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#### Abstract

We investigate minimum vertex degree conditions for 3-uniform hypergraphs which ensure the existence of loose Hamilton cycles. A loose Hamilton cycle is a spanning cycle in which consecutive edges intersect in a single vertex. We prove that every 3 -uniform $n$-vertex ( $n$ even) hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with minimum vertex degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+o(1)\right)\binom{n}{2}$ contains a loose Hamilton cycle. This bound is asymptotically best possible.


## 1. Introduction

We consider $k$-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$, that are pairs $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ with vertex sets $V=V(\mathcal{H})$ and edge sets $E=E(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq\binom{V}{k}$, where $\binom{V}{k}$ denotes the family of all $k$-element subsets of the set $V$. We often identify a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with its edge set, i.e., $\mathcal{H} \subseteq\binom{V}{k}$, and for an edge $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}$ we often suppress the enclosing braces and write $v_{1} \ldots v_{k} \in \mathcal{H}$ instead.

Given a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ and a set $S \in\binom{V}{s}$ let $\operatorname{deg}(S)$ denote the number of edges of $\mathcal{H}$ containing the set $S$ and let $\delta_{s}(\mathcal{H})$ be the minimum $s$-degree of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., the minimum of $\operatorname{deg}(S)$ over all $s$-element sets $S \subseteq V$. For $s=1$ the corresponding minimum degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H})$ is referred to as minimum vertex degree whereas for $s=k-1$ we call the corresponding minimum degree $\delta_{k-1}(\mathcal{H})$ the minimum collective degree of $\mathcal{H}$.

We study sufficient minimum degree conditions which enforce the existence of spanning, so-called Hamilton cycles. A $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{C}$ is called an $\ell$-cycle if there is a cyclic ordering of the vertices of $\mathcal{C}$ such that every edge consists of $k$ consecutive vertices, every vertex is contained in an edge and two consecutive edges (where the ordering of the edges is inherited by the ordering of the vertices) intersect in exactly $\ell$ vertices. For $\ell=1$ we call the cycle loose whereas the cycle is called tight if $\ell=k-1$. Naturally, we say that a $k$-uniform, $n$-vertex hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ contains a Hamilton $\ell$-cycle if there is a subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ which forms an $\ell$-cycle and which covers all vertices of $\mathcal{H}$. Note that a Hamilton $\ell$-cycle contains exactly $n /(k-\ell)$ edges, implying that the number of vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ must be divisible by $(k-\ell)$ which we indicate by $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$.

Minimum collective degree conditions which ensure the existence of tight Hamilton cycles were first studied in [6] and in [13, 14]. In particular, in [13, 14] Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi found asymptotically sharp bounds for this problem.

[^0]Theorem 1. For every $k \geq 3$ and $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that every $k$ uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $|V|=n \geq n_{0}$ vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ contains a tight Hamilton cycle.

The corresponding question for loose cycles was first studied by Kühn and Osthus. In [10] they proved an asymptotically sharp bound on the minimum collective degree which ensures the existence of loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. This result was generalised to higher uniformity by the last two authors [4] and independently by Keevash, Kühn, Osthus and Mycroft in [7].

Theorem 2. For all integers $k \geq 3$ and every $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that every $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $|V|=n \geq n_{0}$ vertices with $n \in(k-1) \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_{k-1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{1}{2(k-1)}+\gamma\right) n$ contains a loose Hamilton cycle.

Indeed, in [4] asymptotically sharp bounds for Hamilton $\ell$-cycles for all $\ell<k / 2$ were obtained. Later this result was generalised to all $0<\ell<k$ by Kühn, Mycroft, and Osthus [9]. These results are asymptotically best possible for all $k$ and $0<$ $\ell<k$. Hence, asymptotically, the problem of finding Hamilton $\ell$-cycles in uniform hypergraphs with large minimum collective degree is solved.

The focus of this paper are conditions on the minimum vertex degree which ensure the existence of Hamilton cycles. For $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H})$ very few results on spanning subhypergraph are known (see e.g. [3, 11]).

In this paper we give an asymptotically sharp bound on the minimum vertex degree in 3 -uniform hypergraphs which enforces the existence of loose Hamilton cycles.

Theorem 3 (Main result). For all $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ with $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H})>\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a loose Hamilton cycle .
In the proof we apply the so-called absorbing technique. In [13] Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi introduced this elegant approach to tackle minimum degree problems for spanning graphs and hypergraph. It reduces the problem of finding a spanning substructure to the problem of finding a nearly spanning substructure and it was further refined and applied in $[14,12,15,4,3,9]$.

As mentioned above, Theorem 3 is best possible up to the error constant $\gamma$ as seen by the following construction from [10].

Fact 4. For every $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ there exists a 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{3}=(V, E)$ on $|V|=n$ vertices with $\delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right) \geq \frac{7}{16}\binom{n}{2}-O(n)$, which does not contain a loose Hamilton cycle.
Proof. Consider the following 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{3}=(V, E)$. Let $A \dot{\cup} B=V$ be a partition of $V$ with $|A|=\frac{n}{4}-1$ and let $E$ be the set of all triplets from $V$ with at least one vertex in $A$. Clearly, $\delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right)=\binom{|A|}{2}+|A|(|B|-1)=\frac{7}{16}\binom{n}{2}-O(n)$. Now consider an arbitrary cycle in $\mathcal{H}_{3}$. Note that every vertex, in particular every vertex from $A$, is contained in at most two edges of this cycle. Moreover, every edge of the cycle must intersect $A$. Consequently, the cycle contains at most $2|A|<n / 2$ edges and, hence, cannot be a Hamilton cycle.

We note that the construction $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ in Fact 4 satisfies $\delta_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right) \geq n / 4-1$ and indeed, the same construction proves that the minimum collective degree condition given in Theorem 2 is asymptotically best possible for the case $k=3$.

This leads to the following conjecture for minimum vertex degree conditions enforcing loose Hamilton cycles in $k$-uniform hypergraphs. Let $k \geq 3$ and let $\mathcal{H}_{k}=$ $(V, E)$ be the $k$-uniform, $n$-vertex hypergraph on $V=A \dot{\cup} B$ with $|A|=\frac{n}{2(k-1)}-1$. Let $E$ consists of all $k$-sets intersecting $A$ in at least one vertex. Then $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ does not contain a loose Hamilton cycle and we believe that any $k$-uniform, $n$-vertex hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ which has minimum vertex degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq \delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}\right)+o\left(n^{2}\right)$ contains a loose Hamilton cycle. Indeed, Theorem 3 verifies this for the case $k=3$.

## 2. Proof of the main result

The proof of Theorem 3 will be given in Section 2.3. It uses several auxiliary lemmas which we introduce in Section 2.2. We start with an outline of the proof.
2.1. Outline of the proof. We will build the loose Hamilton cycle by connecting loose paths. Such a path (with distinguished ends) is defined similarly to the loose cycle. Formally, a 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{P}$ is an loose path if there is an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right)$ of its vertices such that every edge consists of three consecutive vertices and two consecutive edges intersect in exactly one vertex. The elements $v_{1}$ and $v_{t}$ are called the ends of $\mathcal{P}$.

The first lemma, the Absorbing Lemma (Lemma 7), asserts that every 3-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ with sufficiently large minimum vertex degree contains a so-called absorbing loose path $\mathcal{P}$, which has the following property: For every set $U \subset V \backslash V(\mathcal{P})$ with $|U| \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ and $|U| \leq \beta n$ (for some appropriate $0<\beta<\gamma$ ) there exists an loose path $\mathcal{Q}$ with the same ends as $\mathcal{P}$, which covers precisely the vertices $V(\mathcal{P}) \cup U$.

The Absorbing Lemma reduces the problem of finding a loose Hamilton cycle to the simpler problem of finding an almost spanning loose cycle, which contains the absorbing path $\mathcal{P}$ and covers at least $(1-\beta) n$ of the vertices. We approach this simpler problem as follows. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be the induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{H}$, which we obtain after removing the vertices of the absorbing path $\mathcal{P}$ guaranteed by the Absorbing Lemma. We remove from $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ a "small" set $R$ of vertices, called reservoir (see Lemma 6), which has the property that many loose paths can be connected to one loose cycles by using the vertices of $R$ only

Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ be the remaining hypergraph after removing the vertices from $R$. We will choose $\mathcal{P}$ and $R$ small enough, so that $\delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+o(1)\right)\left|V\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$. The third auxiliary lemma, the Path-tiling Lemma (Lemma 10), asserts that all but $o(n)$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ can be covered by a family of pairwise disjoint loose paths and, moreover, the number of those paths will be constant (independent of $n$ ). Consequently, we can connect those paths and $\mathcal{P}$ to form a loose cycle by using exclusively vertices from $R$. This way we obtain a loose cycle in $\mathcal{H}$, which covers all but the $o(n)$ left-over vertices from $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ and some left-over vertices from $R$. However, we will ensure that the number of those yet uncovered vertices will be smaller than $\beta n$ and, hence, we can appeal to the absorption property of $\mathcal{P}$ and obtain a Hamilton cycle.
2.2. Auxiliary lemmas. In this section we introduce the technical lemmas needed for the proof of the main theorem. The constants in some of them seem to be
arbitrary. Indeed, the path-tiling lemma (Lemma 10) is the only one for which the bound $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+o(1)\right) n$ is required. For the other lemmas we did not attempt to optimise the constants.

