

Smooth Functors vs. Differential Forms

Urs Schreiber and Konrad Waldorf

Department Mathematik Schwerpunkt Algebra und Zahlentheorie Universität Hamburg Bundesstraße 55 D-20146 Hamburg

Abstract

We establish a relation between smooth 2-functors defined on the path 2-groupoid of a smooth manifold and differential forms on this manifold. This way we set up a dictionary between fundamental notions from category theory and differential geometry. We show that smooth 2-functors appear in several fields, namely as connections on (non-abelian) gerbes, as derivatives of smooth functors and as critical points in BF theory. We demonstrate further that our dictionary provides a powerful tool to discuss the transgression of geometric objects to loop spaces.

Table of Contents

Introduction 2			
1	Review: Smooth Functors and 1-Forms		6
	1.1	The Path Groupoid of a Smooth Manifold	6
	1.2	Diffeological Spaces	8
	1.3	Equivalence between Functors and Forms	10
2	Smooth 2-Functors and Differential Forms		14
	2.1	The Path 2-Groupoid of a Smooth Manifold	15
	2.2	From Functors to Forms	18
	2.3	From Forms to Functors	29
	2.4	Main Theorem	40
3	Examples of Smooth 2-Functors		43
	3.1	Connections on (non-abelian) Gerbes	43
	3.2	Derivatives of Smooth Functors	45
	3.3	Classical Solutions in BF-Theory	47
4	Transgression to Loop Spaces		47
	4.1	Generalization to Diffeological Spaces	48
	4.2	Induced Structure on the Loop Space	52
A	ppen	dix	60
	A.1	Basic 2-Category Theory	60
	A.2	Lie 2-Groups and Smooth Crossed Modules	64
	A.3	Proof of Lemma 2.15	67
Re	References		

Introduction

The present note is the second of three articles aiming at a general and systematical approach to connections on (non-abelian) gerbes and their surface holonomy.

In the first article [SW07] we have established an equivalence between categories of fibre bundles with connection over a smooth manifold X, and categories of certain functors, called transport functors. Let us spell out this equivalence reduced to the case of *trivial* principal *G*-bundles with connection. These are just \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-forms on X, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of the

Lie group G. In this case, the equivalence established in [SW07] boils down to a bijection

$$\Omega^{1}(X, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Smooth functors} \\ \mathcal{P}_{1}(X) \to \mathcal{B}G \end{array} \right\}$$

between the set of \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-forms on X and the set of smooth functors between two groupoids $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}G$. One the one hand, we have the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ which is associated to the manifold X: its objects are the points of X, and the morphisms between two points are (thin homotopy classes of smooth) paths between these two points. On the other hand, we have a groupoid $\mathcal{B}G$ which is associated to the Lie group G: it has just one object and every group element acts as an automorphisms of this object. The notation $\mathcal{B}G$ is devoted to the fact that the geometric realization of its nerve is the classifying space BG of the group G.

Now, the functors $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ we have on the right hand side of the above bijection assign group elements $F(\gamma)$ to paths γ in X; this assignment is smooth in a sense that can be expressed in terms of smooth maps between smooth manifolds. For the convenience of the reader, we review this relation between smooth functors and differential forms in Section 1.

In the present article we generalize the above bijection between smooth functors and 1-forms to smooth 2-functors. The aim of this generalization is multiple, but for a start we want to give the reader an impression how the generalized bijection looks like. The first step is the generalization of the categories $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}G$ to appropriate 2-categories. On the one hand, we introduce the path 2-groupoid $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ of a smooth manifold X by adding 2-morphisms to the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$. These 2-morphisms are (thin homotopy classes of) smooth homotopies between smooth paths in X. On the other hand, we infer that the group G which was present before has to be replaced by a (strict) 2-group: basically, this is a group object in categories, i.e. a category with additional structure. The concept of 2-groups can be refined to *Lie* 2-groups; such a Lie 2-group \mathcal{B} underlies the generalized relation we are after. We form a 2-category $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}$ mimicking the same idea we used for the category $\mathcal{B}G$: it has just one object and the Hom-category of this object is the category \mathfrak{G} .

Equipped with these generalized 2-categories, we consider 2-functors

$$F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G},$$

and it even makes perfectly sense to qualify some as *smooth* 2-functors. As before, the smoothness can be expressed in terms of smooth maps between smooth manifolds (Definition 2.4). In order to explore which kind of differential form corresponds to a smooth 2-functor $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$, we put the

abstract concept of a 2-group in a more familiar setting. According to Brown and Spencer [BS76], a 2-group \mathfrak{G} is equivalent to a crossed module: a structure introduced by Whitehead [Whi46] consisting of two ordinary groups Gand H, a group homomorphism $t: H \rightarrow G$ and a compatible action of G on H. Similarly, a Lie 2-group corresponds to Lie groups G and H and smooth additional structure. We denote the Lie algebras of the two Lie groups G and H by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively. The first result of this article (Proposition 2.7) is that the smooth 2-functor F induces a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form A and an \mathfrak{h} -valued 2-form B on X that are related by

$$\mathrm{d}A + [A \wedge A] = t_* \circ B.$$

Here t_* is the Lie algebra homomorphism induced by t. The two differential forms A and B contain in fact all information about the 2-functor Fthey came from: we describe an explicit procedure how to integrate two forms A and B which satisfy the above condition, to a smooth 2-functor $F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$. This integration involves iterated solutions of ordinary differential equations. The main result of this article (Theorem 2.20) is that we obtain a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Smooth 2-functors} \\ F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (A,B) \in \Omega^1(X,\mathfrak{g}) \times \Omega^2(X,\mathfrak{h}) \\ \text{with } \mathrm{d}A + [A \wedge A] = t_* \circ B \end{array} \right\}.$$

This is the announced generalization of the relation between smooth functors and differential forms from [SW07]. Besides, we also explore the geometric structure that corresponds to morphisms (pseudonatural transformations) and 2-morphisms (modifications) between 2-functors. The derivation of all the relations that are imposed on this structure takes a large part of this article, and is collected in Section 2.

In Section 3 we try to convince the reader that smooth 2-functors are implicitly present in various fields, and we describe how our new bijection with differential forms can be used. For this purpose, we give three examples. The first example are connections on (possibly non-abelian) gerbes. As mentioned at the beginning of this introduction, ordinary smooth functors correspond to connections on *trivial* principal bundles. We claim here that smooth 2-functors correspond in the same way to connections on *trivial gerbes*.

For abelian gerbes, such connections have been studied by Brylinski on sheaves of groupoids [Bry93], and by Murray on bundle gerbes [Mur96]. In both cases, our claim shows to be true. Connections on a certain class of (possibly non-abelian) gerbes have been introduced by Breen and Messing [BM05]. Their connection – considered on a trivial gerbe – is a pair (A, B) of a 1-form and a 2-form, just like they arise here from a smooth 2-functor. This is a further indication for our claim that smooth 2-functors are related to connections on trivial gerbes. Interestingly, the two forms of a Breen-Messing connection are not necessarily related to each other in contrast to the two forms coming from a smooth 2-functor. We argue that this difference is related to an interesting recent question in the theory of connections on gerbes, namely the question if such connections induce a notion of *surface holonomy*.

Moreover, certain higher gauge theories can be described by pairs (A, B) of differential forms with values in the Lie algebras belonging to the two Lie groups of a crossed module, and even the relation between A and B we found here is already present in this context [GP04]. Since higher gauge theories are naturally related to connections on gerbes, a further link between smooth 2-functors and connections on gerbes is present.

A deeper discussion of connections on possibly non-trivial and non-abelian gerbes and their surface holonomy is the content of the third part [SW08a] of our series of articles.

The second example of smooth 2-functors we want to give are derivative 2-functors. For any Lie group G, a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ determines a smooth 2-functor

$$\mathrm{d}F:\mathcal{P}_2(X)\longrightarrow \mathcal{BEG},$$

where $\mathcal{E}G$ is a Lie 2-group introduced by Segal as a model for the universal G-bundle EG [Seg68]. Using the dictionary between smooth functors and differential forms for both F and dF we see that the functor F corresponds to a trivial principal G-bundle with connection ω over X, while the derivative 2-functor dF induces a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$. We prove that B is the curvature of the connection ω , so that the relation between F and dF implies a relation between the holonomy of ω and its curvature. We show that this establishes a new proof of the so-called non-abelian Stokes' Theorem (Theorem 3.4).

The third example where smooth 2-functors arise, is a certain topological field theory which is called BF-theory due to the presence of two fields B and F. These fields are 2-forms with values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of a Lie group G; actually $F = \mathrm{d}A + [A \wedge A]$ is the curvature of a 1-form A. We prove that the critical points of the BF action functional are those pairs (A, B) which correspond to a smooth 2-functors under the bijection we have found. In other words, smooth 2-functors arise as the classical solutions of the field equations of BF-theory (Proposition 3.7).

Section 4 is devoted to a rather trivial observation: every element in the loop space LX of a smooth manifold X can be understood as a particular morphism in the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$, and also as a particular 1-morphism in the path 2-groupoid $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$. This way, functors on the path groupoid, and 2-functors on the path 2-groupoid are intrinsically related to structure on the loop space of X.

First we observe that the structure on the loop space which is induced by a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ is a smooth function $LX \longrightarrow G$, and that this function is nothing but the holonomy of the (trivial) principal *G*-bundle with connection associated to *F*. Then we prove that the structure which is induced by a smooth 2-functor $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is a smooth functor

$$\mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G},$$

where $\Lambda \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is a certain category constructed from the 2-groupoid $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$. In order to be able to speak about smooth functors on the loop space, we work with the canonical diffeology on LX: this is a structure which generalizes a smooth manifold structure and is more suitable for spaces of smooth maps. We extend the relation between functors and 1-forms from [SW07] to diffeological spaces (Theorem 4.7), and prove that the above smooth functor on the path groupoid of the loop space corresponds to the following structure: a smooth function $LX \rightarrow G$, a 1-form $A_F \in \Omega^1(LX, \mathfrak{g})$ and a 1-form $\varphi_F \in \Omega^1(LX, \mathfrak{h})$. We derive a deep relation between the two 1-forms A_F and φ_F on the loop space and the pair (A, B) of differential forms on X which belong to the smooth 2-functor F we started with (Proposition 4.10). It admits an outlook on the question, what the transgression of a non-abelian gerbe over X to the loop space LX is.

Finally, we have included an Appendix in which we review basic notions from 2-category theory and important definitions and examples related to Lie 2-groups and smooth crossed modules.

1 Review: Smooth Functors and 1-Forms

In this section we review some relevant definitions and results from [SW07].

1.1 The Path Groupoid of a Smooth Manifold

In the topological category, the basic idea of the path groupoid is very simple: for a topological space X, it is a category whose objects are the points of X, and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of continuous paths in X. For smooth manifolds, one considers *smooth* paths: these are smooth maps $\gamma : [0,1] \rightarrow X$ with sitting instants, i.e. a number $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ with $\gamma(t) = \gamma(0)$ for $0 \leq t < \epsilon$ and $\gamma(t) = \gamma(1)$ for $1 - \epsilon < t \leq 1$. The set of smooth paths in X is denoted by PX. The sitting instants assure that two paths $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ and $\gamma' : y \rightarrow z$ can be composed to a new path $\gamma' \circ \gamma : x \rightarrow z$. However, the composition of paths is not associative, so that a category can only be defined using certain quotients of PX as its morphisms.

There are essentially three ways to define such quotients. The first is to take reparameterization classes $P^0X := PX/\sim_0$, where $\gamma_1 \sim_0 \gamma_2$ if there exists an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 \circ \varphi$. The second way is to take thin homotopy classes, $P^1X := PX/\sim_1$:

Definition 1.1. Two paths $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : x \longrightarrow y$ are called <u>thin homotopy equiva-</u> <u>lent</u>, denoted $\gamma_1 \sim_1 \gamma_2$, if there exists a smooth map $h : [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow M$ such that

(1) it has sitting instants: there exists a number $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ with

a)
$$h(s,t) = x \text{ for } 0 \le t < \epsilon \text{ and } h(s,t) = y \text{ for } 1 - \epsilon < t \le 1.$$

b) $h(s,t) = \gamma_1(t) \text{ for } 0 \le s < \epsilon \text{ and } h(s,t) = \gamma_2(t) \text{ for } 1 - \epsilon < s \le 1.$

(2) the differential of h has at most rank 1.

The third way is to take homotopy classes $P^2X := PX/\sim_2$ just like in Definition 1.1 but without condition (2). Notice that there are projections

$$PX \longrightarrow P^0 X \longrightarrow P^1 X \longrightarrow P^2 X \tag{1.1}$$

and that the above-mentioned composition of paths induces well-defined compositions on all P^iX . We denote by id_x the constant path at a point x. In P^0X we have

$$\gamma \circ \mathrm{id}_x \sim_0 \gamma \sim_0 \mathrm{id}_y \circ \gamma$$
 and $(\gamma_3 \circ \gamma_2) \circ \gamma_1 \sim_0 \gamma_3 \circ (\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1);$ (1.2)

these are the axioms of a category with objects X and morphisms P^0X . We further denote by $\gamma^{-1}: y \longrightarrow x$ the path $\gamma^{-1}(t) := \gamma(1-t)$. In P^1X we have

$$\gamma^{-1} \circ \gamma \sim_1 \operatorname{id}_x$$

for any path $\gamma : x \longrightarrow y$, so that the corresponding category with morphisms P^1X is even a groupoid. This groupoid is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ and called the *path groupoid* of X. The groupoid which has P^2X as its morphisms is well-known as the *fundamental groupoid* $\Pi_1(X)$ of the smooth manifold

X. All these categories are compatible with smooth maps between smooth manifolds in the sense that any smooth map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: P^i X \to P^i Y$, and that these maps furnish functors between the respective categories.

Remark 1.2. The groupoids $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ and $\Pi_1(X)$ are important for parallel transport in a fibre bundle with connection over X in the sense that any such bundle defines a functor

 $\operatorname{tra}: \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow T,$

where T is a category in which the fibres of the bundle are objects. If the connection is flat, this functor factors through the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$. More on the relation between functors and connections in fibre bundles can be found in Section 3.1 and in [SW07].

1.2 Diffeological Spaces

A smooth category is a category S whose sets S_0 of objects and S_1 of morphisms are smooth manifolds, and all whose structure maps are smooth. A *Lie category* is a smooth category with certain additional conditions on the structure maps. A functor $F: S \to T$ between smooth categories S and T is called *smooth*, if its assignments $F_0: S_0 \to T_0$ and $F_1: S_1 \to T_1$ are smooth maps. The path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ of a smooth manifold is, however, not a smooth category, since its set of morphisms P^1X has not the structure of a smooth manifold. In [SW07] we have proposed to equip P^1X with its natural diffeology, a structure that generalizes a smooth manifold structure [Che77, Sou81]. This concept has also been used implicitly in [CP94] to capture the smoothness of holonomy maps. For the convenience of the reader let us recall the basic definitions (see also Appendix A.2 of [SW07]).

Definition 1.3. A <u>diffeological space</u> is a set X together with a collection of plots: maps

 $c:U \longrightarrow X$

each of them defined on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that three axioms are satisfied:

- (D1) for any plot $c: U \longrightarrow X$ and any smooth function $f: V \longrightarrow U$ also $c \circ f$ is a plot.
- (D2) every constant map $c: U \longrightarrow X$ is a plot.
- (D3) if $f: U \longrightarrow X$ is a map defined on $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of U for which all restrictions $f|_{U_i}$ are plots of X, then also f is a plot.

A <u>diffeological map</u> between diffeological spaces X and Y is a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that for every plot $c : U \longrightarrow X$ of X the map $f \circ c : U \longrightarrow Y$ is a plot of Y. The set of all diffeological maps is denoted by $D^{\infty}(X, Y)$.

Any smooth manifold (without boundary) is a diffeological space, whose plots are all smooth maps defined on all open subsets of all \mathbb{R}^n . If M and Nare smooth manifolds, a map $f: M \to N$ is diffeological if and only if it is smooth. In other words, diffeological spaces and maps form a category D^{∞} that contains the category C^{∞} of smooth manifolds as a *full* subcategory. Besides from smooth manifolds, we have three further examples of sets with a canonical diffeology:

1. If X and Y are diffeological spaces, the set $D^{\infty}(X, Y)$ of diffeological maps between X and Y is a diffeological space in the following way: a map

$$c: U \longrightarrow D^{\infty}(X, Y)$$

is a plot if and only if for any plot $c': V \longrightarrow X$ of X the composite

$$U \times V \xrightarrow{c \times c'} D^{\infty}(X, Y) \times X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} Y$$

is a plot of Y. Here, ev denotes the evaluation map ev(f, x) := f(x).

- 2. Subsets Y of a diffeological space X are diffeological: its plots are those plots of X whose image is contained in Y.
- 3. If X is a diffeological space, Y is a set and $p: X \to Y$ is a map, Y becomes a diffeological space whose plots are those maps $c: U \to Y$ for which there exists a cover of U by open sets U_{α} and plots $c_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to X$ of X such that $c|_{U_{\alpha}} = p \circ c_{\alpha}$.

Equipped with these examples, the sets $P^i X$ we have defined in Section 1.1 become diffeological spaces in the following way. Let us first remark that due to the sitting instants a map $\gamma : [0,1] \rightarrow X$ is smooth if and only if it is smooth when restricted to (0,1). This way, the set PX is a subset of the diffeological space $D^{\infty}((0,1),X)$, and hence a diffeological space. Then we consider one of the projections $\operatorname{pr}^i : PX \rightarrow P^iX$ to reparameterization classes, thin homotopy classes or homotopy classes, see (1.1). According to the third example, all the sets P^iX become diffeological spaces. We also have examples of diffeological maps: if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map between smooth manifolds, the induced maps $f_* : P^iX \rightarrow P^iY$ are all smooth.

However, the most important question for us will be, when a map $P^i X \longrightarrow M$ from one of these diffeological spaces to a smooth manifold M –

regarded as a diffeological space – is diffeological. From the definitions above one can deduce the following result, and the reader is free to take it either as a result from the background of diffeological spaces, or just as a definition.

Lemma 1.4 ([SW07], Proposition A.7 *i*)). A map $f : P^i X \longrightarrow M$ is diffeological, if and only if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, every open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and every map $c : U \longrightarrow PX$ for which the composite

$$U \times [0,1] \xrightarrow{c \times \mathrm{id}} PX \times [0,1] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} X$$

is smooth, also the map

$$U \xrightarrow{c} PX \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}^i} P^i X \xrightarrow{f} M$$

is smooth.

Now we can study *smooth* functors $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \to S$ to a smooth category S: on objects, $F : X \to S_0$ has to be smooth, and on morphisms $P^1X \to S_1$ has to satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1.4. Similarly, if $\eta : F \to F'$ is a natural transformation between two smooth functors, it is called *smooth* natural transformation, if its components $\eta(x) \in S_1$ furnish a smooth map $X \to S_1$. Smooth functors F and smooth natural transformations η form a category Funct^{∞}($\mathcal{P}_1(X), S$).

Remark 1.5. Concerning Definition 1.3 of a diffeological space, several different conventions for plots are common. For example, in order to deal with manifolds with boundary or corners, it is more convenient to consider plots being defined on convex subsets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ rather than open ones [Bae07]. However, these questions do not affect the results of this article: we consider either maps defined on manifolds without boundary or maps which are constant near the boundary, for example paths with sitting instants.

1.3 Equivalence between Functors and Forms

In [SW07] not only the bijection we mentioned in the introduction has been established, but even an equivalence between two categories,

$$\operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_1(X), \mathcal{B}G) \cong Z^1_X(G)^{\infty}.$$
(1.3)

Both categories depend on a smooth manifold X and a Lie group G. On the left hand side we have the category of smooth functors from the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ of X to the Lie groupoid $\mathcal{B}G$. We recall from the introduction that the

Lie groupoid $\mathcal{B}G$ has one object, and its set of morphisms is the Lie group G. The composition is defined by $g_2 \circ g_1 := g_2 g_1$. On the right hand side we have a category $Z_X^1(G)^\infty$ defined as follows: its objects are 1-forms $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ with values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G, and a morphism $g : A \longrightarrow A'$ is a smooth function $g : X \longrightarrow G$ such that

$$A' = \operatorname{Ad}_g(A) - g^* \bar{\theta}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\bar{\theta}$ is the right invariant Maurer-Cartan form on G. The identity morphism is the constant function g = 1 and the composition is the multiplication of functions, $g_2 \circ g_1 := g_2 g_1$. The equivalence (1.3) can be given explicitly in both directions: there are two functors



whose definition we now review. Several details and proofs will be skipped and can be found in [SW07].

Given a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$, we define the 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ in the following three steps:

- 1. For a point $x \in X$ and a tangent vector $v \in T_x X$, we choose a smooth curve $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X$ with $\Gamma(0) = x$ and $\dot{\Gamma}(0) = v$. Let $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_0, t)$ be the (up to thin homotopy unique) path in \mathbb{R} that goes from t_0 to t.
- 2. The composite

$$F_{\Gamma} := F \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow G \tag{1.5}$$

is a smooth map with $F_{\Gamma}(t_0, t_0) = 1$ for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We define

$$A_x(v) := -\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_0 F_{\Gamma}(0, t) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
(1.6)

3. The value $A_x(v)$ is independent of the choice of Γ , and the assignment $A: TX \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is smooth and linear; this defines the 1-form $\mathcal{D}(F) := A$.

