed separately. Full results (by area) are given in Table 3.2.8.

[^7]However, as with the North Sea and eastern Channel, only results for the rectangle groups representing areas from which the majority of the lemon sole catch is landed were plotted. The results for Division VIle west (7EW), south (7ES) and north (7EN) are given in Figure 3.2.7. The LPUE of otter trawlers is generally higher than that of beam trawlers in all rectangle group areas. For otter trawlers, in 7EW and 7EN the LPUE trend is similar, showing an overall decline through the time series. For all three groups, beam trawl LPUE values have generally decreased since 1983, and showed a small peak in 1995-1997, before becoming relatively steady for the last few years.

## Lemon sole size at maturity

Size at maturity was estimated using data from three Cefas stock monitoring surveys - the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E), the eastern Channel Beam Trawl Survey (BTS7d), and the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (VIla, f, g) Beam Trawl Survey (NWGFS). Data were extracted for the years 2005-2009 only, to minimise any changes in size at maturity that may have occurred through the whole time series. During the surveys, maturity stages were assigned based on the standard Cefas 5 -stage maturity key. For fitting the maturity ogives, fish recorded as Maturing, Hyaline, Running and Spent were classed as Mature, with all others classed as Immature. Length-at-50\%-maturity (L50) was estimated by fitting a maturity ogive using a linear model with the logistic link function and a binomial error structure. Ogives were fitted for each survey and sex separately.
The fitted maturity ogives are given in Figure 3.2.11. Males appear to mature at a smaller size than females in all three surveys, but there would appear to be difference in the L50 in the three surveys for males and females. L50 for both sexes was higher in the eastern Channel, than either the North Sea or the Irish Sea and Bristol Channel. For all surveys and both sexes, the L50 is at approximately $2-3$ years of age. It should be noted however, that all three surveys take place in either quarter 2 or quarter 3 , which may not be the best time of year for maturity sampling.

## Lemon sole spawning seasonality

Data on maturity stage were obtained from the Biological Sampling Programme database. Information on length, weight, sex, maturity stage (according to the standard Cefas 5-stage key), was extracted along with information on sample location and time of the year. Data were extracted for the years 2004-2008. Of the two fishing areas that Cefas uses to process lemon sole data, North Sea (ICES Area IV and Division VIId) and 'westerly' (ICES Divisions VIle-h), biological samples were available from ICES Areas IVb, VIle, f \& g only. Due to the low number of samples available annually, data for the years 2004-2008 were aggregated. The proportion of fish at each maturity stage was plotted monthly for males and females separately for Area IVb and for Areas VIle, f \& g. A total of 1328 individuals (male $=246$; female $=1082$ ) and 1428 individuals (male $=444$; female $=984$ ) were sampled in Division IVb and Divisions VIle, f \& g, respectively.
Results are given in Figure 3.2.12. In general, few immature individuals were landed or sampled between 2004 and 2008. For males in Divisions VIle, f \& g , maturing individuals were generally seen throughout the year, though an increase in the proportion of fish classed as maturing was seen between August and January. An extremely high proportion of males classed as running was observed, which was lowest in February, increased until around June and July, and then decreased until November. Spent males were recorded through the year. In contrast, for males in the North Sea (Division IVb), maturity appeared to lag behind that seen in the southwest. The highest proportion of maturing fish was seen in December to April. Again, a high proportion of the males were classed as running throughout the year, with high proportions running in March to August. As with Division VIle, f \& g, spent males were recorded through the year.
For female lemon sole, again there were few immature individuals recorded in the samples. The proportion of maturing females in the southwest was at its lowest in May, but then increased to a peak in January. The majority of hyaline individuals were observed between January and May, with the majority of running females sampled between April and May. The highest proportion of spent females was observed in July, after which a decrease in the proportion was noted. As with males, spawning in the North Sea would appear to lag behind the southwest, with hyaline and running females sampled between April and September.

### 3.2.2 Observer data on lemon sole and dab ${ }^{10}$

Discard data were extracted from the Cefas discard database for 2003-2008 for all hauls recorded as targeting dab and lemon sole; had caught dab and lemon sole; or were from ICES Areas IV and VII. Where the fish from a given tow were sub-sampled, the numbers at length were raised using the appropriate raising factor. Discard estimates were obtained for two fishing areas, namely the North Sea and eastern Channel (ICES Area IV and Division VIId), and for the 'southwest' (ICES Divisions VIle-k). These area groupings were chosen because these are the same area groupings used by Cefas to process lemon sole data. Estimates were obtained for two gear groupings only, namely beam trawl and all other gears. This was because of the number of discard samples available for raising the data.

Data were aggregated upwards by grouping samples in the following order to provide ratios of retained and discarded dab and lemon sole:

Group1: Year, ICES Division, gear grouping, vessel length grouping;
Group2: Year, ICES Division, beam/not beam gear grouping, vessel length grouping;
Group3: Year, stock area (IV \& VIId, or VIle-k, or ICES Division for all other samples), beam/not beam gear grouping, vessel length grouping;
Group4: Year, stock area (IV \& VIId, or VIle-k, or ICES Division for all other samples), beam/not beam gear grouping;
Group5: Year, stock area (IV \& VIId, or VIle-k, or ICES Division for all other samples).
For each aggregate length distribution the number of fish at length was converted to weight at length using the following length weight regressions

Dab Wt (g) $=0.00545$ L3.195 (cm)
Lemon sole Wt $(\mathrm{g})=0.01035 \mathrm{~L} 3(\mathrm{~cm})$
The total weight was obtained by summing the resulting weight distribution.
Next, the weight of fish landed in each year, ICES division, gear group and vessel length combination was obtained from the Fishery Activity Database. To obtain the estimated discard component the five groups were merged onto the landings in turn until all landings had an associated ratio of landings to discards.
The number of fish measured by the discard programme between 2003 and 2008 is given in Table 3.2.9 As can be seen, few lemon sole or dab were measured for gears other than beam trawls, though sampling has increased in recent years. This may be as a result of discard samplers obtaining access to vessels $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ length in that year, which they had previously been unable to do. In general, the discard rate for dab was high, with a high proportion of the dab caught being subsequently discarded in all areas and years. In contrast, the discard rate for lemon sole was notably lower.

Raised estimated numbers of lemon sole and dab discarded between 2003 and 2008, are given in Tables 3.2.10-3.2.13. Raised discard estimates for dab caught in beam trawls show that discarding can be high in the North Sea, and in 2006, the number of dab discarded was the highest of the time series. The modal size of dab discarded was at around $18-22 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{TL}$, and this was consistent through the time series and in both areas.
For lemon sole, the modal size of fish discarded was at around $21-23 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{TL}$, and as with dab, this was consistent through the time series and in the two areas. Few lemon sole were discarded at lengths larger than 30 cm TL.

Raised estimates for both species and for gears other than beam trawls were limited due to the low number of samples available.

[^8]Table 3.2.1. Landings of lemon sole by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales vessels landing outside the UK between 1985 and 2008 , by ICES Division

|  | Ila | IIb | IIc | Ild | Ile | IIf | IIg | Illa | IVa | IVb | IVc | Va | Vb | Vc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 2192 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1731 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1767 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1795 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1791 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1803 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1646 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1690 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1690 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1454 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1329 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1197 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1362 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1304 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1155 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 990 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 831 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 566 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 521 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 425 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 425 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 348 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 452 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 145 | 172 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3.2.1 - Continued

|  | Vla | VIb | Vlla | VIIb | VIIC | VIld | VIle | VIlf | VIIg | VIlh | VIIj | VIII | VIIIa | VIIID | VIIId | XIVb | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1208 | 86 | 27 | 101 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5834 |
| 1986 | 3 | 3 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 934 | 97 | 22 | 108 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5010 |
| 1987 | 9 | 3 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 809 | 133 | 15 | 115 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4998 |
| 1988 | 29 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 803 | 118 | 16 | 140 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5053 |
| 1989 | 16 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 701 | 61 | 8 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4790 |
| 1990 | 7 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 858 | 62 | 12 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5118 |
| 1991 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 910 | 94 | 13 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4933 |
| 1992 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 1005 | 101 | 30 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5147 |
| 1993 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 703 | 105 | 34 | 85 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4794 |
| 1994 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 93 | 538 | 105 | 27 | 155 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4490 |
| 1995 | 15 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 150 | 1070 | 133 | 24 | 138 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5037 |
| 1996 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 209 | 1495 | 122 | 25 | 166 | 80 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5433 |
| 1997 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 1572 | 158 | 25 | 161 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5561 |
| 1998 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 91 | 885 | 151 | 24 | 107 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4812 |
| 1999 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 5 | 89 | 514 | 121 | 34 | 67 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4163 |
| 2000 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 122 | 535 | 131 | 27 | 59 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4009 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 186 | 620 | 125 | 30 | 52 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3990 |
| 2002 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 116 | 665 | 124 | 16 | 60 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3624 |
| 2003 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 5 | 112 | 656 | 118 | 23 | 54 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3600 |
| 2004 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 108 | 754 | 112 | 0 | 28 | 61 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3588 |
| 2005 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 71 | 718 | 103 | 20 | 81 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3476 |
| 2006 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 652 | 82 | 17 | 73 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3283 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 580 | 87 | 20 | 72 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3298 |
| 2008 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 457 | 65 | 8 | 58 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2989 |

Table 3.2.2 - Catch numbers at length (cm) for lemon sole landed into ICES Area IV and Division VIld, by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK between 1985 and 2008. For some years, no market sample lengths were available.