We start with the connecting lemma which is used to connect several "short" loose paths to a long one. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph and $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ a system consisting of $k$ mutually disjoint pairs of vertices. We say that a triple system $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ connects $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ if

- $\left|\bigcup_{i \in[k]}\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}, x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right\}\right|=5 k$, i.e. the pairs and triples are all disjoint,
- for all $i \in[k]$ we have $\left\{a_{i}, x_{i}, y_{i}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, z_{i}, b_{i}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose that $a$ and $b$ are ends of two different loose paths which do not contain $(x, y, z)$ then the connection $(x, y, z)$ would join these two paths to one loose path. The following lemma states that several paths can be connected, provided the minimum vertex degree is sufficiently large.

Lemma 5 (Connecting lemma). For all $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$. Let $k \leq \gamma n / 12$ and let $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ be a system consisting of $k$ mutually disjoint pairs of vertices. Then there is a system of triples $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ connecting $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$.

Proof. Choose $n_{0}=12 / \gamma$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ and the family $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ be as stated in the lemma. We will find the triples $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ to connect $a_{i}$ with $b_{i}, i \in[k]$ and it will be clear from the construction that these triples are pairwise disjoint. Suppose, for some $j<k$ the triples $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ with $i<j$ are constructed so far and for $(a, b)=\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right)$ we want to find a triple $(x, y, z)$ to connect $a$ and $b$.

Let $U=V \backslash \bigcup_{i \in[j-1]}\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}, x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right\} \cup\{a, b\}$ and for a vertex $v \in V$ let $\operatorname{deg}_{U}(v)$ be the degree of $v$ induced in $U$, i.e. the degree of $v$ in $\mathcal{H}[U]$. Let $N_{U}(a, u)=\left\{u^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.U: u u^{\prime} a \in \mathcal{H} \cap\binom{U}{3}\right\}$ and $Y_{a}=\left\{u \in U:\left|N_{U}(a, u)\right| \geq 2\right\}$. Similarly, define $N_{U}(b, u)$ and $Y_{b}$. We first assume that $\left|N_{U}(a, u)\right| \leq|U| / 2$ for all $u \in U$. Then we would obtain

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}-5 k(n-1) \leq \operatorname{deg}_{U}(a)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V^{\prime}}\left|D_{a}(v)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|Y_{a}\right| \frac{|U|}{2}+\left(|U|-\left|Y_{a}\right|\right)\right)
$$

hence $\left|Y_{a}\right|>|U| / 2$, since $k=\gamma n / 12$. If there is a vertex $z \in U$ such that $\left|N_{U}(b, z)\right|>|U| / 2$ then $Y_{a} \cap N_{U}(b, z)$ is non-empty. Picking an element $y \in$ $Y_{a} \cap N_{U}(b, z)$ and $x \in N_{U}(a, y) \backslash\{z\}$ we obtain the triple $(x, y, z)$ connecting $a$ and $b$. Hence, we can assume that all $u \in U$ satisfy $\left|N_{U}(b, u)\right| \leq|U| / 2$ and repeating the calculation from above we obtain $\left|Y_{b}\right|>|U| / 2$. Now, we deduce that $Y_{a} \cap Y_{b}$ is non-empty and an element $y \in Y_{a} \cap Y_{b}$ together with $x \in N_{U}(a, y)$ and $z \in N_{U}(b, y) \backslash\{x\}$ again yields a connecting triple $(x, y, z)$.

We can now assume that there are vertices $u, v \in U$ such that $\left|N_{U}(a, u)\right|>|U| / 2$ and $\left|N_{U}(b, v)\right|>|U| / 2$. Otherwise, we can apply the argument from above, possibly with the rôles of $a$ and $b$ changed, to obtain a connecting triple. To finish the proof, observe that for the case $u=v$, picking any elements $x \in N_{U}(a, u)$ and $z \in N_{U}(b, v) \backslash\{x\}$ yields a connecting triple. Lastly, for the case $u \neq v$, we know that $N_{U}(a, u) \cap N_{U}(b, v) \neq \emptyset$ and any element $y \in N_{U}(a, u) \cap N_{U}(b, v)$ together with $x=u$ and $z=v$ yields a connecting triple.

When connecting several paths to a long one we want to make sure that the vertices used for the connection all come from a small set, called reservoir, which is disjoint to the paths. The existence of such a set is guaranteed by the following.

Lemma 6 (Reservoir lemma). For all $1 / 4>\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that for every 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{0}$ vertices satisfying $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$ there is a set $R$ of size at most $\gamma n$ with the following property: For every system $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ consisting of $k \leq \gamma^{3} n / 12$ mutually disjoint pairs of vertices from $V$ there is a triple system connecting $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ which, moreover, contains vertices from $R$ only.

Proof. For given $1 / 4>\gamma>0$ let $n_{0}$ be sufficiently large. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be as stated in the lemma and $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$. Let $L(v)$ be the auxiliary graph defined on the vertex set $V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{v\}$, having the edges $e \in L$ if $e \cup\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that $L$ contains $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ edges. We decompose the edge set of $L$ into $i_{0} \leq 2 n$ pairwise edge disjoint matchings which we denote by $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{i_{0}}$. Such a decomposition is easily seen to exist by considering another auxiliary graph $G$ on the vertex set $E(L)$ in which $e, e^{\prime} \in E(L)$ are connected if and only if $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ have nonempty intersection. Since the maximum degree of $G$ is at most $2(n-1)$ the graph $G$ has a proper colouring using $i_{0} \leq 2 n$ colours.

We choose a vertex set $V_{p}$ from $V$ by including each vertex $u \in V$ into $V_{p}$ with probability $p=\gamma-\gamma^{3}$ independently. For every $i$ let $X_{i}=\left|M_{i} \cap\binom{V_{p}}{2}\right|$ denote the number of edges $e \in M_{i}$ contained in $V_{p}$. Then $X_{i}$ is a binomially distributed random variable with parameters $\left|M_{i}\right|$ and $p^{2}$. Using the following Chernoff bounds for $t>0$ (see e.g. [5], Theorem 2.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Bin}(m, \zeta) \geq m \zeta+t]<e^{-t^{2} /(2 \zeta m+t / 3)}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Bin}(m, \zeta) \leq m \zeta-t]<e^{-t^{2} /(2 \zeta m)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

we see that with probability at most $2 n^{-2}$ there exists an index $i \in\left[n_{0}\right]$ such that $X_{i} \leq\left|M_{i}\right| p^{2}-(3 n \ln n)^{1 / 2}$. Hence, with probability $(1-o(1))$ the opposite is satisfied for all vertices simultaneously. Since $\sum_{i \in\left[i_{0}\right]}\left|M_{i}\right|=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}_{V_{p}}(v)=\sum_{i \in\left[i_{0}\right]} X_{i} \geq p^{2} \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-2 n(3 n \ln n)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for all } v \in V \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using the Chernoff bounds (1) and (2) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \frac{n}{2} \leq\left|V_{p}\right| \leq p n+(3 n \ln 20)^{1 / 2} \leq \gamma-2 k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with probability at least $9 / 10$. Hence, with positive probability we obtain a set $R$ satisfying (3) and (4).

Let $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ be given and let $S=\bigcup_{i \in[k]}\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$. Then we have $|R \cup S| \leq \gamma n$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{R \cup S}(v) \geq \operatorname{deg}_{R}(v) \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\gamma^{2}\right)\binom{\gamma n}{2} \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\gamma^{2}\right)\binom{|R \cup S|}{2}
$$

for all $v \in V$. Thus, we can appeal to the Connecting Lemma (Lemma 5) to obtain a triple system which connects $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)_{i \in[k]}$ and which consists of vertices from $R$ only.

Next, we introduce the Absorbing Lemma which asserts the existence of a "short" but powerful loose path $\mathcal{P}$ which can absorb any small set $U \subset V \backslash V(\mathcal{P})$. In the following note that $\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^{2}<\frac{7}{16}$.

Lemma 7 (Absorbing lemma). For all $\gamma>0$ there exist $\beta>0$ and $n_{0}$ such that the following holds. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{5}{8}+\gamma\right)^{2}\binom{n}{2}$. Then there is a loose path $\mathcal{P}$ with $|V(\mathcal{P})| \leq \gamma^{7} n$ such that for all subsets $U \subset V \backslash V(\mathcal{P})$ of size at most $\beta n$ and $|U| \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ there exists a loose path $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{H}$ with $V(\mathcal{Q})=V(\mathcal{P}) \cup U$ and $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ have exactly the same ends.

The principle used in the proof of Lemma 7 goes back to Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi. They introduced the concept of "absorption", which, roughly speaking, stands for a local extension of a given structure, which preserves the global structure. In our context of loose cycle we say that a 7 -tuple $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)$ absorbs the two vertices $x, y \in V$ if

- $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{6} v_{7} \in \mathcal{H}$ and
- $v_{2} x v_{4}, v_{4} y v_{6} \in \mathcal{H}$
are guaranteed. In particular, $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{2}, x, v_{4}, y, v_{6}, v_{5}, v_{7}\right)$ both form loose paths which, moreover, have the same ends.

