DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY 78/71 November 1978



JET ANALYSIS OF THE T(9.46) DECAY INTO CHARGED HADRONS

PLUTO Collaboration

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX, send them to the following address (if possible by air mail):

DESY Bibliothek Notkestrasse 85 2 Hamburg 52 Germany 自建设 小藥性

Jet Analysis of the T (9.46) Decay into Charged Hadrons

PLUTO Collaboration

- Ch. Berger, W. Lackas, F. Raupach, W. Wagner I. Physikalisches Institut der RWTH Aachen
- G. Alexander¹, L. Criegee, H. C. Dehne, K. Derikum, R. Devenish,
 G. Flügge, G. Franke, Ch. Gerke, E. Hackmack, P. Harms, G. Horlitz,
 Th. Kahl², G. Knies, E. Lehmann, B. Neumann, R. L. Thompson³, U. Timm,
 P. Waloschek, G. G. Winter, S. Wolff, W. Zimmermann
 Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg
 - O. Achterberg, V. Blobel, L. Boesten, H. Daumann, A. F. Garfinkel⁴,
 H. Kapitza, B. Koppitz, W. Lührsen, R. Maschuw, H. Spitzer
 R. van Staa, G. Wetjen
 - II. Institut für Experimentalphysik der Universität Hamburg
 - A. Bäcker, S. Brandt, J. Bürger, C. Grupen, H. J. Meyer, G. Zech Gesamthochschule Siegen
 - H. J. Daum, H. Meyer, O. Meyer, M. Rössler, K. Wacker Gesamthochschule Wuppertal

Hamburg, November 30, 1978 Submitted to Physics Letters

¹ On leave from Tel-Aviv University, Israel

² Now at Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, München

On leave from Humboldt University, Arcata, California, USA

⁴ On leave from Purdue University, W. Lafayette In., USA

Abstract

The direct decay into four and more charged particles of the T (9.46) formed in e^+e^- collisions is analysed for hadron jet configurations. The results are compared with the expectations of phase space, a $q\bar{q}$ 2-jet model and a QCD 3-gluon decay mechanism. Out of these three models, the 3-gluon decay describes best the data.

The recent observation of the $ilde{ ilde{T}}$ (9.46) as a narrow resonance formed in e⁺e⁻ collisions with Γ ee $\sim 1.2~{\rm keV}^{\left(1-3\right)}$ strongly supports its interpretation as a $b\bar{b}$ quark-pair bound state. Further it has been reported $^{(4)}$ that the e^te⁻ multi-hadron events at the T mass energy deviate significantly from the two-jet structure observed for $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ at lower nearby energies (4,5). In the context of the QCD model, one of the interesting suggestions is that the T decay into hadrons should mainly be mediated via three gluons (6). A direct consequence of this suggestion is the formation of three hadron jets coming from the gluons' fragmentation. These gluons however, are produced with a relatively low energy and a predicted angular distribution which favours two of the gluons to have a relatively small angle between them(7). This being the case, the resulting three hadron jets may well be experimentally unresolvable in space even when gluons fragment like quarks. Hence several authors (7-11) have investigated the expected features of the T decay into three gluons in terms of multi-particle variables used in jet analyses such as sphericity and thrust. In particular, since the three gluons are produced in a plane, one may expect that the T decay particles will be confined to a flat momentum space volume. In addition, QCD also specifies the angular distributions for that decay plane and for the most energetic gluon flight direction relative to the beam axis. In this letter we present our results for the T direct decay into hadrons analysed with some of the methods proposed for the detection of the 3-gluon decay mechanism.

The experiment was carried out at the e^+e^- storage ring DORIS with the magnetic detector PLUTO having a geometrical acceptance of $0.92x4\pi$ sr for charged hadrons and $0.94x4\pi$ sr for photons and electrons. The e^+e^- annihilation data used here, were taken in the center of mass energy range of 9.30 to 9.48 GeV with a total integrated luminosity of 396 nb⁻¹. Following our previous two-jet structure analysis $^{(4)}$, we have selected events having at least four fully reconstructed charged tracks coming from the interaction vertex. Appropriate cuts have been introduced to remove beam-gas interactions and QED background and to reduce the contribution from multi-prong τ -decay events. With these cuts, the remaining background in our multi-hadron sample is estimated to be less than 3 %. Description of the cuts and other details

concerning the experiment have been given elsewhere $^{(1,4)}$. For the analysis we have taken the T mass region to be between 9.45 and 9.47 GeV containing 1418 events. The 420 events accumulated in the 9.30 to 9.44 GeV region (off-resonance sample with 9.4 GeV average energy) where used to determine the $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ features of the continuum under the T resonance.