| Length | 1985 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |  | 362 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5154 | 1856 | 2651 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 2308 | 955 | 22685 | 33304 | 5729 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 10574 | 5665 | 70595 | 102592 | 45112 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 15926 | 168037 | 87575 | 176950 | 232503 | 105664 |
| 25 | 123096 | 33222 | 15584 | 302968 | 78355 | 717777 | 564125 | 422879 | 351584 | 248829 |
| 26 | 205160 | 33222 | 62334 | 496868 | 187289 | 864126 | 780224 | 499907 | 347836 | 217962 |
| 27 | 287225 | 99665 | 62334 | 1114924 | 234429 | 809556 | 916164 | 548730 | 388202 | 243663 |
| 28 | 492385 | 249163 | 155836 | 727124 | 66252 | 667352 | 847786 | 500973 | 355241 | 227814 |
| 29 | 492385 | 382050 | 124669 | 387800 | 142696 | 417270 | 564981 | 408524 | 291961 | 181707 |
| 30 | 328257 | 315607 | 202586 | 618056 | 117215 | 346210 | 336142 | 321296 | 278193 | 174198 |
| 31 | 615481 | 697657 | 210378 | 145425 | 38222 | 255448 | 189566 | 228075 | 217195 | 119367 |
| 32 | 943738 | 465105 | 498674 | 496868 | 45230 | 197112 | 137285 | 163677 | 158155 | 90368 |
| 33 | 369289 | 431883 | 553217 | 278731 | 35037 | 140343 | 65527 | 119281 | 148317 | 68368 |
| 34 | 492385 | 431883 | 475299 | 302968 | 22296 | 102271 | 40034 | 85450 | 98556 | 52740 |
| 35 | 328257 | 298996 | 397381 | 230256 | 15289 | 82956 | 37738 | 56857 | 76239 | 32281 |
| 36 | 451353 | 298996 | 264921 | 157544 | 3822 | 43205 | 16366 | 45192 | 60725 | 24598 |
| 37 | 205160 | 199331 | 272712 | 96950 | 1274 | 28801 | 9055 | 28235 | 41463 | 11409 |
| 38 | 123096 | 149498 | 350630 | 157544 | 1911 | 14696 | 5448 | 19453 | 25408 | 5519 |
| 39 | 0 | 49833 | 155836 | 36356 | 637 | 13029 | 2493 | 9616 | 15918 | 2220 |
| 40 | 82064 | 99665 | 77918 | 36356 | 0 | 2532 | 320 | 5245 | 9240 | 1339 |
| 41 | 82064 |  | 38959 |  | 637 | 1982 | 0 | 3889 | 3206 | 649 |
| 42 | 41032 |  | 0 |  |  | 2046 | 119 | 1730 | 2103 | 214 |
| 43 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 75 |  | 467 | 209 | 422 |
| 44 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 100 |  | 233 | 231 | 118 |
| 45 |  |  | 38959 |  |  | 100 |  | 110 | 47 | 40 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 24 | 62 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 18 |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 2 |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 0 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0 |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  |

Table 3.2.2 - Continued.

| Length | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19 | 45 |  |  | 56 |  |  |
| 20 | 7 |  | 307 | 165 |  | 480 |
| 21 | 14 | 2623 | 5449 | 1730 | 2303 | 2672 |
| 22 | 6842 | 17681 | 32992 | 13338 | 23537 | 6548 |
| 23 | 30777 | 54596 | 90714 | 35717 | 68316 | 21124 |
| 24 | 60814 | 179953 | 184378 | 78181 | 104672 | 58721 |
| 25 | 214429 | 405505 | 276149 | 160281 | 157515 | 122956 |
| 26 | 191231 | 248713 | 217782 | 172770 | 164015 | 152614 |
| 27 | 198638 | 203389 | 173507 | 186167 | 157199 | 151229 |
| 28 | 185491 | 160851 | 112890 | 136959 | 141934 | 118776 |
| 29 | 169960 | 97406 | 84923 | 100187 | 82976 | 100365 |
| 30 | 127868 | 89341 | 79973 | 74982 | 64079 | 82031 |
| 31 | 97741 | 71468 | 56071 | 51413 | 42865 | 45704 |
| 32 | 66635 | 39868 | 40106 | 24542 | 23958 | 29045 |
| 33 | 40845 | 38888 | 33979 | 15927 | 13088 | 18729 |
| 34 | 30722 | 20347 | 12967 | 15080 | 10649 | 8795 |
| 35 | 12998 | 11907 | 7348 | 8924 | 5895 | 4911 |
| 36 | 6201 | 8517 | 2486 | 5855 | 3795 | 3191 |
| 37 | 3692 | 2512 | 1301 | 1988 | 4214 | 1804 |
| 38 | 1118 | 2181 | 2004 | 2001 | 2402 | 1389 |
| 39 | 180 | 912 | 511 | 242 | 382 | 176 |
| 40 | 179 | 479 | 92 |  | 149 | 272 |
| 41 | 112 | 165 | 89 |  |  |  |
| 42 | 129 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 296 |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.3 - Mean length (cm) of lemon sole caught in the North Sea and eastern Channel (ICES Area IV and Division VIId), and in the 'southwest' (ICES Divisions VIle-k), between 1983 and 2008. For the North Sea, no samples were available for 1983, 1984, 1986, 1987 and 1992-1997.

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North Sea |  |  | 31.9 |  |  | 32.5 | 33.9 |
| Westerly | 32.4 | 31.7 | 31.1 | 31.9 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 32.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| North Sea | 29.9 | 28.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westerly | 30.3 | 30.8 | 32.2 | 31.3 | 30.9 | 30.0 | 30.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
|  |  | 28.0 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 27.9 |
| North Sea | 31.0 | 30.6 | 31.7 | 30.1 | 29.5 | 29.7 | 29.3 |
| Westerly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |  |  |
|  | 26.9 | 26.6 | 27.3 | 26.9 | 27.5 |  |  |
| North Sea | 28.3 | 28 | 27.7 | 29.0 | 27.6 |  |  |

Table 3.2.4 - Catch numbers at age for lemon sole landed into ICES Area IV and Division VIId by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK, between 2005 and 2008.

| Age | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 23883 | 14070 |  |  |
| 3 | 161209 | 105762 | 76595 | 14641 |
| 4 | 235085 | 239847 | 158641 | 53906 |
| 5 | 418111 | 274550 | 204710 | 111794 |
| 6 | 258913 | 238933 | 199642 | 128871 |
| 7 | 102593 | 93661 | 257043 | 132216 |
| 8 | 96748 | 45895 | 73899 | 160478 |
| 9 | 48564 | 17673 | 54423 | 150854 |
| 10 | 12957 | 37723 | 13914 | 64469 |
| 11 | 21981 | 8148 | 14665 | 67763 |
| 12 | 13024 | 3038 | 3876 | 22729 |
| 13 | 1072 | 682 | 8056 | 4819 |
| 14 | 2161 | 0 | 315 | 4197 |
| 15 | 629 | 674 | 5806 | 7250 |