The proof of Lemma 7 relies on the following result which states that for each pair of vertices there are many 7 -tuples absorbing this pair, provided the minimum vertex degree of $\mathcal{H}$ is sufficiently large.
Proposition 8. For all $\gamma>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3-uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq$ $\left(\frac{5}{8}+\gamma\right)^{2}\binom{n}{2}$, then for every pair of vertices $x, y \in V$ the number of 7 -tuples absorbing $x$ and $y$ is at least $(\gamma n)^{7} / 8$.
Proof. For given $\gamma>0$ we choose $n_{0}=168 / \gamma^{7}$. First we show the following.
Claim 9. For every pair $x, y \in V(\mathcal{H})$ of vertices there exists a set $D=D(x, y) \subset V$ of size $|D|=\gamma n$ such that one of the following holds:

- $\operatorname{deg}(x, d) \geq \gamma n$ and $\operatorname{deg}(y, d) \geq \frac{3}{8} n$ for all $d \in D$ or
- $\operatorname{deg}(y, d) \geq \gamma n$ and $\operatorname{deg}(x, d) \geq \frac{3}{8} n$ for all $d \in D$.

Proof of Claim 9. By assuming the contrary there exists a pair $x, y$ such that no set $D=D(x, y)$ fulfills Clam 9 .

Let $A(z)=\{d \in V: \operatorname{deg}(z, d)<\gamma n\}$ and let $a=|A(x)| / n$ and $b=|A(y)| / n$. Without loss of generality we assume that $a \leq b$. Note that there are at most $(a+\gamma) n$ vertices $v \in V \backslash A(y)$ satisfying $\operatorname{deg}(y, v) \geq \frac{3}{8} n$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^{2}+\frac{9 \gamma}{8}\right) n^{2} & \leq 2 \operatorname{deg}(y) \leq \frac{3 n^{2}}{8}(1-b)+(a+\gamma)\left(\frac{5}{8}-b\right) n^{2}+2 b \gamma n^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{n^{2}}{8}(5 a-3 b-8 a b)+\frac{(3+8 \gamma) n^{2}}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we use the fact that $b \leq 3 / 8$ which is a direct consequence of the condition on $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that this upper bound on $\operatorname{deg}(y)$ as a function of $a$ and $b$ is decreasing in $b$, thus, with $0 \leq a \leq b$ we derive that the maximum is obtained for a pair $a=b$. It is easily seen that this maximum is attained
by $a=\frac{1}{8}$, for which we would obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg}(y) \leq\left(\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^{2}+\gamma\right) n^{2}
$$

a contradiction.
We continue the proof of Proposition 8. For a given pair $x, y \in V$ we will select the tuple $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}$ such that the edges

- $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{6} v_{7} \in \mathcal{H}$ and
- $v_{2} x v_{4}, v_{4} y v_{6} \in \mathcal{H}$
are guaranteed. Note that $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)$ forms a loose path with the ends $v_{1}$ and $v_{7}$ and $\left(v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{2}, x, v_{4}, y, v_{6}, v_{5}, v_{7}\right)$ also forms a loose path with the same ends, showing that $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)$ is indeed an absorbing tuple for the pair $a, b$. Moreover, we will show that there are at least $\gamma n / 4$ possibilities to select each of the $v_{i}$, giving rise to the number of absorbing tuples stated in the proposition.

First, we want to choose $v_{4}$ and let $D(x, y)$ be a set with the properties stated in Claim 9. We choose $v_{4} \in D(x, y)$ and without loss of generality assume that $\left|N\left(y, v_{4}\right)\right| \geq \frac{3}{8} n$ for all the choices. We choose $v_{2} \in N\left(x, v_{4}\right)$ for which there are $\gamma n$ choices. This gives rise to to hyperegde $v_{2} x v_{4} \in \mathcal{H}$. Applying Claim 9 to $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ we obtain a set $D\left(v_{2}, v_{4}\right)$ with the properties stated in Claim 9 and we choose $v_{3} \in D\left(v_{2}, v_{4}\right)$. We choose $v_{1} \in N\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ to obtain the edge $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that $\left|N\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)\right| \geq \gamma n$. Next, we choose $v_{5}$ from $N\left(v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$ which has size $\left|N\left(v_{3}, v_{4}\right)\right| \geq \gamma n$. This gives rise to the edge $v_{3} v_{4} v_{5} \in \mathcal{H}$. We choose $v_{6}$ from $N\left(y, v_{4}\right)$ with the additional property that $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{5}, v_{6}\right) \geq \gamma n / 2$. Hence, we obtain $v_{4} y v_{6} \in \mathcal{H}$ and we claim that there are at least $\gamma n / 2$ such choices. Otherwise at least $\left(\left|N\left(y, v_{4}\right)\right|-\gamma n / 2\right)$ vertices $v \in V \operatorname{satisfy} \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{5}, v\right)<\gamma n / 2$, hence

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{5}\right)<\frac{3 \gamma}{16} n^{2}+\binom{\left(\frac{5}{8}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) n}{2}<\delta(\mathcal{H})
$$

which is a contradiction. Lastly choose $v_{7} \in N\left(v_{5}, v_{6}\right)$ we obtain the edge $v_{5} v_{6} v_{7} \in$ $\mathcal{H}$ which complete the absorbing tuple $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)$.

The number of choices for $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}$ is at least $(\gamma n)^{7} / 4$ and there are at most $\binom{7}{2} n^{6}$ choices such that $v_{i}=v_{j}$ for some $i \neq j$. Hence, we obtain at least $(\gamma n)^{7} / 8$ absorbing 7 -tuples for the pair $x, y$.

With the Proposition 8 and the connecting lemma (Lemma 5) at hand the proof of the absorbing lemma follows a scheme which can be found in [13, 4]. We choose a family $\mathcal{F}$ of 7 -tuples with probability $p=\gamma^{7} n^{-6} / 448$. Then, it is easily shown that with non-zero probability the family $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies

- $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 3 p n$,
- for all pairs $x, y \in V$ there are at least $p \times(\gamma n)^{7} / 16$ tuples in $\mathcal{F}$ which absorbs $x, y$
- the number of intersecting 7-tuples in $\mathcal{F}$ is at most $p \times(\gamma n)^{7} / 32$

By deleting the intersecting 7 -tuples and connecting the remaining 7 -tuples we obtain the desired absorbing path which can absorb $p \times(\gamma n)^{7} / 32=\beta$ pairs of vertices, proving the lemma. To avoid unnecessary calculations we omit the details here.

The next lemma is the main obstacle when proving Theorem 3. It asserts that the vertex set of a 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with minimum vertex degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq$
$\left(\frac{7}{16}+o(1)\right)\binom{n}{2}$ can be almost perfectly covered by a constant number of vertex disjoint loose paths.

Lemma 10 (Path-tiling lemma). For all $\gamma>0$ and $\alpha>0$ there exist integers $p$ and $n_{0}$ such that for $n>n_{0}$ the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices with minimum vertex degree

$$
\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} .
$$

Then there is a family of $p$ disjoint loose paths in $\mathcal{H}$ which covers all but at most $\alpha n$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}$.

The proof of Lemma 10 uses the weak regularity lemma for hypergraphs and will be given in Section 3.
2.3. Proof of the main theorem. In this section we give the proof of the main result, Theorem 3. The proof is based on the three auxiliary lemmas introduced in Section 2.2 and follows the outline given in Section 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 3. For given $\gamma>0$ we apply the Absorbing Lemma (Lemma 7) with $\gamma / 8$ to obtain $\beta>0$ and $n_{7}$. Next we apply the Reservoir Lemma (Lemma 6) for $\gamma^{\prime}=\min \{\beta / 3, \gamma / 8\}$ to obtain $n_{6}$ which is $n_{0}$ of Lemma 6 . Finally, we apply the Path-tiling Lemma (Lemma 10) with $\gamma / 2$ and $\alpha=\beta / 3$ to obtain $p$ and $n_{10}$. For $n_{0}$ of the theorem we choose $n_{0}=\max \left\{n_{7}, 2 n_{6}, 2 n_{10}, 24(p+1)\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{-3}\right\}$.

Now let $n \geq n_{0}, n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices with

$$
\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{0} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the absorbing path guaranteed by Lemma 7. Let $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ be the ends of $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ and note that

$$
\left|V\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}\right)\right| \leq \gamma_{7}^{3} n<\gamma n / 8
$$

Moreover, the path $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ has the absorption property, i.e., for all $U \subset V \backslash V\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}\right)$ with $|U| \leq \beta n$ and $|U| \in 2 \mathbb{N}$
$\exists$ a loose path $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{H}$ s.t. $V(\mathcal{Q})=V\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}\right) \cup U$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ has the ends $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$. (5)
Let $V^{\prime}=\left(V \backslash V\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}\right)\right) \cup\left\{a_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}\left[V^{\prime}\right]=\left(V^{\prime}, E(\mathcal{H}) \cap\binom{V^{\prime}}{3}\right)$ be the induced subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $V^{\prime}$. Note that $\delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\frac{3}{4} \gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$.

