

DESY 77/73
November 1977



Separation of σ_L and σ_T in γ -Electroproduction at the Resonance $S_{11}(1535)$

by

E. M. Brasse, M. Pfänder, G. Bayler, U. Gattardo, S. P. Hue, J. Goss, J. J. K. H. Müller, M. Meckler, J. Rupp, H. Vahl

Abstract

The ratio $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$ of longitudinal and transverse cross sections for the reaction $ep \rightarrow ep$ at the resonance $S_{11}(1535)$ was measured at momentum transfers $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 . The transverse part dominates the longitudinal part of the cross section. Averaging from $W = 1.49 \text{ GeV}$ to $W = 1.58 \text{ GeV}$ we obtain $R = 0.15 \pm 0.18$ at $q^2 = 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $R = -0.06 \pm 0.16$ at $q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$.

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :

DESY
Bibliothek
2 Hamburg 52
Notkestieg 1
Germany

Introduction

It is one of the remarkable facts of electroproduction in the resonance region, that the electro-excitation of the two resonances $S_{11}(1535)$ and $D_{13}(1520)$ show different dependence on momentum transfer (q^2). The available data on $S_{11}(1535)$; electroproduction^{1,2,3} and the total ep cross sections in that region⁴ show that the electroproduction cross section of $S_{11}(1535)$ at $q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ is reduced by at most 40 % compared to its value at $q^2 = 0$, whereas $D_{13}(1520)$ is reduced by at least 70 %.

In principle the flat q^2 dependence of $S_{11}(1535)$ could be due to a significant contribution of σ_L which has to vanish at $q^2 = 0$.

Electroproduction of the resonance $S_{11}(1535)$ can be studied by the reaction $ep \rightarrow e\eta$ which is a good indicator of $S_{11}(1535)$ due to the large branching ratio of the resonance into the decay channel ηp . All reported experiments on η production so far^{1,2,3} measured at small electron scattering angles and at values of the polarization ϵ of the virtual exchanged photons around 0.9. These experiments therefore determined essentially the sum of σ_L and σ_T .

It is the purpose of the present experiment to determine the ratio $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$ for η production in the region of $S_{11}(1535)$ by variation of the electron scattering angle. The measurements were done at momentum transfers $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 where we had performed detailed measurements already at $\epsilon = 0.9$.

Kinematics

We express the cross sections of the reaction $ep \rightarrow e\eta$ in terms of the virtual photon absorption cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega^*$ in the CMS of the final hadrons which is related to the differential coincidence cross section $d^5\sigma/dE_e' d\Omega_e' d\Omega_\eta^*$ by

$$\frac{d^5\sigma}{dE_e' d\Omega_e' d\Omega_\eta^*} = \Gamma_T \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_\eta^*} = \Gamma_T \left[\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_\eta^*} \right)_T + \epsilon \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_\eta^*} \right)_L \right] \quad (1)$$

The photon flux factor Γ_T and the polarization ϵ of the transverse photons are defined as usually (e.g. ref. 5).

Separation of σ_L and σ_T in η - Electroproduction at the

Resonance $S_{11}(1535)$

by

F.W. Brasse, W. Flauger, J. Gayler, V. Gerhardt, S.P. Goel⁺, C. Gößling,
R. Haidan, M. Merkwitz, D. Pösch, H. Wriedt

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

Abstract:

The ratio $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$ of longitudinal and transverse cross sections for the reaction $ep \rightarrow e\eta$ at the resonance $S_{11}(1535)$ was measured at momentum transfers $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 . The transverse part dominates the longitudinal part of the cross section. Averaging from $W = 1.49 \text{ GeV}$ to $W = 1.58 \text{ GeV}$ we obtain $R = 0.15 \pm 0.18$ at $q^2 = 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $R = -0.06 \pm 0.16$ at $q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$.