Table 3.2.5 - Lpue of lemon sole in the North Sea and eastern Channel, for otter trawlers and beam trawlers between 1983 and 2008, by rectangle group.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rectangle group } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rectangle group } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rectangle group } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Rectangle group } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Otter } \\ \text { trawl } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Otter } \\ \text { trawl } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{array}$ | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Otter } \\ \text { trawl } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{array}$ | Beam trawl <br> (kg/hr) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Otter } \\ \text { trawl } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Beam trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Otter } \\ \text { trawl } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Beam <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) |
| 1983 | 2.45 | 0 | 3.49 | 0 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 1.56 | 2.81 | 0.05 | 0 | 12.44 | 0 | 1.41 | 0 | 1.32 | 4.46 | 0.64 | 0 | 2.54 | 5.11 |
| 1984 | 4.2 | 8.23 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 1.27 | 1 | 2.2 | 1.88 | 4.65 | 0.95 | 14.34 | 7.63 | 1.72 | 0 | 2.25 | 2.63 | 1.09 | 5.89 | 2.15 | 2.63 |
| 1985 | 5.84 | 3.12 | 5.43 | 4.58 | 1.06 | 0.6 | 1.32 | 1.27 | 0 | 0 | 9.13 | 6.97 | 3.22 | 2.21 | 2.79 | 2.35 | 0.91 | 1.19 | 2.27 | 1.63 |
| 1986 | 3.82 | 3.9 | 3.75 | 2.48 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 1.35 | 0 | 10.82 | 3.9 | 1.86 | 1.94 | 2.18 | 1.96 | 0.67 | 1.15 | 0.54 | 0.85 |
| 1987 | 3.83 | 3.98 | 4.14 | 4.28 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 0.93 | 0.75 | 1.25 | 3.7 | 5.27 | 4.34 | 1.94 | 1.47 | 3.17 | 2.77 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.49 | 0.67 |
| 1988 | 4.51 | 2.69 | 4.38 | 1.38 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 1.13 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 1.91 | 5.68 | 5.47 | 1.63 | 0.68 | 3.88 | 2.33 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 1.02 | 0.69 |
| 1989 | 3.98 | 2.65 | 3.6 | 1.05 | 0.44 | 0.77 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 0 | 0.02 | 6.91 | 2.62 | 1.97 | 1.13 | 3.78 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.64 | 0.97 | 0.79 |
| 1990 | 3.75 | 3.67 | 4.09 | 1.37 | 0.59 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 1.29 | 5.23 | 1.45 | 2.88 | 1.35 | 3.77 | 2.09 | 3.01 | 0.94 | 1.37 | 0.66 |
| 1991 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.45 | 2.13 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 1.13 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.1 | 4.95 | 1.37 | 2.49 | 1.07 | 4.63 | 1.5 | 0.99 | 0.89 | 1.5 | 0.71 |
| 1992 | 3.14 | 4.07 | 3.25 | 4.4 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 5.09 | 1.88 | 2.87 | 3.41 | 2.5 | 1.75 | 3.64 | 2.11 | 5.01 | 1.85 | 1.58 | 0.92 |
| 1993 | 2.87 | 4.73 | 3.11 | 3.3 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.61 | 0.7 | 0.46 | 0 | 3.18 | 1.72 | 2.49 | 1.83 | 3.38 | 2.06 | 2.74 | 1.59 | 0.4 | 0.91 |
| 1994 | 3.5 | 4.93 | 2.27 | 3.55 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.88 | 0.75 | 1.92 | 0 | 4.48 | 7.98 | 3.3 | 1.91 | 5.48 | 1.82 | 0.57 | 1.84 | 1.26 | 0.8 |
| 1995 | 4.8 | 5.89 | 3.39 | 2.03 | 0.56 | 0.68 | 1.16 | 1.53 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 6.22 | 27.82 | 3.08 | 1.26 | 5.33 | 0.93 | 1.08 | 1.23 | 2.6 | 1.78 |
| 1996 | 5.26 | 4.72 | 4.04 | 2.86 | 0.77 | 1.07 | 2.52 | 1.29 | 1.06 | 1.49 | 5.46 | 0 | 3.95 | 1.52 | 4.3 | 1.08 | 0.39 | 1.53 | 4.5 | 1.34 |
| 1997 | 5.26 | 5.35 | 4.48 | 2.81 | 0.6 | 0.58 | 1.48 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 5.13 | 6.52 | 3.91 | 1.81 | 7.32 | 1.97 | 2.47 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.96 |
| 1998 | 4.26 | 6.57 | 4.3 | 4.57 | 0.57 | 2.11 | 1.21 | 3.66 | 1.05 | 0 | 4.59 | 2.95 | 4.98 | 2.02 | 5.23 | 1.1 | 1.55 | 1.17 | 1 | 0.94 |
| 1999 | 4.9 | 8.84 | 3.73 | 4.33 | 0.21 | 0.9 | 1.51 | 2.57 | 1.52 | 0.12 | 3.69 | 2.93 | 4.38 | 1.66 | 3.91 | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.97 | 1.22 | 1.52 |
| 2000 | 3.75 | 4.76 | 2.81 | 0.29 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.21 | 0 | 6.02 | 0 | 6.38 | 1.64 | 3.88 | 1.33 | 0.55 | 0.79 | 4.46 | 2.66 |
| 2001 | 3.41 | 9.5 | 2.96 | 0.91 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 1.97 | 2.55 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8.4 | 1.53 | 4.29 | 1.36 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 1.41 | 3.53 |
| 2002 | 2.98 | 1.34 | 2.55 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 4.47 | 0.87 | 1.56 | 0 | 2.68 | 3.36 | 4.5 | 1.05 | 2.39 | 0.68 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 1.59 | 1.91 |
| 2003 | 3.64 | 1.28 | 2.8 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.05 | 2.29 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0 | 2.05 | 0 | 3.65 | 1.64 | 4.98 | 0 | 4.76 | 2.16 |
| 2004 | 4.45 | 1.21 | 3.36 | 0.27 | 1.86 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0.63 | 0 | 8.38 | 0 | 3.19 | 1.16 | 10.9 | 0 | 1.89 | 1.94 |
| 2005 | 3 | 3.48 | 2.37 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.51 | 3.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 18.06 | 0 | 2.08 | 0.74 | 0 | 0 | 13.04 | 1.88 |
| 2006 | 2.17 | 6 | 1.7 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.6 | 0.46 | 0 | 0 | 0.59 | 0 | 21.33 | 0 | 3.69 | 1.72 | 0.6 | 0 | 6.51 | 1.27 |
| 2007 | 1.99 | 0 | 2.49 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.74 | 0 | 14.37 | 0 | 2.31 | 1.4 | 2.26 | 0 | 3.26 | 0.57 |
| 2008 | 2.31 | 0 | 1.45 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.87 | 0.16 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 10.29 | 0 | 0.95 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 | 4.96 | 1.1 |

Table 3.2.6 - Catch numbers at length (cm) for lemon sole landed into ICES Areas VIle-k, by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK, between 1982 and 2008.

| Length | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 19 | 1268 | 1582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2709 |  |  |
| 22 | 353 | 6039 | 7381 | 3117 | 261 | 361 |  | 2774 |  |  | 4884 | 704 | 192 |
| 23 | 1277 | 12687 | 32486 | 7170 | 9637 | 3231 | 711 | 13253 | 857 | 5 | 13223 | 11420 | 10265 |
| 24 | 3902 | 30761 | 67470 | 42609 | 35381 | 30809 | 2914 | 46715 | 10188 | 1438 | 32135 | 52064 | 72041 |
| 25 | 8340 | 83123 | 115827 | 79186 | 86984 | 85844 | 19279 | 206665 | 48866 | 44564 | 99425 | 112136 | 211354 |
| 26 | 12208 | 134436 | 157464 | 86412 | 128533 | 125282 | 67279 | 248004 | 90685 | 47875 | 145293 | 168187 | 295815 |
| 27 | 21894 | 207931 | 228071 | 127036 | 181763 | 168784 | 81401 | 291898 | 107502 | 85621 | 168832 | 171515 | 435712 |
| 28 | 32693 | 220505 | 254928 | 177737 | 227719 | 237365 | 110792 | 327523 | 156088 | 105147 | 141334 | 163056 | 439254 |
| 29 | 42524 | 282685 | 317333 | 203117 | 238959 | 208691 | 132358 | 326633 | 134802 | 119085 | 167711 | 181152 | 403463 |
| 30 | 46860 | 256854 | 375745 | 266673 | 268884 | 229923 | 161164 | 312567 | 159605 | 164097 | 194505 | 184626 | 400359 |
| 31 | 53725 | 256848 | 372826 | 269023 | 239777 | 242341 | 173959 | 251519 | 155192 | 226504 | 178900 | 159700 | 307044 |
| 32 | 58829 | 279654 | 374750 | 269870 | 233482 | 233904 | 197249 | 262041 | 161042 | 191548 | 177196 | 146201 | 282565 |
| 33 | 56717 | 233076 | 348080 | 260377 | 203805 | 204303 | 185895 | 218847 | 131253 | 208054 | 183909 | 131174 | 252018 |
| 34 | 54391 | 233849 | 299033 | 261915 | 173234 | 187647 | 177643 | 162901 | 105571 | 157375 | 156470 | 132929 | 171295 |
| 35 | 49840 | 219029 | 217168 | 203641 | 156404 | 151299 | 169053 | 105978 | 92070 | 178823 | 156329 | 100806 | 120579 |
| 36 | 32806 | 162763 | 137538 | 178860 | 128941 | 130829 | 138511 | 88928 | 44838 | 141835 | 121618 | 91267 | 84709 |
| 37 | 24119 | 137700 | 97946 | 118409 | 93118 | 85652 | 102759 | 72066 | 32997 | 89214 | 92081 | 75164 | 53442 |
| 38 | 14287 | 100477 | 53740 | 62044 | 77143 | 68753 | 81492 | 46643 | 18834 | 45335 | 61660 | 47963 | 46703 |
| 39 | 9791 | 76198 | 33186 | 50127 | 55575 | 42884 | 49206 | 27788 | 8896 | 16601 | 29923 | 34248 | 23841 |
| 40 | 7253 | 34350 | 25025 | 38048 | 36268 | 32131 | 38032 | 24205 | 7037 | 12542 | 26453 | 25585 | 21891 |
| 41 | 4082 | 18728 | 11592 | 19775 | 21816 | 19986 | 17625 | 16547 | 3673 | 6882 | 10262 | 14209 | 13688 |
| 42 | 2664 | 10133 | 4700 | 6880 | 17849 | 14294 | 10784 | 13183 | 2051 | 5107 | 6769 | 13904 | 12135 |
| 43 | 1549 | 7001 | 2119 | 5038 | 9479 | 7722 | 7225 | 9617 | 2581 | 3845 | 5744 | 5302 | 8809 |
| 44 | 582 | 4090 | 3350 | 2847 | 4861 | 2765 | 4575 | 6532 | 1658 | 1689 | 605 | 3769 | 6284 |
| 45 | 927 | 4211 | 1861 | 687 | 2125 | 3032 | 2460 | 2041 | 340 | 1348 | 1850 | 2310 | 4235 |
| 46 | 262 | 676 | 1186 |  | 2458 | 872 | 1161 | 1704 | 823 | 546 | 388 | 1792 | 3715 |
| 47 | 248 | 1535 | 999 |  | 859 | 2975 | 687 | 1507 | 31 | 1580 | 490 | 387 | 1325 |
| 48 | 306 | 387 | 434 |  | 181 | 738 | 253 | 1742 | 23 | 1925 | 68 | 752 | 100 |
| 49 | 50 | 303 | 373 |  | 386 |  | 757 | 255 | 23 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 1056 |
| 50 | 201 |  | 746 |  |  |  | 0 | 185 | 31 | 595 | 68 | 832 | 239 |
| 51 |  |  | 746 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 75 |  |
| 52 |  |  | 386 |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  | 41 | 75 |  |
| 53 |  |  | 373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| 54 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 55 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 56 |  |  | 746 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 57 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 58 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| 59 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 60 |  |  | 373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 61 |  |  | 373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |

Table 3.2.6 - Continued.