Due to Lemma 6 we can choose a set $R \subset V^{\prime}$ of size at most $\gamma^{\prime}\left|V^{\prime}\right| \leq \gamma^{\prime} n$ such that for every systemconsisting of at most $\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{3}\left|V^{\prime}\right| / 12$ mutually disjoint pairs of vertices from $V$ can be connected using vertices from $R$ only.

Set $V^{\prime \prime}=V \backslash\left(V\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}\right) \cup R\right)$ and let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{H}\left[V^{\prime \prime}\right]$ be the induced subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $V^{\prime \prime}$. Clearly,

$$
\delta\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\binom{n}{2}
$$

Consequently, Lemma 10 applied to $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ (with $\gamma_{10}$ and $\alpha$ ) yields a loose path tiling of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$ which covers all but at most $\alpha\left|V^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \alpha n$ vertices from $V^{\prime \prime}$ and which consists of at most $p$ paths. We denote the set of the uncovered vertices in $V^{\prime \prime}$ by $T$. Further, let $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2} \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{q}$ with $q \leq p$ denote the paths of the tiling. By applying the reservoir lemma appropriately we connect the loose paths $\mathcal{P}_{0}, \mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{q}$ to one loose cycle $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Let $U=V \backslash V(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of vertices not covered by the cycle $\mathcal{C}$. Since $U \subseteq R \cup T$ we have $|U| \leq\left(\alpha+\gamma_{6}\right) n \leq \beta n$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a loose cycle and $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ we have $|U| \in 2 \mathbb{N}$. Thus, using the absorption property of $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ (see (5)) we can replace the subpath $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ by a path $\mathcal{Q}$ (since $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ have the same ends) and since $V(\mathcal{Q})=V\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}\right) \cup U$ the resulting cycle is a loose Hamilton cycle of $\mathcal{H}$.

## 3. Proof of the Path-tiling Lemma

In this section we give the proof of the Path-tiling Lemma, Lemma 10. The Lemma 10 will be derived from the following lemma. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the 3 -uniform hypergraph defined on the vertex set [8] with the edges $123,345,456,678 \in \mathcal{M}$. We will show that the condition $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+o(1)\right)\binom{n}{2}$ will ensure an almost perfect $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 11. For all $\gamma>0$ and $\alpha>0$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ vertices with minimum vertex degree

$$
\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}
$$

Then there is an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$ which covers all but at most $\alpha$ n vertices of $\mathcal{H}$.
The proof of Lemma 11 requires the regularity lemma which we introduce in Section 3.1. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 are devoted to the proof of Lemma 11 and finally, in Section 3.4, we deduce Lemma 10 from Lemma 11 by again making use of the regularity lemma.
3.1. The weak regularity lemma and the cluster hypergraph. In this section we introduce the so-called weak hypergraph regularity lemma, a straightforward extension of Szemerédi's regularity lemma for graphs [17]. Since we only apply the lemma to 3 -uniform hypergraphs we will restrict the introduction to this case.

Let $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph and let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ be mutually disjoint non-empty subsets of $V$. We define $e\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$ to be the number of edges with one vertex in each $A_{i}, i \in[3]$, and the density of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)$ as

$$
d\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)=\frac{e_{\mathcal{H}}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)}{\left|A_{1}\right|\left|A_{2}\right|\left|A_{3}\right|}
$$

We say the triple $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ of mutually disjoint subsets $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3} \subseteq V$ is $(\varepsilon, d)$ regular, for constants $\varepsilon>0$ and $d \geq 0$, if

$$
\left|d\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)-d\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

for all triple of subsets $A_{i} \subset V_{i}, i \in[3]$, satisfying $\left|A_{i}\right| \geq \varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right|$. We say $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular if it is $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular for some $d \geq 0$. It is immediate from the definition that an $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular triple $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ is $\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, d\right)$-regular for all $\varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon$ and if $V_{i}^{\prime} \subset V_{i}$ has size $\left|V_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq c\left|V_{i}\right|$, then $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}, V_{2}^{\prime}, V_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is $(\varepsilon / c, d)$-regular.

Next we show that regular triples can be almost perfectly covered by copies of $\mathcal{M}$ provided the sizes of the partition classes obey certain restriction. First note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a subhypergraph of a tight path. The latter is defined similarly as loose paths, i.e. there is an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right)$ of the vertices such that every edge consists of three consecutive vertices and two consecutive edges intersect in exactly two vertices.

Proposition 12. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3-uniform hypergraph on $m$ vertices with at least $d m^{3}$ edges. Then there is a tight path in $\mathcal{H}$ which covers at least $2(d m+1)$ vertices. In particular, if $\mathcal{H}$ is 3-partite with the partition classes $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}$ and $2 d m>7$ then for each $i \in[3]$ there is a copy of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which intersects $V_{i}$ in exactly two vertices and the other partition classes in three vertices.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the largest subhypergraph such that $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(u, v) \geq 2 d m$ or $\operatorname{deg}(u, v)=0$ for all pairs of vertices $u, v \in V$. Note that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ is not empty since otherwise $\mathcal{H}$ would contain at most $\binom{m}{2} \times 2 d m<d m^{3}$ edges. Hence we can pick a maximal non-empty tight path $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}\right)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. Since the pair $v_{1}, v_{2}$ is contained in an edge in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ it is contained in $2 d m$ edges and since the path was chosen to be maximal all these vertices must lie in the path. Hence, the chosen tight path contains at least $2(d m+1)$ vertices. This completes the first part of the proof.

For the second part, note that there is only one way to embed a tight path into a 3-partite 3-uniform hypergraph once the two starting vertices are known. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a subhypergraph of the tight path on eight vertices we obtain the second part of the statement by possibly deleting up to two starting vertices.

Proposition 13. Suppose the triple $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ is $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular with $d \geq 2 \varepsilon$ and suppose the sizes of the partition classes satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\left|V_{1}\right| \geq\left|V_{2}\right| \geq\left|V_{3}\right| \text { with } 5\left|V_{1}\right| \leq 3\left(\left|V_{2}\right|+\left|V_{3}\right|\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $2 \varepsilon^{2} m>7$. Then there is an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ leaving at most $3 \varepsilon m$ vertices uncovered.

Proof. Note that if we take a copy of $\mathcal{M}$ intersecting $V_{i}, i \in[3]$ in exactly two vertices then this copy intersects the other partition classes in exactly three vertices.

We define

$$
t_{i}=(1-\varepsilon) \frac{1}{8}\left(3\left|V_{j}\right|+3\left|V_{k}\right|-5\left|V_{i}\right|\right) \quad \text { where } \quad i, j, k \in[3] \text { are distinct. }
$$

Due to our assumption all $t_{i}$ are non-negative and we choose $t_{i}$ copies of $\mathcal{M}$ intersecting $V_{i}$ in exactly two vertices. This would leave $\left|V_{i}\right|-\left(2 t_{i}+3 t_{j}+3 t_{k}\right)=\varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right|$ vertices in $V_{i}$ uncovered, hence at most $3 \varepsilon m$ in total.

To complete the proof we exhibit a copy of $\mathcal{M}$ in all three possible types in the remaining hypergraph, hence showing that the choices of the copies above are indeed possible. To this end, from the remaining vertices of each partition class $V_{i}$ take a subset $U_{i}, i \in[3]$ of size $\varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right|$. Due to the regularity of the triple $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ we have $e\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\right) \geq(d-\varepsilon)(\varepsilon m)^{3}$. Hence, by Proposition 12 there is a copy of $\mathcal{M}$ (of each type) in $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\right)$.

The connection of regular partitions and dense hypergraphs is established by regularity lemmas. The version introduced here is a straightforward generalisation of the original regularity lemma to hypergraphs (see, e.g., $[1,2,16]$ ).

Theorem 14. For all $t_{0} \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $T_{0}=T_{0}\left(t_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ and $n_{0}=n_{0}\left(t_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ so that for every 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ on $n \geq n_{0}$ vertices, there exists a partition $V=V_{0} \dot{U} V_{1} \dot{\cup} \ldots \dot{U} V_{t}$ such that
(i) $t_{0} \leq t \leq T_{0}$,
(ii) $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{t}\right|$ and $\left|V_{0}\right| \leq \varepsilon n$,
(iii) for all but at most $\varepsilon\binom{t}{3}$ sets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{3}\right\} \in\binom{[t]}{3}$, the triple $\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.
A partition as given in Theorem 14 is called an $(\varepsilon, t)$-regular partition of $\mathcal{H}$. For an $(\varepsilon, t)$-regular partition of $\mathcal{H}$ and $d \geq 0$ we refer to $\mathcal{Q}=\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in[t]}$ as the family of clusters (note that the exceptional vertex set $V_{0}$ is excluded) and define the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, d, \mathcal{Q})$ with vertex set $[t]$ and $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\} \in\binom{[t]}{3}$ being an edge if and only if $\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular and $d\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, V_{i_{3}}\right) \geq d$.

In the following we show that the cluster hypergraph almost inherits the minimum vertex degree of the original hypergraph. The proof which we give for completeness is standard and can be found e.g. in [8] for the case of graphs.