The contributions to the multi-hadron events in the ${\tt T}$ mass region come from three sources: the non-resonating continuum, the ${\tt T}$ decay via the vacuum polarisation (one photon decay) and the ${\tt T}$ direct decay. Since here we are interested in the ${\tt T}$ direct decay, the contributions of the other two sources have to be subtracted. The subtraction used throughout this analysis was made with the help of the expression

$$\sigma^{\text{dir}} = \sigma^{\text{on}} - \sigma^{\text{off}} - \sigma^{\text{VP}} = \sigma^{\text{on}} - \sigma^{\text{off}} - \sigma^{\text{off}} - \sigma^{\text{off}} \left(\frac{\sigma^{\text{on}} - \sigma^{\text{off}}}{\sigma^{\text{off}}}\right)$$

where $\sigma^{\mbox{dir}}$ is the T direct decay cross section, $\sigma^{\mbox{on}}$ and $\sigma^{\mbox{off}}$ are our measured cross sections at the T energy and at 9.4 GeV $^{(1)}$ and $\sigma^{\mbox{VP}}$ is the T partial decay into hadrons via the vacuum polarisation. Using our measured value $(\sigma^{\mbox{on}}_{\mu\mu}-\sigma^{\mbox{off}}_{\mu\mu})/\sigma^{\mbox{off}}_{\mu\mu}=0.24\pm0.22~^{(12)}$ and the number of events in the two energy regions, an unnormalised distribution for the T direct decay is given by the distribution at the T mass subtracted by 1.32 times the off-resonance distribution where the subtraction is made bin by bin. In this method the contribution of the T events to the T hadron sample is subtracted as well. Since the main subtraction is due to the continuum, the results for the T direct decay depend only slightly on the specific value of $(\sigma^{\mbox{on}}_{\mu\mu}-\sigma^{\mbox{off}}_{\mu\mu})/\sigma^{\mbox{off}}_{\mu\mu}$.

In the present analysis we have compared our data with three alternative models. The first, a $q\bar{q}$ 2-jet model formulated by Field and Feynman $^{(13)}$ and the second a multi-pion phase space. The third model considered is the proposed three gluon decay for the T direct transition into hadrons. To this end we have used the T 3-gluon decay matrix element described in reference 8. In addition we have assumed that the gluon jet has the same features as the observed hadron jets of the continuum data at a similar energy. The predictions of these three models were calculated by a Monte-Carlo method simulating the detector and all experimental cuts.

To measure the deviation of the ${\tt T}$ multi-hadron decay from a two-jet structure, we have first used the sphericity variable defined as

$$S = 3/2 \min \left(\Sigma_i p_{ti}^2 \right) / \left(\Sigma_i p_i^2 \right)$$

where the summation runs over all charged particles and p_t is the transverse momentum relative to a given axis. To calculate the sphericity we formed for each event the tensor $^{(14)}$

$$\mathsf{T}^{\alpha\beta} = \Sigma_{\mathbf{i}} \; (\mathsf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}^{2} \mathsf{S}^{\alpha\beta} - \mathsf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\alpha} \; \mathsf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\beta})$$

where α and β are the coordinate indices. Ordering the eigenvalues λ_k of $T^{\alpha\beta}$ so that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ the sphericity is given by

$$S = 3 \lambda_3 / (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)$$

In figures 1a-c we show the differential sphericity distributions for the off-resonance data, the data at the T mass and the subtracted distribution for the T direct decay. For comparison we show in figure 1a the 2-jet model prediction (dash-dotted line) and in figure 1c the expectations of phase space (dashed line) and the 3-gluon decay model (solid line).