| Length | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2033 |  | 191 |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 419 |  | 554 | 1769 | 261 | 6466 | 22119 | 6038 | 3308 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  | 452 | 2664 | 3504 | 4863 | 20170 | 13479 | 30435 | 16194 | 29584 |
| 22 |  | 3154 |  | 257 | 3686 | 13141 | 11198 | 27283 | 65647 | 43933 | 134397 | 48183 | 73791 |
| 23 | 4080 | 4653 | 18278 | 616 | 27970 | 52485 | 40718 | 69751 | 132336 | 89779 | 167170 | 96992 | 124216 |
| 24 | 41331 | 34239 | 61079 | 11268 | 76075 | 118528 | 115844 | 85749 | 223241 | 197785 | 253496 | 133296 | 196876 |
| 25 | 148305 | 153431 | 110434 | 44319 | 104357 | 185010 | 174184 | 138825 | 275062 | 262872 | 302755 | 168596 | 223972 |
| 26 | 236199 | 225935 | 212405 | 88378 | 144155 | 222956 | 223686 | 159148 | 305832 | 310197 | 336768 | 201991 | 252674 |
| 27 | 343099 | 383856 | 269271 | 114331 | 191427 | 232597 | 223725 | 184754 | 393515 | 320821 | 277757 | 185117 | 229906 |
| 28 | 430579 | 399688 | 315757 | 151255 | 197978 | 240745 | 205247 | 163722 | 309075 | 319129 | 300887 | 224981 | 189631 |
| 29 | 509227 | 433793 | 338768 | 145152 | 212306 | 226078 | 258684 | 165250 | 288782 | 289939 | 225033 | 219834 | 174094 |
| 30 | 500880 | 485417 | 272936 | 162406 | 184470 | 217526 | 229125 | 161091 | 260376 | 232289 | 169196 | 211816 | 134739 |
| 31 | 524847 | 483173 | 241820 | 156406 | 194598 | 188786 | 195483 | 128516 | 222873 | 234821 | 153168 | 206925 | 105754 |
| 32 | 480711 | 532189 | 244314 | 151117 | 168461 | 170077 | 180678 | 130723 | 165960 | 177752 | 123187 | 165017 | 103693 |
| 33 | 394740 | 465168 | 208219 | 141897 | 137748 | 124747 | 159373 | 90001 | 128768 | 135612 | 89442 | 119390 | 67002 |
| 34 | 317975 | 389908 | 182139 | 133267 | 111066 | 103478 | 102011 | 69422 | 87105 | 96042 | 76703 | 100873 | 54680 |
| 35 | 220308 | 246718 | 156869 | 108438 | 94786 | 75657 | 83129 | 51289 | 60009 | 49708 | 61078 | 52371 | 42527 |
| 36 | 138087 | 188276 | 128987 | 79826 | 69146 | 50101 | 60094 | 43465 | 39870 | 35074 | 31481 | 42271 | 18880 |
| 37 | 76291 | 114625 | 77134 | 65433 | 40327 | 37907 | 39132 | 26461 | 29276 | 26167 | 28426 | 28390 | 14584 |
| 38 | 43827 | 66227 | 52121 | 43457 | 29437 | 33563 | 28586 | 28804 | 20488 | 12260 | 24888 | 16391 | 5536 |
| 39 | 24494 | 32950 | 42423 | 30371 | 18123 | 19242 | 15465 | 10510 | 9824 | 13841 | 10478 | 13761 | 3900 |
| 40 | 24485 | 18476 | 17068 | 21239 | 8585 | 14651 | 16452 | 9912 | 4957 | 6131 | 4845 | 8132 | 1239 |
| 41 | 17134 | 11144 | 9214 | 19861 | 4339 | 13745 | 6518 | 9777 | 4801 | 5841 | 5420 | 6907 | 1615 |
| 42 | 10889 | 6527 | 9145 | 5290 | 4938 | 7863 | 13863 | 6353 | 2490 | 2202 | 5532 | 5684 | 845 |
| 43 | 9984 | 4562 | 3083 | 4864 | 3636 | 6339 | 10045 | 3343 | 4791 | 2585 | 2095 | 1497 | 1578 |
| 44 | 6785 | 1250 | 1953 | 4241 | 1403 | 1921 | 4909 | 1342 | 1682 | 1904 | 3095 | 375 | 829 |
| 45 | 1914 | 3309 | 1600 | 2952 | 323 | 3089 | 4573 | 5171 | 1427 | 658 | 817 | 1722 | 0 |
| 46 | 2097 | 284 | 1366 | 4955 | 341 | 390 | 746 | 657 | 239 | 243 | 1718 | 0 | 200 |
| 47 | 2391 | 742 | 209 | 2195 |  | 1512 | 733 | 210 | 636 | 709 | 422 | 371 |  |
| 48 | 1087 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 158 | 40 | 251 | 352 |  |  | 165 |  |
| 49 | 352 | 219 | 1366 |  |  |  | 95 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 50 | 318 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1466 | 196 | 15 |  |  | 730 |  |
| 51 | 76 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 52 | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |  |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.7 - Catch numbers at age for lemon soles landed into ICES Division VIle-k by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK, between 2005 and 2008.

| Age | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 154023 | 4834 | 8858 | 22180 |
| 3 | 537391 | 654108 | 139890 | 280910 |
| 4 | 1211680 | 550814 | 803387 | 332952 |
| 5 | 398553 | 1021921 | 637638 | 287966 |
| 6 | 299785 | 230746 | 355075 | 462154 |
| 7 | 90847 | 204289 | 121358 | 362700 |
| 8 | 46709 | 43805 | 97936 | 149921 |
| 9 | 61970 | 70391 | 29593 | 82760 |
| 10 | 22559 | 20428 | 38355 | 21064 |
| 11 | 25584 | 11200 | 11872 | 11499 |
| 12 | 29213 | 8241 | 3571 | 23424 |
| 13 | 8717 | 8657 | 18428 | 6361 |
| 14 | 663 | 4132 | 6359 | 10075 |
| 15 | 2834 | 8734 | 12319 | 1991 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.8-Lpue of 'westerly' lemon sole, for otter trawlers and beam trawlers between 1983 and 2008, by ICES Division (7E, 7F, 7G and 7H). Some ICES Divisions have been further separated into North (N), South (S), East (E) or West (W).

|  | Rect Group 7EW |  | Rect Group 7EN |  | Rect Group 7ES |  | Rect Group 7F |  | Rect Group 7GE |  | Rect Group 7GW |  | Rect Group 7HE |  | Rect Group 7HW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) | Otter <br> trawl <br> (kg/hr) | Beam trawl (kg/hr) |
| 1983 | 9.6 | 4.02 | 7.76 | 2.29 | 6.1 | 3.03 | 1.16 | 3.68 | 0.66 | 3.43 | 0 | 4.21 | 4.88 | 2.28 | 4.63 | 4.39 |
| 1984 | 7.24 | 4.01 | 9.06 | 2.3 | 3.68 | 2.62 | 1.75 | 2.89 | 0.53 | 3.26 | 0 | 5.52 | 3.35 | 2.88 | 3.16 | 2.54 |
| 1985 | 7.64 | 3.83 | 9.55 | 2.41 | 0.46 | 2.44 | 1.25 | 2.49 | 0.51 | 2.56 | 0.74 | 4.17 | 9.75 | 3.39 | 0.12 | 2.59 |
| 1986 | 6.36 | 3.75 | 5.92 | 1.64 | 12.59 | 1.7 | 1.01 | 2.54 | 0.35 | 2.37 | 1.18 | 3.32 | 3.91 | 3.48 | 0 | 3.19 |
| 1987 | 5.22 | 3.55 | 3.67 | 1.24 | 7.02 | 1.41 | 1 | 2.1 | 0.34 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 1.64 | 3.08 | 2.7 | 2.09 | 1.95 |
| 1988 | 4.51 | 3.25 | 3.62 | 1.3 | 3.13 | 1.45 | 0.9 | 3.12 | 0.32 | 2.71 | 0.13 | 2.34 | 2.33 | 2.85 | 0 | 1.87 |
| 1989 | 3.49 | 1.8 | 5.42 | 1.24 | 2.76 | 1.31 | 1 | 1.46 | 0.38 | 1.35 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 2.87 | 1.28 | 0 | 1.15 |
| 1990 | 3.69 | 1.59 | 4 | 1.18 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.21 | 1.72 | 1.46 | 0.65 | 0 | 0.56 |
| 1991 | 4.25 | 1.32 | 7.17 | 1.1 | 1.82 | 1.1 | 0.81 | 1.09 | 0.29 | 0.6 | 0.23 | 0.64 | 1.45 | 0.67 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| 1992 | 4.68 | 1.9 | 7.42 | 1.04 | 4.87 | 1.15 | 1.17 | 2.03 | 0.2 | 1.54 | 0.43 | 1.16 | 1.82 | 1.33 | 0.12 | 1.03 |
| 1993 | 3.37 | 1.47 | 4.84 | 0.89 | 1.05 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 1.7 | 0.14 | 1.35 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.57 | 1.36 | 0 | 1.18 |
| 1994 | 2.45 | 1.96 | 3.41 | 0.87 | 0.16 | 0.89 | 0.78 | 2.25 | 0.35 | 2.06 | 0.3 | 1.95 | 1.1 | 2.17 | 0 | 1.09 |
| 1995 | 4.26 | 2.36 | 6.61 | 1.53 | 0.87 | 1.44 | 1.05 | 2.36 | 0.49 | 1.53 | 1.48 | 0.82 | 2.54 | 1.63 | 0.88 | 1.31 |
| 1996 | 7.07 | 2.46 | 9.35 | 1.62 | 0.03 | 1.21 | 1.57 | 2.11 | 1.32 | 0.99 | 0.81 | 0.65 | 2.37 | 1.86 | 0.52 | 1.08 |
| 1997 | 7.76 | 2.51 | 8.59 | 1.47 | 0.49 | 1.42 | 1.53 | 2.47 | 0.58 | 1.46 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 2.77 | 1.72 | 2.11 | 0.98 |
| 1998 | 3.89 | 2.17 | 5.19 | 1.13 | 0.2 | 0.93 | 1.42 | 2.15 | 0.68 | 1.51 | 0.28 | 0.93 | 0.8 | 1.43 | 1.06 | 0.72 |
| 1999 | 2.69 | 1.6 | 2.44 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.62 | 1.76 | 1.95 | 0.44 | 1.22 | 0.2 | 0.57 | 3.23 | 1.07 | 0.26 | 0.75 |
| 2000 | 2.06 | 1.41 | 3.31 | 1.07 | 4.31 | 0.71 | 0.61 | 2.27 | 0.43 | 1.28 | 0.23 | 0.7 | 1.46 | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.51 |
| 2001 | 2.53 | 1.64 | 3.3 | 0.99 | 3.06 | 1.03 | 0.84 | 2.19 | 0.28 | 1.19 | 0.49 | 1.09 | 2.4 | 0.93 | 0.01 | 0.52 |
| 2002 | 1.93 | 1.98 | 5.46 | 1.22 | 1.66 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 2.48 | 0.24 | 1.56 | 0.25 | 1.24 | 2.29 | 1.06 | 0.04 | 0.54 |
| 2003 | 2.46 | 1.7 | 4.67 | 1.27 | 3.09 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 2.2 | 2.57 | 1.36 | 0.4 | 0.29 | 4.27 | 0.86 | 0.55 | 0.42 |
| 2004 | 3.07 | 1.83 | 5.94 | 1.24 | 2.04 | 0.67 | 0.52 | 2.76 | 0.57 | 1.54 | 0.23 | 0.57 | 2.78 | 1.05 | 0.79 | 0.43 |
| 2005 | 4.72 | 1.67 | 5.41 | 0.99 | 10.44 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 2.81 | 0.19 | 2.29 | 0.74 | 0.29 | 3.64 | 1.18 | 0.27 | 0.48 |
| 2006 | 4.83 | 1.58 | 3.9 | 0.84 | 11.16 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 1.84 | 0.08 | 2.48 | 0.74 | 0.93 | 2.15 | 1.32 | 0.45 | 0.66 |
| 2007 | 3.51 | 1.86 | 4.66 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 3.48 | 0.31 | 2.26 | 1.62 | 0.3 | 2.37 | 1.28 | 0.56 | 0.82 |
| 2008 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 3.52 | 0.76 | 1.09 | 0.61 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 0.29 | 1.51 | 1.15 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.32 | 0.74 |