Proposition 15. For all $\gamma>d>\varepsilon>0$ and all $t_{0}$ there exist $T_{0}$ and $n_{0}$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ vertices which has vertex minimum degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$. Then there exists an $(\varepsilon, t)$-regular partition $\mathcal{Q}$ with $t_{0}<t<T_{0}$ such that the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, d, \mathcal{Q})$ has minimum vertex degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{K}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\varepsilon-d\right)\binom{t}{2}$.
Proof. Let $\gamma>d>\varepsilon$ and $t_{0}$ be given. We apply the regularity lemma with $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{2} / 144$ and $t_{0}^{\prime}=\max \left\{2 t_{0}, 10 / \varepsilon\right\}$ to obtain $T_{0}^{\prime}$ and $n_{0}^{\prime}$. We set $T_{0}=T_{0}^{\prime}$ and $n_{0}=n_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{0}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta(\mathcal{H}) \geq(7 / 16+\gamma)\binom{n}{2}$. By applying the regularity lemma we obtain an $\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ regular partition $V_{0}^{\prime} \dot{\cup} V_{1} \dot{\cup} \ldots \dot{U} V_{t^{\prime}}$ of $V$ and let $m=\left|V_{1}\right|=\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) n / t^{\prime}$ denote the size of the partition classes.

Let $I=\left\{i \in\left[t^{\prime}\right]: V_{i}\right.$ is contained in more than $\varepsilon\binom{t^{\prime}}{2} / 8$ non $\varepsilon^{\prime}$-regular triples $\}$ and observe that $|I|<8 \varepsilon^{\prime} t^{\prime} / \varepsilon$ due to the property (iii) of Theorem 14. Set $V_{0}=$ $V_{0}^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} V_{i}$ and let $J=\left[t^{\prime}\right] \backslash I$ and $t=|J|$. We now claim that $V_{0}$ and $\mathcal{Q}=\left(V_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ is the desired partition. Indeed, we have $T_{0}>t^{\prime} \geq t>t^{\prime}\left(1-8 \varepsilon^{\prime} / \varepsilon\right) \geq t_{0}$ and $\left|V_{0}\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime} n+8 \varepsilon^{\prime} n / \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon n / 16$. The property (iii) follows directly from Theorem 14. For a contradiction, assume now that $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(V_{j}\right)<\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\varepsilon-d\right)\binom{t}{2}$ for some $j \in J$. Let $x_{j}$ denote the number of edges which intersect $V_{j}$ in exactly one vertex and each other $V_{i}, i \in J$, in at most one vertex. Then, the assumption yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j} & \leq\left|V_{j}\right|\left[\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\varepsilon-d\right)\binom{t}{2} m^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{8}\binom{t^{\prime}}{2} m^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{16} n^{2}+d\binom{t}{2} m^{2}\right] \\
& \leq\left|V_{j}\right| \frac{n^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, from the minimum degree of $\mathcal{H}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j} & \geq\left|V_{j}\right|\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}-2\binom{\left|V_{j}\right|}{2} n-3\binom{\left|V_{j}\right|}{3} \\
& \geq\left|V_{j}\right|\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\frac{4}{t^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
3.2. Fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling. To obtain a large $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$, we consider weighted homomorphisms from $\mathcal{M}$ into the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$. To this purpose, we define the following.
Definition 16. A function $h: V(\mathcal{L}) \times E(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$ tiling of $\mathcal{L}$ if
(1) $h(v, e) \neq 0 \Rightarrow v \in e$,
(2) $h(v)=\sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{L})} h(v, e) \leq 1$,
(3) for each $e \in E(\mathcal{L})$ there exists a labeling $e=u v w$ such that

$$
h(u, e)=h(v, e) \geq h(w, e) \geq \frac{2}{3} h(u, e)
$$

By $h_{\min }$ we denote the smallest non-zero value of $h(v, e)$ (and we set $h_{\min }=\infty$ if $h \equiv 0$ ) and the sum over all values is the weight $w(h)$ of $h$

$$
w(h)=\sum_{(v, e) \in V(\mathcal{L}) \times E(\mathcal{L})} h(v, e) .
$$

The allowed values of $h$ are based on the homomorphisms from $\mathcal{M}$ to a single edge, hence the term $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling. Given one such homomorphism, assign each vertex in the image the number of vertices from $\mathcal{M}$ mapped to it. In fact, for any such homomorphism the preimage of one vertex has size two, while the preimages of the other two vertices has size three. Consequently, for any family of homomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ into a single edge the smallest and the largest class of preimages can differ by a factor of $2 / 3$ at most and this observation is the reason for condition (3) in Definition 16. We also note the following.

Fact 17. There is a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ of the hypergraph $\mathcal{M}$ which has $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and weight $w(h)=8$.

Proof. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, w_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, w_{2}, z_{1}$, and $z_{2}$ be the vertices of $\mathcal{M}$ and let $x_{1} x_{2} w_{1}$, $w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2} w_{2}$, and $w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$ be the edges of $\mathcal{M}$. We shall make use of two different distributions of vertex weights per edge. In fact, on the edges $x_{1} x_{2} w_{1}$ and $w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$ we assign the vertex weights $(1,1,2 / 3)$, where the weight $2 / 3$ is assigned to $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. The vertex weights for edges $w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} w_{2}$ are $(1 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 3)$, where $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ get the weight $1 / 3$. It is easy to see that those vertex weights give rise to a $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ on $\mathcal{M}$ with $h_{\text {min }}=1 / 3$ and $w(h)=8$.

The notion $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling is also motivated by the following proposition which shows that such a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling in a cluster hypergraph can be "converted" to an integer $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in the original hypergraph.

Proposition 18. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a $(\varepsilon, t)$-regular partition of a 3 -uniform, $n$-vertex $h y$ pergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with $n>21 \varepsilon^{-2}$ and suppose $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, 6 \varepsilon, \mathcal{Q})$ is a cluster hypergraph. Furthermore, let $h: V(\mathcal{K}) \times E(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling of $\mathcal{K}$ with $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$ which covers all but at most $(w(h)-27 t \varepsilon)\left|V_{1}\right|$ vertices.

Proof. We restrict our consideration to the subhypergraph $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}$ consisting of the hyperedges with positive weight, i.e. $e=a b c \in \mathcal{K}$ with $h(a), h(b), h(c) \geq h_{\min }$. For each $a \in V\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)$ let $V_{a}$ be the corresponding partition class in $\mathcal{Q}$. Due to the property (2) of Definition 16 we can subdivide $V_{a}$ (arbitrarily) into a collection of pairwise disjoint sets $\left(U_{a}^{e}\right)_{a \in e \in \mathcal{K}}$ of size $\left|U_{a}^{e}\right|=h(a, e)\left|V_{a}\right|$. Note that every edge $e=a b c \in \mathcal{K}$ corresponds to the $(\varepsilon, 6 \varepsilon)$-regular triplet $\left(V_{a}, V_{b}, V_{c}\right)$. Hence we obtain from the definition of regularity and $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ that the triplet $\left(U_{a}^{e}, U_{b}^{e}, U_{c}^{e}\right)$ is $(3 \varepsilon, 6 \varepsilon)$-regular. From the property (3) Definition 16 and Proposition 13 we obtain an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\left(U_{a}^{e}, U_{b}^{e}, U_{c}^{e}\right)$ incorporating at least $(h(a, e)+h(b, e)+h(c, e)-9 \varepsilon)\left|V_{a}\right|$
vertices. Applying this to all hyperedges of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ we obtain an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling incorporating at least

$$
\left[\sum_{a b c=e \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}} h(a, e)+h(b, e)+h(c, e)-9 \varepsilon\right]\left|V_{a}\right| \geq\left[w(h)-9\left|\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right| \varepsilon\right]\left|V_{a}\right|
$$

vertices. Noting that $\left|\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right| \leq 3 t$ because of $h_{\text {min }} \geq 1 / 3$ we obtain the proposition.
Owing to Proposition 18, we are given a tight connection between fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tilings of the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}$-tilings in $\mathcal{H}$. A vertex $i \in V(\mathcal{K})$ corresponds to a class of vertices $V_{i}$ in the regular partition of $\mathcal{H}$. The total vertex weight $h(i)$ will translate to the proportion of vertices of $V_{i}$ which can be covered by the corresponding $\mathcal{M}$-tilings in $\mathcal{H}$. Consequently, $w(h)$ then translates to the proportion of vertices covered by the corresponding $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, we attempt to find a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling with weight greater than the number of vertices previously covered in $\mathcal{K}$.

The following lemma (Lemma 19), which is the main tool for the proof of Lemma 11, follows the idea discussed above. In the proof of Lemma 11 we will consider a maximal $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$ of the given hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$. Owing to the minimum degree condition of $\mathcal{H}$ and Proposition 15, a typical vertex in the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$ will be contained in at least $(7 / 16+o(1))\left({ }_{(V(\mathcal{K}) \mid}{ }_{2}\right)$ hyperedges of $\mathcal{K}$. Later we will show that a typical vertex $u$ of $\mathcal{K}$ which is not covered by the maximal $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{K}$, should have the property that $(7 / 16+o(1)) \cdot 64>28$ of the edges incident to $u$ intersect some pair of copies of $\mathcal{M}$ from the $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{K}$. In Lemma 19 we study this situation. This lemma will come in handy in the proof of Lemma 11, where it is used to show that one can cover a higher proportion of the vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ than the proportion of vertices covered by the largest $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in $\mathcal{K}$.