The off-resonance data is adequately described by the 2-jet model. The distribution for the T direct decay is seen to be shifted to higher sphericity values, approaching phase space, but it is best described by the 3-gluon decay. These features are further illustrated in figure 2a where the mean sphericity $\langle S \rangle$ is plotted for the T data and for data from our experiment at lower energies $^{(4)}$. The phase space expectation is shown in the same figure by the shaded area. The width of this area is the estimated variation in $\langle S \rangle$ due to uncertainties in the multiplicity and the fraction of neutrals to be taken for the phase space calculations. The dash-dotted line in the figure, which represents the 2-jet model predicition, is lower than the continuum data a . In making this comparison one should

^a The comparison is made only at the higher energy end of our data where the 2-jet model should be at its best. Note that the value of the model at 9.4 GeV is somewhat lower than that given by us previously (4).

note that in the 2-jet model, formulated in reference 13, charm production is not accounted for. A large increase over the 9.4 GeV continuum is seen for <S> of the T direct decay which reaches the value 0.38 ± 0.01 . This value is about four standard deviations away from phase space and is consistent within errors with the value of the T 3-gluon decay generated sample (see also table 1). Our experimental value is also consistent with the theoretical QCD value, given by Hagiwara $^{(10)}$, of <S> $^{\sim}$ 0.4. This theoretical value does include the smearing effects due to the gluon fragmentation but is not corrected for our experimental losses.

We have further checked whether the relative change in <S> at the T energy is only due to the increase in the mean observed multiplicity which in our experiment rises from <n_{ch}> = 4.9 \pm 0.1 at 9.4 GeV to <n_{ch}> = 5.9 \pm 0.1 for the T direct decay b . To answer this question we divided our data into three multiplicity groups of 4 prongs, 5 and 6 prongs and 7 or more prongs. The <S> values for these charge multiplicity groups are shown in figure 2b for the 9.4 GeV continuum data and for the T direct decay. As can be seen, the mean sphericity increases with multiplicity. However, in all three multiplicity groups the <S> value for the T direct decay is significantly higher than the corresponding continuum value and consistent within errors with the 3-gluon decay prediction.

We have repeated the jet analysis using the alternative thrust variable T proposed as a convenient quantity for QCD calculations (11,15). The conclusions obtained from the thrust analysis are very similar to those derived from the sphericity study. As can be seen from table 1, our experimental mean thrust value of <T> = 0.76 \pm 0.01 is in agreement with that obtained for the generated 3-gluon decay sample. The theoretical QCD estimated value of <T> \sim 0.77 is also consistent with our data (7).

Next we examine the angular distribution of the two-jet axis with respect to the beam direction. In the case of a 3-gluon decay, this axis should coincide with the direction of the most energetic gluon. The angular distribution of that axis has recently been evaluated theoretically $^{(7)}$ to be of the form $1+\alpha\cos^2\theta$. The value of α is expected to vary from +1 for perfectly aligned gluons to 0.2 for equal energy gluons. The value of $<\alpha>$,

b The quoted <n_{ch}> values are the observed mean charge multiplicities using all events with two or more charged prongs.

averaged over the whole S (or T) range, is estimated to be 0.39 and should be rather independent of the detailed gluon fragmentation properties. Due to our limited statistics we are unable to study this distribution as function of S or T. Hence we show in figures 1d-f the experimental angular distributions of the two-jet axis, as determined by the sphericity, for the off and on-resonance data and for the T direct decay for all the events. These distributions are corrected for the detector acceptance losses. As has already been shown previously $^{(4)}$, the distribution for the off-resonance data is consistent with $1+\cos^2\theta$, in accordance with a $q\bar{q}$ two-jet production. A fit to the angular distribution of the T direct decay data yields the value $<\alpha>=0.83\pm0.23$ to be compared with the theoretical QCD estimate of 0.39.

The three gluons, proposed as a mechanism for the T decay into hadrons, are produced in one plane. Consequently in the process of fragmentation some degree of planar configuration should be retained. A measure of the flatness of an event can be extracted from the eigenvalues λ_k discussed above. For this purpose we introduce the quantities Q_k defined as

$$Q_{k} = 1 - \frac{2\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}} = \frac{\Sigma_{i} (P_{i}^{k})^{2}}{\Sigma_{i} (P)^{2}}$$

where p_{II}^k is the particle momentum component along the axis associated with the eigenvalue λ_k . For the order $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ one obtains $Q_1 < Q_2 < Q_3$. The quantity Q_1 is then a measure for the flatness of the event and it can vary from 0 for an ideal planar event to 1/3 for a perfect spherical configuration. Since $Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 = 1$, the overall spatial configuration of an event can conveniently be represented by a point in an equilateral Dalitz-like triangle with the x-axis equal to $(Q_3 - Q_2)/\sqrt{3}$ and the y-axis equal to Q_1 . With the condition $Q_1 \leq Q_2 \leq Q_3$ the allowed region is restricted to a rectangular sub-triangle of the type shown in figure 3a where for illustration we show the distribution of the 2-jet model generated events. In that sub-triangle, the vertex A $(Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_3 = 1/3)$ corresponds to a perfect spherical configuration, the vertex B $(Q_1 = 0; Q_2 = Q_3 = 1/2)$ to a planar circular disc and the vertex C $(Q_1 = Q_2 = 0; Q_3 = 1)$ to a perfectly aligned two-jet structure.