Table 3.2.9-Number of lemon sole and dab retained, discarded and the \% discarded between 2003 and 2008 in the Cefas discard sampling programme, by area grouping and gear type

|  |  | Lemon sole |  |  |  | Dab |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discarded <br> Retained | IV and VIld |  | VIle-k |  | IV and VIId |  | VIle-k |  |
| $2003 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{Rt} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \% \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears |
|  |  | 686 | 4 |  | 8 | 5,802 | 0 | 4,562 | 4 |
|  |  | 3,491 | 6 | 7,204 | 32 | 4,451 | 0 | 681 | 0 |
|  | \% discarded | 16.4 | 40.0 | 13.2 | 20.0 | 56.6 |  | 87.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Discarded | 1,588 | 7 | 1,271 | 10 | 9,887 | 52 | 4,767 | 2 |
|  | Retained | 11,647 | 3 | 8,881 | 151 | 4,291 | 9 | 2,215 | 0 |
|  | \% discarded | 12.0 | 70.0 | 12.5 | 6.2 | 69.7 | 85.2 | 68.3 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | Discarded | 505 | 0 | 1,194 | 6 | 2,682 | 0 | 1,820 | 2 |
|  | Retained | 4,448 | 0 | 5,950 | 51 | 690 | 0 | 933 | 0 |
|  | \% discarded | 10.2 | - | 16.7 | 10.5 | 79.5 |  | 66.1 | 100.0 |
| 2006 | Discarded | 388 | 2 | 675 | 0 | 3,479 | 170 | 3,431 | 6 |
|  | Retained | 3,499 | 0 | 9,655 | 3 | 780 | 0 | 840 | 2 |
|  | \% discarded | 10.0 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 81.7 | 100.0 | 80.3 | 75.0 |
| 2007 | Discarded | 786 | 6 | 643 | 0 | 5,623 | 1,011 | 8,415 | 3 |
|  | Retained | 4,590 | 48 | 13,033 | 14 | 977 | 238 | 1,169 | 1 |
|  | \% discarded | 14.6 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 80.9 | 87.8 | 75.0 |
| 2008 | Discarded | 1,122 | 1 | 1,552 | 0 | 8,379 | 313 | 7,951 | 4 |
|  | Retained | 5,227 | 8 | 11,133 | 6 | 566 | 37 | 1,837 | 0 |
|  | \% discarded | 17.7 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 89.4 | 81.2 | 100.0 |

Table 3.2.10 - Estimated number of lemon sole discarded at length by beam trawlers and other gear groups in ICES Area IV and Division VIld between 2003 and 2008.

|  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears |
| 100 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,870 |  | 0 |  |
| 110 | 19,095 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,188 |  | 0 |  |
| 120 | 22,174 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 396 |  | 0 |  |
| 130 | 11,582 |  | 10,731 |  | 0 |  | 1,062 |  | 3,530 |  | 1,487 |  |
| 140 | 3,071 |  | 11,756 |  | 883 |  | 1,274 |  | 594 |  | 4,974 |  |
| 150 | 66,245 |  | 17,672 |  | 6,787 |  | 32,058 |  | 5,403 |  | 55,496 |  |
| 160 | 113,345 |  | 26,981 |  | 12,340 |  | 13,163 |  | 6,829 |  | 184,054 |  |
| 170 | 145,792 |  | 77,358 |  | 52,599 |  | 9,341 |  | 13,869 |  | 300,196 |  |
| 180 | 188,442 |  | 114,545 |  | 68,337 |  | 62,222 |  | 41,536 |  | 430,974 |  |
| 190 | 355,150 |  | 208,586 |  | 156,089 |  | 96,014 |  | 52,187 | 136 | 357,017 |  |
| 200 | 605,544 |  | 341,835 |  | 183,755 |  | 155,969 |  | 117,707 |  | 391,457 |  |
| 210 | 696,444 |  | 453,833 |  | 95,525 |  | 225,247 |  | 148,748 | 156 | 465,626 |  |
| 220 | 770,035 |  | 494,999 |  | 130,868 |  | 241,800 |  | 212,233 | 214 | 403,004 |  |
| 230 | 566,966 |  | 431,773 |  | 141,099 |  | 220,151 |  | 213,188 |  | 470,318 |  |
| 240 | 210,294 |  | 337,127 |  | 106,139 |  | 102,795 |  | 138,347 | 272 | 261,700 | 283 |
| 250 | 140,460 | 59 | 138,042 |  | 156,665 |  | 79,620 |  | 79,269 | 136 | 195,353 |  |
| 260 | 109,950 | 59 | 84,247 | 58 | 50,119 |  | 22,951 |  | 32,113 |  | 43,864 |  |
| 270 | 19,038 | 0 | 18,117 | 116 | 14,868 |  | 7,730 | 2 | 19,027 |  | 60,285 |  |
| 280 | 12,915 |  | 5,061 | 116 | 1,051 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 8,482 |  |
| 290 | 0 | 59 | 1,228 | 58 | 1,057 |  | 14,795 |  | 2,739 |  | 482 |  |
| 300 | 0 | 0 | 1,637 |  | 180 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 310 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 330 |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 360 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.11 - Estimated number of lemon sole discarded at length by beam trawlers and other gear groups in ICES Divisions VIIb-k between 2003 and 2008.

|  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears |
| 100 | 0 |  | 1,453 |  | 411 |  | 1,559 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 110 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,195 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 120 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3,566 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3,037 |  |
| 130 | 993 |  | 0 |  | 7,133 |  | 1,114 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 140 | 1,575 |  | 3,001 |  | 5,075 |  | 1,114 |  | 545 |  | 117 |  |
| 150 | 3,491 |  | 7,314 |  | 7,270 |  | 4,455 |  | 3,270 |  | 0 |  |
| 160 | 4,294 |  | 17,502 |  | 13,031 |  | 18,650 |  | 1,908 |  | 3,856 |  |
| 170 | 14,196 |  | 9,794 |  | 13,091 |  | 13,782 |  | 5,927 |  | 10,694 |  |
| 180 | 22,998 |  | 34,719 |  | 19,305 |  | 17,800 |  | 14,511 |  | 24,715 |  |
| 190 | 41,143 |  | 76,139 |  | 30,116 |  | 55,675 |  | 33,725 |  | 41,727 |  |
| 200 | 115,358 |  | 173,495 | 13 | 52,474 |  | 126,726 |  | 50,954 |  | 88,885 |  |
| 210 | 168,204 |  | 257,051 |  | 70,112 |  | 234,782 |  | 80,621 |  | 189,667 |  |
| 220 | 164,247 |  | 244,394 |  | 94,380 |  | 268,271 |  | 83,724 |  | 160,735 |  |
| 230 | 213,536 |  | 172,617 |  | 78,702 |  | 212,053 |  | 77,551 |  | 160,421 |  |
| 240 | 118,735 | 0 | 157,618 | 0 | 51,113 |  | 146,561 |  | 44,864 |  | 88,686 |  |
| 250 | 80,082 |  | 91,166 | 26 | 27,304 |  | 56,723 |  | 37,565 |  | 42,348 |  |
| 260 | 40,835 | 0 | 40,743 | 0 | 15,512 | 0 | 37,589 |  | 12,856 |  | 29,518 |  |
| 270 | 22,075 | 75 | 18,575 | 0 | 5,279 | 73 | 9,935 |  | 14,942 |  | 19,835 |  |
| 280 | 23,759 | 75 | 11,809 | 26 | 4,585 | 0 | 10,582 |  | 474 |  | 5,725 |  |
| 290 | 7,265 | 75 | 3,159 | 26 | 1,674 | 73 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,287 |  |
| 300 | 3,443 | 75 | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,592 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 310 | 662 | 75 | 875 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 1,433 |  | 273 |  | 497 |  |
| 320 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 3,726 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 350 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 360 to 400 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 410 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,293 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Table 3.2.12 - Estimated number of dab discarded at length by beam trawlers and other gear groups in ICES Area IV and Division VIld between 2003 and 2008.