The components of a smooth natural transformation $\rho : F \longrightarrow F'$ form a smooth map $\mathcal{D}(\rho) := g : X \longrightarrow G$. Let again $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ be a smooth curve and F_{Γ} and F'_{Γ} the functions (1.5) associated to the functors F and F', and let $g_{\Gamma} := g \circ \Gamma$. The commutative diagram for ρ implies the equation

$$g_{\Gamma}(t) \cdot F_{\Gamma}(0,t) = F_{\Gamma}'(0,t) \cdot g_{\Gamma}(0),$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

whose derivative evaluated at t = 0 shows (1.4). Hence, $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ is a morphism in $Z_X^1(G)^{\infty}$; this defines the functor \mathcal{D} .

Conversely, let $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ be a 1-form, from which we now define a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ in the following way:

1. Let γ be a path in X, which we extend trivially to \mathbb{R} by $\gamma(t) := \gamma(0)$ for t < 0 and $\gamma(t) := \gamma(1)$ for t > 1. We pose the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_{\gamma}(t) = -\mathrm{d}r_{u(t)}|_{1}\left(A_{\gamma(t)}\left(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t}\right)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad u(t_{0}) = 1 \tag{1.7}$$

for a smooth function $u : \mathbb{R} \to G$ and fixed $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $dr_{u(t)}$ is the differential of the multiplication with u(t) from the right.

2. The initial value problem (1.7) has a unique smooth solution $f_{A,\gamma}(t_0, t)$, and we define a map

$$F: PX \longrightarrow G: \gamma \longmapsto f_{A,\gamma}(0,1). \tag{1.8}$$

We remark that this map is also commonly known as the path-ordered exponential

$$F(\gamma) = \mathcal{P}\exp\left(\int_{\gamma} A\right).$$
 (1.9)

3. The map F is independent of the thin homotopy class of γ and factors through a smooth map $F: P^1X \longrightarrow G$. It respects the composition of paths so that we have defined a smooth functor $\mathcal{P}(A) := F$.

For a smooth function $g: X \to G$ considered as a morphism $g: A \to A'$ between two 1-forms $A, A' \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ we need to define an associated smooth natural transformation $\rho = \mathcal{D}(g) : F \to F'$ between the associated functors. We let g(x) be the component of ρ at $x \in X$. The fact that $g(y) \cdot f_{A,\gamma}(t_0, t) \cdot g(x)^{-1}$ solves the initial value problem (1.7) for A' and γ due to (1.4) together with the uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems proves the commutative diagram for the natural transformation ρ . This defines the functor \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 1.6 (Proposition 4.7 in [SW07]). Let X be a smooth manifold and G a Lie group. The two functors \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{P} satisfy

$$\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{P} = \mathrm{id}_{Z^1_Y(G)^{\infty}}$$
 and $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_1(X),\mathcal{B}G)}$,

in particular, they form an equivalence of categories.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

We give a short sketch of the proof. If we start with a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ we test the 1-form $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ at a point $x \in X$ and a tangent vector $v \in T_x X$. Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ be a curve in X with $x = \Gamma(0)$ and $v = \dot{\Gamma}(0)$. If we further denote $\gamma_\tau := \Gamma_*(\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(0, \tau)) \in PX$ we have

$$-\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}(A))|_{x}(v) \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\Big|_{0} \mathcal{P}(A)_{\Gamma}(0,\tau)$$

$$\stackrel{(1.5)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\Big|_{0} \mathcal{P}(A)(\gamma_{\tau}) \stackrel{(1.8)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\Big|_{0} f_{A,\gamma_{\tau}}(0,1)$$

Here, $f_{A,\gamma_{\tau}}$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem (1.7) for γ_{τ} . A uniqueness argument shows $f_{A,\gamma_{\tau}}(t_0,t) = f_{A,\gamma_1}(\tau t_0,\tau t)$, so that

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} f_{A,\gamma_{\tau}}(0,t) \right|_{\tau=0,t=1} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{A,\gamma_{1}}(0,t) \right|_{t=0} = -A_{p}(v),$$

this yields $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}(A)) = A$.

On the other hand, if $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ is a smooth functor, we test the functor $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(F))$ on a path γ in X. By (1.8),

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(F))(\gamma) = f_{\mathcal{D}(F),\gamma}(0,1)$$

where $f_{\mathcal{D}(F),\gamma}$ is the solution of the initial value problem (1.7) for the 1-form $\mathcal{D}(F)$ and the path γ . Due to the definition (1.6) of $\mathcal{D}(F)$ by the function $F_{\gamma}: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow G$ we have

$$(\gamma^* \mathcal{D}(F))_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = -\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right|_{\tau=0} F_{\gamma}(t, t+\tau).$$

Since F is a functor, $F_{\gamma}(x,z) = F_{\gamma}(y,z)F_{\gamma}(x,y)$. Both together show that F_{γ} also solves the initial value problem, so that, by uniqueness,

$$f_{\mathcal{D}(F),\gamma}(0,1) = F_{\gamma}(0,1) = F(\gamma).$$

This shows $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(F)) = F$.

Remarkably, there is not much structure that is preserved by the functors \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{D} (unless the Lie group G is abelian). For example, sums and negatives of differential forms, or products and inverses of smooth functors are all *not* preserved. We only know the following fact:

Proposition 1.7. The functors \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{D} are compatible with pullbacks along a smooth map $X \longrightarrow Y$ between smooth manifolds X and Y, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}(f^*A) = f^*\mathcal{P}(A)$$
 and $\mathcal{D}(f^*F) = f^*\mathcal{D}(F)$

for a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(Y, \mathfrak{g})$ and a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$, and similarly for morphisms.

Here we have used the notation f^*F for the functor $F \circ f_*$, where f_* is the induced map on path groupoids.

2 Smooth 2-Functors and Differential Forms

In this section we generalize Theorem 1.6 – the equivalence between 1-forms and smooth functors – to 2-functors. The basic 2-categorical notions such as 2-categories, 2-functors, pseudonatural transformations and modifications are summarized in Appendix A.1; for the reader familiar with these notions it is important to notice that all 2-categories and 2-functors in this article are strict without further reference.

The first step of the generalization concerns the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ that was present in Theorem 1.6: in Section 2.1 we define the path 2-groupoid $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ associated to a smooth manifold X. Instead of the Lie group G that was present in Theorem 1.6 we use a Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} . In the same way that a category $\mathcal{B}G$ is associated to any Lie group G, a 2-category $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is associated to any Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} , and the 2-functors we consider are of the form

$$F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}.$$

A convenient and concrete way to deal with Lie 2-groups is provided by crossed modules [Whi46, BS76]. Their definition, their relation to Lie 2-groups, and the associated 2-categories \mathcal{BG} are described in Appendix A.2.

The announced generalization of Theorem 1.6 is worked out in three steps: in Section 2.2 we extract differential forms from 2-functors, pseudonatural transformations and modifications. We derive conditions on the extracted differential forms that lead us straightforwardly to an appropriate generalization $Z_X^2(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}$ of the category $Z_X^1(G)^{\infty}$ that was present in Theorem 1.6. The goal of Section 2.2 is that extracting differential forms is 2-functor

$$\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}) \longrightarrow Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}.$$

In Section 2.3 we introduce a 2-functor

$$\mathcal{P}: Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G})$$

in the opposite direction, that reconstructs 2-functors, pseudonatural transformations and modifications from given differential forms. Finally, we prove in Section 2.4 the main result of this article, namely that the 2-functors \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{P} establish an equivalence of 2-categories.

2.1 The Path 2-Groupoid of a Smooth Manifold

As mentioned in the introduction, the path 2-groupoid is obtained by adding 2-morphisms to the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$. These 2-morphisms are smooth homotopies in the sense of Definition 1.1 without the restriction (2) on their rank, explicitly:

Definition 2.1. Let $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 : x \longrightarrow y$ be paths in X. A <u>bigon</u> $\Sigma : \gamma_0 \Longrightarrow \gamma_1$ is a smooth map $\Sigma : [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow X$ such that there exists a number $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ with

- a) $\Sigma(s,t) = x \text{ for } 0 \le t < \epsilon \text{ and } \Sigma(s,t) = y \text{ for } 1 \epsilon < t \le 1.$
- b) $\Sigma(s,t) = \gamma_0(t)$ for $0 \le s < \epsilon$ and $\Sigma(s,t) = \gamma_1(t)$ for $1 \epsilon < s \le 1$.

We denote the set of bigons in X by BX. Bigons can be composed in two natural ways: If $\Sigma : \gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \gamma_2$ and $\Sigma' : \gamma_2 \Longrightarrow \gamma_3$ are bigons, we have a new bigon $\Sigma' \bullet \Sigma : \gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \gamma_3$ defined by

$$(\Sigma' \bullet \Sigma)(s, t) = \begin{cases} \Sigma(2s, t) & \text{for } 0 \le s < \frac{1}{2} \\ \Sigma'(2s - 1, t) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le s \le 1; \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

and for two bigons $\Sigma_1 : \gamma_1 \implies \gamma'_1$ and $\Sigma_2 : \gamma_2 \implies \gamma'_2$ such that $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$, we have another new bigon $\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_1 : \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 \implies \gamma'_2 \circ \gamma'_1$ defined by

$$(\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_1)(s, t) := \begin{cases} \Sigma_1(s, 2t) & \text{for } 0 \le t < \frac{1}{2} \\ \Sigma_2(s, 2t - 1) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Due to the sitting instants, the new maps (2.1) and (2.2) are again smooth and have sitting instants.

Like in the case of paths, there are several equivalence relations on the set BX of bigons in X, starting with reparameterization classes, and continued by a ladder of types of homotopy classes, graded by an upper bound for the rank of the homotopies. The corresponding quotient spaces are denoted by

$$BX \longrightarrow B^0 X \longrightarrow B^1 X \longrightarrow B^2 X \longrightarrow B^3 X.$$

In this article we are only interested in $B^2X = BX/\sim_2$.

Definition 2.2. Two bigons $\Sigma : \gamma_0 \Longrightarrow \gamma_1$ and $\Sigma' : \gamma'_0 \Longrightarrow \gamma'_1$ are called <u>thin homotopy equivalent</u>, denoted $\Sigma \sim_2 \Sigma'$, if there exists a smooth map $h: [0,1]^3 \longrightarrow X$ such that

(1) it has sitting instants: there exists a number $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ with

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

- a) h(r, s, t) = x for $0 \le t < \epsilon$ and h(r, s, t) = y for $1 \epsilon < t \le 1$.
- b) h(r, s, t) = h(r, 0, t) for $0 \le s < \epsilon$ and h(r, s, t) = h(r, 1, t) for $1 \epsilon < s \le 1$.
- c) $h(r, s, t) = \Sigma(s, t)$ for all $0 \le r < \epsilon$ and $h(r, s, t) = \Sigma'(s, t)$ for all $1 \epsilon < r \le 1$.

(2) the differential of h satisfies

- a) $\operatorname{rank}(dh|_{(r,s,t)}) \leq 2$ for all $r, s, t \in [0, 1]$, and
- b) rank $(dh|_{(r,i,t)}) \le 1$ for i = 0, 1 fixed.

Condition (1) assures that thin homotopy is an equivalence relation on BX. Condition (2b) asserts that two thin homotopy equivalent bigons Σ : $\gamma_0 \Rightarrow \gamma_1$ and $\Sigma' : \gamma'_0 \Rightarrow \gamma'_1$ start and end on thin homotopy equivalent paths $\gamma_0 \sim_1 \gamma'_0$ and $\gamma_1 \sim_1 \gamma'_1$. The composition \circ of two bigons defined above clearly induces a well-defined composition on B^2X . For the composition \bullet this is more involved: let $\Sigma : \gamma_1 \Rightarrow \gamma_2$ and $\Sigma' : \gamma'_2 \Rightarrow \gamma_3$ be two bigons such that $\gamma_2 \sim_1 \gamma'_2$. Let $h : [0, 1] \to X$ be any thin homotopy between γ_2 and γ'_2 ; this is a particular bigon $h : \gamma_2 \Rightarrow \gamma'_2$. Now we define the composition of the corresponding classes in B^2X by

$$[\Sigma']_{\sim_2} \bullet [\Sigma]_{\sim_2} := [\Sigma' \bullet h \bullet \Sigma]_{\sim_2}.$$

The proof that this is independent of the choice of h requires a technical computation carried out in [MP07]. Another important fact is that the two compositions \circ and \bullet are compatible with each other in the sense that

$$(\Sigma_1' \bullet \Sigma_2') \circ (\Sigma_1 \bullet \Sigma_2) \sim_2 (\Sigma_1' \circ \Sigma_1) \bullet (\Sigma_2' \circ \Sigma_2)$$
(2.3)

whenever all these compositions are well-defined.

Definition 2.3. The <u>path 2-groupoid</u> $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ of a smooth manifold X is the 2category, whose objects are the points of X, whose set of 1-morphisms is P^1X , and whose set of 2-morphisms is B^2X . Horizontal and vertical composition are given by \circ and \bullet , and the identities are the identity path $\mathrm{id}_x : x \to x$ and the identity bigon $\mathrm{id}_{\gamma} : \gamma \Longrightarrow \gamma$ defined by $\mathrm{id}_{\gamma}(s, t) := \gamma(t)$.

The axioms of a 2-category (see Definition A.1) are satisfied: Axiom (C1) follows from the second equation in (1.2), axiom (C2) follows from the first equation in (1.2) and from an elementary construction of homotopies $\Sigma \bullet id_{\gamma_1} \sim_2 \Sigma \sim_2 id_{\gamma_2} \bullet \Sigma$. Axiom (C3) is (2.3). It is also clear the the category $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ is indeed a groupoid.

For parallel transport along surfaces, the path 2-groupoid plays the same role as the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ plays for parallel transport along curves (see Section 1.1): the corresponding geometric objects are (weak) 2-functors

$$\operatorname{tra}: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow T$$

into some 2-category T, as outlined in Section 6 of [SW07]. A detailed discussion of these 2-functors will follow [SW08a].

In exactly the same way as we have diffeological maps $P^i X \to M$ we have diffeological maps from all the equivalence classes $B^i X$ of bigons in Xto smooth manifolds M. Analogous to Lemma 1.4, a map $f : B^i X \to M$ is diffeological if and only if for every $k \in N_0$, every open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and every map $c : U \to BX$ such that $c(u)(s,t) \in X$ is smooth on all of $U \times [0,1]^2$, also the map

$$U \xrightarrow{c} BX \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}^i} B^i X \xrightarrow{f} M$$

is smooth. This admits to define smooth 2-functors defined on the path 2groupoid of X with values in *smooth 2-categories* S: 2-categories for which objects S_0 , 1-morphisms S_1 and 2-morphisms S_2 are smooth manifolds and all structure maps are smooth.

Definition 2.4. A 2-functor $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow S$ from the path 2-groupoid of a smooth manifold X to a smooth 2-category S is called smooth, if

- 1. on objects, $F: X \longrightarrow S_0$ is smooth.
- 2. on 1-morphisms, $F: P^1X \longrightarrow S_1$ is diffeological in the sense of Lemma 1.4.
- 3. on 2-morphisms, $F: B^2X \longrightarrow S_2$ is diffeological in the above sense.

For the definitions of morphisms between 2-functors, the pseudonatural transformations, and morphisms between those, the modifications, we refer the reader again to Appendix A.1. A pseudonatural transformation $\rho : F \rightarrow F'$ is called *smooth*, if its components $\rho(x) \in S_1$ at objects $x \in X$ furnish a smooth map $X \rightarrow S_1$, and its components $\rho(\gamma) \in S_2$ at 1-morphisms $\gamma \in P^1X$ furnish a diffeological map $P^1X \rightarrow S_2$. Similarly, a modification $\mathcal{A} : \rho \Longrightarrow \rho'$ is called smooth, if its components $\mathcal{A}(x) \in S_2$ from a smooth map $X \rightarrow S_2$. Summarizing, these structures form a 2-category Funct[∞]($\mathcal{P}_2(X), S$).

2.2 From Functors to Forms

As we explain in Appendix A.2 the 2-category \mathcal{BG} associated to a Lie 2group \mathfrak{G} which is represented by a smooth crossed module (G, H, t, α) has one object, the set of morphisms is G and the set of 2-morphisms is the semidirect product $G \ltimes H$, where G acts on H via a smooth map $\alpha : G \times H \longrightarrow H$. The guideline according to that we extract differential forms is the same as reviewed in Section 1.3: we evaluate the Lie group-valued functors on certain paths, obtain Lie group-valued maps, and take their derivative.

2.2.1 Extracting Forms I: 2-Functors

Here we start with a given smooth 2-functor

$$F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}.$$

Clearly, F restricted to objects and 1-morphisms is just a smooth 1-functor $F_{0,1}: \mathcal{P}_1(X) \to \mathcal{B}G$. By Theorem 1.6 it corresponds to a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form A on X. From the remaining map $F_2: B^2X \to G \ltimes H$ we now define an \mathfrak{h} -valued 2-form B on X. Its definition is pointwise: let $x \in X$ be a point and $v_1, v_2 \in T_x X$ be tangent vectors. We choose a smooth map $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ with $x = \Gamma(0)$ and

$$v_1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big|_{s=0} \Gamma(s,0) \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma(0,t).$$
 (2.4)

Note that in \mathbb{R}^2 there is only one thin homotopy class of bigons between each two fixed paths. In particular, we have a canonical family $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow B^2 \mathbb{R}^2$, where

We use this canonical family of bigons to produce a map

$$F_{\Gamma} := p_H \circ F_2 \circ \Gamma_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow H$$
(2.6)

where $p_H: G \ltimes H \longrightarrow H$ is the projection to the second factor.

Lemma 2.5. The map $F_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to H$ is smooth. Furthermore, its second mixed derivative evaluated at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is independent of the choice of Γ , i.e. if $\Gamma' : \mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ is another smooth map with $\Gamma(0) = x$ and (2.4),

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma}'}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} = \frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma}}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)}.$$
(2.7)

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35

Proof. The smoothness of F_{Γ} follows from the smoothness of the 2-functor F as explained in Section 1.2: the relevant evaluation map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]^2 \xrightarrow{\Gamma_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{id}} BX \times [0,1]^2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} X$$

is smooth. Since F is smooth on 2-morphisms, $F \circ \Gamma_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is smooth.

Now let Γ_0 and Γ_1 be two such smooth maps. We assume first that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that Γ_0 and Γ_1 restricted to $(0, \epsilon]^2$ do not intersect. Then, there exists a smooth homotopy $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow X$ between Γ_0 and Γ_1 , such that h restricted to $[0, 1] \times (0, \epsilon]^2$ is injective onto its image U. Such an homotopy can always be constructed in a chart of a neighbourhood of x. We construct a map

$$p:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^2\longrightarrow BX$$

by $p(i, \sigma, \tau)(s, t) := h(i, \sigma s, \tau t)$, choose an inverse $\bar{h} : U \longrightarrow [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ of h and obtain a map $q := p \circ \bar{h} : U \longrightarrow BX$ which satisfies by construction $p = q \circ h$ on $[0, 1] \times (0, \epsilon]^2$. In fact, the domain of q can be enlarged to $U_0 := U \cup \{x\}$ by setting $q(x) := \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{id}_x}$, so that $p = q \circ h$ on all of $[0, 1] \times [0, \epsilon]^2$. The purpose of these constructions is that the map

$$F \circ p : [0,1] \times [0,\epsilon]^2 \longrightarrow G$$

on the one hand satisfies $(F \circ p)(i, s, t) = F_{\Gamma_i}(s, t)$ for i = 0, 1, and on the other hand factors through two smooth maps $(F \circ q)$ and h, so that we can apply the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma_i}}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{(0,0)} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} (F \circ p) \Big|_{(i,0,0)}$$
$$= \mathrm{d}(F \circ q) \Big|_{h(i,0,0)} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial s} \Big|_{(i,0,0)}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \Big|_{(i,0,0)} \right) = \mathrm{d}(F \circ q) \Big|_x (v_1, v_2)$$

The right hand side is, in particular, independent of the label i.

If now Γ_0 and Γ_1 intersect in more that one point in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we either have dimX = 2, then $F_{\Gamma_0} = F_{\Gamma_1}$, or one can construct (again in a chart around x) a third function $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow X$, so that the pairs (Γ_0, Γ) and (Γ, Γ_1) satisfy the assumption of the above proof. \Box

Since F maps – as a 2-functor – identity 2-morphisms to identity 2morphisms, we have $F_{\Gamma}(0,0) = 1$. Hence, the derivative which is by Lemma 2.5 independent of the choice of Γ , is an element in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H. Accordingly, we have defined a map

$$\alpha_F : TX \times_X TX \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} : (x, v_1, v_2) \longmapsto \left. - \frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma}}{\partial s \partial t} \right|_{(0,0)}$$
(2.8)

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 = 19:35

that is canonically associated to the 2-functor F.

Lemma 2.6. The map α_F has the following properties:

- (a) for fixed $x \in X$, it is antisymmetric and bilinear.
- (b) it is smooth.

Proof. To prove (a), let $\overline{\Gamma}(s,t) := \Gamma(t,s)$, and let F_{Γ} and $F_{\overline{\Gamma}}$ the corresponding smooth maps (2.6). Due to the permutation, the derivatives (2.7) yield the values for $\alpha_F(x, v_1, v_2)$ and $\alpha_F(x, v_2, v_1)$, respectively. Note that $\overline{\Gamma}_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} = \Gamma_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}$, where $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}$ is the 2-morphism inverse to the 2-morphism (2.5) under vertical composition. Since the 2-functor F sends inverse 2-morphisms to inverse group elements, we have $F_{\overline{\Gamma}} = F_{\Gamma}^{-1}$. Hence, by taking derivatives, we get $\alpha_F(x, v_2, v_1) = -\alpha_F(x, v_1, v_2)$.