Let $\mathscr{L}_{29}$ be the following set of hypergraphs. Every $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ consists of two (vertex disjoint) copies of $\mathcal{M}$, say $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$, and $\mathcal{L}$ contains two additional vertices $u$ and $v$ and all edges incident to $u$ or $v$ contain precisely one vertex from $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ and one vertex from $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the following properties

- for every $a \in V\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ we have $u a b \in E(\mathcal{L})$ iff $v a b \in E(\mathcal{L})$
- $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq 29$

Lemma 19. For every $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ there exists a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ with $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h) \geq 16+\frac{1}{3}$.
Proof. For the proof we fix the following labeling of the vertices of the two disjoint copies of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, w_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, w_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ be the vertices and $x_{1} x_{2} w_{1}, w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2} w_{2}, w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$ be the edges of the first copy of $\mathcal{M}$. Analogously, let $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}\right)=\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the vertices and $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$, $y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}$, $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$ be the edges of the other copy of $\mathcal{M}$ (see Figure 1.a). Moreover, we denote by $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$, and $Z=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ and, let $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$, and $Z^{\prime}$ be defined analogously for $\mathcal{M}_{2}$.

The proof of Lemma 19 proceeds in two steps. First, we show that in any possible counterexample $\mathcal{L}$, the edges incident to $u$ and $v$ which do not contain any vertex from $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ form a subgraph of $K_{2,3,3}$ (see Claim 20). In the second step we show that every edge contained in this subgraph of $K_{2,3,3}$ forbids too many other edges incident to $u$ and $v$, which will yield a contradiction to the condition $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq 29$ of $\mathcal{L}$ (see Claim 21).

Figure 1. Labels and case: $a_{1} b_{1}, a_{2} b_{2} \in L_{2}$ with $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\} \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}$

1.a: Vertex labels of $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ in $\mathcal{L}$

1.b: All edges are (a1)-edges

We introduce the following notation to simplify later arguments. For a given $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ with $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ being the two copies of $\mathcal{M}$, let $L$ be set of those pairs $(a, b) \in V\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right) \times V\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ such that $u a b \in E(\mathcal{L})$. We split $L$ into $L_{1} \dot{\cup} L_{2}$ according to

$$
(a, b) \in \begin{cases}L_{1}, & \text { if } a \in\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \text { or } b \in\left\{w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \\ L_{2}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It will be convenient to view $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ as bipartite graphs with vertex classes $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$.

Claim 20. For all $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ without fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling with $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h) \geq 16+1 / 3$, we have $L_{2} \subseteq K_{3,3}$, where each of the sets $X, Y, Z$ and $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$, $Z^{\prime}$ contains precisely one of the vertices of the $K_{3,3}$.

In the proofs of Claim 20 and Claim 21 we will consider fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$ tilings $h$ which use vertex weights of special types. In fact, for an edge $e=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$, the weights $h\left(a_{1}, e\right), h\left(a_{2}, e\right)$, and $h\left(a_{3}, e\right)$ will be of the following forms
(a1) $h\left(a_{1}, e\right)=h\left(a_{2}, e\right)=h\left(a_{3}, e\right)=1$
(a2) $h\left(a_{1}, e\right)=h\left(a_{2}, e\right)=h\left(a_{3}, e\right)=\frac{1}{2}$
(a3) $h\left(a_{1}, e\right)=h\left(a_{2}, e\right)=h\left(a_{3}, e\right)=\frac{1}{3}$
(b1) $h\left(a_{1}, e\right)=h\left(a_{2}, e\right)=1$ and $h\left(a_{3}, e\right)=\frac{2}{3}$
(b2) $h\left(a_{1}, e\right)=h\left(a_{2}, e\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ and $h\left(a_{3}, e\right)=\frac{1}{3}$
(b3) $h\left(a_{1}, e\right)=h\left(a_{2}, e\right)=\frac{2}{3}$ and $h\left(a_{3}, e\right)=\frac{1}{2}$
An edge that satisfies (a1) is called an (a1)-edge, etc. Note that all these types satisfy condition (3) of Definition 16.

Proof. Observe that for any $A \in\{X, Y, Z\}$, the hypergraph $\mathcal{M}_{1}-A$ contains two disjoint edges. Similarly, for every $B \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}, \mathcal{M}_{2}-B$ contains two disjoint edges.

First we exclude the case that there is a matching $\left\{a_{1} b_{1}, a_{2} b_{2}\right\}$ of size two in $L_{2}$ between some $A \in\{X, Y, Z\}$ and some $B \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}$. In fact, in this case we can construct a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ as follows: Choose two edges $u a_{1} b_{1}, v a_{2} b_{2}$. Using these and the four disjoint edges in $\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}-A\right) \dot{\cup}\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}-B\right)$, we obtain six disjoint edges (see Figure 1.b). Letting all these six edges be (a1)-edges, we obtain a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ with $h_{\text {min }}=1$ and $w(h)=18$.

Figure 2. Case: $a b_{1}, a b_{2} \in L_{2}$ with $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\} \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}$

2.a: (a1)-edges $w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}, \quad w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$, and $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, (b3)-edges $a x_{1}^{\prime} u$ and $a x_{2}^{\prime} v, ~(b 1)-$ edge $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$, and (a3)-edge $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}$.

2.b: (a1)-edges $w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}, \quad w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$, and $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, (b3)-edges $a y_{1}^{\prime} u$ and $a y_{2}^{\prime} v,(\mathrm{~b} 1)-$ edge $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}$, and (a3)-edge $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$.

Next, we show that each vertex $a \in A \in\{X, Y, Z\}$ has at most one neighbour in each $B \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}$. Assuming the contrary, let $a \in A \in\{X, Y, Z\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}=B \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}$, such that $a b_{1}, a b_{2} \in L_{2}$. For symmetry reasons, we only need to consider the case $B=X^{\prime}$ and $B=Y^{\prime}$. The case $B=Z^{\prime}$ is symmetric to $B=X^{\prime}$. In those cases, we choose $h$ as shown in Figure 2.a ( $B=X^{\prime}$ ) and Figure 2.b $\left(B=Y^{\prime}\right)$ and in either case we find a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ satisfying $h_{\min }=1 / 3$ and $w(h)=16+1 / 3$. Note that the cases $A=Y$ and $A=Z$ are identical, since $\mathcal{M}_{1}-A$ always contains two disjoint edges.

To show that $L_{2}$ is indeed contained in a $K_{3,3}$, it remains to verify that every $a_{1} b_{1}, a_{2} b_{2}$ with $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}=A \in\{X, Y, Z\}$ and $b_{1} \in B_{1} \in\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}, b_{2} \in B_{2} \in$ $\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\} \backslash B_{1}$ guarantees the existence of a fractional hom $(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ with $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h) \geq 16+1 / 3$. Again owing to the symmetry, the only cases we need to consider are $B_{1}=X^{\prime}, B_{2}=Y^{\prime}$ (see Figure 3.a) and $B_{1}=X^{\prime}, B_{2}=Z^{\prime}$ (see Figure 3.b). In fact, the fractional hom $(\mathcal{M})$-tilings $h$ given in Figure 3.a and Figure 3.b satisfy $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h)=17$. Again the cases $A=Y$ and $A=Z$ are identical. This concludes the proof of Claim 20.

Owing to Claim 20, we may assume without loss of generality that $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}$ are the vertices which span all edges of $L_{2}$.

Figure 3. Case: $a_{1} b_{1}, a_{2} b_{2} \in L_{2}$ with $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\} \notin\left\{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\}$

3.a: (a1)-edges $w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}, \quad w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$, and $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, (b1)-edges $a_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} u$ and $a_{2} y_{1}^{\prime} v$, and (b2)-edges $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}$ and $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$.

3.b: (a1)-edges $w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}$ and $w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$, (b1)edges $a_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} u$ and $a_{2} z_{1}^{\prime} v$, (b2)-edges $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}$ and $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, and (a2)-edges $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$ and $y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}$.

Claim 21. For all $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ without fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling with $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h) \geq 16+\frac{1}{3}$ we have $\left|L_{1}\right|+\left|L_{2}\right| \leq 28$.

Since $\left|L_{1}\right|+\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 29$ for every $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$, Claim 21 yields Lemma 19 and it is only left to prove Claim 21.

Proof of Claim 21. Set

$$
F=\left\{\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in V\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right) \times V\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}\right): \text { either } a^{\prime} \in\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \text { or } b^{\prime} \in\left\{w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\right\}
$$

and note that $L_{1} \subseteq F$. For every edge $a b \in L_{2}$, we consider the set $\mathcal{F}(a, b) \subseteq F$ of those edges $f \in F$, whose appearance (i.e., $f \in L_{1}$ ) would allow us to construct a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tilings $h$ with $h_{\text {min }} \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h) \geq 16+1 / 3$.