|  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears |
| 70 | 239 |  | 4,962 |  | 178,936 |  | 0 |  | 483 |  | 0 |  |
| 80 | 18,144 |  | 7,339 |  | 87,780 |  | 0 |  | 1,933 |  | 0 |  |
| 90 | 312 |  | 9,073 |  | 56,004 |  | 758 |  | 2,335 |  | 99 |  |
| 100 | 17,146 |  | 12,821 |  | 21,250 |  | 9,027 |  | 4,027 |  | 986 |  |
| 110 | 19,443 |  | 12,905 |  | 22,853 |  | 12,690 |  | 11,657 |  | 3,084 |  |
| 120 | 6,316 |  | 12,193 |  | 14,876 |  | 40,705 |  | 38,152 |  | 9,282 |  |
| 130 | 14,102 |  | 20,749 |  | 18,681 |  | 73,870 |  | 53,915 |  | 18,673 |  |
| 140 | 31,242 |  | 29,879 |  | 40,179 |  | 134,690 |  | 117,595 | 791 | 35,241 |  |
| 150 | 76,448 |  | 53,818 | 0 | 64,951 |  | 610,125 | 10 | 273,321 | 2,175 | 81,733 | 263 |
| 160 | 118,017 |  | 93,100 | 0 | 78,222 |  | 1,614,611 |  | 396,589 | 3,362 | 166,372 | 1,315 |
| 170 | 162,356 |  | 134,792 |  | 104,125 |  | 3,459,057 | 29 | 687,814 | 5,735 | 302,374 | 3,157 |
| 180 | 231,985 |  | 199,478 | 0 | 127,712 |  | 4,990,019 | 67 | 855,961 | 13,545 | 353,354 | 6,840 |
| 190 | 200,430 |  | 190,811 |  | 161,057 |  | 8,467,590 | 95 | 794,490 | 19,609 | 386,653 | 10,523 |
| 200 | 224,242 |  | 192,787 | 0 | 149,040 |  | 9,468,743 | 200 | 678,091 | 25,838 | 231,488 | 8,945 |
| 210 | 142,515 |  | 129,545 | 0 | 154,987 |  | 12,380,996 | 197 | 480,467 | 17,797 | 142,155 | 10,523 |
| 220 | 113,034 |  | 90,899 | 0 | 124,111 |  | 9,928,247 | 171 | 326,065 | 21,455 | 96,895 | 11,312 |
| 230 | 86,528 |  | 63,281 | 1 | 111,738 |  | 8,609,046 | 190 | 226,650 | 18,192 | 54,066 | 7,629 |
| 240 | 64,048 |  | 55,014 | 1 | 95,367 |  | 6,910,288 | 200 | 123,635 | 12,853 | 28,692 | 9,471 |
| 250 | 39,595 |  | 39,571 | 0 | 84,715 |  | 4,646,397 | 152 | 70,136 | 9,788 | 33,677 | 3,946 |
| 260 | 18,724 |  | 31,019 | 0 | 43,880 |  | 3,068,518 | 133 | 47,402 | 6,328 | 16,326 | 4,209 |
| 270 | 20,047 |  | 28,560 | 0 | 25,290 |  | 1,666,670 | 51 | 24,722 | 4,778 | 20,443 | 2,894 |
| 280 | 7,756 |  | 16,444 | 0 | 17,422 |  | 928,008 | 76 | 17,200 | 3,658 | 4,265 | 789 |
| 290 | 5,764 |  | 11,496 | 0 | 14,328 |  | 484,272 | 38 | 8,949 | 1,186 | 4,610 | 526 |
| 300 | 2,693 |  | 7,861 | 0 | 5,234 |  | 196,121 |  | 6,402 | 989 | 1,544 | 263 |
| 310 | 606 |  | 7,660 |  | 2,837 |  | 22,398 |  | 617 | 198 | 102 | 526 |
| 320 | 316 |  | 1,799 |  | 1,311 |  | 33,258 | 10 | 93 | 0 | 95 | 0 |
| 330 | 393 |  | 1,161 |  | 999 |  | 0 | 10 | 3,221 | 297 | 168 |  |
| 340 | 131 |  | 233 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4,201 |  | 2 |  |
| 350 | 0 |  | 89 |  | 1,165 |  | 0 |  | 1,047 |  | 0 |  |

Table 3.2.13-Estimated number of dab discarded at length by beam trawlers and other gear groups in ICES Divisions VIle-k between 2003 and 2008.

|  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears | Beam trawl | Other gears |
| 40 | 4,774 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,637 |  | 0 |  |
| 50 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 7,517 |  | 0 |  | 4,364 |  | 437 |  |
| 60 | 2,604 |  | 0 |  | 16,308 |  | 2,852 |  | 4,978 |  | 0 |  |
| 70 | 0 |  | 71 |  | 30,506 |  | 27,222 |  | 3,360 |  | 437 |  |
| 80 | 0 |  | 99 |  | 660 |  | 4,148 |  | 5,275 |  | 1,094 |  |
| 90 | 9,319 |  | 694 |  | 9,135 |  | 10,370 |  | 4,566 |  | 0 |  |
| 100 | 0 |  | 443 |  | 2,223 |  | 10,111 |  | 10,293 |  | 700 |  |
| 110 | 3,103 |  | 996 |  | 2,674 |  | 27,222 |  | 38,680 |  | 2,668 |  |
| 120 | 6,282 |  | 2,172 |  | 0 |  | 129,637 |  | 41,227 |  | 5,135 |  |
| 130 | 44,228 |  | 3,884 |  | 19,067 |  | 144,220 |  | 74,810 |  | 12,527 |  |
| 140 | 54,820 |  | 4,419 |  | 132,165 |  | 321,883 |  | 180,707 |  | 20,585 |  |
| 150 | 109,008 |  | 6,803 |  | 285,049 |  | 319,292 |  | 329,059 |  | 31,461 |  |
| 160 | 307,144 |  | 10,830 |  | 599,257 |  | 358,241 |  | 492,051 |  | 88,937 |  |
| 170 | 994,169 |  | 26,322 |  | 792,936 |  | 539,666 |  | 644,045 |  | 174,981 |  |
| 180 | 1,598,838 |  | 42,692 |  | 1,499,246 |  | 647,166 |  | 850,225 |  | 187,764 |  |
| 190 | 1,968,824 |  | 79,566 |  | 1,587,164 |  | 967,980 |  | 832,720 |  | 404,745 |  |
| 200 | 1,721,207 |  | 105,506 |  | 1,535,010 |  | 1,143,298 |  | 750,377 |  | 495,148 |  |
| 210 | 1,449,106 |  | 101,940 |  | 1,023,617 |  | 1,219,851 |  | 525,482 |  | 422,758 |  |
| 220 | 834,292 |  | 87,752 |  | 559,657 |  | 1,112,246 |  | 360,890 |  | 304,575 |  |
| 230 | 638,776 |  | 75,122 |  | 455,519 |  | 752,608 |  | 281,842 |  | 223,569 |  |
| 240 | 414,947 |  | 54,010 |  | 275,511 |  | 586,276 |  | 154,949 | 51 | 207,281 |  |
| 250 | 278,070 |  | 37,797 | 50 | 151,159 |  | 564,190 | 88 | 71,612 | 51 | 107,144 | 38 |
| 260 | 141,440 |  | 22,062 |  | 85,860 |  | 383,657 | 176 | 53,596 | 51 | 68,685 |  |
| 270 | 67,595 |  | 15,121 |  | 25,684 |  | 259,440 | 88 | 35,755 |  | 101,070 | 38 |
| 280 | 39,297 |  | 5,002 |  | 4,618 |  | 127,456 |  | 16,773 |  | 32,125 |  |
| 290 | 12,969 |  | 3,825 |  | 396 |  | 9,168 |  | 1,330 |  | 23,393 |  |
| 300 | 3,645 |  | 1,350 |  | 429 |  | 25,444 | 176 | 1,773 |  | 1,704 |  |
| 310 | 3,853 |  | 155 |  | 0 |  | 8,296 |  | 546 |  | 21,123 |  |
| 320 | 1,493 |  | 844 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 750 |  | 262 |  |
| 330 | 0 |  | 659 |  | 429 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 149 |  |



Figure 3.2.1 - Landings of lemon sole (t) by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales vessels landing outside the UK between 1985 and 2008, by ICES Division.


Figure 3.2.2 - Landings of lemon sole (t) by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales vessels landing outside the UK between 1985 and 2008, by gear type.


Figure 3.2.3 - Landings of lemon sole by UK vessels into England and Wales and by England and Wales vessels outside the UK, by ICES Rectangle.


Figure 3.2.3 - Continued.


Figure 3.2.3-Continued


Figure 3.2.3 - Continued.