It remains to show that $\alpha_F(v_1 + \lambda v'_1, v_2) = \alpha_F(v_1, v_2) + \lambda \alpha_F(v'_1, v_2)$. If Γ and Γ' are smooth functions for the tangent vectors (v_1, v_2) and (v'_1, v_2) , respectively, we use a chart $\phi: U \longrightarrow X$ of a neighbourhood of x with $\phi(0) = x$ and construct a smooth function $\tilde{\Gamma}: (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^2 \longrightarrow X$ by

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}(s,t) := \phi(\phi^{-1}(\Gamma(s,t)) + \lambda \phi^{-1} \Gamma'(s,t))$$

where ϵ has to chosen small enough. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\Gamma}(0,0) = p$ and that

$$v_1 + \lambda v_1' = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \right|_{s=0} \tilde{\Gamma}(s,0) \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=0} \tilde{\Gamma}(0,t).$$
 (2.9)

On the other hand,

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_*(\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)) = \phi_*(\phi_*^{-1}(\Gamma_*(\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t))) + \lambda \phi_*^{-1}(\Gamma'_*(\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)))),$$

where we have used that bigons in \mathbb{R}^2 can be added and multiplied with scalars. This shows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_{\tilde{\Gamma}}}{\partial s \partial t} \bigg|_{(0,0)} = \frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma}}{\partial s \partial t} \bigg|_{(0,0)} + \lambda \left. \frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma'}}{\partial s \partial t} \right|_{(0,0)};$$

together with (2.9) this proves that α_F is bilinear.

To prove (b), let $\phi: U \longrightarrow X$ be a chart of X with an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The induced chart $\phi_{TX}: U \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow TX$ of the tangent bundle sends a point $(u, v) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $d\phi|_u(v) \in T_{\phi(u)}X$. We show that

$$U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\phi_{TX}^{[2]}} TX \times_X TX \xrightarrow{\alpha_F} \mathfrak{h}$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35

is a smooth map. For this purpose, let $c : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow BX$ be defined by $c(x, v_1, v_2, \sigma, \tau)(s, t) := \phi(u + \beta(s\sigma)v_1 + \beta(t\tau)v_2)$, where β is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of [0, 1] with sitting instants. The map c depends obviously smoothly on all parameters, so that $f_c := p_2 \circ F \circ c : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow H$ is a smooth function. Notice that $\Gamma_{u,v_1,v_2}(s,t) := c(u, v_1, v_2, s, t)(1, 1)$ defines a smooth map with the properties

$$\Gamma(0,0) = \phi(u)$$
, $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial s}\Big|_{(0,0)} = \mathrm{d}\phi|_u(v_1)$ and $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} = \mathrm{d}\phi|_u(v_2).$

It is furthermore still related to c by

$$(\Gamma_{u,v_1,v_2})_*(\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)) = c(u,v_1,v_2,s,t).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_F \circ \phi_{TX}^{[2]})(x, v_1, v_2) &= \alpha_F(\phi(u), \mathrm{d}\phi|_u(v_1), \mathrm{d}\phi|_u(v_2)) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{(0,0)} \left(p_2 \circ F \circ (\Gamma_{u, v_1, v_2})_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \right)(s, t) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{(0,0)} f_c(x, v_1, v_2, s, t). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is, in particular, smooth in x, v_1 and v_2 .

All together,

$$B_x(v_1, v_2) := \alpha_F(x, v_1, v_2)$$

defines an \mathfrak{h} -valued 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ on X, which is canonically associated to the smooth 2-functor F.

Proposition 2.7. Let $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ be a smooth 2-functor, and $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ be the corresponding differential forms. Then,

$$dA + [A \land A] = t_* \circ B, \qquad (2.10)$$

where $t_* := dt|_1 : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra homomorphism induced from the Lie group homomorphism t which is part of the crossed module corresponding to the Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} .

Proof. We consider again the bigon $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)$ and the associated smooth map $F_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow H$ from (2.6). If we denote by $\gamma_1(s,t)$ the source path and by $\gamma_2(s,t)$ the target path of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)$, we obtain further smooth maps

$$f_i := F \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow G.$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 = 19:35

Note that each of these two paths can be decomposed into horizontal and vertical paths, $\gamma_1(s,t) = \gamma_1^v(s,t) \circ \gamma_1^h(t)$ and $\gamma_2(s,t) = \gamma_2^h(s,t) \circ \gamma_2^v(s)$, and that this decomposition induces accordant decompositions of the functions f_i , namely $f_1(s,t) = f_1^v(s,t) \cdot f_1^h(t)$ and $f_2(s,t) = f_2^h(s,t) \cdot f_2^v(s)$. We recall from (1.6) that the 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ is related to these functions by

$$A_x(v_1) = -\left.\frac{\partial f_i^v}{\partial s}\right|_{(0,0)}$$
 and $A_x(v_2) = -\left.\frac{\partial f_i^h}{\partial t}\right|_{(0,0)}$

for i = 1, 2. Now we employ the target-matching-condition (A.4) for the 2-morphism $F(\Sigma)$:

$$f_2 = (t \circ F_{\Gamma}) \cdot f_1 \tag{2.11}$$

as functions from \mathbb{R}^2 to G. The second partial derivatives of the functions f_i are, evaluated in a faithful matrix representation of G at (0,0)

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} = \frac{\partial^2 f_1^v}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} + A_x(v_1)A_x(v_2)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} = \frac{\partial^2 f_2^h}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} + A_x(v_2)A_x(v_1)$$

while the first derivatives vanish,

$$\frac{\partial F_{\Gamma}}{\partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} = \left.\frac{\partial F_{\Gamma}}{\partial s}\right|_{(0,0)} = 0, \qquad (2.12)$$

because F is constant on families of identity bigons. Summarizing, equation (2.11) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2^h}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} + A_x(v_2)A_x(v_1) = -\mathrm{d}t|_1 \circ B_x(v_1, v_2) + \frac{\partial^2 f_1^v}{\partial s \partial t}\Big|_{(0,0)} + A_x(v_1)A_x(v_2),$$

this implies the claimed equality.

2.2.2 Extracting Forms II: Pseudonatural Transformations

Now we discuss a smooth pseudonatural transformation

$$\rho: F \longrightarrow F'$$

between two smooth 2-functors $F, F' : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$. The components of ρ are a smooth map $g: X \longrightarrow G$ and a diffeological map $\rho_1: P^1X \longrightarrow G \ltimes H$.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

Notice that ρ_1 is not functorial, i.e. $\rho_1(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1)$ is in general not the product of $\rho_1(\gamma_2)$ and $\rho_1(\gamma_1)$. In order to remedy this problem, we construct another map $\tilde{\rho} : P^1X \longrightarrow G \ltimes H$ from ρ that will be functorial. We denote the projection of ρ_1 to H by $\rho_H := p_H \circ \rho_1 : P^1X \longrightarrow H$. Then we define

$$\tilde{\rho}(\gamma) := (F'(\gamma), \rho_H(\gamma)^{-1}). \tag{2.13}$$

Lemma 2.8. $\tilde{\rho}$ defines a smooth functor $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(G \ltimes H)$.

Proof. For our convention concerning the semi-direct product, we refer the reader the equation (A.2) in Appendix A.2. With this convention, axiom (T1) of the pseudonatural transformation ρ infers for two composable paths γ_1 and γ_2 that

$$\alpha(F'(\gamma_2), \rho_H(\gamma_1))\rho_H(\gamma_2) = \rho_H(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1). \tag{2.14}$$

Then, the product of $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma_2)$ with $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma_1)$ in the semi-direct product $G \ltimes H$ is

$$(F'(\gamma_2), \rho_H(\gamma_2)^{-1}) \cdot (F'(\gamma_1), \rho_H(\gamma_1)^{-1}) \stackrel{(A.2)}{=} (F'(\gamma_2)F'(\gamma_1), \rho_H(\gamma_2)^{-1}\alpha(F'(\gamma_2), \rho_H(\gamma_1)^{-1})) \stackrel{(2.14)}{=} (F'(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1), \rho_H(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1)^{-1}),$$

and thus equal to $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1)$. Since $F'(\mathrm{id}_x) = 1$, equation (2.14) also shows that $\tilde{\rho}(\mathrm{id}_x) = (1, 1)$. Thus, $\tilde{\rho}$ is a functor. Its smoothness is clear from the definition.

By Theorem 1.6, the smooth functor $\tilde{\rho}$ corresponds to a 1-form with values in $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$, which in turn gives by projection into the two summands an \mathfrak{h} -valued 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{h})$ and a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form. The ladder identifies (due to the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$) with the 1-form A' that corresponds to the functor F'. Summarizing, the smooth pseudonatural transformation ρ defines a smooth function $g: X \longrightarrow G$ and a 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{h})$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $F, F' : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ be smooth 2-functors with associated 1-forms $A, A' \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and 2-forms $B, B' \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ respectively. The smooth function $g: X \to G$ and the 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{h})$ extracted from a smooth pseudonatural transformation $\rho: F \to F'$ satisfy the relations

$$A' + t_* \circ \varphi = \operatorname{Ad}_q(A) - g^* \bar{\theta} \qquad (2.15)$$

$$B' + \alpha_*(A' \wedge \varphi) + d\varphi + [\varphi \wedge \varphi] = (\alpha_g)_* \circ B.$$
(2.16)

In (2.15), $\bar{\theta}$ is the right invariant Maurer-Cartan form on G. In (2.16), $A' \wedge \varphi$ is a 2-form with values in $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, which is sent by the linear map α_* to a 2-form with values in \mathfrak{h} .

Proof. Like in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we employ the target-matching condition (A.4) for the component



of the pseudonatural transformation ρ at 1-morphism $\gamma : x \longrightarrow y$ in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$. For this purpose we choose a smooth curve $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ through a point $x := \Gamma(0)$ and consider the associated tangent vector $v \in T_x X$. With the standard path $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t)$ in the real line from 0 to t we form from the 2-functors the smooth maps

$$f:=F\circ\Gamma_*\circ\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow G \quad \text{and} \quad f':=F'\circ\Gamma_*\circ\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow G$$

and from the pseudonatural transformation the smooth maps

$$\tilde{g} := \rho \circ \Gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G \quad \text{and} \quad h := \rho_H \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H.$$
(2.17)

The condition we want to employ then becomes

$$f'(t) \cdot \tilde{g}(0) = t(h(t)) \cdot \tilde{g}(t) \cdot f(t).$$

If we take the definition of the function $g: X \longrightarrow G$ and the 1-forms A, A'and φ into account, namely $g(x) = \tilde{g}(0)$ and

$$A_x(v) = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}$$
, $A'_x(v) = -\frac{\partial f'}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}$ and $\varphi_x(v) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}h^{-1}$,

the derivative of this equation evaluated in a faithful matrix representation von H at zero yields

$$-A'_x(v) \cdot g(x) = \mathrm{d}t|_1(\varphi_x(v)) \cdot g(x) + \mathrm{d}g_x(v) - g(x) \cdot A_x(v),$$

this is the first of the two equations we had to show.

To prove the second equation we use axiom (T2) of the pseudonatural transformation ρ , namely the compatibility with 2-morphisms. For a 2-morphism Σ in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$, that we take of the form



Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

this axiom requires

With a choice of a smooth map $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ we can pullback these diagrams to \mathbb{R}^2 and use the standard bigon $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)$. We use the smooth functions F_{Γ} , f_1 and f_2 defined by the 2-functor F as described in the proof of Proposition 2.7, and the analogous functions F'_{Γ} , f'_1 and f'_2 for the 2-functor F'. From the pseudonatural transformation ρ we further obtain a function $\tilde{g} := \rho \circ \Gamma$: $\mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ and functions $h^h_i := \rho_H \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma^h_i$ and $h^v_i := \rho_H \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma^v_i$. Now we have

Using the rules (A.5) and (A.6) for vertical and horizonal composition in \mathcal{BG} , the above diagram boils down to the equation

$$\begin{split} F_{\Gamma}'(s,t) \cdot \alpha(f_{1}'^{v}(s,t),h_{1}^{h}(t)) \cdot h_{1}^{v}(s,t) \\ &= \alpha(f_{2}'^{h}(s,t),h_{2}^{v}(s)) \cdot h_{2}^{h}(s,t) \cdot \alpha(\tilde{g}(s,t),F_{\Gamma}(s,t)). \end{split}$$

We now take – again in a faithful matrix representation – the second mixed derivative and evaluate at (0,0).

For the evaluation we use the properties of the 2-functor F that imply – on the level of 2-morphisms – f(0,0) = 1 and – on the level of 1-morphisms

 $-f_1^h(0) = f_1^v(0,0) = f_2^v(0) = f_2^h(0,0) = 1$. The same rules hold for F'. Similarly, the properties of the functor $\tilde{\rho}$ give additionally $h_1^h(0) = h_1^v(0,0) = 1$ and $h_2^v(0) = h_2^h(0,0) = 1$. To compute the derivative of the terms that contain α , it is convenient to use the rule

$$d\alpha|_{(g,h)}(X,Y) = d\alpha_h|_g(X) + d\alpha_g|_h(Y)$$
(2.18)

where $\alpha_g : H \longrightarrow H$ and $\alpha_h : G \longrightarrow H$ are obtained from α by fixing one of the two parameters, and the differentials on the right hand side are taken only with respect to the remaining parameter. Finally, we use (2.12). The result of the computation is

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma}'}{\partial s \partial t} + \mathrm{d}\alpha|_{(1,1)} \left(\frac{\partial f_1'^v}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial h_1^h}{\partial t}\right) + \frac{\partial h_1^h}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial h_1^v}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial^2 h_1^v}{\partial s \partial t} = \mathrm{d}\alpha|_{(1,1)} \left(\frac{\partial f_2'^h}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial h_2^v}{\partial s}\right) + \frac{\partial h_2^v}{\partial s} \cdot \frac{\partial h_2^h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 h_2^h}{\partial s \partial t} + \mathrm{d}\alpha_{\tilde{g}(0,0)}|_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 F_{\Gamma}}{\partial s \partial t}\right)$$

Expressed by differential forms, this gives

$$-B'_x(v_1, v_2) - \alpha_*(A'_x(v_1), \varphi_x(v_2)) + \varphi_x(v_2)\varphi_x(v_1) + \frac{\partial^2 h_1^v}{\partial s \partial t}$$
$$= -\alpha_*(A'_x(v_2), \varphi_x(v_1)) + \varphi_x(v_1)\varphi_x(v_2) + \frac{\partial^2 h_2^h}{\partial s \partial t} - (\alpha_g)_*(B),$$

which yields the second equality.

2.2.3 Extracting Forms III: Modifications

Let us now consider a smooth modification

 $\mathcal{A}:\rho_1 \Longrightarrow \rho_2$

between smooth pseudonatural transformations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : F \longrightarrow F'$ between two smooth 2-functors $F, F' : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$. Its components furnish a smooth map $X \longrightarrow G \ltimes H$. We denote its projection on the second factor by $a : X \longrightarrow H$.

Proposition 2.10. Let $F, F' : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathcal{BG}$ be smooth 2-functors with associated 1-forms $A, A' \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$, let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : F \to F'$ be smooth pseudonatural transformations with associated smooth functions $g_1, g_2 : X \to G$ and 1-forms $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{h})$. Then, the smooth map $a : X \to H$ associated to a smooth modification $\mathcal{A} : \rho_1 \Longrightarrow \rho_2$ satisfies

$$g_2 = (t \circ a) \cdot g_1 \quad and \quad \varphi_2 + (r_a^{-1} \circ \alpha_a)_*(A') = \operatorname{Ad}_a(\varphi_1) - a^*\bar{\theta}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $r_{a(x)}: H \longrightarrow H$ is the multiplication with a(x) from the right.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

Proof. In the same way as before we choose a smooth map $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X$ with $\Gamma(0) =: x$ and $\dot{\Gamma}(0) =: v \in T_x X$ and consider the smooth functions $f_{\Gamma}, f'_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{R} \to G$ from (2.6), the smooth functions $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 : \mathbb{R} \to G$ and $h_1, h_2 :$ $\mathbb{R} \to H$ from (2.17), and define an additional smooth function $a_{\Gamma} := a \circ \Gamma :$ $\mathbb{R} \to H$ with $a_{\Gamma}(0) = a(x)$. The target-matching condition (A.4) for the 2-morphism



in \mathcal{BG} obviously gives us the first equation. The axiom for the modification \mathcal{A} implies

$$\alpha(f_{\gamma}'(t), a_{\Gamma}(0)) \cdot h_1(t) = h_2(t) \cdot a_{\Gamma}(t).$$

The first derivative evaluated at 0 gives

$$(\alpha_{a_{\Gamma}(0)})_{*}\left(\frac{\partial f_{\gamma}'}{\partial t}\Big|_{0}\right)h_{1}(0) + \alpha(f'^{\gamma}(0), a_{\Gamma}(0)) \cdot \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial t}\Big|_{0} = \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial t}\Big|_{0} \cdot a_{\Gamma}(0) + h_{2}(0) \cdot \frac{\partial a_{\Gamma}}{\partial t}\Big|_{0}$$

With $f'^{\gamma}(0) = h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 1$ this yields

$$(\alpha_{a(x)})_*(-A') + a(x) \cdot \varphi_1|_x(v) = \varphi_2|_x(v) \cdot a(x) + \mathrm{d}a|_x(v)$$

which is the second equation we had to prove.

2.2.4 Summary of Section 2.2

In order to obtain a precise relation between smooth 2-functors and differential forms, we define a 2-category which is adapted to the relations we have found in Propositions 2.7, 2.9 and 2.10.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathfrak{G} be a Lie 2-group, (G, H, t, α) the corresponding smooth crossed module, and X a smooth manifold. We define the following 2-category $Z_X^2(\mathfrak{G})^\infty$:

1. An object is a pair (A, B) of a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ which satisfy the relation (2.10):

$$\mathrm{d}A + [A \wedge A] = t_* \circ B.$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

2. A 1-morphism $(g, \varphi) : (A, B) \rightarrow (A', B')$ is a smooth map $g : X \rightarrow G$ and a 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{h})$ that satisfy the relations (2.15) and (2.16):

$$\begin{aligned} A' + t_* \circ \varphi &= \operatorname{Ad}_g(A) - g^* \bar{\theta} \\ B' + \alpha_* (A' \wedge \varphi) + \mathrm{d}\varphi + [\varphi \wedge \varphi] &= (\alpha_g)_* \circ B. \end{aligned}$$

The composition of 1-morphisms

$$(A,B) \xrightarrow{(g_1,\varphi_1)} (A',B') \xrightarrow{g_2,\varphi_2} (A'',B'')$$

is given by the map $g_2g_1 : X \longrightarrow G$ and the 1-form $(\alpha_{g_2})_* \circ \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$, where $\alpha_g : H \longrightarrow H$ is the action of G on H with fixed g. The identity 1-morphism is given by g = 1 and $\varphi = 0$.

3. A 2-morphism $a : (g_1, \varphi_1) \Longrightarrow (g_2, \varphi_2)$ is a smooth map $a : X \longrightarrow H$ that satisfies (2.19):

$$g_2 = (t \circ a) \cdot g_1$$
 and $\varphi_2 + (r_a^{-1} \circ \alpha_a)_*(A') = \operatorname{Ad}_a(\varphi_1) - a^*\bar{\theta}_*$

The vertical composition

$$(g,\varphi) \xrightarrow{a_1} (g',\varphi') \xrightarrow{a_2} (g'',\varphi'')$$

is given by a_2a_1 . The horizontal composition is

$$(A, B) \underbrace{\overset{(g_1, \varphi_1)}{\underset{(g_1', \varphi_1')}{\overset{(g_2, \varphi_2)}{\overset{(g_2, \varphi_2')}{\overset{(g_2', \varphi_2')}}}}_{(g_2', \varphi_2')} (A'', B'') = (A, B) \underbrace{\overset{(g_2g_1, (\alpha_{g_2})_* \circ \varphi_1 + \varphi_2)}{\underset{(g_2'g_1', (\alpha_{g_2'})_* \circ \varphi_1' + \varphi_2')}{\overset{(g_2'g_1', (\alpha_{g_2'})_* \circ \varphi_1' + \varphi_2')}}}_{(g_2'g_1', (\alpha_{g_2'})_* \circ \varphi_1' + \varphi_2')}$$

and the identity 2-morphism is given by a = 1.

It is straightforward to check that this definition gives indeed a 2-category. In the Sections 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3 above we have collected the structure of a 2-functor

$$\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}) \longrightarrow Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}.$$

Let us check that the axioms of a 2-functor are satisfied. Horizontal and vertical compositions of 2-morphisms are respected because these are just smooth maps $a : X \longrightarrow H$ which become multiplied in exactly the same way in both 2-categories. It remains to check the compatibility with the composition of 1-morphisms, i.e. we have to show that

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho_2 \circ \rho_1) = \mathcal{D}(\rho_2) \circ \mathcal{D}(\rho_1)$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

for smooth pseudonatural transformations $\rho_1 : F \to F'$ and $\rho_2 : F' \to F''$. Let $(g_i, \varphi_i) := \mathcal{D}(\rho_i)$ for i = 1, 2. According to the definition (A.1) of the composition of pseudonatural transformations, the component of $\rho_2 \circ \rho_1$ at an object $x \in X$ is $g_2(x)g_1(x) \in G$, and its component at a 1-morphism $\gamma : x \to y$ is $\rho_2(\gamma) \cdot \alpha(g_2(y), \rho_1(\gamma)) \in H$. If we consider the smooth functions $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 : \mathbb{R} \to G$ and $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{R} \to H$ associated to ρ_1 and ρ_2 like in (2.17), the 1-form associated to $\rho_2 \circ \rho_1$ is, at $x := \Gamma(0)$ and $v := \dot{\Gamma}(0)$ and using (2.18),

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{0} \alpha(\tilde{g}_{2}(t), h_{1}(t)^{-1})h_{2}(t)^{-1}$$

$$= -\mathrm{d}\alpha_{\tilde{g}_{2}(0)}\Big|_{h_{1}(0)} \left(\frac{\partial h_{1}^{-1}}{\partial t}\Big|_{0}\right)h_{2}(0)^{-1} - \alpha(\tilde{g}_{2}(0), h_{1}(0)^{-1})\frac{\partial h_{2}^{-1}}{\partial t}\Big|_{0}$$

$$= (\alpha_{g_{2}(x)})_{*}(\varphi_{1}|_{x}(v)) + \varphi_{2}|_{x}(v),$$

this is exactly the rule for horizontal composition of 1-morphisms in $Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}$.