In figure 3 we show the three dimensional triangle plots for our off-resonance data and for the T direct decay. In these plots the height over the different triangle regions is proportional to the relative event density. For comparison we show in the same figure the triangle plots for the three Monte-Carlo generated samples of the models. As expected, the 2-jet model sample is concentrated near the vertex C where evidently the Q_1 values are very small implying a flat event configuration. The distribution of the phase space events is spread over a large region of the triangle. We observe that the off-resonance data is similar to the generated 2-jet sample. The triangle plot for the T direct decay data on the other hand, is best described by the 3-gluon decay sample and is significantly different from both the phase space and 2-jet model distributions.

The mean values of Q_1 are shown in figure 4a for the T data and for our data at lower energies. In the same figure we also show the phase space expectation and the prediction of the $q\bar{q}$ 2-jet model. Below ~ 5 GeV, including the J/ψ resonance, the data is consistent with phase space. Above 5 GeV a gradual decrease of $<Q_1>$ is seen as the event configuration is becoming more and more dominated by a two-jet structure. At 9.4 GeV the $<Q_1>$ of the continuum is just slightly above the value of the 2-jet model. A sharp rise is seen for the $<Q_1>$ of the T direct decay reaching the value of 0.054 \pm 0.003, significantly below the phase space region but in good agreement with the corresponding value for the 3-gluon decay generated sample. We have observed this rise of the $<Q_1>$ value to occur in all event multiplicity groups.

In figure 4b and 4c we show the normalised inclusive P_{out} distributions for all charged particles where p_{out} is the particle momentum component prependicular to the plane associated with \mathbb{Q}_1 . The distribution for the off-resonance data, shown in figure 4b, is in good agreement with the 2-jet model. The corresponding distribution for the T direct decay, shown in figure 4c, is again well described by the 3-gluon decay model and is clearly inconsistent with phase space (see also table 1).

An alternative measure for the planar configuration of a multi-particle event is given by the acoplanarity variable (11) defined as $A = 4 \, \min \left[\left(\Sigma_i \mid p_{out} \mid \right) \mid / \mid \Sigma_i \mid p \mid \right] \right]^2.$ To calculate the value A for our events we

have utilised the combinatorial method proposed in reference 16. The results for the mean acoplanarity <A> are given in table 1 where they are compared with the expectations of the different models. Here as before the 2-jet model is in agreement with the off-resonance data and the T direct decay result matches the 3-gluon decay expectation.

In summary, we have shown that the properties of the T direct decay into hadrons do deviate strongly from the two jet structure observed for the non-resonating data at 9.4 GeV. The data is clearly inconsistent with a pure multi-pion phase space. We cannot completely rule out a description of the T direct decay data in terms of a phase space model modified by the inclusion of intermediate resonances or by an admixture of a qq jets decay channel. Impressive however is the fact that all the quantities related to the momentum space configuration of our data are found to be in good agreement with the proposed 3-gluon decay mechanism. This is the case for the differential distributions as well as the mean values of the quantities summarised in table 1. More elaborate tests proposed for the 3-gluon decay hypothesis, such as the angular behaviour of the sphericity axis, are less conclusive due to the statistical limitation of the present data. Finally, in the framework of the 3-gluon decay it is expected (8,9,17,18) that the hadron multiplicity of the T direct decay will be significantly higher than that of the continuum at nearby energies. The recent QCD theoretical estimate $^{(18)}$ of $\Delta < n_{ch} > \sim 1.5$ - 3 is not far from our observed experimental value of $\Delta < n_{ch} > = 1.0 \pm 0.15$.

Acknowledgements

We thank our technical staff for their superb contributions to the construction and operation of the PLUTO detector. We are indebted to the DORIS storage ring group for their efforts in support of our experiment. We thank our cryogenic magnet group for their continuous service. Our thanks are also due to H.G. Sander for providing us with an event generator based on a $q\bar{q}$ 2-jet model. Finally we would like to thank K. Koller, H. Krasemann and T.F. Walsh for many instructive discussions.