Figure 3.2.3 - Continued.


Figure 3.2.4 - Catch numbers at length (cm) for lemon sole landed into ICES Area IV and Division VIld by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK. For some years, no market sample lengths were available.


Figure 3.2.5 - Catch numbers at age for lemon sole landed in Area IV and Division VIld by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK, between 2005 and 2008.

ICES Divisions Split into Rectangle groups for use with CPUE program.


Figure 3.2.6 - North Sea rectangle groups, used for processing lemon sole LPUE.


Figure 3.2.7-LPUE of (top panels) North Sea lemon sole in roundfish areas 1, $2,8 \& 10$ and (bottom panels) 'westerly' lemon sole in areas 7EW, 7EN and 7ES, for otter trawlers (left panels) and beam trawlers (right panels) of < 24 m length.


Figure 3.2.8 - Catch numbers at length for lemon sole landed into ICES Divisions VIle-k by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK, between 1982 and 2008.





Figure 3.2.8 - Continued.






Figure 3.2.9 - Catch numbers at age for lemon sole landed in Divisions VIle-k, by UK vessels landing into England and Wales and by England and Wales landing outside the UK, between 2005 and 2008.

ICES Divisions Split into Rectangle groups for use with CPUE program.


Figure 3.2.10 - Westerly rectangle groups, used for processing lemon sole LPUE.


Figure 3.2.11 - Fitted maturity ogives for male and female lemon sole sampled in the 3rd Quarter North Sea IBTS Groundfish Survey (IBTS3E) (male $n=460$, female $n=696$ ), eastern Channel Beam Trawl Survey (BTS7d) (male $n=$ 288, female $\mathrm{n}=487$ ) and the Irish Sea/Bristol Channel (Vlla, f, g) Beam Trawl Survey (NWGFS) (male $\mathrm{n}=260$, female n = 452), between 2005 and 2009.


Figure 3.2.12 - Proportion of fish by maturity stage for fish sampled by the Cefas Biological Sampling Programme between 2004 and 2008 for ICES Division IVb (males $n=$ 246; females $n=1082$ ) and ICES Divisions VIle, $f, \& g$ (males $n=444$; females $n=984$ ).

### 3.3 AZTI: Analysis of the fishery on red mullet and bass in Basque Country ${ }^{11}$

Red mullet and sea bass can be considered as by-catches of trawl and artisanal Basque fisheries targeting a variety of demersal species. However, they have a significant importance for the Basque fleet due to the high value in the market.

### 3.3.1 Material and methods

Landings of striped red mullet and sea bass in Basque Country ports by Spanish vessels from 1996 to 2009 have been analysed. Landings data are obtained directly from the auction sheets or from the computer systems of the Artisanal Fishermen Associations (Cofradías de Pescadores). As no bass and mullet discards are supposed to occur, landings might be considered as catch figures.

Effort information was obtained from the log books filled out by the skippers.
The otter bottom trawl fleet ("baka") working in Div. VIllabd and landing in the Basque port of Ondarroa has been selected to provide information on effort and landings per unit effort (LPUEs) as an abundance index. This fleet was chosen because they land the majority of the catches of sea bass and red mullet, and because logbook data are available for the whole study period.
The objective of the value and price analysis, presented in section 3.3.2.5 and 3.3.3.5 is on the one hand to measure the value of striped red mullet and sea bass given that they represent one of the most common species of which the landings contribute significantly to the global revenues of the studied fleets. On the other hand to analyse the prices using both the standard descriptive statistics (useful to know mean, variance, variance coefficient, maximum, and minimum price values), and the so-called cumulative distribution function of the prices (CDF). CDF aims at making a graphical representation to users that "There is a probability " $x$ " that first-hand price will be lower (higher) than a determined amount of money (expressed in Euro)."
The data used in the value and price analysis are from two sources depending on the selected fleets. This study has in particular studied the following fleets: gillnets and "Baka" otter trawl mixed fishery (OTB). Data are available in the first-hand sales from the AZTI Fisheries Data Base (based on "first sale notes") which includes volume and price for each landing lot of mullet and bass landed by local artisanal vessels. The data period covers January 2001 to December 2009. Data are also available from "first sale notes" including volume and price for each landing lot and each buyer of mullet and bass landed by Baka trawlers, for the period 2006 to 2008.


Figure 3.3.1 - Red mullet landings by area for the period 1996-2009.

[^9]
### 3.3.2 Striped red mullet

### 3.3.2.1 Total annual catches

Red mullet landings remained constant from 1996 to 2002, and then increased reaching their maximum during 2006 and 2007 (Figure 3.3.1). However, a decrease in the landings of this species has been observed during the last two years. In 2009 the annual Basque mullet landings amounted to 318 t , which supposes a decrease of 91 t compared to 2008 but is similar to the average landings during the years considered ( 338 t ).

### 3.3.2.2 Annual catches by gear

A summary of the total catch of red mullet by area and gear from 1994 till 2009 is presented in Table 3.3.1. Fishing gears are summarized in four groups: bottom trawl, set nets, purse seiner and others.

The mean contribution of these gears to total landings has remained constant during the period of our study with an average of $91 \%$ corresponding to bottom trawl, a $8 \%$ corresponding to set nets, and the remaining $1 \%$ to purse seine and others fishing gears (Figure 3.3.2).
Between the different metiers of bottom trawl, "baka" otter trawl obtained almost the entire mullet catches (98\%) in 2009, and VHVO Pair bottom trawl contributed only with a $2 \%$. This proportion has been constant for all the years of our study, although it s important to note that there used to be two more fishing gears: "Bou" otter trawl and twin nets trawl, which disappeared in 2000 and used to represent an average around $2 \%$ of total trawl catches, with the exception of year 1999, when they landed the $18 \%$ (Figure 3.3.3).


Figure 3.3.2 - Red mullet landings proportions by year and gear.

RED MULLET LANDINGS PROPORTIONS BY YEAR AND TRAWL METIER


Figure 3.3.3 - Red mullet landings by gear.

### 3.3.2.3 Seasonality of the catches

Divisions VIIIa, $b, d$
Div VIllabd has always been the area where most of the catches were done, in 2009 more than $94 \%$ of the annual landings in the Basque ports came from this area. An increase of the catches from the first quarter of the year can be observed since year 2000, with a maximum of catches in 2006 and 2007 (Figure 3.3.4 and 3.3.5). Catches are distributed from October to May, with higher values during the first and the fourth quarter of the year. Very few catches occur during the third quarter.

## Division VIIIc

During the period of time of our study, this small sea area, in the more eastern part of Div. VIllc, produces an average of $8 \%$ of the total Basque reported landings. More than $90 \%$ of the landings is by gillnetters.

In 2009 landings have decreased $66 \%$ compared to 2008 ( 5 t observed in 2009 and 15 t in 2008) (Table 3.3.1).
Some seasonality can be observed in the catches, with higher values from May to October (Figure 3.3.4 \& 3.3.5). This coincides with the months when the activity of trawlers in the VIllabd is lower.

| Gear | Area | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Trawl | VII | 43 | 1716 | 544 | 650 | 537 | 511 | 393 | 2323 | 281 | 2181 | 1207 | 1108 | 394 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 849 \\ 312571 \\ 1576 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | VIIIabd | 210130 | 94424 | 95406 | 208072 | 288626 | 209936 | 157939 | 356459 | 512097 | 381903 | 604006 | 582656 | 380918 | 293416 |  |
|  | VIIIc | 1109 | 1459 | 783 | 770 | 1530 | 136 | 370 | 660 | 2570 | 2974 | 1764 | 7168 | 438 | 339 |  |
|  | Total | 211282 | 97599 | 96732 | 209492 | 290693 | 210583 | 158703 | 359442 | 514949 | 387058 | 606977 | 590932 | 381749 | 293755 | 314996 |
| All Set nets | VII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 63 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 3741 \\ 19147 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | VIIIabd | 0 | 275 | 3171 | 2357 | 2714 | 895 | 1191 | 745 | 12708 | 22 | 17708 | 806 | 448 | 5298 |  |
|  | VIIIc | 16995 | 23725 | 19631 | 16282 | 21720 | 21509 | 17626 | 13441 | 8912 | 19795 | 9786 | 35076 | 24115 | 19441 |  |
|  | Total | 16995 | 24000 | 22802 | 18945 | 24497 | 22404 | 18817 | 14245 | 21620 | 19817 | 27494 | 35883 | 28598 | 24740 | 22918 |
| All Purseine | VII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 0 \\ 16 \\ 164 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | VIIIabd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 49 | 47 | 44 | 41 | 29 | 0 |  |  |
|  | VIIIc | 44 | 945 | 9 | 517 | 245 | 20 | 147 | 71 | 130 | 62 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 44 | 945 | 9 | 517 | 245 | 27 | 150 | 120 | 177 | 105 | 147 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| Others | Total | 792 | 686 | 397 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Grand Total |  | 229113 | 123230 | 119940 | 229095 | 315435 | 233014 | 177669 | 373807 | 536746 | 406980 | 634619 | 626844 | 410347 | 318495 | 338238 |

Table 3.3.1 - Basque striped red mullet landings by gear and area for the period 1996-2009


Figure 3.3.4 - Striped red mullet landings seasonality in Divisions VIllabd and VIIIc


Figure 3.3.5 - Monthly striped red mullet landings (kg) in Basque ports, by ICES Sub-area, in the period 1994-2009.

### 3.3.2.4 Striped red mullet CPUE

The "baka" bottom trawl's fishing effort (fishing days) has progressively decreased from 1994 to 1999 by almost $50 \%$ (Table 3.3.2), mainly because of the severe decrease of the number of boats of this Basque fleet. After that time effort has been constant, although a slight decrease can be observed in the last three years (Figure 3.3.6).