2.3 From Forms to Functors

In this section we introduce a 2-functor

$$\mathcal{P}: Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G})$$

that goes in the opposite direction compared to the 2-functor \mathcal{D} defined in Section 2.2. The principle here is to pose initial value problems governed by differential forms. Their unique solutions define smooth 2-functors, smooth pseudonatural transformations and smooth modifications.

2.3.1 Reconstruction I: 2-Functors

Here we consider a given 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and a given 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ that satisfy the condition from Proposition 2.7,

$$dA + [A \land A] = t_* \circ B. \tag{2.20}$$

By Theorem 1.6 the 1-form A defines a smooth functor $F_A : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$. Our aim is now to define a map $k_{A,B} : B^2X \longrightarrow H$ such that F_A and $k_{A,B}$ together define a smooth 2-functor $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$, which is dedicated to be the image of the pair (A, B) under the 2-functor \mathcal{P} we want to define. For our convention concerning the semi-direct product, we refer the reader again to equation (A.2) in Appendix A.2. In order to find the correct definition of $k_{A,B}$ we look at the targetmatching condition

$$F_A(\gamma_1) = t(k_{A,B}(\Sigma)) \cdot F_A(\gamma_0) \tag{2.21}$$

that has to be satisfied for any bigon $\Sigma : \gamma_0 \implies \gamma_1$. For technical reasons we consider $\Sigma : [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow X$ to be extended trivially over all of \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e.

$$\Sigma(s,t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_0(0) = \gamma_1(0) & \text{for } t < 0\\ \gamma_0(1) = \gamma_1(1) & \text{for } t > 1\\ \gamma_0(t) & \text{for } s < 0 \text{ and } 0 \le t \le 1\\ \gamma_1(t) & \text{for } s > 1 \text{ and } 0 \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tau_{s_0}(s,t)$ be the closed path in \mathbb{R}^2 that runs counter-clockwise around the rectangle spanned by $(s_0,0)$ and (s_0+s,t) , and let the smooth function u_{A,s_0} : $\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow G$ be defined by $u_{A,s_0}(s,t) := F_A(\Sigma_*(\tau_{s_0}(s,t)))$. For this function, we recall

Lemma 2.12 (Lemma B.1 in [SW07]).

$$(a) \quad u_{A,0}(1,1) = F_A(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_1)$$

$$(b) \quad u_{A,s_0}(s,1) = u_{A,s_0}(s',1) \cdot u_{A,s_0+s'}(s-s',1)$$

$$(c) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{A,s_0} \Big|_{(0,t)} = -\operatorname{Ad}_{F_A(\gamma_{s_0,t})}^{-1} (\Sigma^* K)_{(s_0,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$$

with $\gamma_{s,t}$ the path defined by $\gamma_{s,t}(\tau) := \Sigma(s,\tau t)$ and K the curvature 2-form $K := dA + [A \wedge A] \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{g}).$

The function u_{A,s_0} is interesting for us because by (a) $u_{A,0}(1,1)$ coincides up to conjugation with the image of the group element $k_{A,B}(\Sigma) \in H$ we want to determine under the homomorphism t. The multiplicative property (b) shows that the smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$ defined by $f(\sigma) := u_{A,0}(\sigma, 1)$ solves the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}f(\sigma) = \mathrm{d}l_{f(\sigma)}|_1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_0 u_{A,\sigma}(s,1)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad f(0) = 1.$$
(2.22)

This initial value problem is governed by the 1-form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{0} u_{A,\sigma}(s,1) = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{A,\sigma} \right|_{(0,t)} \right\}$$
$$\stackrel{(c)}{=} -\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t \operatorname{Ad}_{F_{A}(\gamma_{\sigma,t})}^{-1} \left((\Sigma^{*}K)_{(\sigma,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right). \quad (2.23)$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35

Here, $\operatorname{Ad}_{F_A(\gamma_{-,-})}^{-1} \circ \Sigma^* K$ is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 2-form on $[0,1]^2$, and we have just performed a fibre integration over the second factor [0,1]. The result is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on [0,1]. This form actually lies in the image of t_* ,

$$t_* \circ (\alpha_{F_A(\gamma_{-,-})^{-1}})_* \circ \Sigma^* B \stackrel{(2.20)}{=} \operatorname{Ad}_{F_A(\gamma_{-,-})}^{-1} \circ \Sigma^* K.$$
 (2.24)

We are thus forced to consider the 1-form

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma} := -\int_{[0,1]} (\alpha_{F_A(\gamma_{-,-})^{-1}})_* \circ \Sigma^* B \in \Omega^1([0,1],\mathfrak{h}).$$
(2.25)

Due to the sitting instants of Σ , we can equivalently speak of a 1-form on \mathbb{R} which vanishes outside of [0, 1]. Now we use again Theorem 1.6 and obtain a smooth functor $F_{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}: P^1\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H$. Since $P^1\mathbb{R}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ (compare Lemma 4.1 in [SW07]) this is just a smooth function $f_{\Sigma}: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow H$. The purpose of these definitions is, that by (2.23) and (2.24) the smooth function

$$f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G: \sigma \longmapsto t(f_{\Sigma}(0, \sigma))^{-1}$$

solves the initial value problem (2.22). Thus, by uniqueness $t(f_{\Sigma}(0,\sigma))^{-1} = u_{A,0}(\sigma, 1)$. If we now define

$$k_{A,B}: BX \longrightarrow H: \Sigma \longmapsto \alpha(F_A(\gamma_0), f_{\Sigma}(0, 1)^{-1})$$
 (2.26)

for γ_0 the source path of the bigon Σ we have achieved

$$t(k_{A,B}(\Sigma)) = F_A(\gamma_0) \cdot t(f_{\Sigma}(0,1))^{-1} \cdot F_A(\gamma_0)^{-1} \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} F_A(\gamma_1) \cdot F_A(\gamma_0)^{-1}; \quad (2.27)$$

this is the required target-matching condition (2.21). Another indication that the map $k_{A,B}$ is we have found is the correct one is the following

Proposition 2.13. The map $k_{A,B} : BX \to H$ is diffeological. For any smooth map $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ with $x := \Gamma(0), v_1 := \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial s}$ and $v_2 := \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t}$, we have

$$- \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \right|_{(0,0)} k_{A,B}(\Gamma_* \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)) = B_x(v_1,v_2).$$

Proof. Assume that $c: U \to BX$ is a map from an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to BX such that the evaluation $c(u)(s,t) \in X$ is smooth on all of $U \times [0,1]^2$. Hence, the differential form $\mathcal{A}_{c(u)}$ from (2.25) depends smoothly on $u \in U$, and so does the solution $f_{c(u)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to H$ of the differential equation governed by $\mathcal{A}_{c(u)}$. This implies that $k_{A,B} \circ \text{pr} \circ c : U \to H$ is smooth, so that $k_{A,B}$ is diffeological by Lemma 1.4.

Now we consider $U = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $c := \Gamma_* \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ the standard bigon (2.5), so that $k_{A,B} \circ c : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow H$ is a smooth map. In order to compute the derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{0}k_{A,B}(c(s,t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{0}\alpha(F_{A}(\Gamma_{*}\gamma_{0}(s,t)), f_{c(s,t)}(0,1)^{-1})$$

we observe that $\mathcal{A}_{c(s,t)}|_{\sigma} = \sigma \mathcal{A}_{c(1,t)}|_{\sigma s}$. For the solutions of the corresponding differential equations we obtain by a uniqueness argument $f_{c(s,t)}(0,\sigma) = f_{c(1,t)}(0,s\sigma)$. We compute

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{0} f_{c(s,t)}(0,1)^{-1} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{0} f_{c(1,t)}(0,s)
= \mathcal{A}_{c(1,t)}\Big|_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)
= -\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}\tau \left(\alpha_{F_{A}(\Gamma_{*}\gamma_{0,\tau'})}\right)_{*} (c(1,t)^{*}B)_{(0,\tau)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s},\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)
= -\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau' \left(\alpha_{F_{A}(\Gamma_{*}\gamma_{0,\tau'})}\right)_{*} (\Gamma^{*}B)_{(0,\tau')}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s},\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau'}\right) (2.28)$$

In the last step we have performed an integral transformation and used that $c(1,1) = \Gamma$. Finally

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_0 k_{A,B}(c(s,t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_0 (\alpha_{F_A(\Gamma_*\gamma_0(0,t))})_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_0 f_{c(s,t)}(0,1)^{-1}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_0 f_{c(s,t)}(0,1)^{-1}$$
$$\stackrel{(2.28)}{=} -(\Gamma^*B)|_0 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$$
$$= -B_x(v_1, v_2).$$

In the first line we have used (2.18) and that $f_{c(0,t)}(0,1) = 1 \in H$, so that the differential of $\alpha_1 : G \longrightarrow H$ is the zero map.

The next thing we would like to know about the map $k_{A,B}$ is its compatibility with the horizontal and vertical composition of bigons in X. Concerning the vertical composition, this will be straightforward, but for the horizontal composition we have to introduce firstly an auxiliary horizontal composition and to check the compatibility of $k_{A,B}$ with this one.

To define this auxiliary horizontal composition, we consider two bigons $\Sigma_1 : \gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \gamma'_1$ and $\Sigma_2 : \gamma_2 \Longrightarrow \gamma'_2$, with $\gamma_1, \gamma'_1 : x \longrightarrow y$ and $\gamma_2, \gamma'_2 : y \longrightarrow z$. The result will be a bigon

$$\Sigma_2 * \Sigma_1 : \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 \implies \gamma'_2 \circ \gamma'_1.$$

We define a map $p: [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow [0,1]^2$ by

$$p(s,t) := \begin{cases} (0,t) & \text{for } 0 \le t < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } 0 \le s < \frac{1}{2} \\ (2s,t) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1 \text{ and } 0 \le s < \frac{1}{2} \\ (2s-1,t) & \text{for } 0 \le t < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \le s \le 1 \\ (1,t) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \le s \le 1 \end{cases}$$

see Figure 1. This map p is not smooth, but its composition with $\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_1$ is,



Figure 1: A useful deformation of the unit square.

due to the sitting instants of the bigons Σ_1 and Σ_2 . We define

$$\Sigma_2 * \Sigma_1 := (\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_1) \circ p$$

to be this smooth map, this defines the auxiliary horizontal composition of Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

Lemma 2.14. The map $k_{A,B} : BX \longrightarrow H$ respects the vertical composition of bigons in the sense that

$$k_{A,B}(\mathrm{id}_{\gamma}) = 1$$
 and $k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2) \cdot k_{A,B}(\Sigma_1)$

for any path $\gamma \in PX$ and any two vertically composable bigons Σ_1 and Σ_2 . It respects the auxiliary horizontal composition * in the sense that

$$k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2 * \Sigma_1) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2) \cdot \alpha(F_A(\gamma_2), k_{A,B}(\Sigma_1))$$

for any two horizontally composable bigons $\Sigma_1 : \gamma_1 \implies \gamma'_1 \text{ and } \Sigma_2 : \gamma_2 \implies \gamma'_2$.

Proof. Concerning the vertical composition, the identity bigon id_{γ} : $\gamma \Longrightarrow \gamma$ has the 1-form $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{id}_{\gamma}} = 0$, so that $f_{\Sigma}(0,\sigma)$ is constant. Hence, $k_{A,B}(\mathrm{id}_{\gamma}) = 1$. Now let $\Sigma_1 : \gamma_0 \Longrightarrow \gamma_1$ and $\Sigma_2 : \gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \gamma_2$ be two bigons. For the 1-form (2.25) associated to the bigon $\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1$ we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1}|_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_1}|_{2\sigma} & \text{for } 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_2}|_{2\sigma-1} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

and accordingly

$$f_{\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1}(0,1) = f_{\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1}\left(\frac{1}{2},1\right) \cdot f_{\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1}\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right) = f_{\Sigma_2}(0,1) \cdot f_{\Sigma_1}(0,1). \quad (2.29)$$

A short calculations then shows that

$$k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{2} \bullet \Sigma_{1}) \stackrel{(2.26)}{=} \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{0}), f_{\Sigma_{2} \bullet \Sigma_{1}}(0, 1)^{-1})$$

$$\stackrel{(2.29)}{=} \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{0}), f_{\Sigma_{1}}(0, 1)^{-1} \cdot f_{\Sigma_{2}}(0, 1)^{-1})$$

$$\stackrel{(2.21)}{=} k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{2}) \cdot k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{1}).$$

In the last step we have also used the axioms of a crossed module.

Concerning the auxiliary horizontal composition, we obtain for the 1-form (2.25) associated to the bigon $\Sigma_2 * \Sigma_1$

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_{2}*\Sigma_{1}}|_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ad}_{F_{A}(\gamma_{1})}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_{2}}|_{2\sigma}\right) & \text{ for } 0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_{1}}|_{2\sigma-1} & \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and accordingly

$$f_{\Sigma_{2}*\Sigma_{1}}(0,1) = f_{\Sigma_{2}*\Sigma_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2},1\right) \cdot f_{\Sigma_{2}*\Sigma_{1}}\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$= f_{\Sigma_{1}}(0,1) \cdot \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{1})^{-1},f_{\Sigma_{2}}(0,1)). \quad (2.30)$$

Then we obtain

$$k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{2} * \Sigma_{1}) \stackrel{(2.26)}{=} \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{2} \circ \gamma_{1}), f_{\Sigma_{2} * \Sigma_{1}}(0, 1)^{-1})$$

$$\stackrel{(2.30)}{=} \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{2} \circ \gamma_{1}), \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{1})^{-1}, f_{\Sigma_{2}}(0, 1))^{-1} \cdot f_{\Sigma_{1}}(0, 1)^{-1})$$

$$\stackrel{(2.26)}{=} k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{2}) \cdot \alpha(F_{A}(\gamma_{2}), k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{1}))$$

this yields the required identity.

Before we come to the original horizontal composition of bigons it is convenient to show first the following

Lemma 2.15. For thin homotopy equivalent bigons $\Sigma \sim_2 \Sigma'$ we have

$$k_{A,B}(\Sigma) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma').$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

34

8/28/2008 - 19:35

We have moved the proof of this Lemma to Appendix A.3. It then follows that $k_{A,B}$ factors through B^2X ,

$$BX \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}^2} B^2X \longrightarrow H$$

Since pr^2 is surjective, the map $B^2X \longrightarrow H$ is uniquely determined, and by Proposition 2.13, it is diffeological. We denote this unique diffeological map also by $k_{A,B}: B^2X \longrightarrow H$.

Proposition 2.16. The assignment

$$F : x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y \mapsto x \xrightarrow{F_A(\gamma)} x \qquad (2.31)$$

defines a smooth 2-functor $F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$.

Proof. Since F_A is a smooth functor, we have nothing to show for 1morphisms. On 2-morphisms, the assignment $k_{A,B}$ is smooth by Proposition 2.13. By Lemma 2.14 it further respects the vertical composition. Concerning the horizontal composition, notice that

$$h: [0,1] \times [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow X: (r,s,t) \longmapsto (\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_2)(rp + (1-r)\mathrm{id}_{[0,1]^2})(s,t),$$

defines a homotopy between $\Sigma_2 * \Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_1$, and since its rank is limited by dimensional reasons to 2, this homotopy is thin. Then, by Lemmata 2.14 and 2.15 we have

$$k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2 \circ \Sigma_1) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2 * \Sigma_1) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2) \cdot \alpha(F_A(\gamma_2), k_{A,B}(\Sigma_1)).$$
(2.32)

Thus, the 2-functor F respects the horizontal composition.

2.3.2 Reconstruction II: Pseudonatural Transformations

Here we consider a 1-morphism

$$(g,\varphi):(A,B) \longrightarrow (A',B')$$

in the 2-category $Z_X^2(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}$, i.e. a smooth map $g: X \longrightarrow G$ and a 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{h})$ that satisfy the relations from Proposition 2.9,

$$A' + t_* \circ \varphi = \operatorname{Ad}_g(A) - g^* \overline{\theta} \qquad (2.33)$$

$$B' + \alpha_*(A' \wedge \varphi) + \mathrm{d}\varphi + [\varphi \wedge \varphi] = (\alpha_g)_* \circ B.$$
(2.34)

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 = 19:35

The 1-forms A' and φ define a 1-form $(A', \varphi) \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h})$, and thus by Theorem 1.6 a smooth functor $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(G \ltimes H)$. We denote its projection to H by $h : P^1X \longrightarrow H$. We want to define a smooth pseudonatural transformation $\rho : F \longrightarrow F'$ between the 2-functors $F := \mathcal{P}(A, B)$ and $F' := \mathcal{P}(A', B')$ by

$$\rho \quad : \quad x \xrightarrow{\gamma} y \quad \longmapsto \quad g(x) \bigvee_{\substack{k \xrightarrow{F(\gamma)} \\ k \xrightarrow{F'(\gamma)} \\ F'(\gamma)}}^{*} g(y) \quad . \tag{2.35}$$

We have to show

Lemma 2.17. The target-matching condition

$$F'(\gamma) \cdot g(x) = t(h(\gamma)^{-1}) \cdot g(y) \cdot F(\gamma)$$
(2.36)

for the 2-morphism $h(\gamma)^{-1}$ is satisfied.

Proof. We recall that $F(\gamma)$, $F'(\gamma)$ and $h(\gamma)$ are values of solutions $f_{\gamma}, f'_{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$ and $h_{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H$ of initial value problems. We show that

$$f'_{\gamma}(0,t) = t(h_{\gamma}(t)^{-1}) \cdot g(\gamma(t)) \cdot f_{\gamma}(0,t) \cdot g(\gamma(0))^{-1} =: \beta(t)$$

which gives for t = 1 equation (2.33). For this purpose, we show that $\beta(t)$ satisfies the initial value problem for f'_{γ} . The initial condition $\beta(0) = 1$ is satisfied. Notice that with $p := \gamma(t)$ and $v := \dot{\gamma}(t)$

$$\frac{\partial h_{\gamma}(t)}{\partial t} = -\mathrm{d}r_{h_{\gamma}(t)}|_{1}(\varphi_{p}(v)) - (\alpha_{h_{\gamma}(t)})_{*}(A'_{p}(v))$$
(2.37)

so that – in a faithful matrix representation of G – using Axiom 2a) of the crossed module

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}t(h_{\gamma}(t)^{-1}) = \mathrm{d}t|_{h_{\gamma}(t)^{-1}} \left(\frac{\partial h_{\gamma}(t)^{-1}}{\partial t}\right)$$
$$= \left(\mathrm{Ad}_{t(h_{\gamma}(t))}^{-1}\left(t_{*}(\varphi_{p}(v)) + A_{p}'(v)\right) - A_{p}'(v)\right)t(h_{\gamma}(t)^{-1}).$$

Then we compute

$$\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t} = \left(\operatorname{Ad}_{t(h_{\gamma}(t))}^{-1}\left(t_{*}(\varphi_{p}(v)) + A_{p}'(v) + g^{*}\bar{\theta}|_{p}(v) - \operatorname{Ad}_{g}(A_{p}(v))\right) - A_{p}'(v)\right)\beta(t).$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35
Using equation (2.33), the right hand side becomes $-A'_p(v)\beta(t)$. Hence, $\beta(t)$ solves the same initial value problem as $f'_{\gamma}(0,t)$. By uniqueness, both functions coincide.

It remains to check that the axioms of a pseudonatural transformation are satisfied. Axiom (T1) follows from the fact that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a functor by the same arguments as given in the proof of Lemma 2.8. For axiom (T2) we have to prove

Lemma 2.18. The 2-morphism $h(\gamma)$ satisfies

$$F'(\Sigma) \cdot h^{-1}(\gamma_0) = h^{-1}(\gamma_1) \cdot \alpha(g(y), F(\Sigma))$$

for any bigon $\Sigma : \gamma_0 \implies \gamma_1$.