First we consider the case $y_{1} y_{1}^{\prime}$. As shown in Figure 4.a the appearance of $w_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} \in L_{2}$ would give rise to a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tilings $h$ with $h_{\min } \geq 1 / 3$ and $w(h)=16.5$. Consequently, we have

$$
w_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

For the case $x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} \in L_{2}$, Figure 4.b, shows that $x_{2} w_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and by symmetry, it follows that

$$
\left\{x_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

By applying appropriate automorphisms to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ we immediately obtain information on $\mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed one can show

$$
\left\{x_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{1} z_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left\{w_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}, z_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right), \quad\left\{z_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Next we consider $y_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}$. In this case Figure 5 .a shows that $y_{2} w_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, as shown in Figure 5.b we also have $w_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and, consequently,

Figure 4. $\mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$

4.a: (a1)-edge $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, (a2)-edge $y_{1} y_{1}^{\prime} u$, (b1)-edges $x_{1} x_{2} w_{1}, w_{1} z_{1} z_{2}$, and $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}$, and (b2)-edges $w_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} v, \quad y_{1} y_{2} w_{2}, \quad$ and $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$.

4.b: (a1)-edges $x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} u, \quad w_{1} y_{1} y_{2}$, and $w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$, (b1)-edges $x_{2} w_{1}^{\prime} v$ and $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, and (b2)-edges $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$ and $y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}$.
we obtain

$$
\left\{y_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Again applying appropriate automorphisms to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ we immediately obtain information on $\mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed one can show

$$
\left\{w_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left\{w_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}, z_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left\{y_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Finally, we define an injection $f: L_{2} \rightarrow F \supseteq L_{1}$ such that $f(a, b) \in \mathcal{F}(a, b)$ for every pair $a b \in L_{2}$, which concludes the proof of Claim 21.

Recall that due to Claim 20 we have $L_{2} \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right\} \times\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ and it follows from the discussion above that we can fix $f$ as follows

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}, & f\left(z_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=z_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}, & f\left(x_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=x_{2} w_{2}^{\prime} \\
f\left(z_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}, & f\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}, & f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=x_{2} w_{1}^{\prime} \\
f\left(y_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)=y_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}, & f\left(y_{1}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2} z_{2}^{\prime}, & f\left(z_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

3.3. Proof of the $\mathcal{M}$-tiling Lemma. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. We say $\mathcal{H}$ has a $\beta$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling if there exists a family of pairwise disjoint copies of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ leaving at most $\beta n$ vertices uncovered.

Proposition 22. For all $1 / 2>d>0$ and all $\beta, \delta>0$ the following holds. Suppose there exists an $n_{0}$ such that every 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{0}$ vertices with minimum vertex degree $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq d\binom{n}{2}$ has a $\beta$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling. Then every 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ on $n^{\prime}>n_{0}$ vertices with $\delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right) \geq(d-\delta)\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}$ has a $(\beta+25 \sqrt{\delta})$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling.

Figure 5. $\mathcal{F}\left(y_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$

5.a: (a1)-edges $y_{2} x_{1} u, \quad x_{1} x_{2} w_{1}$, and $w_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$, (b1)-edges $y_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} v$ and $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, and (b2)-edges $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$ and $y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}$.

5.b: (a1)-edge $w_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}$, (a2)-edge $y_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} u$, (b1)-edges $x_{1} x_{2} w_{1}, w_{1} z_{1} z_{2}$, and $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}$, and (b2)-edges $w_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} v, \quad y_{1} y_{2} w_{2}, \quad$ and $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Given a 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ on $n^{\prime}>n_{0}$ vertices with $\delta_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right) \geq(d-$ $\delta)\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}$. By adding a set $A$ of $3 \sqrt{\delta} n^{\prime}$ new vertices to $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ and adding all triplets to $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ which intersect $A$ we obtain a new hyperpgraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n=n^{\prime}+|A|$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq d\binom{n}{2}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{H}$ has a $\beta$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling and by removing the $\mathcal{M}$-copies intersecting $A$, we obtain a $(\beta+25 \sqrt{\delta})$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$.

Proof of Lemma 11. Let $\gamma>0$ be given and we assume for a contradiction that there is an $\alpha>0$ such that for all $n_{0}^{\prime}$ there is a 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{0}^{\prime}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$ but which does not contain an $\alpha$ deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling. Let $\alpha_{0}$ be the supremum of all such $\alpha$ and note that $\alpha_{0}$ is bounded away from one due to Proposition 12.

We choose $\varepsilon=\left(\gamma \alpha_{0} / 2^{100}\right)^{2}$. Then, by definition of $\alpha_{0}$, there is an $n_{0}$ such that all 3-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{0}$ vertices satisfying $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right) n$ have an $\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling. Hence, by Proposition 22 all 3 -uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{0}$ vertices satisfying $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\varepsilon\right)\binom{n}{2}$ have an $\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon+25 \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)$ deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling. We will show that there exists an $n_{1}$ (to be chosen) such that all 3 -uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{1}$ vertices satisfying $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$ have an $\left(\alpha_{0}-\varepsilon\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling, contradicting the definition of $\alpha_{0}$.

To this end, we apply Proposition 15 with the constants $\gamma, \varepsilon / 12, d=\varepsilon / 2$ and $t_{15}=\max \left\{n_{0},(\varepsilon / 12)^{-3}\right\}$ to obtain an $n_{15}$ and $T_{15}$. Let $n_{1} \geq \max \left\{n_{15}, n_{0}\right\}$ be sufficiently large and let $\mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n>n_{1}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$ but which does not contain an $\alpha_{0}$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling. We apply Proposition 15 to $\mathcal{H}$ with the constants chosen above and obtain a cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon / 12, \varepsilon / 2, \mathcal{Q})$ on $t>t_{15}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{K}) \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma-\varepsilon\right)\binom{t}{2}$. Taking $\mathscr{M}$ to be the largest $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in $\mathcal{K}$ we know by
the definition of $\alpha_{0}$ and by Proposition 22 that $\mathscr{M}$ is an $\alpha_{1}$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{K}$, for some $\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{0}+26 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Further, let $X$ consist of the vertices in $\mathcal{K}$ not covered by $\mathscr{M}$ and note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X| \geq \frac{\alpha_{0} t}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise $\mathscr{M}$ is an $\left(\alpha_{0} / 2\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{K}$ and, therefore, a combined application of Fact 17 and Proposition 18 with the chosen $\varepsilon$ yields the existence of an $\left(\alpha_{0}-\varepsilon\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling in $\mathcal{H}$, contradicting the choice of $\mathcal{H}$.

For a pair $\mathcal{M}_{i} \mathcal{M}_{j} \in\binom{\mathscr{M}}{2}$ we say the edge $e \in \mathcal{K}$ is $i j$-crossing if $\left|e \cap V\left(\mathcal{M}_{i}\right)\right|=$ $\left|e \cap V\left(\mathcal{M}_{j}\right)\right|=1$.

Claim 23. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all triples xij such that $x \in X, \mathcal{M}_{i} \mathcal{M}_{j} \in\binom{\mathcal{M}}{2}$ and there are at least 29 ij-crossing edges containing $x$. Then we have $|\mathcal{C}| \geq \gamma\binom{t}{2}|X| / 72$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of those hyperedges in $\mathcal{K}$ which are completely contained in $X$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all the edges with exactly two vertices in $X$. Then it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{A}| \leq \frac{7}{16}\binom{|X|}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad|\mathcal{B}| \leq \frac{7}{2}\binom{|X|}{2}|\mathscr{M}| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, assuming (8) and $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \gamma\binom{t}{2}|X| / 72$ we obtain the following contradiction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in X} \operatorname{deg}(x) & \leq 3|\mathcal{A}|+2|\mathcal{B}|+28\left(|X|\binom{|\mathscr{M}|}{2}-|\mathcal{C}|\right)+64|\mathcal{C}|+\binom{8}{2}|\mathscr{M}||X| \\
& \leq|X|\left[\frac{7}{16}\binom{|X|}{2}+\frac{7}{2}|X||\mathscr{M}|+28\binom{|\mathscr{M}|}{2}+\frac{36}{72} \gamma\binom{t}{2}+\binom{8}{2}|\mathscr{M}|\right] \\
& \leq|X|\left[\left(\frac{7}{16}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\binom{t}{2}+\binom{8}{2}|\mathscr{M}|\right] \\
& <|X| \delta_{1}(\mathcal{K})
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the third inequality we used $\binom{t}{2}=\binom{|X|}{2}+8|X||\mathscr{M}|+\binom{8|\mathscr{M}|}{2}$.
Note that the first part of (8) trivially holds since in the opposite case, using the first part of Proposition 12 we obtain a tight path in $X$ of length at least eight. However, this path contains a copy of $\mathcal{M}$ as a subhypergraph which yields a contradiction to the maximality of $\mathscr{M}$.