⁺ Now at Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, India

If the assumption holds that in the final state only contributions of S-wave, interference of S-wave with P-wave and P-wave with total angular momentum 1/2 are present, the differential cross section can be described by:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*} = A_0 + \epsilon B_0 + (A_1 + \epsilon B_1) \cos\theta^* + D_0 \sqrt{2\epsilon(\epsilon + 1)} \sin\theta^* \cos\phi \quad (2)$$

The coefficients A_0 through D_0 are functions of W , the invariant mass of the final $n\bar{p}$ system, and the momentum transfer q^2 only.

The angles θ^* and ϕ are the CMS polar and azimuthal production angles of the η meson (see fig. 1).

If the reaction proceeds via S-wave excitation, the cross section reduces to

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*} = A_0 + \epsilon B_0$$

The ratio of longitudinal to transverse excitation is then given by

$$R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T = B_0 / A_0.$$

We determined the dominating term $A_0 + \epsilon B_0$ and the two small terms $A_1 + \epsilon B_1$ and D_0 before at $\epsilon \approx 0.9$ for momentum transfers $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 . The present experiment partially repeats these measurements at $\epsilon = 0.9$ and determines σ_L by measuring in addition at $\epsilon \approx 0.5$.

Apparatus

The experimental setup is described in more detail in ref. 3. Only a short description is given here. The measurements are done in an external e^- beam of DESY. The primary beam hits a 12 cm liquid hydrogen target. The intensity is controlled by a secondary emission monitor, which was compared many times during the experiment to a Faraday cup. The scattered electron is detected in a focussing vertically bending spectrometer. It is identified by a threshold CO_2 -Cerenkov- and a sandwich

shower counter. The horizontal angle ϑ_e of the spectrometer can be varied from 15° to 57° . A proton is detected in coincidence with the scattered e^- in a non focussing spectrometer consisting of a vertically bending magnet, a system of proportional chambers mounted at the magnet exit, and a scintillator hodoscope. The trajectory is defined by the target and the intersections with the proportional chamber and the scintillator hodoscope.

The horizontal angle of the proton spectrometer ϑ_p can be varied from 24° to 70° .

The apparatus stayed essentially unchanged, when switching from the measurements at $\epsilon \approx 0.9$ to the measurements at $\epsilon \approx 0.5$. The only necessary changes besides the primary energy concerned the currents of the e^- -spectrometer magnets and the horizontal angles of both spectrometers. The current of the proton spectrometer magnet remained unchanged.

The data at $\epsilon \approx 0.9$ have been taken at $\vartheta_e = 15^\circ$ and $\vartheta_p = 34^\circ$, at $\epsilon \approx 0.5$ $\vartheta_e = 45^\circ$ and $\vartheta_p = 24^\circ$ were chosen.

The whole apparatus was tested at various times during the experiment by elastic ep coincidence measurements.

As a further test the total ep cross section was measured continuously during the experiment. The results obtained are in reasonable agreement with the data of ref. 8.

Data Analysis

The secondary electron and the recoiling proton are detected in coincidence. Protons are distinguished from π^+ mesons by time of flight. The reaction $ep \rightarrow e\bar{p}n$ is defined by the missing mass. The missing mass spectra at $\epsilon \approx 0.9$ in comparison to $\epsilon \approx 0.5$ are shown in fig. 2 at $W = 1.535 \text{ GeV}$.

Acceptances and various corrections have been calculated by a Monte Carlo simulation of the whole experiment. The W and q^2 dependence of η production used for the simulation was taken from ref. 3. Radiation corrections have been incorporated into the Monte Carlo simulation including internal and external radiation of the electrons. Also, a multipion background has been added according to a phase space distribution. Experimental and Monte Carlo events were then analysed by the same program.

The multipion background has been subtracted from the data by a fit to the events outside the η peak in the missing mass distribution of each bin ($\Delta W = 30$ MeV, $\Delta \cos \theta^* = 0.2$, $\Delta \phi = 30^\circ$). The method is described in more detail in ref. 3.

The data are corrected for empty target rate (up to 1 %), nuclear absorption (≈ 1 %), inefficiencies and multi track events (up to 9 %), random background (up to 14 %) was subtracted.