Proof. We recall that $F(\Sigma) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma) = \alpha(F(\gamma), f_{\Sigma}(0, 1)^{-1})$, where $f_{\Sigma}(0, s)$ is the solution of a initial value problem governed by a 1-form \mathcal{A}_{Σ} . For $F(\gamma')$ the same is true with primed quantities. We define the notion $\gamma_s(t) := \Sigma(s, t)$ consistent with γ_0 and γ_1 . Then, the equation

$$f'_{\Sigma}(0,s) = \alpha(F'(\gamma_0)^{-1}, h(\gamma_0)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(g(y) \cdot F(\gamma_0), f_{\Sigma}(0,s)) \cdot h(\gamma_s)) := \kappa(s),$$

evaluated for s = 1, is the equation we have to prove. Like in the proof of Lemma 2.17 we show that $\kappa(s)$ solves the initial value problem for $f'_{\Sigma}(0,s)$. In a first step, the derivative $\partial \kappa / \partial s$ can be written as $dr_{\kappa(s)}|_1 X(s)$ where $X(s) \in \mathfrak{h}$ is

$$X(s) = (\alpha_{F'(\gamma_0)^{-1}})_* \left(-\operatorname{Ad}_{h(\gamma_0)}^{-1} (\alpha_{g(y)F(\gamma_0)})_* \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}|_s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \operatorname{Ad}_{h(\gamma_0)^{-1} \alpha(g(y)F(\gamma_0), f_{\Sigma}(0,s))} \left(\frac{\partial h(\gamma_s)}{\partial s} h(\gamma_s)^{-1} \right) \right) \right. \\ = \left. - (\alpha_{g(x)})_* \left(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}|_s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) \right) + (\alpha_{F'(\gamma_s^{-1})})_* h(\gamma_s)^{-1} \frac{\partial h(\gamma_s)}{\partial s}. \right.$$

In the second line we have used the target matching conditions (2.21) and (2.36). With the definition (2.25) and again (2.36), the first summand becomes

$$-(\alpha_{g(x)})_*\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}|_s\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \,(\alpha_{F'(\gamma_{s,t})^{-1}})_*\mathrm{Ad}_{h(\gamma_{s,t})}^{-1}W(s,t).$$

where we have written

$$W(s,t) := \Sigma^*((\alpha_g)_* \circ B)_{(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

To compute the second summand, we recall from Section 2.3.1 the definition of the path $\tau_{s_0}(s,t)$ that runs counter-clockwise around the rectangle spanned by $(s_0, 0)$ and (s_0+s, t) . We consider the smooth function $u_{s_0} : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow G \ltimes H$ be defined by $u_{s_0}(s,t) := \tilde{\rho}(\Sigma_*(\tau_{s_0}(s,t)))$, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is the smooth functor corresponding to the 1-form $(A', \varphi) \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h})$ we started with. For this smooth function, we recall Lemma 2.12 (a), here $h(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_s) = p_H(u_0(s,1))$. Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_0(s, 1) \stackrel{\text{(b)}}{=} u_0(s, 1) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \Big|_0 u_s(\sigma, 1)
= u_0(s, 1) \cdot \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{(0,t)} u_s(\sigma, t)
\stackrel{\text{(c)}}{=} -u_0(s, 1) \cdot \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\rho}(\gamma_{s,t})}^{-1} (\Sigma^* K)_{(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right). (2.38)$$

In the last line, $K = (K_{A'}, K_{\varphi})$ is the curvature 2-form of the 1-form (A', φ) , consisting of

$$K_{A'} = \mathrm{d}A' + [A' \wedge A'] \stackrel{(2.20)}{=} t_* \circ B' \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\varphi} = \alpha_*(A' \wedge \varphi) + \mathrm{d}\varphi + [\varphi \wedge \varphi].$$

If we write Y(s,t) for $\Sigma^* K_{\varphi}$ evaluated at (s,t), and similarly Z(s,t) for $\Sigma^* B'$, the adjoint action in (2.38) on the semidirect product $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$ is

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{(g,h)}^{-1}(t_*(Z),Y) = \left(\operatorname{Ad}_g^{-1}(t_*(Z)), (\alpha_{g^{-1}})_* \left(\operatorname{Ad}_h^{-1}(Y+Z) - Z\right)\right).$$

With Y + Z = W from (2.34), the projection of (2.38) to \mathfrak{h} becomes

$$\frac{\partial h(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_s)}{\partial s} = -h(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_s) \cdot (\alpha_{F'(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_s)})_* \\ \left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \, (\alpha_{F'(\gamma_{s,t})^{-1}})_* \left(\mathrm{Ad}_{h(\gamma_{s,t})}^{-1}(W(s,t)) - Z(s,t) \right) \right) \quad (2.39)$$

Then, with $h(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_s) = h(\gamma_0^{-1})\alpha(F'(\gamma_0)^{-1}, h(\gamma_s))$, we have summarizing

$$X(s) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t (\alpha_{F'(\gamma_{s,t})^{-1}})_* Z(s,t) \stackrel{(2.25)}{=} -\mathcal{A}'_{\Sigma}|_s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

This shows $\kappa(s) = f'_{\Sigma}(0, s)$.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

38

8/28/2008 - 19:35

2.3.3 Reconstruction III: Modifications

We consider a 2-morphism

$$a:(g,\varphi) \Longrightarrow (g',\varphi')$$

in the 2-category $Z_X^2(G)^{\infty}$, between two 1-morphisms (g, φ) and (g', φ') from (A, B) to (A', B'). This is a smooth map $a: X \longrightarrow H$ that satisfies (2.19):

$$g_2 = (t \circ a) \cdot g_1$$
 and $\varphi_2 + (r_a^{-1} \circ \alpha_a)_*(A') = \operatorname{Ad}_a(\varphi_1) - a^*\overline{\theta}.$ (2.40)

We want to define a smooth modification $\mathcal{A}: \rho \Longrightarrow \rho'$ between the pseudonatural transformations $\rho := \mathcal{P}(g, \varphi)$ and $\rho' := \mathcal{P}(g', \varphi')$. We define



The target-matching condition for the 2-morphism f(x) is obviously satisfied due to the first equation in (2.40). The axiom for the modification \mathcal{A} is

Lemma 2.19. The 2-morphism a(x) satisfies

$$\alpha(F'(\gamma), a(x)) \cdot h(\gamma)^{-1} = h'(\gamma)^{-1} \cdot a(y)$$

for all paths $\gamma \in PX$.

Proof. We rewrite the equation as

$$h'_{\gamma}(t) = a(\gamma(t)) \cdot h_{\gamma}(t) \cdot \alpha(f'_{\gamma}(0,t), a(x)^{-1}) := \lambda(t)$$

which we will prove by showing that $\lambda(t)$ satisfies the same initial value problem as $h'_{\gamma}(t)$, namely (2.37):

$$\frac{\partial h'_{\gamma}(t)}{\partial t} = -\mathrm{d}r_{h'_{\gamma}(t)}|_1(\varphi'_p(v)) - (\alpha_{h'_{\gamma}(t)})_*(A'_p(v))$$
(2.41)

for $p := \gamma(t)$ and $v := \dot{\gamma}(t)$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = -\mathrm{d}r_{\lambda(t)}|_1 \left(-(a^*\bar{\theta})_p(v) + \mathrm{Ad}_{a(p)}(\varphi_1|_p(v)) - (r_{a(p)}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{a(p)})_*(A'_p(v)) \right) - (\alpha_{\lambda(t)})_*(A'_p(v)).$$

For this calculation, one twice has to use the identity

$$(\alpha_{h_1h_2})_*(X) = \mathrm{d}r_{h_2}|_{h_1}(\alpha_{h_1})_*(X) + \mathrm{d}l_{h_1}|_{h_2}(\alpha_{h_2})_*(X).$$
(2.42)

Using then the second equation of (2.40), we have shown that $\lambda(t)$ satisfies the differential equation (2.41). Thus, $\lambda(t) = h'_{\gamma}(t)$.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

2.3.4 Summary of Section 2.2

Above we have collected the structure of a 2-functor

$$\mathcal{P}: Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}).$$

Let us now check that the axioms of a 2-functor are satisfied. Like in Section 2.2.4, horizontal and vertical composition of 2-morphisms is respected because they are defined on both sides in the same way for the same H-valued functions. It remains to check the compatibility with the composition of 1-morphisms,

$$\mathcal{P}((g_2,\varphi_2)\circ(g_1,\varphi_1)):=\mathcal{P}(g_2,g_1,(\alpha_{g_2})_*\circ\varphi_1+\varphi_2)=\mathcal{P}(g_2,\varphi_2)\circ\mathcal{P}(g_1,\varphi_1)$$

for 1-morphisms $(g_i, \varphi_i) : (A_i, B_i) \rightarrow (A_{i+1}, B_{i+1})$. For the components at objects $x \in X$, this equality is clear. We recall the component of $\mathcal{P}(g_i, \varphi_i)$ at a path $\gamma \in PX$ is a 2-morphism in $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ given according to (2.35) by a group element $h(\gamma)^{-1}$, where $h(\gamma) = h_i(1)$ for $h_i(t)$ the solution of the initial value problem (2.37). Similar, the component of $\mathcal{P}(g_2, g_1, \tilde{\varphi})$ at γ with $\tilde{\varphi} := (\alpha_{g_2})_* \circ \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ is $\tilde{h}(\gamma)^{-1}$, where $\tilde{h}(\gamma) = \tilde{h}(1)$ for $\tilde{h}(t)$ the solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{h}(t)}{\partial t} = -\mathrm{d}r_{\tilde{h}(t)}|_1(\tilde{\varphi}_2|_{\gamma(t)}(v_t)) - (\alpha_{\tilde{h}(t)})_*(A_3|_{\gamma(t)}(v_t))$$
(2.43)

with $v_t := \dot{\gamma}(t)$. According to the definition of the composition of pseudonatural transformations, the equation we have to prove now follows from

$$\tilde{h}(t) = \alpha(g_2(\gamma(t)), h_1(t)) \cdot h_2(t) =: \zeta(t)$$
 (2.44)

evaluated at t = 1, and we prove (2.44) by showing that $\zeta(t)$ solves (2.43). A straightforward calculation similar to the one performed in the proof of Lemma 2.19, using (2.42) and (2.33) for (g_2, φ_2) , shows that this is indeed the case.

2.4 Main Theorem

We have so far defined two 2-functors \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{P} which go from smooth 2-functors to differential forms, and from differential forms back to smooth 2-functors. Here we prove the main theorem of this article:

Theorem 2.20. The 2-functors

$$\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}) \longrightarrow Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}$$

from Section 2.2 and

$$\mathcal{P}: Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G})$$

from Section 2.3 satisfy

$$\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{P} = \mathrm{id}_{Z^2_X(G)^{\infty}} \quad and \quad \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(X),\mathcal{BG})} \tag{2.45}$$

and are hence isomorphisms of 2-categories.

Proof. We start with an object (A, B) in $Z_X^2(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}$, i.e. a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ such that $dA + [A \wedge A] = t_* \circ B$. We let $(A', B') := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}(A, B))$ be the differential forms extracted from the reconstructed 2-functor $F := \mathcal{P}(A, B)$. By Theorem 1.6 we have A' = A. Now we test the 2-form B' at a point $x \in X$ and at tangent vectors $v_1, v_2 \in T_x X$. Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ be a smooth map with $x = \Gamma(0), v_1 = \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial s}|_0$ and $v_2 = \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t}|_0$. We only have to summarize

$$B'_{x}(v_{1}, v_{2}) \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{0} \mathcal{P}(A, B)(\Gamma_{*}\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s, t))$$
$$\stackrel{(2.31)}{=} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{0} k_{A,B}(\Gamma_{*}\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s, t)) = B_{x}(v_{1}, v_{2}),$$

where the last equality has been shown in Proposition 2.13.

Conversely, let $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ be a smooth 2-functor, and let $F' := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(F))$. By Theorem 1.6 it is clear that F'(x) = F(x) and $F'(\gamma) = F(\gamma)$ for every point $x \in X$ and every path $\gamma \in PX$. For a bigon $\Sigma \in B^2X$ we recall that

$$F'(\Sigma) = k_{\mathcal{D}(F)}(\Sigma) = \alpha(F(\gamma_0), f'_{\Sigma}(0, 1)^{-1}), \qquad (2.46)$$

where f'_{Σ} is the solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial f'_{\Sigma}(0,s)}{\partial s} = -\mathrm{d}r_{f'_{\Sigma}(0,s)}(X(s)) \quad \text{and} \quad f'_{\Sigma}(0,0) = 1.$$
 (2.47)

This initial value problem is governed by $X(s) \in \mathfrak{h}$, which is given by the 1-form \mathcal{A}_{Σ} from (2.25), namely

$$X(s) := \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}|_{s} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) := -\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t \, (\alpha_{F(\gamma_{s,t})^{-1}})_{*} \circ (\Sigma^{*}B)_{(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right),$$

and B is the 2-form in $(A, B) = \mathcal{D}(F)$.

j

We define a bigon $\Sigma_{s,t}(\sigma,\tau)$ by $\Sigma_{s,t}(\sigma,\tau)(s',t') := \Sigma(s+\beta(\sigma s'),t+\beta(\tau t'))$, where β is some fixed orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of [0,1] with

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

41

8/28/2008 = 19:35

sitting instants. We notice from (2.46) and (2.47) that $F'(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1))$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F'(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1)) = F'(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1)) \cdot d\alpha_{F(\gamma_0)}(X(s)) \quad \text{and} \quad F'(\Sigma_{0,0}(0,1)) = 1.$$

In the following we prove that $F(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1))$ also solves this initial value problem, so that in particular

$$F'(\Sigma) = F'(\Sigma_{0,0}(1,1)) = F(\Sigma_{0,0}(1,1)) = F(\Sigma)$$

follows, and we have $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(F)) = F$. To show that $F(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1))$ is a solution we compute

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1)) = F(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1))\mathrm{d}\alpha_{F(\gamma_0)}\alpha_{F(\gamma_s)^{-1}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\Big|_0 F(\Sigma_{s,0}(\sigma,1))\right)$$

and then

$$\alpha_{F(\gamma_s)^{-1}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \bigg|_0 F(\Sigma_{s,0}(\sigma, 1)) \right) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \, (\alpha_{F(\gamma_{s,t})^{-1}})_* \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma \partial \tau} \right|_0 \alpha(F(\gamma_{s,t+\tau})^{-1}, F(\Sigma_{s,0}(\sigma, t+\tau))).$$

To compute the derivative we decompose $\Sigma_{s,0}(\sigma, t+\tau)$ in two bigons $\Sigma_{s,0}(\sigma, t)$ and $\Sigma_{s,t}(\sigma, \tau)$ and obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\sigma\partial\tau}\bigg|_0 \alpha(F(\gamma_{s,t+\tau})^{-1}, F(\Sigma_{s,0}(\sigma,t+\tau))) = -(\Sigma^*B)_{s,t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right).$$

Now, the three last equations show that $F(\Sigma_{0,0}(s,1))$ solves the above initial value problem.

So far we have proved equations (2.45) on the level of objects. On the level of 1-morphisms, it is a consequence of Theorem 1.6: for a pseudonatural transformation $\rho : F \longrightarrow F'$ with components $g : X \longrightarrow G$ and $\rho_H : P^1X \longrightarrow H$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(\rho)) \stackrel{(2.13)}{=} \mathcal{P}(g, \mathcal{D}(F', \rho_H^{-1})) \stackrel{(2.35)}{=} (g, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}(F', \rho_H^{-1}))^{-1}) \stackrel{\mathrm{Th.1.6}}{=} (g, \rho_H) = \rho,$$

and conversely, for a 1-morphism $(g, \varphi) : (A, B) \longrightarrow (A', B')$ in $Z^2_X(\mathfrak{G})^{\infty}$,

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}(g,\varphi)) \stackrel{(2.35)}{=} \mathcal{D}(g,\mathcal{P}(A',\varphi)^{-1}) \stackrel{(2.13)}{=} \left(g,\mathcal{D}\left(\left(\mathcal{P}(A',\varphi)^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{Th.1.6}}{=} (g,\varphi).$$

Finally, on the level of 2-morphisms, which are on both sides just the same H-valued functions on X, there is nothing to show.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35

3 Examples of Smooth 2-Functors

We give three examples of situations where smooth 2-functors are present.

3.1 Connections on (non-abelian) Gerbes

Let us first recall from [SW07] what connections on ordinary principal bundles have to do with ordinary functors. For G a Lie group, we denote by G-Tor the category whose objects are smooth manifolds with transitive, free and smooth G-action from the right, and whose morphisms are G-equivariant smooth maps. The functor which regards G itself as a G-space is denoted by $i_G : \mathcal{B}G \longrightarrow G$ -Tor. If $\gamma : x \longrightarrow y$ is a path in X, any principal G-bundle P provides us with objects P_x and P_y of G-Tor, namely its fibres over the endpoints of γ . Furthermore, a connection ∇ on P defines a morphism

$$\tau_{\gamma}: P_x \longrightarrow P_y$$

in G-Tor, namely the parallel transport along γ . Well-known properties of parallel transport assure that the assignments $x \mapsto P_x$ and $\gamma \mapsto \tau_{\gamma}$ define a functor

$$\operatorname{tra}_{P,\nabla}: \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow G\text{-}\operatorname{Tor}.$$

The main result of [SW07] is the characterization of functors obtained like this among all functors $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \to G$ -Tor. They are characterized by the following defining property of a *transport functor*: there exists a surjective submersion $\pi : Y \to M$ and a smooth functor triv : $\mathcal{P}_1(Y) \to \mathcal{B}G$ such that the functors π^*F and $i_G \circ \text{triv}$ are (with additional conditions we skip here) naturally equivalent. In other words, transport functors are *locally* smooth functors. These transport functors form a category $\text{Trans}_{\mathcal{B}G}^1(X, G\text{-Tor})$, and we have

Theorem 3.1 ([SW07], Theorem 5.4). The assignment of a functor tra_P to a principal G-bundle P with connection over X defines a surjective equivalence of categories

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{G}^{\nabla}(X) \cong \operatorname{Trans}_{\mathcal{B}G}^{1}(X, G\operatorname{-Tor}).$$

Under this equivalence, trivial principal G-bundles with connection correspond to globally smooth functors, i.e. functors tra : $\mathcal{P}_1(X) \rightarrow G$ -Tor with tra = $i_G \circ$ triv for a smooth functor triv : $\mathcal{P}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}G$. Trivial *izable* principal G-bundles with connection correspond to functors which are naturally equivalent to globally smooth functors (again with additional assumptions on the natural equivalence).

We think that the concept of transport functors is adequate to be categorified and to capture all aspects of connections on 2-bundles, in particular gerbes. We anticipate the following results of [SW08a]:

- 1. Gerbes with connection over X have structure 2-groups \mathfrak{G} .
- 2. A trivial \mathfrak{G} -gerbe with connection over X is a smooth 2-functor

$$F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}.$$

Let us test these assertions in two examples.

Example 3.2. We consider the Lie 2-group $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{B}U(1)$ from Example A.8. The corresponding $\mathcal{B}U(1)$ -gerbes are also known as abelian gerbes, or U(1)-gerbes. Now, a trivial $\mathcal{B}U(1)$ -gerbe with connection over X is by the above assertion and Theorem 2.20 nothing but a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X)$.

Abelian bundle gerbes with connection can be realized conveniently by bundle gerbes [Mur96]. In this context it is well-known that a connection on a trivial bundle gerbe is indeed just a 2-form, see, e.g., [Wal07].

Example 3.3. Let H be a connected Lie group. We denote by $\mathfrak{aut}(H)$ the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ of Lie group automorphisms of H. We consider the Lie 2-group $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{AUT}(H)$ from Example A.10. By the above assertion and Theorem 2.20, a trivial $\operatorname{AUT}(G)$ -gerbe with connection over X is a pair (A, B) of a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{aut}(H))$ and a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ such that

$$dA + [A \land A] = ad \circ B, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\operatorname{ad} : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{aut}(H) : X \longmapsto \operatorname{ad}_X$.

AUT(H)-gerbes are also known as H-gerbes¹ in the sense of Breen and Messing [BM05]. There, a connection on a trivial H-gerbe is a pair (A, B) just as in Example 3.3 but without the condition (3.1). This difference lies at the heart of a question N. Hitchin posed at the VBAC-meeting in Bad Honnef in June 2007 after a talk by L. Breen, namely if it is possible to define a surface holonomy from a connection on an H-gerbe. Let us presume that "a surface holonomy" is at least a 2-functorial assignment, i.e. is described by a 2-functor on the path 2-groupoid. This assumption is supported by the

44

¹We have to remark that a U(1)-gerbe in the sense of Example 3.2 is not the same as an *H*-gerbe for H = U(1) in the sense of Breen and Messing. The difference becomes clear if one uses the classification of gerbes by Lie 2-groups we have proposed here: we have $\mathcal{B}U(1)$ -gerbes on one side but $\operatorname{AUT}(U(1))$ -gerbes on the other. Indeed, $\mathcal{B}U(1)$ is only a sub-2-group of $\operatorname{AUT}(U(1))$.

approach by "2-holonomies" described in [MP07], as well as by our *transport* 2-functors which are to be defined in [SW08a]. Similar considerations have also been made for ordinary holonomy [CP94, SW07]. Then, the following three statements on a Breen-Messing connection (A, B) on a trivial H-gerbe over X are equivalent:

- (a) it defines a surface holonomy.
- (b) it satisfies condition (3.1), $dA + [A \land A] = ad \circ B$.
- (c) there exists a smooth 2-functor

$$F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathrm{AUT}(H)$$

such that $(A, B) = \mathcal{D}(F)$.

A detailed discussion of surface holonomies that also covers non-trivial H-gerbes, is postponed to [SW08a].

3.2 Derivatives of Smooth Functors

In Appendix A.2 we have reviewed the Lie 2-group $\mathcal{E}G$ associated to any Lie group G. For any functor $F: \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ there is an associated 2-functor

$$\mathrm{d}F:\mathcal{P}_2(X)\longrightarrow\mathcal{BEG}$$

that we call the *derivative 2-functor* of F. It sends a 1-morphism $\gamma \in P^1X$ to the image $F(\gamma) \in G$ of γ under the functor F. This determines dF completely, since the Lie 2-groupoid $\mathcal{BE}G$ has only one object, and precisely only one 2-morphism between any two fixed 1-morphisms. It will be interesting to determine the unique 2-morphism $dF(\Sigma)$ associated to a bigon $\Sigma : \gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \gamma_2$ explicitly. For this purpose, we denote by $\partial\Sigma$ the 1-morphism $\gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2$.