The sea bass annual LPUEs, that remained relatively stable during 1994-1998 (around $5 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day) and increased progressively from 1999 to 2005, reaching 136 kg/day. In 2006 and 2007 a strong increase was observed ( 758 and $835 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day respectively), due to the high landings. During the last two years, however, LPUEs have decreased again (Figure 6). Mullet LPUEs evolution by quarter presents a similar pattern with higher values in the first and the fourth quarter, smaller values in the second quarter and practically nill in the third one (Figure 3.3.8).

Mullet LPUE distribution by ICES Rectangle is shown in Figure 3.3.9 for the period 2001-2009.

It is important to note here that, although this species has not been traditionally considered a target species for the bottom otter trawl fleet, this situation has changed with the entry into force of the new DCF (2008/949/EC). According to appendix IV of the DCF, the Basque otter bottom fleet is split in three different metiers, one of them targeting cephalopods and demersal species, with striped red mullet as one of the most important species (Iriondo, et al. 2008).


Figure 3.3.6 - "Baka" otter trawl effort (days) evolution during the period 1996-2009.


Figure 3.3.7 - Striped red mullet landings per unit effort (LPUEs in kg/day), by year of "baka" otter bottom trawl fishing in Divisions VIIla,b,d, and landing in Ondarroa (Basque Country. Spain), in the period 1994-2005.


Figure 3.3.8 - Striped red mullet landings per unit effort (LPUEs in kg/day), by quarter, of "baka" otter bottom trawl fishing in Divisions VIIla,b,d, and landing in Ondarroa (Basque Country. Spain), in the period 1994-2005.


Figure 3.3.9 - Striped red mullet LPUE (Kg/day) by ICES Rectangle.

| VIIIa,b,d | LANDINGS (kg) | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter 1 | 36733 | 14513 | 29961 | 12357 | 142596 | 75618 | 40008 | 92164 | 228730 | 74906 | 191063 | 292904 | 30111 | 93452 |
|  | Quarter 2 | 14983 | 25781 | 24696 | 6600 | 10432 | 15303 | 23466 | 20305 | 71152 | 21982 | 47987 | 198107 | 68839 | 73993 |
|  | Quarter 3 | 1416 | 235 | 265 | 58 | 258 | 15 | 1121 | 244 | 518 | 62 | 3268 | 406 | 1134 | 127 |
|  | Quarter 4 | 154829 | 49784 | 34649 | 143158 | 119534 | 65688 | 38763 | 216587 | 155692 | 211664 | 337568 | 36115 | 229308 | 78131 |
| BAKA-ON | TOTAL | 207960 | 90313 | 89569 | 162173 | 272819 | 156623 | 103357 | 329300 | 456091 | 308614 | 579886 | 527531 | 329391 | 245702 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIII, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, d | EFFORT (days) | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | Quarter 1 | 1459 | 1345 | 1097 | 855 | 969 | 856 | 847 | 906 | 766 | 739 | 838 | 736 | 760 | 704 |
|  | Quarter 2 | 883 | 1223 | 655 | 384 | 295 | 323 | 510 | 695 | 565 | 442 | 588 | 515 | 480 | 497 |
|  | Quarter 3 | 699 | 770 | 384 | 316 | 219 | 151 | 202 | 176 | 167 | 210 | 188 | 115 | 145 | 26 |
|  | Quarter 4 | 1337 | 949 | 865 | 782 | 745 | 788 | 548 | 519 | 661 | 872 | 783 | 731 | 634 | 628 |
| BAKA-ON | TOTAL | 4378 | 4286 | 3002 | 2337 | 2227 | 2118 | 2107 | 2296 | 2159 | 2263 | 2398 | 2098 | 2017 | 1854 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2040 | 47\% |
| VIIIa,b,d | LPUE (kg/day) | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 \| | 2009 |
|  | Quarter 1 | 25.2 | 10.8 | 27.3 | 14.5 | 147.2 | 88.3 | 47.2 | 101.8 | 298.6 | 101.3 | 227.9 | 397.7 | 39.6 | 132.8 |
|  | Quarter 2 | 17.0 | 21.1 | 37.7 | 17.2 | 35.3 | 47.4 | 46.0 | 29.2 | 126.0 | 49.8 | 81.6 | 384.5 | 143.5 | 149.0 |
|  | Quarter 3 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 17.3 | 3.5 | 7.8 | 4.9 |
|  | Quarter 4 | 115.8 | 52.5 | 40.0 | 183.0 | 160.5 | 83.4 | 70.7 | 417.6 | 235.4 | 242.6 | 431.2 | 49.4 | 361.9 | 124.4 |
| BAKA-ON | TOTAL | 47.5 | 21.1 | 29.8 | 69.4 | 122.5 | 74.0 | 49.0 | 143.4 | 211.3 | 136 | 758 | 835 | 553 | 411 |

Table 3.3.2 - Striped red mullet landings (in kg), effective effort indices (trips*(days/trip)) and landings per unit effort (LPUEs in kg/day), by quarter and year, of "baka" otter bottom trawl fishing in Divisions VIlla,b,d, and landing in the Basque port of Ondarroa, in the period 1994-2009.

### 3.3.2.5 $\quad$ Value and price analysis

The contribution of striped red mullet catches, in terms of revenues to the total revenues of the gillnet fleet, has not undergone any important change in the last 9 years. It can be observed in Figure 3.3.10 that the contribution of the mullet catches has remained unchanged at around $20 \%$ of the total revenues for this fleet, being the first or second (depending on the year) species that contributes to the total revenues of this feet. There has been a gradual growth in volume (kg) landed of this specie, but the key factor with a significant positive impact on the revenue is the unit price. Striped red mullet is a species with a high unit price in relation to the rest of the species landed by gillnetters.

The calculated contribution of mullet catches in the context of the trawlers analysis shows little differences between 2006 and 2008. In that time period the mullet revenues represent about $6 \%$ of the total revenues of the trawler fleet. This means that striped red mullet ranks between the 6th and 8th most important species landed by this fleet.


Figure 3.3.10 - Mullet revenues with respect to the total revenues of the gillnet fleet (\%)

The mullet price is an important factor determining the high contribution of this species in terms of revenues; and therefore an analysis of the prices is presented.

## Price descriptive statistics

Standard descriptive statistics for the gillnet fleet are presented in Table 3.3.3. The mean price of mullet over the period 2001 to 2009 was 12 Euro/kg. considered as representative enough given that the variation coefficient is lower than 0.5.

Table 3.3.3 - Statistics for mullet prices (Euro/Kg.) for the gillnet fleet

| Year | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Variance | Variation <br> coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2001 | 9.94 | 0.37 | 20.97 | 13.45 | 0.36 |
| 2002 | 11.97 | 0.35 | 24.32 | 17.77 | 0.35 |
| 2003 | 12.41 | 0.14 | 25.93 | 23.92 | 0.39 |
| 2004 | 11.57 | 0.22 | 29.86 | 24.53 | 0.42 |
| 2005 | 12.45 | 0.18 | 26.97 | 22.73 | 0.38 |
| 2006 | 13.03 | 0.12 | 31.92 | 28.69 | 0.41 |
| 2007 | 11.63 | 0.12 | 31.12 | 25.08 | 0.43 |
| 2008 | 12.42 | 0.12 | 37.82 | 20.41 | 0.36 |
| 2009 | 14.01 | 0.31 | 32.91 | 24.95 | 0.35 |
| Global | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ |

The standard statistics for the trawler fleet are presented in Table 3.3.4. It can be observed that the mean price for striped red mullet over the period 2006 to 2008 is 3.5 Euro $/ \mathrm{kg}$, considered as representative enough given the variation coefficient is lower than 0.5 .

Table 3.3.4 - Statistics for mullet prices (Euro/kg) related to trawlers

| Year | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Variance | Variation <br> coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 3.619 | 0.090 | 10.700 | 3.290 | 0.50 |
| 2007 | 3.500 | 0.150 | 10.140 | 2.227 | 0.42 |
| 2008 | 3.399 | 0.100 | 8.410 | 2.356 | 0.45 |
| Global | 3.509 | 0.090 | 10.700 | 2.603 | 0.45 |

## The Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)

This section presents the CDF for the price of mullet landed by gillnets fleet shown in Figure 3.3.11. Notice that the chance of having unit price below 7 Euro $/ \mathrm{kg}$. is around a $10 \%$. Similarly, the chance of having unit price higher than 18.5 Euro $/ \mathrm{kg}$. is also around a $10 \%$. Finally, notice that the probability of having unit price below mean price value, that is, 12.14 Euro $/ \mathrm{kg}$. is about a $53 \%$.

Alternatively, the histogram of prices is also presented in Figure 3.3.12, which represents the number of mullet lots for each specified price bound by considering a total of 16,257 observations.


Figure 3.3.11 - Price CDF for striped red mullet landed by gillnets


Figure 3.3.12 - Price Histogram for mullet landed by gillnets fleet

Results from the CDF and histogram for Baka trawlers are illustrated in Figure 3.3.13 and 3.3.14. The first one shows that there is a probability of $10 \%$ that the first-hand mullet price will be lower than 1.5 Euro $/ \mathrm{kg}$. In addition, the possibility of unit prices higher than 6 Euro/kg. has an associated probability of $10 \%$. Finally, it appears from the Figure that probability is $52 \%$ for mullet price under its mean value.

Finally, Figure 3.3.14 presents the number of lots by price bounds covering a total of 6,232 observations.


Figure 3.3.13 - Price CDF for mullet landed by Baka trawlers


Figure 3.3.14 - Price Histogram for mullet landed by Baka trawlers
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