To complete the proof let us assume $|\mathcal{B}|>\frac{7}{2}\binom{|X|}{2}|\mathscr{M}|$ from which we deduce that there is an $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{M}$ such that $V\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ intersects at least $\frac{7}{2}\binom{|X|}{2}$ edges from $\mathcal{B}$. From $V\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ we remove the vertices which are contained in less than $10|X|$ edges from $\mathcal{B}$. Note that there are at least four vertices left and that there are still at least $(3+\varepsilon)\binom{|X|}{2}$ edges from $\mathcal{B}$ left which intersect these vertices. Hence, by a simple averaging argument we derive that there are two disjoint pairs $x_{1} x_{2}$, $x_{3} x_{4} \in\binom{X}{2}$ and four vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{4}$ from $V\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $x_{1} x_{2} v_{1}, x_{1} x_{2} v_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x_{3} x_{4} v_{3}, x_{3} x_{4} v_{4} \in \mathcal{K}$. For each $v_{i}$ we can find another edge from $\mathcal{B}$ containing $v_{i}$ keeping them all mutually disjoint and also disjoint from $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. This is possible since each $v_{i}$ is contained in more than $10|X|$ edges from $\mathcal{B}$. This, however, yields two copies of $\mathcal{M}$ which contradicts the fact that $\mathscr{M}$ was a largest possible $\mathcal{M}$-tiling.

The set $X$ will be used to show that there is an $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ such that $\mathcal{K}$ contains many copies of $\mathcal{L}$.

Claim 24. There is an element $\mathcal{L} \in \mathscr{L}_{29}$ and a family $\mathscr{L}$ of vertex disjoint copies of $\mathcal{L}$ in the cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon / 12, \varepsilon / 2, \mathcal{Q})$ such that $|\mathscr{L}| \geq \gamma \alpha_{0} t / 2^{75}$.

Proof. We consider the 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{C}$ (as given from Claim 23) on the vertex set $X \cup \mathscr{M}$. Note that for fixed $i j$ a vertex $x$ is contained in at most 64 $i j$-crossing edges, thus there are at most $2^{64}$ different hypergraphs with the property that $x$ is contained in at least 29 edges which are $i j$-crossing. We colour each edge $x i j$ by one of this $2^{64}$ colours, according to how the corresponding hypergraph induced on $\mathcal{M}_{i}, \mathcal{M}_{j}$ and $x$ looks like. On the one hand, we observe that a monochromatic tight path consisting of the two edges $x i j, x^{\prime} i j \in \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a copy of $\mathcal{L}$. On the other hand, Claim 23 implies that there is a colour such that at least

$$
\frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{2^{64}} \geq \frac{\gamma\binom{t}{2}|X|}{72 \cdot 2^{64}} \stackrel{(7)}{\geq} \frac{\alpha_{0} \gamma t^{3}}{2^{73}}
$$

edges in $\mathcal{C}$ are coloured by it. Hence, by Proposition 12 there is a tight path with $\alpha_{0} \gamma t / 2^{72}$ vertices using edges of this colour only. Note that in this tight path every three consecutive vertices contain one vertex from $X$ and the other two vertices are from $\mathscr{M}$. Thus, this path gives rise to at least $\alpha_{0} \gamma t / 2^{75}$ pairwise vertex disjoint tight paths on four vertices such that the ends are vertices from $X$.

For any $\mathcal{L}^{i} \in \mathscr{L}$ we know from Lemma 19 that there is a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$ tiling $h^{i}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{i}$ with $h_{\min }^{i} \geq 1 / 3$ and weight $w\left(h^{i}\right) \geq 16+1 / 3$. Furthermore, for every $\mathcal{M}^{j} \in \mathscr{M}$ which is not contained in any $\mathcal{L}^{i} \in \mathscr{L}$ we know from Fact 17 that there is a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling of $h^{j}$ of $\mathcal{M}^{j}$ with $h_{\text {min }}^{j} \geq 1 / 3$ and weight $w\left(h_{j}\right)=8$. Hence, the union of all these fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling gives rise to a fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ of $\mathcal{K}$ with $h_{\text {min }} \geq 1 / 3$ and weight

$$
w(h) \geq\left(16+\frac{1}{3}\right)|\mathscr{L}|+8(|\mathscr{M}|-2|\mathscr{L}|)=8|\mathscr{M}|+\frac{|\mathscr{L}|}{3}
$$

By applying Proposition 18 to the fractional $\operatorname{hom}(\mathcal{M})$-tiling $h$ we obtain an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$ which covers at least

$$
(w(h)-3 t \varepsilon)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{12}\right) \frac{n}{t} \geq\left(8|\mathscr{M}|+\frac{|\mathscr{L}|}{3}-3 t \varepsilon\right)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{12}\right) \frac{n}{t}
$$

vertices of $\mathcal{H}$. (Recall, that the vertex classes $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}$ from the regular partition $\mathcal{Q}$ had the same size, which was at least $(1-\varepsilon / 12) n / t$.)

Since $\mathscr{M}$ was an $\left(\alpha_{0}+26 \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{K}$ the tiling we obtained above is an $\left(\alpha_{0}-\varepsilon\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling of $\mathcal{H}$ due to the choice of $\varepsilon$. This, however, is a contradiction to the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ does not permit an $\left(\alpha_{0}-\varepsilon\right)$-deficient $\mathcal{M}$-tiling.
3.4. Proof of the path-tiling lemma. In this section we prove Lemma 10. The proof will use the following proposition which has been proven in [4] (see Lemma 20) in an even more general form, hence we omit the proof here.

Proposition 25. For all $d$ and $\beta>0$ there exist $\varepsilon>0$, integers $p$ and $m_{0}$ such that for all $m>m_{0}$ the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ is an $(\varepsilon, d)$-regular triple with $\left|V_{i}\right|=3 \mathrm{~m}$ for $i=1,2$ and $\left|V_{3}\right|=2 m$. Then there there is a loose path tiling of $\mathcal{V}$ which consists of at most $p$ pairwise vertex disjoint paths and which covers all but at most $\beta$ mertices of $\mathcal{V}$.

With this result at hand one can easily derive the path-tiling lemma (Lemma 10) from the $\mathcal{M}$-tiling lemma (Lemma 11).

Proof of Lemma 10. Given $\gamma>0$ and $\alpha>0$ we first apply Proposition 25 with $d=\gamma / 3$ and $\beta=\alpha / 4$ to obtain $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0, p^{\prime}$, and $m_{0}$. Next, we apply Lemma 11 with $\gamma / 2$ and $\alpha / 2$ to obtain $n_{11}$. Then we apply Proposition 15 with $\gamma, d$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{3} \min \left\{d / 2, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \alpha / 8\right\}$ from above and $t_{0}=n_{11}$ to obtain $T_{0}$ and $n_{15}$. Lastly we set $n_{0}=\max \left\{n_{15}, 2 T_{0} m_{0}\right\}$ and $p=p^{\prime} T_{0}$.

Given a 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on $n>n_{0}$ vertices which satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{H}) \geq$ $\left(\frac{7}{16}+\gamma\right)\binom{n}{2}$. By applying Proposition 15 with the constants chosen above we obtain an $(\varepsilon, t)$-regular partition $\mathcal{Q}$. Furthermore, we know that the corresponding cluster hypergraph $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, d, \mathcal{Q})$ satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{K}) \geq(7 / 16+\gamma / 2)\binom{t}{2}$. Hence, by Lemma 11 we know that there is an $\mathcal{M}$-tiling $\mathscr{M}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ which covers all but at most $\alpha t / 2$ vertices of $\mathcal{K}$. Note that the corresponding vertex classes in $\mathcal{H}$ contain all at most $\alpha n / 2+\left|V_{0}\right|$ vertices.

We want to apply Proposition 25 to each copy $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. To this end, let $\{1, \ldots, 8\}$ denote the vertex set of such an copy $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ and let $123,345,456,678$ denote the edges of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$. Further, for each $a \in V\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ let $V_{a}$ denote the corresponding partition class in $\mathcal{H}$. We split $V_{i}, i=3,4,5,6$, into two disjoint sets $V_{i}^{1}$ and $V_{i}^{2}$ of sizes $\left|V_{i}^{1}\right|=2\left|V_{i}\right| / 3$ and $\left|V_{i}^{2}\right|=\left|V_{i}\right| / 3$ for $i=3,6$ and $\left|V_{i}^{1}\right|=\left|V_{i}^{2}\right|=\left|V_{i}\right| / 2$ for $i=4,5$. Then the tuples $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}^{1}\right),\left(V_{8}, V_{7}, V_{6}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{3}^{2}, V_{4}^{1}, V_{5}^{1}\right),\left(V_{4}^{2}, V_{5}^{2}, V_{6}^{2}\right)$ all satisfy the condition of Proposition 25 , hence, there is a path tiling of these tuples consisting of at most $4 p^{\prime}$ paths which covers all but at most $12 \beta n / t$ vertices of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{8}$.

Since $\mathscr{M}$ contains at most $t / 8$ elements we obtain a path tiling which consists of at most $4 p^{\prime} t / 8 \leq p^{\prime} T_{0} / 2=p$ paths which covers all but at most $12 \beta n / t \times t / 8$ vertices. Hence, the total number of vertices in $\mathcal{H}$ not covered by the path tiling is at most $3 \beta n / 2+\alpha n / 2+\left|V_{0}\right| \leq \alpha n$ which completes the proof.
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