Results

Due to the fact that the apparatus was kept essentially unchanged (see above), the angular acceptance stays the same at the settings with large and small ϵ ($-1 < \cos \theta^* < 1$, $15^\circ < \phi < 90^\circ$). Some examples of angular distributions are presented in fig. 3. A complete list of all measured differential cross sections will be given in ref. 7.

Fits according to eq. (2) to the individual distributions, at given ϵ and bin of W , show the dominance of the term $A_0 + \epsilon B_0$ as observed already in ref. 3.

The results given in table 1 and

fig. 4 have been obtained keeping only $A_0 + \epsilon B_0$ and $A_1 + \epsilon B_1$ as free parameters in the fits according eq. (2). Clearly the results are very similar at both values of ϵ , consequently σ_L must be small in η production. The integrated cross sections at $\epsilon \approx 0.9$ are in good agreement with our earlier results³⁾ (fig. 5). The DESY points in fig. 5 do not contain an estimated systematic error of 6 %.

We determine the ratio of longitudinal to transverse production $R = B_0/A_0$ from the results on $A_0 + \epsilon B_0$ at the two values of ϵ . In table 2 and fig. 6 R is given as function of W at $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV². The errors in table 2 and fig. 6 contain statistical and systematic errors. The latter result from our estimated uncertainty of 5 % to measure the ratios of η production cross sections at $\epsilon \approx 0.9$ and $\epsilon \approx 0.5$.

Averaging over the whole accepted range of W from $W = 1.49$ GeV to $W = 1.58$ GeV we obtain $R = 0.15 \pm 0.18$ at $q^2 = 0.6$ GeV² and $R = -0.06 \pm 0.16$ at $q^2 = 1$ GeV². This compares well with a recently reported experimental result¹²⁾

$$R = 0.16 \pm 0.10 \text{ at } q^2 = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2.$$

The multipole analysis of Devenish and Lyth⁹⁾ predicts R to be around 0.2 at $q^2 = 0.6$ GeV² and around 0.12 at $q^2 = 1$ GeV².

In conclusion, η production in the region of $S_{11}(1535)$ at $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV² has been found to be dominated by the transverse part of the cross section.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge the excellent performance of the Synchrotron, the Hallendienst and the Rechenzentrum. We thank J. Koll, G. Singer, K. Thiele, H. Weiß and Mrs. H. Klement for careful technical work, G. Wolf for reading the manuscript.

References

1. P.S. Kummer, E. Ashburner, F. Foster, G. Hughes, R. Siddle, J. Allison, B. Dickinson, E. Evangelides, M. Ibbotson, R.S. Lawson, R.S. Meaburn, H.E. Montgomery, W.J. Shuttleworth, Phys. Rev. Letters 30 (1973) 873
2. U. Beck, K.H. Becks, V. Burkert, J. Drees, B. Dresbach, B. Gerhardt, G. Knop, H. Kolanoski, M. Leenen, K. Moser, H. Müller, C.H. Nietzel, J. Päsier, K. Rith, M. Rosenberg, R. Sauerwein, E. Schlösser, H.E. Stier, Physics Letters 51B (1974) 103
3. J.-C. Alder, F.W. Brasse, W. Fehrenbach, J. Gayler, R. Haidan, G. Glöe, S.P. Goel, V. Korbel, W. Kzechlok, J. May, M. Merkwitz, R. Schmitz, W. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. B91 (1975) 386
4. S. Stein et al., Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 1884
5. J.-C. Alder et al., Nucl. Phys. B46 (1972) 573
6. C.W. Akerlof et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 14 (1965) 1036
7. H. Wriedt, Thesis, University of Hamburg (to be published)
8. F.W. Brasse et al., Nuclear Physics B110 (1976) 413
9. R.C.E. Devenish, D.H. Lyth, Nucl. Phys. B93 (1975) 169

10. R. Prepost et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 18 (1967) 82
 Cambridge Bubble Chamber Group, Phys. Rev. 169 (1968) 1081
 C. Bacci et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 20 (1968) 571
 ABBHM-Collaboration, Phys. Rev. 175 (1968) 1669
 E.D. Bloom et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 21 (1968) 1100
 B. Delcourt et al., Phys. Letters 29B (1969) 75
 11. Particle Data Group, Rev. Mod. Phys. 48 (1976) S1
 12. H. Breuker, V. Burkert, E. Ehses, W. Hillen, G. Knop, H. Kolanoski,
 M. Leenen, Ch. Nietzel, M. Rosenberg, A. Samei, R. Sauerwein,
 Contribution to the Lepton Conference 1977, Hamburg, paper 123