Theorem 3.4 (The non-abelian Stokes' Theorem for functors). Let G be a Lie group and let $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ be a functor. Then,

$$\mathrm{d}F(\Sigma) = F(\partial\Sigma)$$

for any bigon $\Sigma \in B^2 X$.

In order to understand why we call this identity Stokes' Theorem, notice that if the functor F is smooth, also its derivative 2-functor dF is smooth. Then, we have associated differential forms:

Lemma 3.5. Let $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ be the 1-form associated to the smooth functor F, and let $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$ be the 2-form associated to its derivative 2-functor dF by Theorem 2.20. Then,

$$B = [A \wedge A] + \mathrm{d}A.$$

Proof. We recall that there is also the 1-form $A' \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ associated to the 2-functor dF, and that by Proposition 2.7 $B = [A' \wedge A'] + dA'$, since t is the identity in the crossed module that defines $\mathcal{E}G$. Furthermore, since $dF(\gamma) = F(\gamma)$, we have A = A'.

We have reviewed in Section 3.1 that a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ corresponds to trivial principal *G*-bundle *P* with connection ω in the sense that $\operatorname{tra}_{P,\omega} = i_G \circ F$. By Lemma 3.5, the 2-form *B* determined by the 2functor d*F* is the curvature of this connection ω . Moreover, the holonomy of ω around any closed path γ (identified with a group element) is given by $F(\gamma)$. If γ is of the form $\gamma = \partial \Sigma$ for any bigon Σ , Theorem 3.4 implies

$$\operatorname{Hol}_{\nabla}(\partial \Sigma) = F(\partial \Sigma) = \mathrm{d}F(\Sigma);$$

this is a relation between the *holonomy* and the *curvature* of a connection on a (trivial) principal G-bundle. Further restricted to the case that the bigon Σ is of the form $\Sigma : \mathrm{id}_x \implies \gamma$ for a closed path $\gamma : x \longrightarrow x$, we have

Corollary 3.6. Let ω be a connection on a trivial principal G-bundle of curvature K, and let γ be a contractible loop at $x \in X$. Then,

$$\operatorname{Hol}_{\omega}(\gamma) = \operatorname{\mathcal{P}exp} \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma} = \operatorname{\mathcal{P}exp} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t \operatorname{Ad}_{\tau(\gamma_{s,t})}^{-1} K|_{\Sigma(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} \right) \right)$$

where $\Sigma : \operatorname{id}_x \Longrightarrow \gamma$ is any choice of a smooth contraction of γ to its base point, the group element $\tau(\gamma_{s,t}) \in G$ is the parallel transport of the connection ω along the path $\gamma_{s,t}$, and the path-ordered exponential Pexp indicates the unique solution of the respective initial value problem, like in (1.9).

Exactly the same formula can been found in [AFG99], derived by completely different methods. In the abelian case of G = U(1) Corollary 3.6 boils down to the well-known identity

$$\operatorname{Hol}_{\omega}(\gamma) = \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\int_{\Sigma} K\right)$$

for a surface Σ with boundary $\gamma = \partial \Sigma$.

3.3 Classical Solutions in BF-Theory

Four-dimensional BF theory is a topological field theory on a fourdimensional, compact, oriented smooth manifold X, see e.g. [Bae96]. Usually, it involves a symmetric, non-degenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle$ on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of a Lie group G, and the fields are pairs (A, B) of a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and a 2-form $\Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$.

We infer that a naturally generalized setup in which BF theory should be considered, is a Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} , i.e. a smooth crossed module (G, H, t, α) , together with the invariant form $\langle -, - \rangle$ on the Lie algebra of G. Other generalizations have been proposed in [GPP08]. The fields are now pairs (A, B) of a 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$, and the action is, with $F_A := \mathrm{d}A + [A \wedge A]$ and $\beta_{A,B} := F_A - t_*B$,

$$S(A,B) := \frac{1}{2} \int_X \left\langle \beta_{A,B} \wedge \beta_{A,B} \right\rangle.$$
(3.2)

Expressed by A and B, this is

$$S(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \langle F_A \wedge F_A \rangle - \int_X \langle t_* B \wedge F_A \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_X \langle t_* B \wedge t_* B \rangle; \quad (3.3)$$

these terms can be identified as: a topological Yang-Mills term, the "original" BF-term and a so-called cosmological term. The variation of this action gives

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta A} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t_* \mathrm{d}B + A \wedge t_* B = 0$$
$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta B} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \beta_{A,B} = 0.$$

We notice that the second equation implies the first, so that the critical point of S(A, B) are exactly those with $\beta_{A,B} = 0$. It follows further that the topological Yang-Mills term, which is usually not present in BF theory, has no influence on the critical points. Since pairs (A, B) with $\beta_{A,B} = 0$ correspond by Theorem 2.20 to smooth 2-functors $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$, we have

Proposition 3.7. The critical points of the BF action (3.2) are exactly the smooth 2-functors $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$.

4 Transgression to Loop Spaces

In this section we use the rather trivial observation that 2-functors defined on the path 2-groupoid $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ of a smooth manifold X induce structure on

the loop space $LX := C^{\infty}(S^1, X)$, since loops are particular 1-morphisms $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$. In order to understand this structure properly, we equip LX with its canonical diffeology, which comes from the fact that $LX = D^{\infty}(S^1, X)$. According to the first example we gave in Section 1.2, this is a diffeological space. In Section 4.1 we generalize the relation between smooth functors and differential forms (Theorem 1.6) from smooth manifolds X to arbitrary diffeological spaces, in particular to LX. In Section 4.2 we combine this generalized statement on LX with Theorem 2.20 on X.

4.1 Generalization to Diffeological Spaces

In order to describe a generalization of Theorem 1.6 from smooth manifolds to diffeological spaces, we first have to define the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ of a diffeological space X. We will see that almost all definitions we gave for X a smooth manifold pass through; only the notion of thin homotopy has to be adapted.

So, a path in X is a diffeological map $\gamma : [0,1] \rightarrow X$ with sitting instants. As described in Section 1.2 the set PX of paths can be considered as a subset of the diffeological space $D^{\infty}((0,1),X)$, and is hence itself a diffeological space. By axiom (D2) for diffeological spaces, the constant path id_x at a point $x \in X$ is diffeological. Let us further show exemplarily

Lemma 4.1. The composition $\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1$ of two paths $\gamma_1 : x \longrightarrow y$ and $\gamma_2 : y \longrightarrow z$ is again a path.

Proof. Notice that if $\gamma : [0,1] \to X$ is a path and $U \subset [0,1]$ is open, then $\gamma|_U : U \to X$ is a plot of X. To see that the composition $\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1$ (which is defined in the same way as for smooth manifolds) is diffeological, let $U \subset [0,1]$ be open, let ϵ_i be a sitting instant of γ_i , and let

$$U_1 := U \cap (0, \frac{1}{2})$$
, $U_2 := U \cap (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon_1, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_2)$ and $U_3 := U \cap (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

These are open sets that cover U, furthermore, $(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1)|_{U_i} = \gamma_i|_{U_i}$ for i = 1, 3 are plots of X and $(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1)|_{U_2}$ is constant and hence also a plot of X by axiom (D2). Hence, $(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1)|_U$ is a plot of X by axiom (D3).

We leave it to the reader to prove that the inverse γ^{-1} of a path γ is again a path. Next we have to define thin homotopy for paths in a diffeological space. For this purpose, we first give a reformulation of a thin homotopy on smooth manifolds, which generalizes better to diffeological spaces.

Lemma 4.2. Let X and Y be smooth manifolds and $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a smooth map. The rank of the differential of f is bounded above by a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if the pullback of every (k+1)-form $\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(Y)$ along f vanishes.

48

Proof. Assume that the rank of the differential of f is at most k everywhere. Then, $f^*\omega = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(Y)$. Conversely, assume that $f^*\omega = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(Y)$. Assume further that there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $df|_p$ has rank k' > k. Then, there exist vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{k'} \in T_pX$ such that their images $w_i := df|_p(v_i)$ are linear independent. Using a chart of a neighbourhood of f(p) one can construct a k'-form $\omega \in \Omega^{k'}(Y)$ such that $\omega_{f(p)}(w_1, \ldots, w_{k'})$ is non-zero. Since this is equal to $(f^*\omega)_p(v_1, \ldots, v_{k'})$, we have a contradiction to the assumption that $f^*\omega = 0$.

We thus have reformulated restrictions on the rank of the differential of a smooth function in terms of pullbacks of differential forms. Now we generalize to diffeological spaces.

Definition 4.3. Let X be a diffeological space. A <u>differential k-form</u> on X is a family of k-forms $\omega_c \in \Omega^k(U)$ for every plot $c: U \longrightarrow X$, such that

$$\omega_{c_1} = f^* \omega_{c_2}$$

for every smooth map $f: U_1 \longrightarrow U_2$ with $c_2 \circ f = c_1$.

Notice that the k-forms on a diffeological space X form a vector space $\Omega^k(X)$, and that the wedge product and the exterior derivative generalize naturally to differential forms on diffeological spaces. Furthermore, it is clear that a differential form ω on a smooth manifold X induces a differential form on X regarded as a diffeological space: for a chart $\phi: U \longrightarrow X$ of X one takes $\omega_{\phi} := \phi^* \omega$. We have also a very simple definition of pullbacks of differential forms on diffeological spaces along diffeological maps $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ between diffeological spaces X and Y: the pullback $f^*\omega$ of a k-form $\omega = \{\omega_c\}$ on Y is the k-form on X defined by

$$(f^*\omega)_c := \omega_{f \circ c}$$

for every plot c of X. Here it is important that $f \circ c$, since f was supposed to be diffeological, is a plot of Y. In particular, if Y is a smooth manifold, $f \circ c : U \longrightarrow Y$ is a smooth map and $(f^*\omega)_c = (f \circ c)^* f$.

Definition 4.4. Two paths $\gamma_0 : x \longrightarrow y$ and $\gamma_1 : x \longrightarrow y$ in a diffeological space X are called thin homotopy equivalent, if there exists a diffeological map $h : [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow X$ with sitting instants as described in (1) of Definition 1.1, such that the pullback $h^*\omega$ of every 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(X)$ vanishes.

By Lemma 4.2 it is clear that for X a smooth manifold Definition 4.4 is equivalent to Definition 1.1. By arguments similar to those given in the

proof of Lemma 4.1 one can show that Definition 4.4 defines an equivalence relation \sim_1 on the diffeological space PX of paths in X, so that the set of equivalence classes $P^1X := PX/\sim_1$ is again a diffeological space. This will be the set of morphisms of the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ we are going to define. In the following lemma we prove that the axioms of a groupoid are satisfied.

Lemma 4.5. Let X be a diffeological space. For a path $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ we have

$$\gamma^{-1} \circ \gamma \sim_1 \operatorname{id}_x \quad and \quad \operatorname{id}_y \circ \gamma \sim_1 \gamma \sim_1 \gamma \circ \operatorname{id}_x.$$

For three paths $\gamma_1: x \longrightarrow y, \ \gamma_2: y \longrightarrow z \ and \ \gamma_3: z \longrightarrow w \ we \ have$

$$\gamma_1 \circ (\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_3) \sim_1 (\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2) \circ \gamma_3.$$

Proof. We prove $\gamma^{-1} \circ \gamma \sim_1 \operatorname{id}_x$; the remaining equivalences can be shown analogously. We choose the standard homotopy: this is, for some orientationpreserving diffeomorphism $\beta : [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ with sitting instants, the map

$$h: [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow X: (s,t) \longmapsto \begin{cases} \gamma(2\beta(s)t) & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \gamma(2\beta(s)(1-t)) & \frac{1}{2} < t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

This map has sitting instants. To see that it is diffeological, we use the same trick as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, i.e. we cover $(0, 1)^2$ with

$$V_{\gamma} := (0,1) \times (0,\frac{1}{2}) \ , \ V_{\gamma^{-1}} := (0,1) \times (\frac{1}{2},1) \ \text{ and } \ V_{\epsilon} := (0,1) \times (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)$$

for ϵ a sitting instant γ , and accordingly any open subset $U \subset [0,1]^2$ by $V_{\gamma} \cap U, V_{\gamma^{-1}} \cap U$ and $V_{\epsilon} \cap U$. Now,

$$h|_{V_{\gamma}\cap U} = (\gamma \circ m_{\beta})|_{V_{\gamma}\cap U} \tag{4.1}$$

with $m_{\beta}(s,t) := 2\beta(s)t$; it is thus the composition of a plot with a smooth map and hence by axiom (D1) a plot. Similarly $h|_{V_{\gamma^{-1}}\cap U}$ and $h_{V_{\epsilon}\cap U}$ are plots. This shows that $h|_U$ is covered by plots and thus itself a plot. This implies that h is diffeological. It remains to show that the pullback $h^*\omega$ of every 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(X)$ vanishes. This follows from the fact that h restricted to each of the subsets V_{γ} , $V_{\gamma^{-1}}$ and V_{ϵ} is either constant or factors as in (4.1) through the one-dimensional manifold [0, 1] via γ or γ^{-1} , respectively. \Box

This finishes the definitions of the path groupoid $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ of a diffeological space X. It is clear that one now can consider smooth functors

$$F: \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow S$$

into any Lie category S like before: the maps $F_0: X \longrightarrow S_0$ on objects and $F_1: P^1X \longrightarrow S_1$ on morphisms have to be diffeological maps.

Further towards a generalization of Theorem 1.6 we have to generalize the category $Z_X^1(G)^{\infty}$ introduced in Definition 2.11 from a smooth manifold X to a diffeological space. Notice that Definition 4.3 extends naturally to \mathfrak{g} -valued differential forms on diffeological spaces. Now, for a diffeological space X an object in $Z_X^1(G)^{\infty}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form $A = \{A_c\}$ on X. A morphism $g: A \longrightarrow A'$ is a diffeological map $g: X \longrightarrow G$ such that for any plot $c: U \longrightarrow X$ and the associated smooth map $g_c := g \circ c: U \longrightarrow X$

$$A'_c = \operatorname{Ad}_{g_c}(A_c) - g_c^* \bar{\theta}.$$
(4.2)

The functor \mathcal{D} from Section 1.3 generalizes straightforwardly to a functor

$$\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_1(X), \mathcal{B}G) \longrightarrow Z^1_X(G)^{\infty}$$

for any diffeological space X:

- Let F: P₁(X) → BG be a smooth functor. For any plot c: U → X of X (which is itself a diffeological map), the pullback c*F is a smooth functor c*F: P₁(U) → BG defined on the path groupoid of the smooth manifold U. Hence A_c := D(c*F) ∈ Ω¹(U, g) is a 1-form. If c': U' → X is another plot and f: U → U' is a smooth map with c = c' ∘ f, we have by Proposition 1.7 A_c = D(c*F) = f*D(c'*F) = f*A_{c'}.
- Let $\rho: F \to F'$ be a smooth natural transformation. Its components furnish a diffeological map $g: X \to G$. For any plot $c: U \to X$, we have $g_c := \rho \circ c = \mathcal{D}(c^*\rho): U \to X$, hence, since \mathcal{D} is a functor, (4.2) is satisfied.

The extension of the inverse functor \mathcal{P} to diffeological spaces is slightly more involved. Let $A = \{A_c\} \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ be a 1-form on the diffeological space X. For every plot $c : U \longrightarrow X$ we obtain a smooth functor $F_c := \mathcal{P}(A_c)$. In particular, since every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow X$ defines a plot $\gamma|_{(0,1)}$, we have functors F_{γ} defined on the path groupoid of the open interval (0, 1). Let $\epsilon_{s,t}$ be the path in (0, 1) that goes from $s + \epsilon$ to $t - \epsilon$, where ϵ is a sitting instant of γ . Then, we define a map

$$F: P^1X \longrightarrow G: \gamma \longmapsto F_{\gamma}(\epsilon_{0,1}).$$

Lemma 4.6. This defines a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 = 19:35

Proof. To see that $F: P^1X \to G$ is diffeological, we have to show that for every plot $c: U \to P^1X$ the composite $F \circ c: U \to G$ is a smooth map. Employing all rules for plots of P^1X we have collected in Section 1.2, this means that $c = \operatorname{pr} \circ c'$ for a plot $c': U \to PX$ and the projection $\operatorname{pr}: PX \to P^1X$, such that the map $\tilde{c}: U \times (0, 1) \to X$ given by

$$U \times (0,1) \xrightarrow{c' \times \mathrm{id}} PX \times (0,1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} X$$

is a plot of X. Hence, we have a smooth functor $F_{\tilde{c}}: \mathcal{P}_1(U \times (0,1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$. With the map $i_u: (0,1) \longrightarrow U \times (0,1): t \longmapsto (u,t)$ we have a plot $\tilde{c} \circ i_u$ and accordingly $A_{c(u)} = i_u^* A_{\tilde{c}}$ for all $u \in U$. Then, by Proposition 1.7,

$$F(c(u)) = i_u^* F_{\tilde{c}}(\epsilon_{0,1}) = F_{\tilde{c}}((i_u)_* \epsilon_{0,1})$$

Since $U \to P^1(U \times V) : u \mapsto (i_u)_* \epsilon_{1,2}$ is a diffeological map, and $F_{\tilde{c}}$ is diffeological, we have shown that $F \circ c$ is smooth. The compatibility of F with the composition of paths follows by standard arguments,

$$F_{\gamma'\circ\gamma}(\epsilon_{0,1}) = F_{\gamma'\circ\gamma}(\epsilon_{1/2,1}) \cdot F_{\gamma'\circ\gamma}(\epsilon_{0,1/2}) = F_{\gamma'}(\epsilon_{0,1}) \cdot F_{\gamma}(\epsilon_{0,1})$$

where we have used in the last step that the domain of the plot $\gamma' \circ \gamma$ is covered by those of the plots γ' and γ , so that the associated functors coincide by Proposition 1.7.

Now the following theorem follows from Theorem 1.6 applied to functors and forms on the codomain U of each plot $c: U \longrightarrow X$ of X.

Theorem 4.7. Let X be a diffeological space and G a Lie group. The functors

 $\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_1(X), \mathcal{B}G) \longrightarrow Z^1_X(G)^{\infty}$

and

$$\mathcal{P}: Z^1_X(G)^{\infty} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Funct}^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_1(X), \mathcal{B}G)$$

satisfy

$$\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{P} = \mathrm{id}_{Z^1_{\mathbf{v}}(G)^{\infty}}$$
 and $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Funct}(\mathcal{P}_1(X),\mathcal{B}G)},$

and are hence isomorphisms of 2-categories.

4.2 Induced Structure on the Loop Space

In this section we discuss the diffeological space $LX = D^{\infty}(S^1, X)$. In order to formalize the relation between functors defined on the path groupoid

 $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ and structure on LX we are going to explore we introduce two constructions.

Firstly, we denote for any category T by $\Lambda T := T_1$ set of morphisms in T. Accordingly, for a functor $F : S \longrightarrow T$, we call its induced map on morphisms $\Lambda F : \Lambda S \longrightarrow \Lambda T$. Clearly, if F was a diffeological functor, ΛF is a diffeological map. Secondly, we introduce a diffeological map

$$\ell: LX \longrightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{P}_1(X). \tag{4.3}$$

Its definition is not completely obvious since loops have no sitting instants. We fix some orientation-preserving diffeomorphism β of the unit interval which has sitting instants. We have a smooth map $e_{\beta} : [0,1] \rightarrow S^1$ defined by $e_{\beta}(t) := e^{2\pi i \beta(t)}$ and accordingly a diffeological map

$$\ell_{\beta}: LX \longrightarrow PX: \tau \longmapsto \tau \circ e_{\beta}.$$

We define $\ell := \operatorname{pr} \circ \ell_{\beta}$, where $\operatorname{pr} : PX \longrightarrow P^{1}X$ is the projection to thin homotopy classes. This map ℓ is diffeological and indeed independent of the choice of β : for another choice β' and some $\tau \in LX$ we find a thin homotopy $\ell_{\beta}(\tau) \sim_{1} \ell_{\beta'}(\tau)$ for example by

$$h: [0,1]^2 \longrightarrow X: (s,t) \longmapsto \tau \left(e^{2\pi i (\beta(s)\beta'(t) + (1-\beta(s))\beta(t))} \right); \qquad (4.4)$$

this map is diffeological, has sitting instants and is evidently thin, since it factors through S^1 .

Now, having the two definitions Λ and ℓ at hand, for $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow T$ a smooth functor,

$$\Lambda F \circ \ell : LX \longrightarrow \Lambda T \tag{4.5}$$

is a diffeological map on the loop space. A particular situation arises if the category $T = \mathcal{B}G$ for a Lie group G. In this case $\Lambda \mathcal{B}G = G$. We have now obtained a map

$$H_1: \longrightarrow D^{\infty}(LX, G). \tag{4.6}$$

This map is of course well-known: as mentioned in Section 3.1, a smooth functor $F : \mathcal{P}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ corresponds to a (trivial) principal *G*-bundle *P* with connection ω over *X*, in such a way that the parallel transport along a path γ in *X* is given by multiplication with $F(\gamma)$. For a loop $\tau \in LX$, understood as a path $\ell(\tau)$, this means

$$H_1(F)(\tau) = F(\ell(\tau)) = Hol_{\omega}(\tau),$$

so that $H_1(F)$ is nothing but the holonomy of the connection ω around γ .

In the following we explore which structure on the loop space LX is induced from a smooth 2-functor $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BG}$. To start with, we generalize the two constructions Λ and ℓ we have described before, to 2categories.