The non-DESY members of the PLUTO group would like to thank the DESY directorate for their kind hospitality. The work at Aachen, Hamburg and Siegen has been supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie.

References

- 1. PLUTO collaboration, Ch. Berger et al, Phys. Lett. B76 (1978) 243.
- 2. C. W. Darden et al, Phys. Lett. B76 (1978) 246.
- 3. J. K. Bienlein et al, Phys. Lett. B78 (1978) 360.
- 4. PLUTO collaboration, Ch. Berger et al, Phys. Lett. B78 (1978) 176.
- 5. G. Hanson et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 (1975) 1609.
- 6. T. Appelquist and H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u> (1975) 43 and Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 1404.
- 7. K. Koller, H. Krasemann and T. F. Walsh, DESY report 78/37, Zeits. für Physik C, in print.
- 8. K. Koller and T. F. Walsh, Phys. Lett. <u>B72</u> (1977) 227; <u>B73</u> (1978) 504 and Nucl. Phys. <u>B140</u> (1978) 449.
- 9. T. A. DeGrand et al, Phys. Rev. <u>D16</u> (1977) 3251; S. Brodsky et al, Phys. Lett. <u>B73</u> (1978) 203; H. Fritzsch and K. H. Streng, Phys. Lett. <u>B74</u> (1978) 90.
- 10. K. Hagiwara, Nucl. Phys. B137 (1978) 164.
- 11. A. de Rújula et al, Nucl. Phys. B138 (1978) 387.
- 12. PLUTO Collaboration, to be published.
- 13. R. D. Field and R. P. Feynman, Nucl. Phys. B136 (1978) 1.

- 14. J. D. Bjorken and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D1 (1970) 1416.
- 15. E. Fahri, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u> (1977) 1587; see also S. Brandt et al, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 57.
- 16. S. Brandt and H. D. Dahmen, Siegen preprint SI 78-8, Zeits. f. Physik C, in print.
- 17. S. J. Brodsky and J. Gunion, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u> (1976) 402.
- 18. I. I. Y. Bigi and S. Nussinov, preprint MPI-PAE/PTh 44/78, to be published.


Figure Captions


- Figure 1. Differential sphericity distributions and the sphericity axis angular distributions. The dash-dotted line in a) represents the 2-jet model. The dashed and solid lines in c) represent respectively phase space and the 3-gluon decay models. The dash-dotted line in d) is proportional to $1+\cos^2\theta$ and the solid line in f) to $1+0.39\cos^2\theta$.
- Figure 2. The mean observed sphericity <S> compared to phase space, 2-jet model and 3-gluon decay mechanism. a) <S> as function of E_{CM} for events with > 4 prongs. b) <S> as function of multiplicity for the data at 9.4 GeV and for the T direct decay.
- Figure 3. Triangle plots of the momentum space configuration, Q_1 against (Q_3-Q_2) / $\sqrt{3}$, for the data and for the three Monte-Carlo samples. The vertices A, B and C correspond respectively to a perfect sphere, a planar circular disc and a perfect two-jet structures.
- Figure 4. a) The mean observed Q_1 as function of E_{CM} for events with \Rightarrow 4 prongs. b) and c) The inclusive p_{out} distributions for the 9.4 GeV data and for the T direct decay. The dash-dotted line in b) represents the 2-jet model. The dashed and solid lines in c) represent respectively phase space and 3-gluon decay.

	м. с.	DATA		M. C.	
	2-jet	9.4 GeV	T DIRECT	3-GLUON	PHASE SPACE
<\$>	0.22	0.27 ±0.01	0.38 ±0.01	0.35 ±0.03	0.46 ±0.02
<q<sub>1></q<sub>	0.030	0.035 ±0.002	0.054 ±0.003	0.050 ±0.005	0.067 ±0.005
<pout></pout>	0.115	0.122 ±0.003	0.132 ±0.003	0.140 ±0.006	0.177 ±0.006
<↑>	0.84	0.82 ±0.01	0.76 ±0.01	0.76 ±0.01	0.73 ±0.01
<a>	0.084	0.096 ±0.005	0.14 ±0.01	0.14 ±0.01	0.16 ±0.01

Table 1.

The observed mean values for sphericity S, Q_1 , p_{out} , thrust T and acoplanarity A. The data is compared with three models computed via the Monte-Carlo method to simulate the experimental conditions. The errors given for the values of the phase space and 3-gluon decay models include systematic uncertainties.








Fig.3




Fig.4