Table 1

Angular Coefficients of the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow np$. The errors do not contain systematic errors of 6 %.

q^2 [GeV ²]	W [MeV]	ϵ	$A_0 + \epsilon B_0$ [$\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$]	$A_1 + \epsilon B_1$ [$\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$]
0.62	1505	0.51	0.917 ± 0.080	0.202 ± 0.145
0.60	1505	0.91	0.916 ± 0.025	0.070 ± 0.041
0.59	1535	0.49	0.962 ± 0.055	0.013 ± 0.093
0.59	1535	0.90	1.048 ± 0.033	0.129 ± 0.057
0.56	1565	0.47	0.689 ± 0.065	-0.022 ± 0.118
0.58	1565	0.90	0.826 ± 0.036	0.050 ± 0.060
0.57	1595	0.89	0.507 ± 0.035	-0.125 ± 0.060
1.02	1505	0.53	0.619 ± 0.060	0.073 ± 0.105
0.99	1505	0.92	0.638 ± 0.026	0.000 ± 0.045
0.98	1535	0.52	0.873 ± 0.076	0.053 ± 0.129
0.98	1535	0.91	0.812 ± 0.036	0.166 ± 0.061
0.94	1565	0.50	0.653 ± 0.103	0.021 ± 0.183
0.97	1565	0.91	0.618 ± 0.031	0.013 ± 0.051
0.95	1595	0.91	0.386 ± 0.028	-0.101 ± 0.045
0.94	1625	0.90	0.306 ± 0.030	-0.092 ± 0.050

Table 2

The ratio of longitudinal to transverse cross section $R = B_0/A_0$.

W [GeV]	$R, q^2 = 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$	$R, q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
1.505	0.00 ± 0.21 - 0.16	0.08 ± 0.32 - 0.22
1.535	0.24 ± 0.28 - 0.21	-0.16 ± 0.22 - 0.16
1.565	0.59 ± 0.54 - 0.36	-0.12 ± 0.27 - 0.19

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Definition of angles.

Fig. 2 Missing mass spectra at $\epsilon = 0.5$ and $\epsilon = 0.9$ at $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 computed from the detected protons in coincidence with electrons. The data are from the range $1.52 < W < 1.55 \text{ GeV}$.

Fig. 3 Examples of angular distributions of $\gamma_{\nu p} \rightarrow np$ at $W = 1.535 \text{ GeV}$, $\phi = 60^\circ$. Solid line: fits according eq. (2) (see text).

Fig. 4 Coefficients of angular distributions $A_0 + \epsilon B_0$ and $A_1 + \epsilon B_1$ as function of W at $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 with $\epsilon = 0.5$ and $\epsilon = 0.9$.

Fig. 5 Total cross section of $\gamma_{\nu p} \rightarrow np$ determined as $4\pi(A_0 + \epsilon B_0)$ at $\epsilon = 0.9$ and $W = 1.535$ in comparison with former experiments. The point at $q^2 = 0$ has been obtained by averaging the results of ref. 10, corrected for the branching ratios of ref. 11.

Fig. 6 Ratio of longitudinal to transverse η production $R = B_0/A_0$ as function of W at $q^2 = 0.6$ and 1 GeV^2 .






Fig. 1

W = 1.535 GeV

EPSILON = 0.5

EPSILON = 0.9

Q**2 = 0.6 GEV**2



Q**2 = 1.0 GEV**2





Fig. 2



MISSING MASS SQUARED (GEV**2)

W = 1.535 GeV

EPSILON = 0.5

EPSILON = 0.9

Q**2 = 0.6 GEV**2



Q**2 = 1.0 GEV**2





Fig. 3

COS THETA*




Fig. 5




Fig. 4





Fig. 6