Definition 4.8. Let T be a 2-category. We define a category ΛT as follows: the objects are the 1-morphisms T_1 of T, and the morphisms between two objects $f: X_f \rightarrow Y_f$ and $g: X_g \rightarrow Y_g$ are triples $(x, y, \varphi) \in T_1 \times T_1 \times T_2$ of 1-morphisms $x: X_f \rightarrow X_g$ and $y: Y_f \rightarrow Y_g$ and of a 2-morphism



The composition in ΛT is putting these squares next to each other, and the identity of an object $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is the triple (id_X, id_Y, id_f) .

Clearly, if the sets T_1 and T_2 of the 2-category T are diffeological spaces, the objects and morphisms of ΛT form also diffeological spaces. For F : $S \rightarrow T$ a 2-functor, we have an associated functor

$$\Lambda F : \Lambda S \longrightarrow \Lambda T,$$

which just acts as F on 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms of S. If the 2-functor F is diffeological, the functor ΛF is also diffeological. Next we generalize the diffeological map ℓ introduced above to a diffeological functor

$$\ell: \mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{P}_2(X).$$

On objects, it is just the map ℓ from (4.3), regarding a loop $\tau \in LX$ as a particular path in X, i.e. as an object in $\Lambda \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. To define ℓ on morphisms, let γ be a path in LX, i.e. a diffeological map $\gamma : [0, 1] \to D^{\infty}(S^1, X)$ with sitting instants. We have an associated smooth map $m^{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to X$ defined by $m^{\gamma}(s,t) := \gamma(t)(e^{2\pi i s})$, where we assume γ to be trivially extended to \mathbb{R} in the usual way. Using the standard bigon $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t) \in B^2 \mathbb{R}^2$ from (2.5), we have a bigon

$$m(\gamma) := m_*^{\gamma}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(1,1)) \in B^2 X \tag{4.7}$$

associated to the path γ , and thus a well-defined map $m: PLX \longrightarrow B^2X$.

Lemma 4.9. The map $m : PLX \rightarrow B^2X$ is diffeological.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35

Proof. We have to show that for any plot $c: U \longrightarrow PLX$ the composite $m \circ c$ is a plot of B^2X . This means that, for a fixed representative $\Sigma \in BX$ of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(1,1)$, and any open subset $W \subset [0,1]^2$, the associated map

$$U \times W \xrightarrow{c \times \mathrm{id}} PLX \times W \xrightarrow{m_* \Sigma \times \mathrm{id}} BX \times W \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} X$$
(4.8)

has to be smooth. Let us define the open intervals $V := p_2(\Sigma(W))$ and $V' := p_1(\Sigma(W))$ for $p_i : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ the canonical projections, and consider the chart $\varphi : V' \rightarrow S^1 : s \mapsto e^{2\pi i s}$ of S^1 . Going through all involved definitions shows that the map (4.8) coincides with the composite

$$U \times W \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \times \Sigma} U \times V \times V' \xrightarrow{c'} X \tag{4.9}$$

where c' is given by

$$U \times V \times V' \xrightarrow{c \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id}} D^{\infty}([0,1],X) \times V \times V' \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev} \times \varphi} LX \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} X$$

Now, (4.9) is the composition of two smooth maps, where c' is smooth because c was supposed to be a plot of $PLX \subset D^{\infty}([0,1],X)$.

We show next that the bigon $m(\gamma) \in B^2 X$ does not depend on the thin homotopy class of the path γ . For this purpose, let $h : [0,1] \longrightarrow LX$ be a thin homotopy between two paths $\gamma, \gamma' \in PLX$, and let $\Sigma \in BX$ be a representative for the bigon $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(1,1)$. We have an associated map $m^h :$ $[0,1]^3 \longrightarrow X$ defined by $m^h(r,s,t) := h(r,t)(e^{2\pi i s})$. Then, the map

$$H: [0,1]^3 \longrightarrow X: (r,s,t) \longmapsto m^h(r,\Sigma(s,t))$$

is a thin homotopy between $m_*^{\gamma}\Sigma$ and $m_*^{\gamma'}(\Sigma)$. Hence, we have obtained a diffeological map $m : P^1LX \longrightarrow B^2X$. Going through the definitions, one finds that this bigon $m(\gamma)$ has (up to thin homotopy) the following target and source paths:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \gamma(0)(1) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}(b\circ\gamma)} \gamma(1)(1) \\ \ell(\gamma(0)) & & & \\ \gamma(0)(1) & \xrightarrow{m(\gamma)} \gamma(1)(1), \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$(4.10)$$

where $b: LX \longrightarrow X$ is the base point evaluation. Hence, the triple

$$\ell(\gamma) := (\operatorname{pr}(b \circ \gamma), \operatorname{pr}(b \circ \gamma), m(\gamma))$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

is a morphism in $\Lambda \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. The composition of paths in LX is respected in the sense that $\ell(\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1) = \ell(\gamma_2) \circ \ell(\gamma_1)$ where the latter is the composition in $\Lambda \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. Thus, we have completely defined the diffeological functor

$$\ell: \mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{P}_2(X).$$

If now $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to T$ is a smooth 2-functor, we obtain an associated smooth functor

$$\Lambda F \circ \ell : \mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \Lambda T,$$

generalizing the map (4.5). In the important case that $T = \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ for \mathfrak{G} a Lie 2-group, the groupoid $\Lambda \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is – following a notion of [Mac87] – trivializable: a groupoid is called *trivializable*, if it is equivalent to a groupoid of the form $\operatorname{Gr}_{S,N} = S \times \mathcal{B}N$ for a set S regarded as a category with only identity morphisms, and a group N; these groupoids are called *trivial*. Explicitly, the objects of $\operatorname{Gr}_{S,N}$ are the elements of S, the Hom-set between two arbitrary objects is N, and the composition is multiplication in N. In our case we find an such an equivalence tr : $\Lambda \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{G,G \ltimes H}$ as follows: on objects, tr is just the identity on G. A morphism



in $\Lambda \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is sent to the morphism (y, h^{-1}) in $\operatorname{Gr}_{G,G \ltimes H}$, the inverse being necessary in order to respect the composition. The functor $\operatorname{tr} : \Lambda \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{G,G \ltimes H}$ is an equivalence of categories: the inverse functor sends a morphism $(y,h): g_1 \longrightarrow g_2$ in $\operatorname{Gr}_{G,G \ltimes H}$ to the triple $(g_2^{-1}t(h)yg_1, y, h^{-1})$. Now, we have constructed a smooth functor

$$\operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell : \mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{G \ltimes H}.$$

According to the direct product structure of the target Lie groupoid, this functor splits in

- 1. a diffeological function $h_F: LX \rightarrow G$ and
- 2. a smooth functor $\mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(G \ltimes H)$, which in turn corresponds by Theorem 4.7 and projection to the factors to
 - (a) a 1-form $A_F \in \Omega^1(LX, \mathfrak{g})$ and
 - (b) a 1-form $\varphi_F \in \Omega^1(LX, \mathfrak{h})$.

Summarizing, we have, for any diffeological space X and any Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} , a map

$$H_2: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Smooth 2-functors} \\ F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G} \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow D^{\infty}(LX,G) \times \Omega^1(LX,\mathfrak{g}) \times \Omega^1(LX,\mathfrak{h}).$$

This map generalizes the map H_1 from (4.6) from smooth functors to smooth 2-functors. Let us describe the image $H_2(F) = (h_F, A_F, \varphi_F)$. The diffeological function $h_F : LX \longrightarrow G$ is clearly $h_F = H_1(F_{0,1})$ for the restriction $F_{0,1}$ of F to objects and 1-morphisms. The differential forms A_F and φ_F can be characterized as described in the following proposition, also see Figure 2.

Proposition 4.10. Let $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ be a smooth 2-functor, $A \in \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$ the corresponding differential forms on X, and A_F and B_F the differential forms in $H_2(F)$ on the loop space. Then

$$A_F = b^* A$$
 and $\varphi_F = \int_{S^1} (\alpha_{F \circ \gamma})_* \circ \operatorname{ev}^* B$,

where $b: LX \longrightarrow X$ is the projection to the base point, $ev: LX \times S^1 \longrightarrow X$ is the evaluation map and $\gamma: LX \times S^1 \longrightarrow P^1X$ assigns to a loop $\tau \in LX$ and $z \in S^1$ the path obtained by parsing the loop τ from z to 1 counterclockwise.

Proof. Let $c: U \longrightarrow LX$ be a plot of LX and let $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow U$ be a smooth curve. Using all involved definitions we obtain

$$(A_F)_c|_{\Gamma(0)} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} \Big|_0 \right) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big|_0 (p_G \circ c^* (\operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell) \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{R}})(0, t) (b^* A)_c|_{\Gamma(0)} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} \Big|_0 \right) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big|_0 ((b \circ c)^* F \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{R}})(0, t).$$

Then one observes that

$$F \circ b_* = p_G \circ \operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell$$

as maps from P^1LX to G; this shows the first equality.

In order to proof the second equality we still use the plot c and the smooth curve Γ , and consider the path $\gamma_t := c_*(\Gamma_*(\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(0,t))) \in P^1LX$, where $\gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t) \in P^1\mathbb{R}$ is the standard path from s to t. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_F)_c|_{\Gamma(0)} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} \Big|_0 \right) &= -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big|_0 \left(p_H \circ c^* (\operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell) \circ \Gamma_* \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \right) (0, t) \\ &= -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big|_0 p_H (F(m(\gamma_t)))^{-1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big|_0 p_H (F(m_*^{\gamma_t} \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(1, 1))), \end{aligned}$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

57

8/28/2008 19:35

where we have used the definitions of the functor ℓ and the map m from (4.7). Let us remark that for the bigon $\Sigma^{\Gamma}(s,t) := m_*^{\gamma_1} \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(s,t)$ we have $\Sigma^{\Gamma}(1,t) = m_*^{\gamma_t} \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(1,1)$, so that we may write

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{0} p_{H}(F(m_{*}^{\gamma_{t}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(1,1))) = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}\theta \left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\rho\partial t}\right|_{0} p_{H}(F(\Sigma_{1-\theta-\rho}^{\Gamma}(\theta+\rho,t))). \quad (4.11)$$

A by now standard calculation shows that

$$p_H(F(\Sigma_{1-\theta-\rho}^{\Gamma}(\theta+\rho,t))) = p_H(F(\Sigma_{1-\theta}^{\Gamma}(\theta,t))) \cdot \alpha(F(\gamma(c(\Gamma(t)), e^{-2\pi i\theta})), F(\Sigma_{1-\theta-\rho}^{\Gamma}(\rho,t)))$$

where we have used the map $\gamma: LX \times S^1 \longrightarrow P^1X$. Now the derivative in (4.11) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho\partial t}\bigg|_0 p_H(F(\Sigma_{1-\theta-\rho}^{\Gamma}(\theta+\rho,t))) = -(\alpha_{F(\gamma(c(\Gamma(t)),e^{-2\pi i\theta})})_*\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho\partial t}\bigg|_0 F(\Sigma_{1-\theta}^{\Gamma}(\rho,t))\right).$$

Let us now induce from the plot $c: U \longrightarrow LX$ of LX a plot of $LX \times S^1$, namely the map

$$\tilde{c}: U \times (0,1) \longrightarrow LX \times S^1: (u,\theta) \longmapsto (c(u), e^{2\pi i \theta}).$$

We have $m^{\gamma_1}(1-\theta,0) = (\text{ev} \circ \tilde{c})(\Gamma(0),-\theta) \in X$ and the tangent vectors

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \bigg|_{0} m^{\gamma_{1}} (1-\theta,t) = \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{ev} \circ \tilde{c}) \big|_{(\Gamma(0),-\theta)} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} \bigg|_{0} \right)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho} \bigg|_{1-\theta} m^{\gamma_{1}}(\rho,0) = \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{ev} \circ \tilde{c}) \big|_{(\Gamma(0),-\theta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right).$$

By Proposition 2.13 we hence have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho\partial t}\bigg|_0 F(\Sigma_{1-\theta}^{\Gamma}(\rho,t)) = -(\mathrm{ev}^*B)_{\tilde{c}}|_{(\Gamma(0),-\theta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, \left.\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial t}\right|_0\right).$$

Putting all pieces together and transforming $\theta \mapsto -\theta$, we have shown

$$(\varphi_F)_c|_{\Gamma(0)} \left(\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial t}\Big|_0\right) = \int_{-1}^0 \mathrm{d}\theta \; (\alpha_{(F\circ\gamma)(\tilde{c}(\Gamma(0),\theta))})_* (\mathrm{ev}^*B)_{\tilde{c}}|_{(\Gamma(0),\theta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial t}\Big|_0\right)$$

this is the announced fibre integral written in the plot \tilde{c} of $LX \times S^1$.

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

58

8/28/2008 - 19:35

$$F \xrightarrow{F} \operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell$$
Theorem 2.20
$$(A, B) \xrightarrow{b^* \times \int_{S^1} (\alpha_{F \circ \gamma})_* \circ \operatorname{ev}^*} (A_F, \varphi_F)$$

Figure 2: A diagram for manipulations on a smooth 2-functor $F : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathcal{BG}$, whose commutativity is Proposition 4.10. The first row consists of functors, and the second row of differential forms. The first column contains structure on X, and the second one structure on LX.

To conclude, let us discuss the case $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{B}U(1)$. A smooth 2-functor $F: \mathcal{P}_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}U(1)$ induces a smooth functor

$$\operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell : \mathcal{P}_1(LX) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}U(1),$$
 (4.12)

since $\Lambda \mathcal{BBU}(1) = \mathcal{BU}(1)$; the functor tr : $\mathcal{BU}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BU}(1)$ just inverts group elements. The image of F under H₂ is hence just a 1-form $\varphi_F \in \Omega^1(X)$, and this 1-form is by Proposition 4.10 just the ordinary fibre integral

$$\varphi_F = \int_{S^1} \mathrm{ev}^* B. \tag{4.13}$$

Let us now interpret the 2-functor F as a trivial abelian gerbe \mathcal{G} with connection over X (see Example 3.2 in Section 3.1), and the associated functor (4.12) as a trivial principal U(1)-bundle L with connection φ_F over the loop space LX, see Theorem 3.1. Equation (4.13) shows that the line bundle L is the line bundle over the loop space obtained by *transgression* from the gerbe \mathcal{G} . Transgression of abelian gerbes as so far been realized in many ways, for example in [Gaw88, Bry93, GT01, SW08b], and we have seen here that

$$F \mapsto \operatorname{tr} \circ \Lambda F \circ \ell$$

is just another way to realize transgression. It has one important advantage compared to all the above previous realizations: it works also for non-abelian gerbes. A further discussion is postponed to the upcoming article [SW08a].

Appendix

A.1 Basic 2-Category Theory

In this article we only consider *strict* 2-categories, 2-functors, inverse 1morphisms etc., in contrast to the general case. We only use the qualifier "strict" in this section and omit it elsewhere.

Definition A.1. A (small) <u>2-category</u> consists of a set of objects, for each pair (X, Y) of objects a set of 1-morphisms denoted $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and for each pair (f, g) of 1-morphisms $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ a set of 2-morphisms denoted $\varphi : f \Rightarrow g$, together with the following structure:

- 1. For every pair (f,g) of 1-morphisms $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$, a 1-morphism $g \circ f: X \to Y$, called the composition of f and g.
- 2. For every object X, a 1-morphism $id_X : X \longrightarrow X$, called the identity 1-morphism of X.
- 3. For every pair (φ, ψ) of 2-morphisms $\varphi : f \Longrightarrow g$ and $\psi : g \Longrightarrow h$, a 2-morphism $\psi \bullet \varphi : f \Longrightarrow h$, called the vertical composition of φ and ψ .
- 4. For every 1-morphism f, a 2-morphism $id_f : f \implies f$, called the identity 2-morphism of f.
- 5. For every triple (X, Y, Z) of objects, 1-morphisms $f, f' : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g, g' : Y \rightarrow Z$, and every pair (φ, ψ) of 2-morphisms $\varphi : f \Rightarrow f'$ and $\psi : g \Rightarrow g'$, a 2-morphism $\psi \circ \varphi : g \circ f \Rightarrow g' \circ f'$, called the horizontal composition of φ and ψ .

This structure has to satisfy the following list of axioms:

- (C1) The composition of 1-morphisms and vertical and horizontal composition of 2-morphisms are associative.
- (C2) The identity 1-morphisms are units with respect to the composition of 1morphisms, and identity 2-morphisms are units with respect to vertical composition, i.e.

$$\varphi \bullet \mathrm{id}_f = \mathrm{id}_g \bullet \varphi$$

for every 2-morphism $\varphi : f \implies g$. Horizontal composition preserves the identity 2-morphisms in the sense that

$$\operatorname{id}_g \circ \operatorname{id}_f = \operatorname{id}_{g \circ f}.$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

(C3) Horizontal and vertical compositions are compatible in the sense that

$$(\psi_1 \bullet \psi_2) \circ (\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) = (\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1) \bullet (\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2)$$

whenever these compositions are well-defined.

The axioms of a strict 2-category allow to use pasting diagrams for 2morphisms: every pasting diagram corresponds to a unique 2-morphism. In a 2-category, a 2-morphism $\Sigma : \gamma_1 \implies \gamma_2$ is called *invertible* or 2-isomorphism if there exists another 2-morphism $\Sigma^{-1} : \gamma_2 \implies \gamma_1$ such that $\Sigma^{-1} \bullet \Sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\gamma_1}$ and $\Sigma \bullet \Sigma^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{\gamma_2}$. In this case, Σ^{-1} is uniquely determined and called the *inverse* of Σ . A 1-morphism $\gamma : x \longrightarrow y$ is called *strictly invertible* or *strict 1-isomorphism*, if there exists another 1-morphism $\bar{\gamma} : y \longrightarrow x$ such that $\mathrm{id}_x = \bar{\gamma} \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma \circ \bar{\gamma} = \mathrm{id}_y$. A 2-category in which every 1-morphism is strictly invertible is called a *strict 2-groupoid*.

To relate two 2-categories, we use the following definition of a 2-functor, which is analogous to a functor between categories.

Definition A.2. Let S and T be two strict 2-categories. A <u>strict 2-functor</u> $F: S \rightarrow T$ is an assignment



such that

(F1) The vertical composition is respected in the sense that

$$F(\psi \bullet \varphi) = F(\psi) \bullet F(\varphi)$$
 and $F(\mathrm{id}_f) = \mathrm{id}_{F(f)}$

for all composable 2-morphisms φ and ψ , and any 1-morphism f.

(F2) The composition of 1-morphisms is respected in the sense that

$$F(g) \circ F(f) = F(g \circ h)$$

for all composable 1-morphisms f and g, and the horizontal composition of 2-morphisms is respected in the sense that

$$F(\psi)\circ F(\varphi)=F(\psi\circ\varphi)$$

for all horizontally composable 2-morphisms φ and ψ .

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

61

8/28/2008 = 19:35

To compare 2-functors, we use the notion of a pseudonatural transformation, which generalizes a natural transformation between functors.

Definition A.3. Let F_1 and F_2 be two strict 2-functors both from S to T. A pseudonatural transformation $\rho: F_1 \longrightarrow F_2$ is an assignment

$$\rho \quad : \quad X \xrightarrow{f} Y \quad \longmapsto \quad \rho(X) \bigvee \begin{array}{c} F_1(f) & F_1(Y) \\ \rho(f) & \rho(Y) \\ F_2(X) \xrightarrow{\rho(f)} & F_2(Y), \end{array}$$

of a 2-isomorphism $\rho(f)$ in T to each 1-morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in S such that two axioms are satisfied:

(T1) The composition of 1-morphisms in S is respected:

(T2) It is compatible with 2-morphisms:



It follows that $\rho(\mathrm{id}_X) = \mathrm{id}_{\rho(X)}$ for every object X in S. Pseudonatural transformations $\rho_1 : F_1 \longrightarrow F_2$ and $\rho_2 : F_2 \longrightarrow F_3$ can naturally be composed

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

to a pseudonatural transformation $\rho_2 \circ \rho_1 : F_1 \longrightarrow F_3$:

$$\rho_{2} \circ \rho_{1} \quad : \quad X \xrightarrow{f} Y \quad \longmapsto \quad F_{1}(X) \xrightarrow{F_{1}(f)} F_{1}(Y)$$

$$\rho_{1}(X) \downarrow \qquad \rho_{1}(f) \qquad \downarrow \rho_{1}(Y)$$

$$F_{2}(X) - F_{2}(f) \Rightarrow F_{2}(Y) \qquad (A.1)$$

$$\rho_{2}(X) \downarrow \qquad \rho_{2}(f) \qquad \downarrow \rho_{2}(Y)$$

$$F_{3}(X) \xrightarrow{F_{3}(f)} F_{3}(Y).$$

We need one more definition for situations where we have two pseudonatural transformations.

Definition A.4. Let $F_1, F_2 : S \rightarrow T$ be two strict 2-functors and let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ be pseudonatural transformations. A <u>modification</u> $\mathcal{A} : \rho_1 \implies \rho_2$ is an assignment

$$\mathcal{A} : X \longmapsto F_1(X) \xrightarrow{\rho_1(X)} F_2(Y)$$

of a 2-morphism $\mathcal{A}(X)$ in T to any object X in S which satisfies

$$\rho_{2}(X) \xleftarrow{F_{1}(X) \xrightarrow{F_{1}(f)} F_{1}(Y)} F_{1}(Y) \xrightarrow{F_{1}(f)} F_{1}(Y) \xrightarrow{F_{1}(f)} F_{1}(Y)$$

$$\rho_{2}(X) \xleftarrow{F_{2}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho_{1}(f)} F_{2}(Y)} F_{2}(Y) \xrightarrow{F_{2}(f)} F_{2}(Y) \xrightarrow{F_{2}(f)} F_{2}(Y) \xrightarrow{F_{2}(f)} F_{2}(Y)$$

Horizontal and vertical compositions of 2-morphisms in T induce accordant compositions on modifications.

For two fixed strict 2-categories S and T, we recognize the following structures:

- 1. For two strict 2-functors $F_1, F_2 : S \longrightarrow T$, the pseudonatural transformations $\rho : F_1 \longrightarrow F_2$ together with modifications and their vertical composition, form a category $\operatorname{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$.
- 2. Even more, strict 2-functors from S to T, together with pseudonatural transformations and their modifications, and the assignments \circ and \bullet as defined above, form a strict 2-category Funct(S, T).

Definition A.5. Let S and T be strict 2-categories. Strict 2-functors $F : S \rightarrow T$ and $G : T \rightarrow S$ are called <u>isomorphisms of 2-categories</u>, if $G \circ F = id_S$ and $F \circ G = id_T$.

A.2 Lie 2-Groups and Smooth Crossed Modules

Any strict monoidal category $(\mathfrak{G}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1})$ defines a 2-category $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$: it has a single object, the 1-morphisms are the objects of \mathfrak{G} and the 2-morphisms are the morphisms of \mathfrak{G} . The horizontal composition is given by the tensor functor \boxtimes , and the vertical composition is the composition in \mathfrak{G} . The identity 1-morphism of the single object is the tensor unit 1, and the identity 2morphism of a 1-morphism X is just the identity morphism id_X of the object X in \mathfrak{G} . The axioms for the 2-category $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ follow from the properties of the tensor functor \boxtimes .

In the following, we enhance this construction by two features. First, we assume that \mathfrak{G} is a groupoid and that we have an additional functor $i: \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ which is an inverse to the tensor functor \boxtimes in the sense that

$$X\boxtimes i(X)=1\!\!1=i(X)\boxtimes X \quad \text{ and } \quad f\boxtimes i(f)=\mathrm{id}_{1\!\!1}=i(f)\boxtimes f$$

for all objects X and all morphisms f in \mathfrak{G} . In this case the 2-category $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is even a 2-groupoid. Secondly, we assume that \mathfrak{G} is a Lie category, and that the functors \boxtimes and i are smooth. Then, $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$ is a Lie 2-groupoid.

Definition A.6. A <u>Lie 2-group</u> is a strict monoidal Lie category $(\mathfrak{G}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1})$ together with a smooth functor $i : \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ such that (A.2) is satisfied.

We denote the Lie 2-groupoid associated to a Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} by $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{G}$. An important source of Lie 2-groups are smooth crossed modules.

Definition A.7. A smooth crossed module is a collection (G, H, t, α) of Lie groups G and H, and of a Lie group homomorphism $t : H \longrightarrow G$ and a smooth map $\alpha : G \times H \longrightarrow H$, such that

- 1. α is a left action of G on H by Lie group homomorphisms, i.e. the smooth map $\alpha_g : H \longrightarrow H$ defined by $\alpha_g(h) := \alpha(g, h)$
 - a) is a Lie group homomorphism for all $g \in G$.
 - b) satisfies $\alpha_1 = \mathrm{id}_H$ and $\alpha_{gg'} = \alpha_g \circ \alpha_{g'}$ for all $g, g' \in G$.
- 2. α and t are compatible in the following two ways:

a) $t(\alpha(g,h)) = gt(h)g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

b) $\alpha(t(h), x) = hxh^{-1}$ for all $h, x \in H$.

Any smooth crossed module (G, H, t, α) defines a Lie 2-group $(\mathfrak{G}, \boxtimes, 1, i)$ in the following way.

The category \mathfrak{G} : We put $\operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{G}) := G$ and $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathfrak{G}) := G \ltimes H$, the semidirect product of G and H defined by α , explicitly

$$(g_2, h_2) \cdot (g_1, h_1) := (g_2 g_1, h_2 \alpha(g_2, h_1)).$$
 (A.2)

An element $(g,h) \in Mor(\mathfrak{G})$ is considered as a morphism from g to t(h)g. The composition is given by

$$(h',g') \circ (h,g) := (h'h,g),$$
 (A.3)

where g' = t(h)g. It is obviously associative, and the identity morphisms are $id_g = (1,g)$. All these definitions are smooth, so that \mathfrak{G} is a Lie category.

The monoidal structure $(\boxtimes, \mathbb{1})$: The functor $\boxtimes : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ is defined on objects by $g_2 \boxtimes g_1 := g_2 g_1$ and on morphisms by the product (A.2). By axiom 2.a), the morphisms have the correct target. It respects identity morphisms,

$$(g_2, 1) \boxtimes (g_1, 1) = (g_2g_1, 1)$$

and by axiom 2.b) the composition

$$((g'_2, h'_2) \boxtimes (g'_1, h'_1)) \circ ((g_2, h_2) \boxtimes (g_1, h_1)) = ((g'_2, h'_2) \circ (g_2, h_2)) \boxtimes ((g'_1, h'_1) \circ (g_1, h_1)).$$

It is also strictly associative and the object $1 = 1 \in G$ is a left and right unit.

The functor *i*: The functor $i: \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{G}$ is defined on objects by $i(g) := g^{-1}$ and on morphisms by the assignment (2.8). It respects sources and targets by axiom 2.a), the identities and by axioms 1.a) and 2.b) the composition. It is also smooth and satisfies the condition (A.2).

Now we have completely defined the Lie 2-group associated to a smooth crossed module. Indeed, it is a well-known fact [BS76], also see [BL04] for a review, that every Lie 2-group arises – up to a certain notion of equivalence – from a smooth crossed module in this way.

Let us also write down the Lie 2-groupoid \mathcal{BG} associated the Lie 2group \mathfrak{G} coming from a smooth crossed module (G, H, t, α) . A 2-morphism is a morphism $(g, h) \in \operatorname{Mor}(\mathfrak{G})$, denoted as



with

$$g' = t(h)g. \tag{A.4}$$

The ladder equation is also called the *target-matching-condition* for the 2-morphism (g, h). The vertical composition is according to (A.3)

 $* \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} g \\ g' \\ g'' \end{array}}_{g''} * = * \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} g \\ h'h \\ g'' \end{array}}_{g''} *$ (A.5)

with g' = t(h)g and g'' = t(h')g' = t(h'h)g, and the horizontal composition is according to (A.2)



The construction of Lie 2-groups from smooth crossed modules is convenient to discuss basic examples.

Example A.8. Let A be an abelian Lie group. We define a smooth crossed module by taking $G = \{1\}$ the trivial group and H := A. This fixes the maps to t(a) := 1 and $\alpha(1, a) := a$. All axioms are satisfied in a trivial manner except axiom 2.b), which is satisfied only because A is abelian. The associated Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} is denoted by $\mathcal{B}A$, and the associated Lie 2-groupoid by $\mathcal{BB}A$.

Example A.9. Let G be any Lie group. We obtain a smooth crossed module by taking H := G, t = id and $\alpha(g, h) := ghg^{-1}$. The associated Lie 2-group,

which also underlies the construction of a geometric realization of EG [Seg68] is here denoted by $\mathcal{E}G$. It can be interpreted as the *inner automorphism 2-group* of G [RS07], and its Lie algebra plays an important role in [SSS08].

Let us briefly exhibit the details of the associated Lie 2-groupoid \mathcal{EBG} . It has one objects, and the set of 1-morphisms is G with the usual composition $g_2 \circ g_1 := g_2 g_1$. Between every pair (g, g') of 1-morphisms there is a unique 2-morphism



determined by $h := g'g^{-1}$.

Example A.10. Let H be a connected Lie group. The group of Lie group automorphisms of H is again a Lie group $G := \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ [OV91]. Together with $t(h)(x) := hxh^{-1}$ and $\alpha(\varphi, h) := \varphi(h)$, we have defined a smooth crossed module whose associated Lie 2-group \mathfrak{G} is denoted by $\operatorname{AUT}(H)$.

A.3 Proof of Lemma 2.15

In this section we show that the map

$$k_{A,B}: BX \longrightarrow H$$

defined for the construction of a smooth 2-functor from two differential forms $A \in \Omega^1(x, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B \in \Omega^2(X, \mathfrak{h})$, only depends on the thin homotopy class of a bigon $\Sigma \in BX$.

We first start with a general homotopy $h: [0,1] \times [0,1]^2 \rightarrow X$ between two bigons Σ_1 and Σ_2 , i.e *h* has the properties from Definition 2.2 except condition 2a) which constrains the rank of its differential. We shall represent the unit cube $[0,1]^3$ on which *h* is defined as a bigon in \mathbb{R}^3 . For this purpose, we define two paths $\mu(r,s,t)$ and $\nu(r,s,t)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 going from $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to (r,s,t). With the notation introduced in Figure 3 these paths are

$$\mu(r,s,t) := \gamma^{ru} \circ \gamma^{hu} \circ \gamma^{hl} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(r,s,t) := \gamma^{vr} \circ \gamma^{ov} \circ \gamma^{lo}.$$

Between these paths we have two bigons $\Lambda_1(r, s, t) : \mu(r, s, t) \Longrightarrow \nu(r, s, t)$ and $\Lambda_2(r, s, t) : \nu(r, s, t) \Longrightarrow \mu(r, s, t)$ defined by

$$\Lambda_1 := (\mathrm{id}_{\gamma^{rv}} * \Sigma^o) \bullet (\Sigma^r * \mathrm{id}_{\gamma^{ho}}) \bullet (\mathrm{id}_{\gamma^{ru}} * \Sigma^h)$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35



Figure 3: The unit cube viewed as two bigons: Λ_1 : $\mu => \nu$ on the right hand side, and $\Lambda_2 : \nu \to \mu$ on the left hand side.

and

$$\Lambda_2 := (\Sigma^u * \mathrm{id}_{\gamma^{hl}}) \bullet (\mathrm{id}_{\gamma^{uv}} * \Sigma^l) \bullet (\Sigma^v * \mathrm{id}_{\gamma^{lo}})$$

The vertical composition $\Lambda(r, s, t) := \Lambda_2(r, s, t) \bullet \Lambda_1(r, s, t)$ is then a bigon whose image is the cube. Notice that the two bigons Σ_1 and Σ_2 we started with can be found as $\Sigma_1 = h_* \Sigma^o$ and $\Sigma_2 = h_* (\Sigma^u)^{-1}$.

We evaluate the map $k_{A,B}$ on the bigon $h_*(\Lambda(r,s,t))$, which furnishes a smooth function $u: [0,1]^3 \longrightarrow H$. Since $k_{A,B}$ is compatible with the vertical composition and the auxiliary horizontal composition * by Lemma 2.14 we have

$$u(r,s,t) = k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^u) \cdot k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^v) \cdot \alpha(F_A(h_*\gamma^{rv}), k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^o))$$
$$\cdot k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^r) \cdot \alpha(F_A(h_*\gamma^{ru}), k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^h)). \quad (A.7)$$

On the right hand side we have omitted the arguments (r, s, t) for simplicity. In the following we use the bigon $\Lambda_{r_0}(r, s, t)$ which does not start at (0, 0, 0) as the one shown in Figure 3 but instead at the point (r, 0, 0). Accordingly, we have a smooth function $u_{r_0} : [0, 1]^3 \longrightarrow H$. In the same way, we have a

smooth function $u_{r_0,s_0,t_0}: [0,1]^3 \longrightarrow H$ associated to the bigon Λ_{r_0,s_0,t_0} which starts at (r_0, s_0, t_0) .

Lemma A.11. The smooth function $u_{r_0} : [0,1]^3 \rightarrow H$ has the following properties:

$$(a) \ u_{0}(1,1,1) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{2})^{-1} \cdot k_{A,B}(\Sigma_{1}).$$

$$(b) \ u_{r_{0}}(r,1,1) = u_{r_{0}+r'}(r-r',1,1) \cdot u_{r_{0}}(r',1,1).$$

$$(c) \ u_{r_{0}}(r,s+\sigma,1) = H_{1}(r_{0},r,s) \cdot u_{r_{0},s,0}(r,\sigma,1) \cdot H_{2}(r_{0},r,s).$$

$$(d) \ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r \partial \sigma} \bigg|_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{r_{0},s,0}(r,\sigma,t) = 3(h^{*}K)_{(r_{0},s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r},\frac{\partial}{\partial s},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right).$$

In (c), H_1 and H_2 are *H*-valued smooth functions which are independent of σ . In (d), the 3-form $K \in \Omega^3(X, \mathfrak{h})$ is given by $K := \mathrm{d}B + \alpha_*(A \wedge B)$.

Proof. We employ the properties of the homotopy h from condition 1 of Definition 2.2, and obtain from (A.7)

$$k_{A,B}(h_*\Lambda(1,1,1)) = k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2)^{-1} \cdot k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^v) \cdot k_{A,B}(\Sigma_1) \cdot k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^h).$$

Notice that by condition 2b) the bigons $h_*\Sigma^v : \gamma'_1 \implies \gamma'_2$ and $h_*\Sigma^h : \gamma_1 \implies \gamma_2$ are thin homotopies between paths, i.e. the rank of their differentials is less or equal to 1. Accordingly, $\mathcal{A}_{h_*\Sigma^v} = \mathcal{A}_{h_*\Sigma^h} = 0$ and hence $k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^v) = k_{A,B}(h_*\Sigma^h) = 1$. This shows (a). Using the same arguments, we have

$$u_{r_0}(r,1,1) = k_{A,B}(h_* \Sigma_{r_0+r}^u(1,1)) \cdot k_{A,B}(h_* \Sigma_{r_0}^o(1,1)).$$

Then (b) follows immediately. A slightly more involved computation shows

$$u_{r_{0},0,0}(r,s+\sigma,1) = k_{A,B}h_{*}\Sigma^{u}_{r_{0}+r,0,0}(s,1) \cdot u_{r_{0},s,0}(r,\sigma,1) \\ \cdot k_{A,B}h_{*}\Sigma^{v}_{r_{0},s,0}(r,1) \cdot k_{A,B}h_{*}\Sigma^{o}_{r_{0},0,0}(s,1),$$

this is (c). For (d), notice that $u_{r_0,s,0}(r,\sigma,t+\tau)$ is equal to the following product:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^u_{r_0+r,s,t}(\sigma,\tau) &\cdot \alpha(k_{A,B}h_*\gamma^{uv}_{r_0+r,s_0+\sigma,t}(\tau),k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^u_{r_0+r,s,0}(\sigma,t)) \\ &\cdot \alpha(k_{A,B}h_*\gamma^{uv}_{r_0+r,s+\sigma,t}(\tau),k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^v_{r_0,s+\sigma,0}(r,t)) \cdot k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^v_{r_0,s+\sigma,t}(r,\tau) \\ &\cdot \alpha\left(k_{A,B}h_*\gamma^{rv}_{r_0,s+\sigma,t+\tau}(r),\alpha(k_{A,B}h_*\gamma^{or}_{r_0,s+\sigma,t}(\tau),k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^o_{r_0,s,0}(\sigma,t))\right) \\ &\quad \cdot k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^v_{r_0,s,t+\tau}(r,\sigma) \cdot \alpha(k_{A,B}h_*\gamma^{ru}_{r_0+r,s,t+\tau}(\sigma),k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^h_{r_0,s,t}(r,\tau) \\ &\cdot \alpha(k_{A,B}h_*\gamma^{hu}_{r_0+r,s,t}(\tau),k_{A,B}h_*\Sigma^h_{r_0,s,0}(r,t))).\end{aligned}$$

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 19:35

The derivative of this expression by all three variables evaluated at zero is, using Proposition 2.13,

$$\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial r \partial \sigma \partial \tau} \bigg|_{0} u_{r_{0},s,0}(r,\sigma,t+\tau)
= 3dB_{h(r_{0},s,t)}(v_{r},v_{s},v_{t}) + \alpha_{*}(A_{r_{0},s,t}(v_{r}),B_{r_{0},s,t}(v_{s},v_{t}))
+ \alpha_{*}(A_{r_{0},s,t}(v_{s}),B_{r_{0},s,t}(v_{t},v_{r})) + \alpha_{*}(A_{r_{0},s,t}(v_{t}),B_{r_{0},s,t}(v_{r},v_{s})).$$

Using the antisymmetry of B, this shows (d).

Remark A.12. The 3-form $K = dB + \alpha_*(B \wedge A)$ that drops out in (d) has to be interpreted as the curvature of the connection (A, B) on a trivial, (non-abelian) gerbe, see Section 3.1.

Now, if the homotopy h is thin, i.e. satisfies condition 2a) of Definition 2.2, we have by (d)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial \sigma} \bigg|_0 u_{r_0,s,0}(r,\sigma,1) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \; \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial \sigma} \bigg|_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{r_0,s,0}(r,\sigma,t) = 0.$$

Performing this trick once more, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big|_{0} u_{r_{0}}(r,1,1) = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big|_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{r_{0}}(r,s,1)$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{=} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s H_{1}(r_{0},r,s) \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r \partial \sigma}\Big|_{0} u_{r_{0},s,0}(r,\sigma,1) \right\} \cdot H_{2}(r_{0},r,s)$$

$$= 0$$

The multiplicative property (b) transfers this result to all values of r,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big|_{r_0}u_0(r,1,1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big|_0u_{r_0}(r,1,1)\cdot u_0(r_0,1,1) = 0.$$

This means that the function $u_0(r, 1, 1)$ is constant, and thus determined by its value at r = 0,

$$1 = u_0(0, 1, 1) = u_0(1, 1, 1) \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} k_{A,B}(\Sigma_2)^{-1} \cdot k_{A,B}(\Sigma_1).$$

This shows that $k_{A,B}$ takes the same values on thin homotopic bigons Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

Functors vs. Forms, v3 23.7.2008

8/28/2008 - 19:35

References

- [AFG99] O. Alvarez, L. Ferreira and J. S. Guillén, Integrable Theories in any Dimension: a Perspective, in Trends in Theoretical Physics II, volume 484 of AIP Conference Proceedings, pages 81–97, 1999, hep-th/9903168.
- [Bae96] J. C. Baez, 4-Dimensional BF Theory as a Topological Quantum Field Theory, Lett. Math. Phys. 38, 129–143 (1996).
- [Bae07] J. C. Baez, *Quantization and Cohomology*, (2007), Lecture Notes, UC Riverside.
- [BL04] J. C. Baez and A. D. Lauda, Higher-dimensional Algebra V: 2-Groups, Theory Appl. Categories 12, 423-491 (2004), math/0307200.
- [BM05] L. Breen and W. Messing, Differential Geometry of Gerbes, Adv. Math. 198(2), 732-846 (2005), math.AG/0106083.
- [Bry93] J.-L. Brylinski, Loop spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization, volume 107 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [BS76] R. Brown and C. B. Spencer, *G*-Groupoids, crossed Modules, and the classifying Space of a topological Group, Proc. Kon. Akad. v. Wet. **79**, 296–302 (1976).
- [Che77] K.-T. Chen, Iterated Path Integrals, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83, 831–879 (1977).
- [CP94] A. Caetano and R. F. Picken, An axiomatic Definition of Holonomy, Int. J. Math. 5(6), 835–848 (1994).
- [Gaw88] K. Gawędzki, Topological Actions in two-dimensional Quantum Field Theories, in Non-perturbative Quantum Field Theory, edited by G. Hooft, A. Jaffe, G. Mack, K. Mitter and R. Stora, pages 101– 142, Plenum Press, 1988.
- [GP04] F. Girelli and H. Pfeiffer, Higher Gauge Theory differential versus integral Formulation, J.Math.Phys. 45, 3949-3971 (2004), hep-th/0309173.
- [GPP08] F. Girelli, H. Pfeiffer and E. M. Popescu, Topological Higher Gauge Theory - from BF to BFCG Theory, (2008), 0708.3051.

- [GT01] K. Gomi and Y. Terashima, Higher-dimensional parallel Transports, Math. Research Letters 8, 25–33 (2001).
- [Mac87] K. C. H. Mackenzie, Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Differential Geometry, volume 124 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [MP07] J. F. Martins and R. F. Picken, On two-Dimensional Holonomy, (2007), 0710.4310.
- [Mur96] M. K. Murray, Bundle Gerbes, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 54, 403–416 (1996), dg-ga/9407015.
- [OV91] A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, I. Foundations of Lie Theory, in Lie Groups and Lie Algebras I, edited by A. L. Onishchik, volume 20 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Springer, 1991.
- [RS07] D. Roberts and U. Schreiber, The inner Automorphism 3-Group of a strict 2-Group, (2007), 0708.1741v1, to appear in Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures.
- [Seg68] G. Segal, Classifying Spaces and Spectral Sequences, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 34, 105–112 (1968).
- [Sou81] J.-M. Souriau, Groupes différentiels, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, volume 836, pages 91–128, Springer, 1981.
- [SSS08] H. Sati, U. Schreiber and J. D. Stasheff, L-infinity Algebra Connections and Applications to String- and Chern-Simons n-Transport, (2008), 0801.3480.
- [SW07] U. Schreiber and K. Waldorf, Parallel Transport and Functors, (2007), 0705.0452v2, submitted.
- [SW08a] U. Schreiber and K. Waldorf, Connections on non-abelian Gerbes and their Holonomy, (2008), 0808.1923.
- [SW08b] C. Schweigert and K. Waldorf, Gerbes and Lie Groups, in Trends and Developments in Lie Theory, edited by K.-H. Neeb, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2008, 0710.5467, to appear.
- [Wal07] K. Waldorf, More Morphisms between Bundle Gerbes, Theory Appl. Categories **18**(9), 240-273 (2007), math.CT/0702652.
[Whi46] J. H. C. Whitehead, Note on a previous Paper entitled "On adding Relations to Homotopy Groups", Ann. Math. 47, 806–810 (1946).

8/28/2008 19:35